

SECRETARIAT GENERAL

SECRETARIAT OF THE COMMITTEE OF MINISTERS
SECRETARIAT DU COMITE DES MINISTRES

COMMITTEE
OF MINISTERS
COMITÉ
DES MINISTRES



Contact: John Darcy
Tel: 03 88 41 31 56

Date: 28/08/2018

DH-DD(2018)798

Documents distributed at the request of a Representative shall be under the sole responsibility of the said Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.

Meeting: 1324th meeting (September 2018) (DH)

Item reference: Action plan (24/08/2018)

Communication from the Russian Federation concerning the case of KHASHIYEV and AKAYEVA v. Russian Federation (Application No. 57942/00)

* * * * *

Les documents distribués à la demande d'un/e Représentant/e le sont sous la seule responsabilité dudit/de ladite Représentant/e, sans préjuger de la position juridique ou politique du Comité des Ministres.

Réunion : 1324^e réunion (septembre 2018) (DH)

Référence du point : Plan d'action

Communication de la Fédération de Russie concernant l'affaire KHASHIYEV et AKAYEVA c. Fédération de Russie (Requête n° 57942/00) (*anglais uniquement*)

24 AOUT 2018

SERVICE DE L'EXECUTION
DES ARRETS DE LA CEDH

Prepared for the 1324th CMCE meeting

ACTION PLAN
of the Russian authorities on execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights in the *Khashiyev* group of cases (within the context of the time-table for the future examination of specific aspects of this group of cases in 2018).

Violation

In its judgments in the *Khashiyev* group of cases, the European Court of Human Rights (“the European Court”, “ECHR”) found violations of Articles 2, 3, 5, 8 of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (“the Convention”), Article 1 of the Protocol no. 1 to the Convention by the Government of the Russian Federation in connection with violations of the civil rights during the counter-terrorism operation in the Chechen Republic and failure to ensure the effective investigation into the violations.

The Russian authorities have repeatedly informed the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe (“the CMCE”) of individual and general measures taken, including those provided for by action plans DH-DD(2011)130, DH-DD(2011)977, DH-DD(2012)488 part 1, DH-DD(2012)757, DH-DD(2013)935, DH-DD(2014)1117, DH-DD(2015)257, DH-DD(2015)773, DH-DD(2016)556, DH-DD(2016)1208, DH-DD(2017)163, DH-DD(2017)185, DH-DD(2017)190, DH-DD(2017)197 and DH-DD(2018)80.

The information below is presented with regard to the issues related to ensuring effective investigation into criminal cases on the events that took place between 1999 and 2006. This information has been prepared in accordance with the Time-table for the future examination of the specific aspects of the *Khashiyev* group of cases (“the Time-table”) within the context of recommendations outlined in the CMCE decision of 8 December 2016 (Cm/Del/Dec(2016)1273/25).

1. As previously reported in detail, on the basis of analysis of the judgments of the European Court in the *Khashiyev* group of cases, including conclusions and recommendations set out in the judgment *Aslakhanova and Others v. Russia*, and recommendations outlined in decisions and resolutions of CMCE, the authorities of the Russian Federation have elaborated the Strategy for the enforcement of the judgments of the European Court in the *Khashiyev* group of cases (“the Strategy”), which is continuously implemented.

In the light of legal positions expressed in the European Court judgment in the case no. 16664/07 *Abakarova v. Russia*, the necessary amendments have been made to the work of the competent State bodies on implementation of the Strategy, and this work was given a new impulse.

2. Based on the issue highlighted in the Time-table for consideration today, it should be noted that ensuring effective investigation into criminal cases involving the

events that took place between 1999 and 2006, i.e. the so called “historical investigations”, is an important element of the developed and currently implemented Strategy.

2.1. A series of interrelated measures have been taken so far in this direction:

- Significant work has been done to disseminate judgments of the European Court, CMCE decisions and recommendations in cases of the category as issue among the competent state authorities (including by the bodies of the Investigative Committee, the prosecutors and courts) and have the relevant legal positions examined by investigators, prosecutors, and judges.

A series of meetings were held in November 2017, in Moscow between representatives of the European Court, the Department for the Execution of Judgments of the ECHR, the Representative of the Russian Federation at the ECHR, staff of his Office and judges of the Supreme Court, officials with the General Prosecutor’s Office and Investigative Committee. At the Investigative Committee the respective meeting included organisation of a videoconference, during which the officials of all investigative departments in constituent entities of the Russian Federation could not just observe the meeting, but also directly participate in it. During these meetings, current problematic issues have been discussed, including those related to investigation into cases of the category under consideration.

In 2017-2018, a series of training workshops, training courses (including at the Academy of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation, Russian University of Justice, European Studies Institute of MGIMO (University) of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Russian Federation) were conducted, the topic of discussion included issues of investigation effectiveness in the light of ECHR case-law and CMCE recommendations. Related events were participated by the key staff of the Office of the Representative of the Russian Federation at the ECHR and international experts.

- Thematic reviews of the case-law and legal positions of the European Court, international treaty bodies, as well as review of the Supreme Court’s jurisprudence have been translated into Russian and were brought to the attention of investigators, prosecutors and courts, taking into account respective legal positions of the ECHR and international treaty bodies.

- Russian legislation in the sphere of counter-terrorism and its practical application were considerably reformed (the Federal Law on Counter-Terrorism was adopted; Presidential Decrees and Resolutions of the Government of the Russian Federation were issued, which strengthened the legal basis for counter-terrorism in all its manifestations and forms; principles, concepts, organisational and coordination mechanisms of counter-terrorism were determined with due account to international conventions and practice of international engagement in this field; such concepts as “terrorism”, “terrorist activity”, “terrorist act”, “counter-terrorism”, “counter-terrorism operation” were defined; terms and procedure for conducting counter-terrorism operations, legal reasons and responsibility for engaging in terrorism were regulated; the basis and procedure for the use of force,

weapons, employing military equipment and special means when planning counter-terrorism operations, the necessity of proportionality of the use of force adapted to the situation and only in cases of direct threat to human life are now clearly regulated etc.).

Relevant reforms resulted in a new level of organisation not only in terms of counter-terrorism, but also as regards investigation into offences committed during terrorist attacks and while implementing measures aimed at counter-terrorism.

- Criminal and criminal procedural legislation have been considerably improved, including enhancement of procedural guarantees of the parties to proceedings (victims inclusive), as well as judicial control and prosecutorial supervision over the implementation of respective rights.

- A completely independent investigative authority have been established, i.e the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation (SK of Russia) and its Departments in the subjects of the Russian Federation including in the Chechen Republic. Within the structure of the Investigative Committee the military investigative department was integrated, a special office was also established to investigate the cases that are related to violation of rights during the counter-terrorist operation in the Chechen Republic and became subject of examination by the European Court.

These efforts have contributed to elimination of fragmentation in cooperation between various agencies, allowing to provide comprehensive and specialised approach to the investigation and to improve its quality, as well as to ensure its greater independence.

- The coordination of activities of the investigative authorities with other law enforcement agencies is organised on a permanent basis.

The practice of panels and interdepartmental meetings for discussing current issues with regard to criminal investigation, including of problematics under consideration, and development of specific measures aimed at enhancing effectiveness of investigations, has produced positive outcome and was thus continued.

In 2018, enlarged meetings of Colleges took place at the Investigative Committee (on 6-7 February), the General Prosecutor's Office (on 15 February) and the Ministry of Internal Affairs of Russia (on 28 February), the meetings were attended by the heads of all law enforcement agencies, Deputies of the State Duma and Members of the Council of Federation, representatives of other state authorities and public organisations.

The panels involved discussions *inter alia* of problematic issues of crime investigation and the ways to ensure their effectiveness, including in the context of cooperation between law enforcement agencies, adoption and realisation of agreed decisions. During the College meeting of the Investigative Committee particular attention was paid to solving and investigation of crimes committed in previous years, existing positive trends were noted and perspective targets were determined.

In the Chechen Republic, the interaction continues between the departments of the Investigative Committee of Russia, the Prosecutor's Office and the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Chechen Republic and the Ministry of Internal Affairs of Russia, both

within the Chechen Republic and involving the authorities located in other constituent entities of the Russian Federation, including the North Caucasus Federal District. Practice of holding joint activities in the framework of operative support of criminal cases investigation that became subject to the European Court's examination, including organisation and running of the joint coordination, special investigation activities, preventive operations and operative-search activities, as well as execution of assignments of the investigator in those cases.

For example, a coordination meeting of the heads of law enforcement agencies was held in March 2017 in the Chechen Republic where problematic issues of effectiveness of the activity of law enforcement agencies in the Republic were discussed to counteracting serious and particularly serious crimes against persons. In May 2018, a coordination meeting was held where heads of Republic law enforcement agencies discussed the issues relating to compliance with the law when receiving and registering crime reports.

An important role, *inter alia* for ensuring interdepartmental coordination, is played by high level subdivisions specially created in the North Caucasus Federal District - the Directorates General of the Investigative Committee of Russia and of the Ministry of Internal Affairs of Russia and Directorate of the Prosecutor General's Office, coordinating law enforcement agencies' activities on the territory of the District, including to provide effective investigation, special investigation activities and review of lawfulness for delivered procedural rulings, with respect for rights of the parties to proceedings. The aforesaid departments are directly supervised by the Deputy Chairman of the Investigative Committee of Russia, Deputy Minister of Internal Affairs and Deputy General Prosecutor respectively.

- Measures to increase the investigation effectiveness were taken, including:
 - issuance of a number of departmental orders and directives, providing for the establishment of joint working groups, joint operational meetings and activities on investigating criminal cases of the category under consideration. A special order is also issued to regulate the procedure for investigation in respective cases with the use of analytical groups coordinated by the Main Criminalistics Department.

In particular, within the investigation of criminal cases in this category, the operational investigative teams with representatives from various branches depending on the circumstances of the crime are established. In all the cases a set of necessary investigative activities was implemented, including those, the necessity of which was indicated by the European Court (apart from situations where it appeared impossible due to objective reasons);

- the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation, its Directorate General in the North Caucasus Federal District sent information letters (both as regards specific cases and problematic issues) to the territorial bodies to instruct in detail for improving their practical activities in the light of ECHR case-law;
- in each criminal case that became subject to the European Court's examination,

the investigation was resumed, and plans of investigative and other procedural actions were developed and implemented taking into account the findings of the European Court, instructions of the procedural supervision authorities and supervising prosecutors, and additional data received during the investigation. Under the plans different versions were checked, including on involvement of the federal forces officials in the crimes;

- measures were taken to establish and enlarge a range of witnesses, including those among close relatives, neighbours, acquaintances, colleagues, representatives of municipalities, heads of local administrations, officials of state authorities and representatives of the federal authorities, who were participants or eyewitnesses of incidents. Additional meetings with victims were also conducted. Respective persons were questioned about different circumstances of crimes taking into account findings of the European Court (about circumstances of crimes, notable appearance characteristics of abductors and their' vehicles, circumstances of counter-terrorism operations and the participation by representatives of federal forces, as well as other emerging questions), testimony obtained has been examined onsite, plan drawings to protocols of investigative activity have been prepared;

- for each criminal case the investigators have considered conducting additional inspections on the scenes with the use of technical means in order to detect and seize additional evidence of forensic importance and, when sufficient grounds were present, conducted respective inspections.

- the use by investigators of possibilities to conduct within investigations a wide range of expert studies, *inter alia* molecular and genetic, forensic and other examinations, has been enhanced. To equip expert institutions, significant budgetary allocations having been made and institutional measures have been taken (the authorities have previously submitted detailed description of this with specific statistical data);

- criminalistics (forensic) support of the investigation is an important part of the measures to ensure effective investigation. Respective positive results of this forensic support were voiced at the operational meeting held on 21 July 2018 in Yessentuki (the North Caucasus Federal District, SKFO) involving the Chairman of the Investigative Committee, his Deputy, the head of the Main Investigative Directorate for SKFO, heads and officials of investigative departments of constituent entities of the Russian Federation included in the SKFO and Southern Federal District;

- Russian laws (including Federal Law On Archives in the Russian Federation and departmental regulatory legal acts) ensure the investigators have unimpeded access to the archives. In order to additionally regulate the questions connected with obtaining information on events which became a subject of the European Court's examination, including the information classified as the State secret, the interdepartmental order On the Procedure of Obtaining Information on the Persons Participating (Participated) in Counter-Terrorism Operations was issued;

- Set of measures has been implemented to ensure the rights of victims in the

course of investigation.

At present, the Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee for the Chechen Republic has developed and implements the comprehensive program on the organisation of work with victims.

Victims are provided with necessary information about the main investigative activities, they are notified of all the significant procedural decisions in their cases. Issuing of the copies of procedural documents to victims under procedure prescribed by criminal procedure legislation has been ensured.

In order to protect individual rights in accordance with the provisions set out in a number of rulings of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation and the European Court, victims have access to relevant information for immediate filing of a complaint with the court.

As for today, the problem of ensuring access for victims to the investigation case-file is almost solved. Now the victims have the opportunity in all the cases under investigation to study the case-files and to exercise the right to appeal against actions and decisions of the investigative authorities that they believe to be illegal or ill-founded. This is confirmed in full by the information described in the table attached to this document.

Taking into account the specific features of investigation in crimes of the category under consideration and the experience studied during the visit of representatives of Russian authorities to the United Kingdom, additional measures have been developed and implemented to enforce rights of victims and to provide them most complete information about investigation, in particular:

- the practice of holding meetings with victims was introduced, in which they are given explanations about: organisation of criminal investigation activities, measures of special supervision over completeness and effectiveness of investigation, challenges the investigation face and possible ways to resolve them, issues of concern to victims are discussed and taken into account

- a questionnaire developed for the criminal case victim is filled up by the victims following the results of the meetings. It provides clarification of the views of victims on the quality and results of the investigation, their comments and suggestions;

- the practice of giving victims a detailed report on the progress and results of the investigation is implemented (instruction of the head of the department of no. 44/216-2-p On the procedure of preparing reports to victims about the work done in criminal cases, which became a subject of the examination of the European Court of Human Rights).

- Effective instruments of control over investigation into criminal cases of the category under consideration are created and operational.

The Investigative Committee issued orders and directives as regards the investigative bodies, that regulate in detail (both at the regional level and in the Central Office), the organisation of procedural supervision over investigation, the lawfulness and validity of procedural decisions, including powers assigned to these procedural

supervision authorities and procedure of their response for identified deficiencies. Procedural supervision bodies perform control over the investigation and adoption of procedural decisions in all criminal cases of the category under consideration.

Pursuant to the Federal Law on the Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation and the CCrP RF, prosecution authorities carry out prosecutorial supervision to ensure rights of the parties to a criminal proceeding and legality of procedural decisions adopted. Legislation and departmental orders clearly regulate procedures for the prosecutors in this respect and enshrine their binding force.

These control tools are used by the procedural supervision authorities and prosecutors irrespective of applications of people, however they can also be used upon applications of the parties to a criminal proceeding, including the victims.

- Effective domestic remedies have been established to protect rights of the parties to criminal proceedings, including the victims, in connection with failure to provide effective investigation or its excessive length:

- the right to file a motion to conduct procedural activities, adopt procedural decisions for establishing circumstances which are important for criminal proceedings and protection of rights and lawful interests¹;

- the right to appeal to the prosecutor against actions (omission) and decisions of the investigators²;

- the right to appeal to the court against actions (omission) and decisions of the investigators and prosecutors³;

- the possibility to speed up the investigation and receive compensations for the excessive length of investigation or examination of the case with the court⁴;

- the right to obtain state protection, including measures of safety and social support⁵.

Therefore, reforms in connection with the judgments of the European Court in the *Khashiyev* group of cases, which have been implemented by the authorities of the Russian Federation and are ongoing, not only themselves demonstrate positive changes in the Russian legal system, but have also created a firm basis for effective investigations into cases, which became a subject of the Court's examination.

2.2. Relating directly to investigation in the *Khashiyev* group of cases it is emphasised that from 2001 to 2008, the Russian courts in the Chechen Republic have delivered conviction sentences in about 600 criminal cases, under which murders,

¹ Article 119 of the CCrP RF.

² Article 124 of the CCrP RF.

³ Article 125 of the CCrP RF.

⁴ Federal Law no. 68-FZ On Compensation for Violation of the Right to a Trial within a Reasonable Time or the Right to Enforcement of the Judicial Act within a Reasonable Time was adopted

⁵ Federal Law no. 119-FZ On State Protection of Victims, Witnesses and Other Parties to a Criminal Proceeding.

robberies, criminal negligence, authority abuse and other offences committed in the Chechen Republic were investigated. More than 500 members of illegal armed groups and about 200 members of armed forces, police officers and other representatives of state and local authorities were convicted.

Effective investigation into a number of cases allowed citizens to lodge the claims for compensation for material and moral damages within the scope of criminal and civil proceedings; the claims were subsequently granted. In mentioned criminal cases the affected individuals were granted a victim status with all the rights stipulated by the criminal procedural legislation.

Therefore, the *Khashiyev* group of cases is concerned with a certain group of remaining, so called “historical cases”, related to violations of rights of citizens in the Chechen Republic in the period of the counter-terrorism operation conducted in the 2000s. These are cases in which it was not possible to ensure observance of all the Convention requirements and find the perpetrators due to objective reasons.

One of the main reasons not allowing yet to complete investigation in all the cases considered by the European Court and to establish in full the relevant circumstances and the perpetrators, is that the initial stage of investigation was carried out in the circumstances of armed conflict, when the considerable part of the territory of the Chechen Republic was under control of illegal paramilitary groups and many public institutions did not function.

This also led to irreversible loss of the most important evidence as well as the samples necessary to conduct forensic analysis.

Identifying the individuals who were involved in the arrests was further made more challenging by them using pseudonyms and the absence or loss of the necessary archival documents (for example, the documents being destroyed as a result of armed conflict or terrorist acts such as bombings, arson, etc.). Investigators received court orders in a number of cases in order to gain access to the preserved archival documents that contained information classified as State secret. However, information directly pertaining to the case has so far not been found in these archival documents.

Furthermore, during the period in question, employees from a number of state agencies in various parts of Russia were called upon to participate in the counter-terrorism operation temporarily and on a rotational basis.

It cannot be denied that in some cases, as was established by the European Court, violations were committed during this initial stage, however, at the present time, it is impossible to remedy them.

It is not always possible to conduct the necessary expert examinations, for example, molecular and genetic examinations for the reasons not depending on the investigators.

One of the reasons for this issue is that the bodies of those killed in the course of hostilities in the Republic were buried as soon as the opportunity to do so appeared and because persons burying these bodies were risking their lives, it was impossible for them

to wait for the bodies to be examined and for forensic and DNA analysis to be conducted.

Due to national customs, the relatives of missing persons in some cases interfere with carrying out exhumation of corpses, as according to national traditions and Islam canons, burial is to be carried out on the day of death. For the same reason, the relations object to organ retrieval for their analysis. There are also cases when the relatives of the abducted persons refuse to participate in the investigative activities, in particular, to give testimonies and allow the investigators to take their DNA samples within the procedure established by the CCrP RF (to confirm this, the Russian authorities have cited a number of concrete examples, see DH-DD(2013)935E).

In addition, the Russian authorities cannot but point out that in a number of cases, as it was established during investigation, allegedly missing persons, even when filing applications to the European Court, were not always really missing. In fact some of them left for other regions, including abroad, or continued to participate in organisations that were declared illegal on the territory of Russia, *inter alia* in illegal paramilitary formations. The authorities have repeatedly given the examples of these situations.

2.3. However, a set of consistent measures taken by the Russian authorities has helped to further the investigation practically in all the cases, and in some instances to fully establish the circumstances.

2.3.1. Information about criminal investigations in the cases examined by the European Court in its judgments in the *Khashiyev* group of cases is described in the Table attached.

This table contains information on the status of investigation, including on reasons for termination and suspension of the criminal proceedings, or for refusal to institute the criminal proceedings, and the information about notification of the applicants and/or their representatives of the investigation results, and/or provision of copies of the relevant case files.

In the light of the European Court findings in all the cases a set of additional investigative measures was conducted. At that, in a large number of cases the investigation was suspended because the persons who should be charged with offences were not established or were placed on the wanted list.

It is emphasised that a suspension of a criminal investigation (which occurs at certain stages in the category of cases in question) does not mean that the work on the case has stopped. The operative and search activities aimed at solving the crime and bringing the perpetrators to criminal liability continue in all the suspended cases. The competent departments of the Investigative Committee, including the Department for the Chechen Republic, take all actions possible to perform those investigative activities that can be conducted in the absence of the convict.

Once the information allowing for further investigative activities is received, the investigation in the suspended cases will be resumed immediately in due course. Therefore, the resumption of proceedings in a suspended criminal case is not a mechanical

process. On the contrary, the reasons for the resumption of proceedings are decided on a case-by-case basis in view of any new information received at this particular moment, including during the continued special investigation activities that make additional investigative activities possible.

According to the Table attached, all the victims were informed of the procedural decisions delivered. The relevant documents were provided to the victims (their representatives) who filed motions to study criminal case files or to provide copies of the case files. In fact, the problem of access to the investigation case files subject to examination of the European Court, is no longer actual.

2.3.2. The investigation was completed and actual circumstances were established in cases of *Trapeznikova v. Russia*, *Abdurashidova v. Russia*, *Taziyeva and others v. Russia*, as reflected in the decision of the 1236th CMCE meeting of 24 September 2015.

2.3.3. The authorities have also completed the investigation in cases of *Chitayev and Chitayev v. Russia* and *Khatsiyeva and Others v. Russia*, and believe that the CMCE supervision over the issue could be discontinued. In particular, relating to these cases, the following is stated.

- ***As regards the case no. 5108/02 Khatsiyeva and Others v. Russia***

According to the circumstances of the case, on 6 August 2000, unidentified persons attacked by fire a MI-8 helicopter, which had arrived to evacuate injured military servicemen, near the village of Arshty in the Sunzhenskiy District of the Republic of Ingushetia (on the border with the Chechen Republic). Two more MI-24 helicopters were sent to ensure the safe evacuation of those injured, and while performing the task the pilots saw a car and armed men near the village of Arshty. After several warning shots the unidentified men attempted to escape, and pilots fired at the car. The fire resulted in the deaths of the applicants' relatives – K.A. and Kh.Kh.

The European Court took into account that the investigation into the deaths of K.A. and Kh.Kh. had been started on the day of their deaths, that the initial investigative activities, including the examination of the scene of action, preliminary medical examination of the corpses and interview of witnesses, had been conducted. The European Court, however, noted that further investigation was conducted with delay and with a number of shortcomings, and therefore the investigation was found ineffective.

- *The European Court noted the excessive length of the investigation, numerous decisions to suspend and resume it, transfer of documents from one investigative body to another.*

It is noted that the above shortcomings can no longer be rectified. However, as mentioned above, in the aftermath of the events there was a significant reform of investigation bodies, a completely independent investigative authority – the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation was established and its competent unit conducted additional investigation following the judgment of the European Court.

- *The European Court noted that the applicants had not been granted victim*

status despite their numerous applications, and that there were no evidence confirming that they had been provided access to the case file despite their numerous requests.

The applicants I.M. Akiyev, Kh.Yu. Akiyeva, and A.Kh. Khatsiyev were granted victim status during the new investigation and the applicants were informed of the criminal proceedings' progress. On 11 November 2011 they were notified of termination of the criminal proceedings. The applicants did not file any applications for study of the criminal case file after the criminal proceedings were terminated.

- *The European Court noted that no ballistic forensic examinations were held, though they were appropriate in that situation in view of the pilots' allegations that the killed men had been armed, and in view of the allegations of military servicemen that they had found spent cartridges from machine-guns and a cartridge belt at the scene of action.*

According to the Investigative Committee, no ballistic forensic examination could be held during the new investigation because the objects to be examined were missing. However, as already informed, the circumstances of the deaths of K.A. and Kh.Kh. were established on the basis of other evidence.

- *The European Court noted that no autopsy or any further medical forensic examination of the corpses was ever carried out, and that the second forensic examination was based entirely on the results of the first expert examination.*

According to the Investigative Committee, the corpses of those men were examined on the date of their finding and then handed over to their relatives, who buried the corpses on 7 August 2000. Therefore both forensic medical expert examinations were based on the results of the initial medical examination. The corpses were not exhumed because the relatives strongly objected to the exhumation in view of the religious traditions.

- *The European Court noted that the materials available did not indicate that at the initial stage of the investigation any meaningful efforts had been made to establish the identity the commanders who had given the order to attack the group of people including K.A. and Kh.Kh.*

The additional investigation established that the fire for effect had been made from the helicopter under the command of major M.

- *The European Court noted that the order to use lethal force had not been analysed or assessed during the investigation.*

It should be noted that the investigative body analysed and assessed the order to use force, and actions taken by the helicopter pilots to execute it, in view of the particular circumstances of the case and existing situation. It held that the order to use force and the actions of M. had been lawful and justified by particular circumstances of the case, therefore there was no *corpus delicti* in their actions. Consequently it was decided to terminate the criminal proceedings.

As noted above, the victims were notified of the decision to terminate the criminal proceedings related to the deaths of K.A. and Kh.Kh., however they did not appeal against the decision.

At the same time, the decision was examined by the Military Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee and by the Military Prosecutor's Office of the Southern Military district and it was recognised lawful and justified.

As regards case no. 59334/00 Chitayev and Chitayev v. Russia.

The case is connected to the application of Ad.S. Chitayev and Ar.S. Chitayev about their ill-treatment and infliction of bodily injuries during their detention.

The European Court arrived at the conclusion that inflicting physical pain and suffering to Ad.S. Chitayev and Ar.S. Chitayev was confirmed by the certificates of 6 October 2000 and the applicant's allegations of their ill-treatment and inflicting bodily injuries by officers of "Chernokozovo" remand prison. As noted, the Russian authorities in their turn denied infliction of any bodily injuries to the applicants by the remand prison officers, yet they did not contest the medical certificates submitted by the applicants, nor did they provide any feasible explanations of the bodily injuries that the applicants had.

The European Court took into consideration that the authorities had taken measures for conducting the investigation into the applicants' complaints of ill-treatment, and that there were objective difficulties for conducting the said investigation, including those conditioned by changing the statements of one of the applicants. However it was found that the said investigation did not fully comply with the Convention standards.

- *The ECHR drew attention to the fact that notwithstanding the applicants' motions the investigative bodies never paid attention to the medical certificates which the applicants referred to in support of their allegations.*

It is noted that the drawbacks related to untimely assessment of the medical certificates and checking their authenticity could no longer be eliminated. Nevertheless, measures were taken to conduct the respective work after delivering of the ECHR's judgment.

In the course of the additional procedural review an inquiry was sent to the Achkhoy-Martan Central District Hospital and the Republican Clinical Hospital of the Internal Troops of the Russian Federation, to which the applicants had applied after being release from the remand prison (according to the certificates submitted by the applicants to the European Court). As follows from the received replies, Ad.S. Chitayev and Ar.S. Chitayev did not apply to the said medical institutions in the period from 17 April 2000 to 2001 inclusive.

It is reminded that earlier the head of the remand prison provided information in compliance with the reply provided by the medical institutions, namely that no injuries whatsoever had been found on the applicants and recorded, and that no unlawful methods whatsoever had been used against them during their detention. In addition, it is reminded that the applicants (the Chitayev brothers) provided conflicting testimony at the initial stage of the procedural inquiry. In particular Ad.S. Chitayev twice, on 29 December 2001

and in January 2002 (the second time in presence of his father) made statements that no physical violence or prohibited methods had been used in his respect and in respect of his brother during their detention, and that he had not complained to any international organisations. The Chitayevs' father and Z.V., the wife of Ad.S.Chitayev, during additional interview, explained that they did not know anything as regards using prohibited methods and physical force against the applicants during their detention.

However, according to law-enforcement agencies Ar.S. Chitayev has left the Russian Federation with his family in 2001 (possibly to Germany), and Ad. Chitayev has left the Republic. The applicants' whereabouts and addresses are unknown. S.I. Chitayev and Z.V. Chitayeva informed that they, too, did not know the applicants' whereabouts and they had not maintained any contact with them for a long time.

- *The European Court noted that no attempts had been taken to appoint and conduct a forensic medical examination of the applicants, to examine the crime scene or to identify the officers who had worked in the District Department of the Interior of the Achkhoy-Martanovskiy District and "Chernokozovo" remand prison and to interrogate those persons.*

It appears impossible to rectify the aforementioned violation now in view of the remoteness of the events.

- *The European Court drew attention to the fact that it remained unclear whether the applicants or their representatives had been provided access to the investigation materials.*

It is noted that it appears impossible to rectify the aforementioned violation during the period that has become the subject of assessment by the European Court.

Therefore, no data on ill-treatment of Ad.S. Chitayev and Ar.S. Chitayev and any injuries inflicted to them during the detention period have been obtained in the course of an additional procedural inquiry. In view of the aforementioned, a decision to refuse institution of criminal proceedings was delivered on 8 October 2008. The applicants were notified of that decision by way of sending the respective notifications to the addresses available. Moreover, according to the Investigative Committee, on 7 August 2012 the applicants' representative, based on her motion, was fully familiarized with the decision and procedural inquiry materials. Neither the applicants nor their representatives appealed against the decision of 8 October 2008. At the same time, it was recognised as justified, according to the Prosecutor General's Office of the Russian Federation.

2.3.4. Below is the information with regard to paragraph 3 of the resolution of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe (CMCE) about remedying the shortcomings of the investigations into the events examined by the European Court in cases nos. 57950/00 *Isayeva v. Russia*, 27065/05 *Abuyeva and Others v. Russia* in light of the judgment in case no. 16664/07 *Abakarova v. Russia*.

● ***Further to the European Court's judgment in the case of Isayeva and in view of the findings of the judgment in the cases of Abuyeva***, the Russian authorities took

specific measures to remedy the shortcomings found by the European Court.

It is recalled that these cases are related to the special operation with the use of artillery and other military equipment, conducted by the federal forces on 4-7 February 2000 in Katyr-Yurt after this village had been captured by a large group of the armed Chechen militants. The civilians were killed as a result thereof.

In the above judgments the European Court found a number of shortcomings of the investigations. After the delivery by the European Court of its judgment in the case of *Abuyeva*, the central bodies of the Chief Military Prosecutor's Office and the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation reviewed the lawfulness and reasonableness of the decision to terminate the criminal case. On 28 August 2012, according to the results thereof, the procedural decision was quashed, and the additional investigation was carried out.

The investigations, in particular, resulted in the following (in the context of specific shortcomings identified by the European Court).

- *The European Court noted that:*

The complete list of the victims was not drawn up, not all victims were granted a victim status in the criminal case;

The victims were not informed of the most important procedural decisions, delivered in the case; the provisions of the domestic legislation were violated at that.

In the course of the additional investigation, as a result of the measures taken, the persons who had suffered from bombardment and shelling of Katyr-Yurt by the federal forces, were identified and granted a victim status for the purpose of remedying the shortcomings. Moreover, a number of the relatives or the persons killed were granted a victim status. Therefore, all persons, suffered from the incident in Katyr-Yurt, were identified and, if possible, granted a victim status.

The Code of Criminal Procedure of the Russian Federation provides the victims in the criminal case, with the procedural rights, including the right to be notified of suspension or termination of the criminal case, to appeal against the investigator's actions to the prosecutor or the court. In the course of the preliminary investigation, the victims actively exercised the rights, granted to them by law, in person or through their representatives without any restrictions. In particular, as it will be noted below, the right to appeal against the procedural decision delivered in the case was exercised.

- *The European Court noted that the decisions to terminate investigation were taken by the Military Prosecutor's Office on the ground of the examination, conducted by the military (specialists of the Combined Arms Academy), and therefore raised serious doubts regarding independence of the investigation from the persons, involved in the events in question.*

The investigation into the criminal case after delivering the *Abuyeva* judgment was conducted by the investigators of the 3rd Military Investigation Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation for the Southern Military District, for

the purpose of remedying that shortcoming.

The military investigative bodies and the military prosecution authorities are included into the structure of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation and Prosecutor General's Office of the Russian Federation accordingly. They are not subordinate to the command of the Armed Forces or the Ministry of Internal Affairs of Russia, and, therefore, they cannot be interested in pursuing their departmental interests.

However, taking into account the position of the European Court and CMCE, the special joint investigative group, comprising, in particular, the civil investigators, was created.

As regards the European Court's note that the military tactical examination was conducted by the military experts and it reduces its evidentiary value, the following is noted.

In accordance with the Code of Criminal Procedure of the Russian Federation, all evidence shall be assessed from the viewpoint of relevance, admissibility and credibility. All evidence collected shall be assessed as a whole from the viewpoint of sufficiency for the solution of the criminal case. Therefore, in accordance with the CCrP RF, the expert report itself cannot be ground for charging a person or terminating a criminal case, if it is not confirmed by the other evidence.

According to Article 195 of the CCrP RF, the examination shall be carried out by the experts, i.e. persons, possessing special knowledge. The performance of examinations by the experts of the Military Training and Research Center of the Ground Forces of the Armed Forces of the Russian Federation "Combined Arms Academy of the Armed Forces of the Russian Federation" was conditioned by the specificity of the issues raised relating to the activities of armed groups, as well as by the peculiarity of the documents submitted for the study, a part of which in this case constitutes the information classified as State secret.

However, taking into account the position of the European Court and CMCE, in the course of the additional investigation, the expert panel operational and tactical forensic examination was held by the external experts (non-military) of the Southern Federal University.

Therefore, in the course of the additional investigation, taking into account the European Court's findings, the maximum possible measures were taken for the purpose of ensuring impartial and independent investigation.

- *The European Court noted that no measures were taken in the course of the investigations, previously examined by the European Court, to clarify the key issues related to responsibility for the safety during evacuation of the civilian population, as well as the "punitive" nature of the operation and whether it was directed against the population of Katyr-Yurt. The military or civil control agencies, or military servicemen involved in the operation, apparently, were not interrogated about these aspects thereof.*

In view of those findings of the European Court, in the framework of additional

investigation a number of investigative activities were taken, including: search for the persons who suffered from the incident; interrogations of victims and witnesses, officers of the command staff, who planned and conducted the special operation; performance of a number of examinations (including, as noted above, expert panel operational and tactical examination by the civil experts); clarification of the circumstances of the events, including the issues relating to planning and conducting of the special operation, taking of the measures to ensure the safety and evacuation of the civilian population, etc.

The investigative authorities analyzed the evidence obtained in the course of the investigation in their aggregate. All circumstances of the events and the role played by the militants and representatives of the federal forces, including the command, therein, were established.

It was taken into account that the actions of the members of the illegal armed groups (capture of Katyr-Yurt by the militants, amounting to 3,000 – 4,000 persons, creation of well-fortified positions in the residential buildings from the engineering viewpoint, armament not only with small arms, but also with grenade launchers, fire launchers, flamethrowers, heavy machine guns, man-portable air defense missile systems, equipment with armored vehicles; their refusal to yield; use of the locals as human shield while resisting etc.), taken together, constituted a real danger to the locals life and health, and created a threat of unnecessary casualties among servicemen, acting in the field.

It was noted that these circumstances required from the command to take adequate measures to avert the danger arose — armed interference with the rights and interests of the persons who lived in Katyr-Yurt, and the servicemen of the federal forces, protected by law, as well as those of the society and state — restoration of the constitutional order in the Chechen Republic.

It was also established that after preliminary informing and providing the civilians to leave the village using the humanitarian corridors, the further fire damage (to the centers of armed resistance, fire weapons, fortified support points) by aviation and artillery means was in compliance with the procedure for their use, as envisaged by the plan of the special operation, however it did not exclude losses among civilians. However, according to the evidence obtained, it was noted that the damage, caused by these means, was proportionate and compatible in view of the situation and the measures taken to minimize the losses among civilians and servicemen of the federal forces.

It was also established that, when preparing and conducting the special operation in Katyr-Yurt, the commanders' actions were compatible with the requirements of the manuals, instructions and regulations, and were lawful.

Therefore, the commanders' actions, when conducting the special operation in question, were aimed at eliminating the danger, directly threatening the person and the persons' rights, the interests of society and state protected by law. This danger, as it was established, could not be eliminated by other means, and the limits of urgent necessity were not exceeded. Pursuant to Article 39 § 1 of the Criminal Code of the Russian

Federation, the infliction of harm in a state of urgent necessity shall not be deemed to be a crime, and therefore on 9 March 2013 the decision to terminate the criminal case against the former commanders of the special operation (named in the decision) for the lack of *corpus delicti*.

All persons concerned, including the victims, were informed of the above-mentioned procedural decision, as well as of the terms and procedure for appealing against it. The applicants' representative appealed against the decision in question to the Grozny Garrison Military Court, which examined the arguments, set out in the appeal, in detail, and, following the results thereof, it dismissed the appeal on 6 December 2013. The above-mentioned decision was upheld, and the appeal of the applicants' representative was dismissed by the judgment of the Judicial Division for Criminal Cases of the North Caucasus District Military Court, delivered on 6 March 2014.

On 25 September 2014 the Supreme Court of the Russian Federation found those decisions lawful and justified. It held that the judge's findings about non-violation by the investigative authority of the legal positions of the European Court's judgment and about lawfulness of the official's decision to terminate the criminal case were correct, well-reasoned and based on the case file.

- The CMCE was informed of the measures taken by the Russian authorities (the relevant information is available in a separate section of the Action Plan that had been sent by the Russian authorities to the CMCE on 17 July 2015, document DH-DD(2015)773).

The above information was included in the Order of Business of the 1236th meeting of the CMCE (section Status of Execution). However the section "Analysis by the Secretariat" contained the proposal to examine that information during the CMCE meeting in March 2016. However the issue was not addressed until the European Court judgment in the case of *Abakarova* was final. Also the HUDOC EXEC database section related to the execution of the European Court's judgment in the case of *Isayeva* and in the case of *Abuyeva* has no reference to the document DH-DD(2015)773 containing the information from the Russian authorities about the execution of those judgments.

It should be noted that pursuant to the European Court's judgment (paragraphs 63 – 67) the Court had examined the reports of the Russian authorities and resolutions of the Committee of Ministers as of April 2015, but did not assess and analyze the information that had been submitted by the Russian authorities with regard to the relevant cases in the document DH-DD(2015)773. At the same time, the *Abakarova* judgment was delivered by the European Court on 15 October 2015 and became final on 14 March 2016. Thus the judgment was delivered 3 months and became final 8 months after the authorities had taken the required measures and informed the CMCE thereof.

Moreover, the Russian authorities sent the memorandum and additional memorandum to the European Court on 7 September 2010 and 18 November 2010 respectively, i.e. long before the authorities carried out the additional investigation described above with regard to the judgments in the cases of *Isayeva* and *Abuyeva*. It

appears from the European Court's judgments, that in its findings the European Court relied mostly on the information that had been provided by the authorities in 2010 and extracts from the decision to terminate the case that had been submitted by the applicants. However the Court requested no additional information from the authorities with regard to those materials and comments of the applicant and his representative.

The Main Military Investigative Directorate of the Investigative Committee and the Military Prosecutor's Office additionally examined the criminal case file in the light of the European Court's findings after the delivery of the judgment in the *Abakarova* case.

It was noticed in the result that the officials commanding the above special operation, which had caused the damage to the people of Katyr-Yurt, were identified and thus the investigative authority took all reasonable actions to identify the perpetrators. It was noted however that all the evidence collected during the additional investigation, including the results of additional examination carried out by qualified civil experts, show that the use of force in a manner it had been applied during the special operation was proportionate and adequate in view of the situation at hand and measures taken to minimize the casualties among civilians and federal military personnel.

In view of the above, the Investigative Committee and Prosecutor General's Office of the Russian Federation held that the violations found by the European Court in its judgment in the case of *Abakarova* had already been remedied during the additional investigation carried out after the delivery of the European Court's judgments in the case of *Isayeva* and in the case of *Abuyeva*. It should be noted that there are no grounds to cancel the procedural decision that had been taken in the criminal case examined by the European Court in the case of *Isayeva*, case of *Abuyeva*, and in the case of *Abakarova*, in view of the positions of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation (Ruling no. 300-O of 27 December 2002, Ruling no. 157-O of 25 March 2004, Ruling no. 328-O of 5 July 2005, and Ruling no. 358-O-O of 24 June 2008), according to which the terminated criminal case, which poses a constant threat of the criminal prosecution of the person in respect of which the criminal case was terminated, cannot be resumed in an arbitrary manner.

Moreover, as noted above, the national courts, including the Supreme Court of the Russian Federation, reviewed the decision to terminate the criminal case of 9 March 2013 and found it lawful and justified.

It should not be ignored that neither Ms. Abakarova nor other victims in the criminal case related to the Katur-Yurt events filed any appeals with the competent state authorities against the decision to terminate the criminal case after the delivery of the European Court's judgment in the case of *Abakarova*.

2.3.5. Pursuant to paragraph 5 of the CMCE's decision of 8 December 2016, the Russian authorities submit the following information about the qualification of crimes in three cases that had been terminated due to the expiration of the statute of limitations.

These criminal cases were examined by the European Court in its judgments in the

cases of *Estamirova v. Russia*, *Khantiyeva and Others v. Russia* and *Magomadov and Magomadov v. Russia*.

- ***In its judgment in the case of Estamirova v. Russia***, the European Court found the violation by the Russian authorities of Article 2 of the Convention under its procedural limb on account of the failure to carry out an effective criminal investigation into the circumstances surrounding the death of A.Kh.-S. Estamirov from the penetrating wound to his head inflicted during an armed clash. The European Court did not establish that the wound was inflicted by the federal forces and, in particular, through any intentional acts. Moreover, the judgment reads that no eye-witnesses of the events and no place from where the federal forces had been attacked with gunfire were established.

Therefore, the criminal case examined by the European Court in the above judgment was instituted under Article 109 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation on account of negligent infliction by unidentified persons of death to the applicant's husband, A.Kh.-S. Estamirov, during the armed conflict.

Eye-witnesses of the exchange of gunfire, Sh. and A., were found and questioned during the additional investigation. They stated that the military convoy of the Russian federal forces came under fire of members of an illegal armed group. In particular, A. stated during the questioning that on the date of those events he had been walking A.Kh.-S. Estamirov to his house and that a convoy of armored vehicles had been moving towards town of Gudermes about 500 meters from them. At that moment a gunfire at the convoy had started from the opposite side of the street, and he and A.Kh.-S. Estamirov have found themselves under the fire. The witness also stated that he had managed to hide behind a tree, while A.Kh.-S. Estamirov had been wounded. The scene plan was drawn up with the assistance of A., with indication of the killed person's location, location where he had been wounded, location and direction of the military convoy, spot where the militants had been shooting, direction of their shooting.

The statements obtained were verified on the scene and were found consistent with other evidence in the case. Having compared the statements of the witnesses, the plan drawn up with their assistance, and having established the location and direction of the military convoy of the federal forces, the investigation authorities could come to a conclusion that A.Kh.-S. Estamirov had been shot by unidentified members of illegal armed groups who had been firing at the military convoy. No evidence for another account of the events, including the causing by the federal agents of injuries to A.Kh.-S. Estamirov, were found during the investigation.

The investigation also included the measures to identify members of illegal armed groups that had been fighting in Argun at the material time. According to the information received, all known members of illegal armed groups that had been in operations in 2001, were killed.

In view of the above and in view of the expiration of the statutory limits, the criminal prosecution and further criminal investigation cannot result, for objective reasons,

in the establishment of new circumstances of the incident. The investigation authorities delivered the lawful and justified decision to terminate the criminal case.

The procedural control bodies of the Investigative Committee and the prosecution authorities found that decision lawful and justified. The applicants were notified of the procedural decision and did not appeal against it.

2.4. Criminal cases examined by the European Court of Human Rights in its judgments in the case of Khantiyeva and Others v. Russia and in the case of Magomadov and Magomadova v. Russia were instituted under Article 126 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation on account of the abduction of the applicants' relatives, M.A. Khantiyev and A.A. Magomadov.

According to the Investigative Committee, the criminal proceedings were resumed after the delivery of the European Court's judgments, and an additional criminal investigation was carried out in view of the violations found by the European Court. It was noted however, that no killing of the applicants' relatives and no involvement of federal force agents or law-enforcement officers were established by the investigation. Therefore, the case was not re-qualified and the investigations resulted in the delivery on 29 April 2016 of the decisions to terminate the criminal cases due to the expiration of the statute of limitations.

The procedural control bodies of the Investigative Committee and the prosecution authorities found that decision lawful and justified. The applicants were notified of the procedural decision and did not appeal against it.

However, the search for the applicants' relatives continues, including the search using existing genetic information databases. When the relatives are found, if the necessary grounds are present, the decisions to terminate criminal cases may be cancelled and an additional investigation will be conducted.

3. In the light of the European Court's findings in paragraphs 112-114 of the judgment in the case of Abakarova, the Russian authorities share the European Court's concerns regarding the violations of the human rights and freedoms during the crisis settlement in the Chechen Republic.

This is precisely why the authorities drew a lesson from the past experience, developed a comprehensive action strategy, and now take specific actions to ensure its consistent implementation. The same was stated above and in other reports of the Russian authorities regarding other aspects of the problems raised by the European Court (see list of the reports in the introductory section hereof).

The Russian authorities are committed to taking further consistent actions, in cooperation with the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe and with its Secretariat, to remedy and prevent the violations found by the European Court.

Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.											
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	
Information about criminal investigations in the cases examined by the Court in its judgments in the Khashiyev group of cases											
	No.	Case	Application number	Date of ECHR judgment	Is the statutory limitation for criminal prosecution under the Russian laws applicable to the case? (Yes or No)	If the statutory limitation is applicable, what is the expiration date? (please insert the date)	Was the criminal investigation started? (Yes or No)	If the criminal investigation was started, please provide the brief description of its current developments.	Were the amnesty provisions applied in the case to terminate criminal proceedings? (Yes or No)	What were the grounds for termination or suspension of the criminal proceedings, or refusal to institute the criminal case (proceedings)?	Information about notification of the applicants and/or their representatives of the investigation outcome, information about study the inquiry and criminal case files by the applicants and their representatives.
3											
4	1	Isayeva, Yusupova and Bazayeva; Abuyeva and Others; Abakarova	57497/00 57948/00 57950/00; 27065/05	24.02.2005	No		Yes	Discontinued	No	Criminal case no. 14/90/0092-11 was terminated under Article 24 § 1 (2) of the CCP RF.	Notified on 16.03.2012
5	2	Khashiyev and Akayeva, Goygova	57942/00	24.02.2005	No	19.02.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0030-11 was suspended on 14.06.2016 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the decision on 14.06.2016. No requests to study the case file were received. Moreover, a number of requests were filed in the case, including the request of V.Yu. Babayev of 07.02.2014 for certified copies of the criminal procedural documents (granted).
6	3	Bazorkina	69481/01	06.01.2006	No	02.02.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0062-11 was suspended on 22.12.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the decision on 22.12.2014. Moreover, a number of requests were filed in the case, including the request of Sh.Zh. Mezhiyev on behalf of F.S. Basorkina of 04.07.2011 for providing access to the criminal case file for studying and for notification about the criminal investigation progress (granted).
7	4	Estamirov and Others	60272/00	12.10.2006	No	05.02.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 12023 was suspended on 08.10.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	They studied the criminal case file on 25.01.2012. The applicants were notified of the decision on 08.10.2014.
8	5	Imakayeva	7615/02	09.11.2006	No	02.06.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0024-11 (no. 23001) was suspended on 07.08.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 07.08.2015, they studied the criminal case file on 20.07.2009 and 25.05.2015. Moreover, a number of requests were filed in the case, including the request of defense counsel S.B. Ayupova on behalf of M.Sh. Imakayeva of 09.04.2014 for investigative actions in criminal case no. 14/90/0024-11 and for provision of access to the criminal case file for studying of 28.05.2014 (granted on 05.06.2014).
9	6	Khamidov	72118/01	15.11.2007	The Court did not find the investigation in this case ineffective						
10	7	Luluyev and Others	69480/01	09.11.2006	No	03.06.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 12073 was suspended on 29.05.2015 under Article 208 § 1 of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants studied the criminal case file on 31.07.2009, 11.03.2013, 18.07.2014, they were notified of the procedural decision on 29.05.2015.
11	8	Chitayev and Chitayev	59334/00 (inquiry)	18.01.2007	No	2011	Yes	Prosecution was refused	No	Inquiry case file no. 1pr-01 of 8.10.2008, prosecution was refused under Article 24 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF for lack of corpus delicti.	Notifications of the procedural decision were sent to the applicants' addresses on 08.10.2008. The applicants' representative studied the inquiry case file including the procedural decision on 07.08.2012. No appeals were received after 2012.
12	9	Baysayeva	74237/01	05.04.2007	No	02.03.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 12048 was suspended on 06.06.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants studied the criminal case file on 30.07.2009, they were notified of the procedural decision on 06.06.2015.

DGI

DGI

24 AOUT 2018

SERVICE DE L'EXECUTION
DES ARRETS DE LA CEDH

	A	Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.							I	J	K
13	10	Akhmadova and Sadulayeva	40464/02	10.05.2007	No	13.03.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 61802 was suspended on 30.12.2012 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the decision on 30.12.2012, they studied the criminal case file on 18.12.2014.
14	11	Beksultanova	31564/07	27.09.2011	No	02.10.2019	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 44050 was suspended on 22.06.2012 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 22.06.2012, they studied the criminal case file on 14.12.2012.
15	12	Bitiyeva and X.	57953/00 37392/03	21.06.2007	No	21.05.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 48023 was suspended on 08.05.2016 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 08.05.2016. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
16	13	Musayev and Others	57941/00 58699/00 60403/00	26.07.2007	No	05.05.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 12011 was suspended on 02.04.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (2) due to the search of suspects.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 02.04.2015, no requests to study the criminal case file were received.
17	14	Goncharuk	58643/00	04.10.2007	No	19.11.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 50115 was suspended on 16.06.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants studied the criminal case file on 14.04.2014, they were notified of the procedural decision on 16.06.2015.
18	15	Makhauri	58701/00	04.10.2007	No	21.01.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 50100 was suspended on 04.12.2012 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 04.12.2012, they studied the criminal case file on 14.09.2012
19	16	Khamiliya Isayeva	6846/02	15.11.2007	No	29.04.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0037-11 (no. 19051) was suspended on 09.11.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The requests of S. Edilgeriyeva and Kh.A. Ilyasova for granting a victim status in criminal case were granted on 19.05.2011 and 13.12.2011. The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 09.11.2015. They did not study the criminal case file because of its classified nature, but on 09.09.2016 the applicant were notified, upon their requests, of the procedure for studying the classified criminal case file. Moreover, a number of applications were received in this case, including: The requests of B. Deliyeva and M.M. Khazhayeva of 16.08.2016 for the information about investigation progress and for the provision of access to the criminal case file for studying. On 09.09.2016 they were informed of the procedure for studying the classified criminal case file. The request of Busama Deliyeva of 16.08.2016 for the information about investigation progress and for the provision of access to criminal case file no. 14/90/0037-11. She was informed of the procedure by letter no. 2-3 VSO/9811 of 09.09.2016. The request of Busama Deliyeva of 11.10.2-16 for copies of the relevant decisions in this criminal case, granted by letter no. 2-3 VSO/11623 of 14.10.2016. The request of Kh.A. Isayeva of 01.03.2017 for copies of the procedural documents from the criminal case file and for records of witnesses statements. She was informed of the relevant procedure for studying by letter no. 2-3 VSO/84221 of 25.04.2017.
20	17	Kukayev	29361/02	15.11.2007	No	26.11.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 12331 was suspended on 06.07.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicant was notified of the procedural decision on 06.07.2013. Victims studied the criminal case file on 18.09.2009, 01.07.2013, and on 14.07.2014.
21	18	Zubayrayev	67797/01	10.01.2008	No	17.09.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 18040 was suspended on 07.05.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 07.05.2013, they studied the criminal case file on 12.05.2012 and 24.10.2013.

	A	Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.							I	J	K
22	19	Edilova	14662/07	28.02.2012	No	26.08.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 25482 was suspended on 13.12.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 13.12.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
23	20	Khamzatov	31682/07	28.02.2012	No	23.10.2011	Yes	Discontinued	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0023-11 was terminated on 18.04.2016 under Article 24 § 1 (2) of the CCP RF for lack of corpus delicti.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision. Moreover, a number of requests were received in the case, including: The request of S.Z. Khamzatova of 20.06.2014 for the provision of access to the criminal case file for studying (granted on 26.06.2014); The request of S.Z. Khamzatova of 19.02.2014 for extracts from criminal case file (granted on 24.02.2015); The request of S.Z. Khamzatova of 13.07.2015 for the provision of access to the criminal case file for studying and copying (granted in part on 16.07.2015).
24	21	Inderbiyeva	56765/08	27.03.2012	No	12.02.2015	Yes	Pending	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0015-14 was suspended on 30.12.2016 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicant was notified of the procedural decision on 11.10.2016, she did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received from her.
25	22	Kadirova	5432/07	27.03.2012	No	07.11.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 24206 was suspended on 04.09.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 04.09.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
26	23	Velkhiyev and Others	34085/06	05.07.2011	No	This case concerns investigation into murder, therefore if the perpetrators are identified, the issue of application of statutory limitation will be decided pursuant to Article 78 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 04560079 was suspended on 21.04.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victim B.U. Velkhiyev was notified of the suspension of the preliminary investigation on 21.04.2014. No requests for the provision of access to the criminal case file for studying were received.
27	24	Alikhadzhiyeva	68007/01	05.07.2007	No	17.05.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 22025 was suspended on 26.11.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants studied the criminal case file on 26.09.2013, the applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 26.11.2014.
28	25	Magomadov and Magomadov	68004/01	12.07.2007	No	02.10.2015	Yes	Discontinued	No	Criminal case no. 38305 was terminated due to the expiration of statutory limitation on 29.04.2016 under Article 24 § 1 (3).	Victims M. Magomadova and I.A. Magomadov were notified of the termination of the criminal proceedings on 29.04.2016. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were filed. Decision to terminate the criminal proceedings of 29.04.2016 was not appealed against.
29	26	Khashuyeva	25553/07	19.07.2011	No	01.08.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 22112 was suspended on 10.02.2017 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 10.02.2017. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
30	27	Utsayeva and Others	29133/03	29.05.2008	No	02.06.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 59176 was suspended on 11.10.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants studied the criminal case file on 22.04.2013, they were notified of the procedural decision on 11.10.2014.

		Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.							I	J	K
31	28	Akhmadova	25548/07	03.04.2012	No	19.11.2019	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 30112 was suspended on 11.03.2010 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 11.03.2010. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
32	29	Elmurzayev and Others	3019/04	12.06.2008	No	09.07.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 34017 was suspended on 21.10.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 21.10.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
33	30	Aziyevy	77626/01	20.03.2008	No	24.09.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 12200 was suspended on 19.06.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 11.10.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
34	31	Kaplanova	7653/02	29.04.2008	No	12.05.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0033-11 (no. 13093) was suspended on 28.02.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 28.02.2014. A number of requests were received in the case, including the request of Kh.D. Kaplanova of 19.02.2013 for the provision of access to the criminal case file for studying (granted on the same day).
35	32	Betayev and Betayeva	37315/03	29.05.2008	No	26.04.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 34053 was suspended on 11.10.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 11.10.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
36	33	Gekhayeva and Others	1755/04	29.05.2008	No	15.05.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 54013 was suspended on 13.08.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants studied the criminal case file on 12.08.2009, they were notified of the procedural decision on 13.08.2011.
37	34	Ibragimov and Others	34561/03	29.05.2008	No	29.12.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 34005 was suspended on 15.03.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 15.03.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
38	35	Sangariyeva	1839/04	29.05.2008	No	24.01.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 34018 was suspended on 05.08.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 05.08.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
39	36	Makharbiyeva and Others	26595/08	21.06.2011	No	24.03.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 25042 was suspended on 12.08.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 12.08.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
40	37	Isigova and Others	6844/02	26.06.2008	No	02.07.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 59114 was suspended on 13.04.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants studied the criminal case file on 05.05.2012, they were notified of the procedural decision on 13.04.2013.

Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.											I	J	K
A											I	J	K
41	38	Akhiyadova	32059/02	03.07.2008	No	13.01.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 73040 was suspended on 30.12.2012 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.		The applicants studied the criminal case file on 31.07.2009, they were notified of the procedural decision on 30.12.2012.	
42	39	Musayeva	12703/02	03.07.2008	No	05.02.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 15025 was suspended on 28.12.2012 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.		The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 28.12.2012. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
43	40	Ruslan Umarov	12712/02	03.07.2008	No	27.05.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 12050 was suspended on 15.12.2016 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.		The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 15.12.2016. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
44	41	Takhayeva and Others	23286/04	18.09.2008	No	13.11.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 59259 was suspended on 30.03.2010 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.		The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 30.03.2010. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
45	42	Akhmadova and Akhmadov	20755/04	25.09.2008	No	28.09.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 61145 was suspended on 16.04.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.		The applicants studied the criminal case file on 07.08.2013, they were notified of the procedural decision on 16.04.2014.	
46	43	Mezhidov	67326/01	25.09.2008	No	05.10.2014	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 28026 was suspended on 11.07.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.		The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 11.07.2009. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
47	44	Lyanova and Aliyeva	12713/02 28440/03	02.10.2008	No	28.06.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 12113 was suspended on 01.06.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.		The applicants studied the criminal case file on 30.08.2009, they were notified of the procedural decision on 01.06.2014.	
48	45	Rasayev and Chankayeva	38003/03	02.10.2008	No	26.12.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0067-11 (no. 56014) was suspended on 11.10.2012 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.		The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 11.10.2012, they did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received from them. Moreover, a number of requests were received in the case, including: The request of M.S. Abubakarov of 09.06.2011 for information about the criminal investigation progress (granted on 14.06.2011); The request of M.S. Abubakarov of 22.06.2011 for information about the criminal investigation progress (granted on 24.06.2011).	
49	46	Zulpa Akhmatova and Others	13569/02 13573/02	09.10.2008	No	14.01.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 23031 was suspended on 16.05.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.		The applicant studied the criminal case file on 07.06.2012, she was notified of the procedural decision on 16.05.2015.	
50	47	Yusupova and Zaurbekov	22057/02	09.10.2008	No	17.10.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 12260 was suspended on 02.07.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.		The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 02.07.2009, they studied the criminal case file on 12.09.2011 and 12.08.2015.	
51	48	Albekov and Others	68216/01	09.10.2008	No	22.10.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 54007 was suspended on 04.11.2010 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.		The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 04.11.2010. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	

		Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.							I	J	K
52	49	Magomed Musayev and Others	8979/02	23.10.2008	No	10.12.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 19012 was suspended on 03.07.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 03.07.2009. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
53	50	Khadzhaliyev and Others	3013/04	06.11.2008	No	15.12.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 63099 was suspended on 10.02.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 10.02.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
54	51	Tsurova and Others	29958/04	06.11.2008	No	26.04.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 40086 was suspended on 08.08.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants studied the criminal case file on 26.05.2015, they were notified of the procedural decision on 08.08.2015.
55	52	Arapkhanov	2215/05	03.10.2013	No		Yes	Discontinued	No	Criminal case no. 14/90/0001-14 was terminated on 07.08.2015 under Article 24 § 1 (2) of the CCP RF for lack of corpus delicti.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 07.08.2015.
56	53	Magamadova and Iskhanova	33185/04	06.11.2008	No	15.11.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 59263 was suspended on 01.07.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 01.07.2009. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
57	54	Alpatu Israilova	15438/05	18.12.2012	No	19.10.2019	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 44060 was suspended on 19.05.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 19.05.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
58	55	Isayev and Others	43368/04	21.06.2011	No		Yes	Pending	No		
59	56	Aslakhanova and Others	(1) 2944/06	18.12.2012	No	10.03.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 48139 was suspended on 14.03.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 14.03.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
60			(2) 332/08			22.02.2018				Investigation of criminal case no. 42044 was suspended on 18.10.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 28.02.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
61			(3) 42509/10 (4) 50184/07			25.10.2017				Investigation of criminal case no. 48188 was suspended on 28.02.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 07.09.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
62						23.10.2017					
63			(5) 8300/07			01.07.2019				Investigation of criminal case no. 30087 was suspended on 18.10.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 18.10.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.

	A	Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.							I	J	K
64	57	Shaipova and Others	10796/04	06.11.2008	No	09.04.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 34055 was suspended on 27.07.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 27.07.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
65	58	Dangayeva and Taramova	1896/04	08.01.2009	No	23.10.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 54093 was suspended on 26.09.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 26.09.2009. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
66	59	Abdulkadyrova and Others	27180/03	08.01.2009	No	08.09.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Criminal case no. 14/90/0014-14 related to the abduction of A.A. Dzhabayev. Criminal investigation in the case was suspended on 16.07.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 16.07.2015, they did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received from them.
67	60	Zakriyeva and Others	20583/04	08.01.2009	No	25.06.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 50115 was suspended on 16.06.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants studied the criminal case file on 25.04.2015, the applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 16.06.2015.
68	61	Dolsayev and Others	10700/04	22.01.2009	No	21.10.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 61144 was suspended on 28.04.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 28.04.2015. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
69	62	Khadisov and Tsechoyev	21519/02	05.02.2009	No	23.09.2011	Yes	Suspended	No	Two criminal cases were investigated. One investigation was carried out by the Investigation Department for the Republic of Ingushetia and the other one was carried out by the Military Investigation Department for the Southern Military District. Criminal investigations were suspended on 10.06.2011 and 09.10.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The victims were notified of the procedural decision on 10.06.2011. The representative of victim S.D. Khadisov, lawyer M.M. Tsitskiyeva, studied four files of the criminal case file on 13.04.2012.
70	63	Khaydayeva and Others	1848/04	05.02.2009	No	09.06.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0003-11 was suspended on 27.04.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision. The request of S.B. Ayupova on behalf of Yakha Khaydayeva of 06.04.2015 for notification of the criminal investigation and for the provision of access to criminal case no. 14/90/0003-11 for studying (granted in part on 22.04.2015, no provision of access to the information related to the State secrets was provided).

	A	Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.							I	J	K
71	64	Idalova and Idalov	41515/04	05.02.2009	No	22.11.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 44034 was suspended on 17.04.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 17.04.2009, they studied the criminal case file on 28.03.2013.
72	65	Akhmadov and Others	21586/02	14.11.2008	No	27.10.2016	Yes	Discontinued	No	Criminal case no. 14/90/0021-11 was terminated on 16.08.2012 under Article 24 § 1 (2) of the CCP RF for lack of corpus delicti.	The applicants were notified of the decision on 16.08.2012. They did not study the criminal case files as no relevant requests were received from them. The request of lawyer Satsita Batyrsultanovna Ayupova on behalf of Ayna Gekhayeva of 18.12.2015 for the notification of the investigative actions taken in criminal case no. 14/90/0021-11 and for copies of the procedural decisions (duly informed on 21.01.2016). The applicant was informed of her right to receive the required copies of the procedural documents except for the personal information of the participants of the counter-terrorist operation. The requested documents were provided.
73	66	Gandaloyeva	14800/04	04.12.2008	No	17.09.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 44073 was suspended on 21.12.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 21.12.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
74	67	Musikhanova and Others	27243/03	04.12.2008	No	09.11.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 61149 was suspended on 08.10.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 08.10.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
75	68	Askharova	13566/02	04.12.2008	No	18.05.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 23185 was suspended on 17.07.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 17.07.2009. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
76	69	Ilyasova and Others	1895/04	04.12.2008	No	15.11.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 44016 was suspended on 02.02.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants studied the criminal case file on 28.03.2013, the applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 02.02.2015.
77	70	Bersunkayeva	27233/03	04.12.2008	No	13.06.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 25082 was suspended on 07.09.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 07.09.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
78	71	Tagirova and Others	20580/04	04.12.2008	No	07.02.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 34020 was suspended on 01.06.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 01.06.2009. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
79	72	Avkhadova	47215/07	14.03.2013	No	24.04.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 23530 was suspended on 01.03.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 01.03.2011, they studied the criminal case file on 19.04.2015.
80	73	Ayubov	7654/02	12.02.2009	No	18.03.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 12275 was suspended on 22.08.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 22.08.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
81	74	Bantayeva and Others	20727/04	12.02.2009	No	02.01.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 32000 was suspended on 23.07.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 23.07.2009. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
82	75	Trapeznikova	21539/02	11.12.2008	By its resolution of 24 June 2015 (Cm/Del/Dec(2015)1236/16/H46-27) the CMCE confirmed that the investigation shortcomings had been fully remedied						

Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.												I	J	K
83	76	Nasukhanova and Others	5285/04	18.12.2008	No	03.02.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 59054 was suspended on 25.09.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victims M.D. Nasukhanov and Ye.S. Nasukhanova, representative S.B. Ayupova were notified of the procedural decision on 25.09.2011. Victim M.D. Nasukhanov studied the criminal case file in full on 06.11.2012. Victim Ye.S. Nasukhanova studied the criminal case file in full on 12.03.2013.			
84	77	Shakhgiriyeve and Others	27251/03	08.01.2009	No	23.10.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 56166 was suspended on 08.07.2012 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 08.07.2012, they studied the criminal case file on 17.11.2014.			
85	78	Gakayeva and Others	(1) 51534/08	10.10.2013	No	(1) 7.06.2013	Yes	(1)-(10) Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 22099 was suspended on 15.09.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 15.09.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.			
86			(2) 25518/10			(2) 26.01.2016				Investigation of criminal case no. 19015 was suspended on 20.05.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victims M.R. Madagova and M.L.-A. Alimkhanova were notified of the suspension of the preliminary investigation on 20.05.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.			
87			(3) 28779/10			(3) 25.12.2016				Investigation of criminal case no. 25239 was suspended on 10.04.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victim K.Kh. Magomadova was notified of suspension of the preliminary investigation on 10.04.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.			
88			(4) 33175/10			(4) 03.05.2020				Investigation of criminal case no. 46049 was suspended on 08.10.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victim R.S. Arzhiyeva was notified of suspension of the preliminary investigation on 08.10.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.			
89			(5) 4401/10			(5) 19.09.2017				Investigation of criminal case no. 61133 was suspended on 19.05.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victims L.A. Yesiyeva and Z.Z. Dzukayeva were notified of suspension of the preliminary investigation on 19.05.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.			
90			(6) 47393/10			(6) 04.11.2016				Investigation of criminal case no. 25158 was suspended on 04.06.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victims R.-Kh. Elikhanova and A.V. Asukhanova were notified of suspension of the preliminary investigation on 04.06.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.			
91			(7) 54753/10			(7) 09.07.2017				Investigation of criminal case no. 20043 was suspended on 18.02.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victims Kh.K. Temiraliyeva, A.S. Aliyeva and U.A. Ozderbayeva were notified of suspension of the preliminary investigation on 18.02.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.			
92			(8) 58131/10			(8) This case concerns the investigation into murder, therefore if the perpetrators are identified, the application of statutory limitation will be decided under Article 78 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation				Investigation of criminal case no. 45108 was suspended on 05.09.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victims Kh.I. Eskarova and A.A. Payzulayeva were notified of the suspension of the preliminary investigation on 18.02.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.			

		Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.							I	J	K
93			(9) 62207/10			(9) 22.06.2010				Investigation of criminal case no. 13029 was suspended on 05.11.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victim Kh. Vakhidova was notified of suspension of the preliminary investigation on 05.11.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
			(10) 73784/10			(10) 09.12.2011				Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0093-11 was suspended on 04.08.2016 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victims M.M. Musayev and K.M. Musayeva were notified of suspension of the preliminary investigation on 04.08.2016. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
94											
95	84	Askhabova	54765/09	18.04.2013	No	05.06.2024	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 78028 was suspended on 16.07.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants studied the criminal case file on 03.02.2012, the applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 16.07.2013.
96	85	Sagayev and Others	4573/04	26.02.2009	No	30.08.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 61121 was suspended on 05.10.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 05.10.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
97	86	Bagapova and Zubirayev	21080/05	26.02.2009	No	21.12.2019	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 44004 was suspended on 30.09.2010 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 30.09.2010. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
98	87	Khalitova	39166/04	05.03.2009	No	12.09.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 24376 was suspended on 25.07.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	On 25.07.2009 the applicants and the representatives were notified of the procedural decision. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
99	88	Dzhambekova and Others	27238/03, 35078/04	12.03.2009	No	19.03.2017	Yes	In progress	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 61058 was suspended on 25.11.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 25.11.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
100	89	Abdurzakova and Abdurzakov	35080/04	15.01.2009	No	25.10.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 61146 was suspended on 04.06.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 04.06.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
101	90	Sambiyev and Pokayeva	38693/04	22.01.2009	No	10.04.2019	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 34046 was suspended on 16.05.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 16.05.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
102	91	Zaurbekova and Zaurbekova	27183/03	22.01.2009	No	11.02.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 20123 was suspended on 07.07.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 07.07.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
103	92	Dokuyev and Others	6704/03	02.04.2009	No	14.02.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 23177 was suspended on 14.09.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 14.09.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.

Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.												I	J	K
104	93	Dzhabayeva	13310/04	02.04.2009	No	10.03.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 12365 was suspended on 05.05.2017 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicant studied the criminal case file on 16.08.2013. The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 05.05.2017, no further requests to study the criminal case file were received.			
105	94	Saidaliyeva and Others	41498/04	02.04.2009	No	16.04.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 59186 was suspended on 16.04.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 16.04.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.			
106	95	Malsagova and Others	27244/03	09.04.2009	No	07.11.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 61147 was suspended on 08.04.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 08.04.2009. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.			
107	96	Meshayeva and Others	27248/03	12.02.2009	No	17.12.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 34002 was suspended on 29.04.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 29.04.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.			
108	97	Dokayev and Others	16629/05	09.04.2009	No	20.12.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 52158 was suspended on 16.01.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 16.01.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.			
109	98	Gaziyeve and Others	15439/05	09.04.2009	No	08.02.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0035-11 (no. 19074) was suspended on 26.10.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 26.10.2011. They did not study the criminal case file because of the failure to ensure the proper access to the materials related to the State secrets. Z.Kh.-M. Yakhyayeva and A.K. Magomadov filed requests for the information about the criminal investigation progress and for copies of the procedural documents in 2014 (requests granted on 10.02.2014).			
110	99	Abdurashidova	32968/05	08.04.2010	By its resolution of 24 June 2015 (Cm/Del/Dec(2015)1236/16/H46-27) the CMCE confirmed that the investigation shortcomings had been remedied to the extent possible									
111	100	Shafiyeva	49379/09	03.05.2012	No	08.09.2024	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 904323 was suspended on 13.03.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicant was notified of the procedural decision on 13.03.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.			
112	101	Ilyasova	26966/06	10.06.2010	No	12.11.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 44016 was suspended on 02.02.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 02.02.2015. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.			
113	102	Dzhabrailova	1586/05	09.04.2009	No	10.08.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 34051 was suspended on 23.07.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	They studied the criminal case file on 18.06.2009, the applicant was notified of the procedural decision on 23.07.2011.			
114	103	Bitiyeva and Others	36156/04	23.04.2009	No	27.03.2019	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 32026 was suspended on 02.03.2017 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	They studied the criminal case file on 08.02.2013. The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 02.03.2017, no further requests for studying the criminal case file were received.			

Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.												
A									I	J	K	
115	104	Khadayeva and Others	5351/04	12.03.2009	No	19.04.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 61075 was suspended on 13.08.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 13.08.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
116	105	Astamirova and Others	27256/03	26.02.2009	No	05.08.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 61075 was suspended on 13.08.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 21.02.2013, they studied the criminal case file on 17.09.2012 and 11.03.2013.	
117	106	Tovbulatova and Others	26960/06	31.10.2013	No	09.12.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 27056 was suspended on 20.11.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants studied the criminal case file on 04.09.2014, the applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 20.11.2014.	
118	107	Bopayeva	40799/06	07.11.2013	No	17.04.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 12136 was suspended on 14.05.2010 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicant was notified of the procedural decision on 14.05.2010, she studied the criminal case file on 02.08.2012 and 16.04.2015.	
119			(1) 53036/08	09.01.2014	No	(1)-(4) 14.11.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 63091 was suspended on 26.03.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 26.03.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
120			(2) 61785/08				Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 35006 was referred to the Military Investigation Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation for the Southern Military District on 03.04.2014 in accordance with the jurisdiction.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 03.04.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
121			(3) 8594/09				Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 50040 was suspended on 27.03.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victims Kh.S.-A. Yagayeva and representative S.B. Ayubova were notified of the procedural decision on 27.03.2014. Victim Kh.S.-A. Yagayeva studied the criminal case file in full on 19.12.2013.	
122			(4) 24708/09				Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 59254 was suspended on 29.01.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victims B.Kh. Kosumova, Z.A. Murtazaliyeva, M.S. Debizova, Z.U. Eskirkhanova and R.N. Taysumova were notified of the procedural decision on 29.01.2015. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
123			(5) 30327/09				Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 59194 was suspended on 27.05.2016 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victims R. Midayeva, T. Elmurzaeva and M.A. Adiyeva were notified of the procedural decision on 27.05.2016. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
124			(6) 36965/09				Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 22144 was suspended on 02.04.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victim P.Sh. Magomadova was notified of the procedural decision on 02.04.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received from them.	
125			(7) 61258/09				Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 31084 was suspended on 13.04.2012 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victims A.A. Adiyeva and L.B. Kozlov were notified of the procedural decision on 13.04.2012. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	

A		Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.							I	J	K
126	108	Pitsayeva and Others	(8) 63608/09			(8) 14.02.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 61026 was suspended on 16.01.2012 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victims Ya.L. Saraliyeva, Kh. Umatgiriyeva and P.A. Khungayeva were notified of the procedural decision on 16.01.2012. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received. Following the requests of 10.05.2013 and 01.07.2013, the applicant Ya.L. Saraliyeva was additionally informed in view of the procedural decision.
127			(9) 67322/09			(9) 04.11.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 59278 was suspended on 21.02.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victim K.M. Dombayev was notified of the procedural decision on 21.02.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
128			(10) 4334/10			(10) 11.08.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 34085 was suspended on 18.08.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victim T.S. Inalova was notified of the procedural decision on 18.08.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
129			(11) 4345/10			(11) 09.03.2021	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 50037 was suspended on 05.02.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victim L.U. Amirova was notified of the procedural decision on 05.02.2015. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
130			(12) 11873/10			(12) 28.10.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 57119 was suspended on 07.11.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victims Kh.M. Minkeyev, Kh.B. Viskhadzhiyeva, Kh. Viskhadzhiyeva, V.A. Viskhadzhiyeva, and A.A. Biysultanova were notified of the procedural decision on 07.11.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
131			(13) 25515/10			(13) 04.11.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 25193 was suspended on 06.02.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victims S.I. Ismailov and Ye.A. Ismailova were notified of the procedural decision on 06.02.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
132			(14) 30592/10			(14) 24.04.2016	Yes		No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0016-11 was suspended on 14.06.2016 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The victims were notified of the procedural decision on 14.06.2016. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
133			(15) 32797/10			(15) 09.07.2022	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 33057 was suspended on 04.10.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victims A. Murdalov, K.A. Murdalova, Z.Kh. Islamova, Kh.S. Nazhayeva were notified of the procedural decision on 04.10.2013. Victim Kh.S. Nazhayeva studied the criminal case file in full on 11.06.2013 in accordance with the requests received.
134			(16) 33944/10			(16) 04.02.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 24012 was suspended on 10.06.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (2) of the CCP RF due to the failure to establish the suspect's whereabouts.	Victims R.M. Suleymanova, N.Kh. Bisultanova, S.G. Dedishev, A.L. Makhmayev, Ya.A. Tutayeva, V.N. Kolechenko, Yu.A. Piskunova, I.I. Yurikova, D.A. Petruk were notified of the procedural decision on 10.06.2015. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
135			(17) 36141/10			(17) 04.11.2016	Yes	Suspended		Investigation of criminal case no. 25167 was suspended on 14.02.2012 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victim A.Ya. Merluyev was notified of the procedural decision on 14.02.2012. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
136			(18) 52446/10			(18) 26.06.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 24163 was suspended on 14.07.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victim Z.T. Abdulvakhidova was notified of the procedural decision on 14.07.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.

A		Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.							I	J	K
137			(19) 62244/10			25.02.2019	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 30021 was suspended on 20.08.2016 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victim T. Elbuzdukayeva was notified of the procedural decision on 20.08.2016. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
138			(20) 66420/10			(20) 16.04.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 19077 was suspended on 07.02.2012 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victim S. Basnukayeva, M.-R.M. Basnukayev and E.M. Basnukayeva were notified of the procedural decision on 07.02.2012. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
139	109	Elsiyev and Others	21816/03	12.03.2009	No	27.09.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0050-11 was suspended on 19.11.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were informed of the procedural decision on 19.11.2015, they did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received from them.
140	110	Alaudinova	32297/05	23.04.2009	No	08.11.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 25157 was suspended on 20.11.2008 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victim L. Alaudinova was notified of the procedural decision on 20.11.2008. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
141	111	Khachukayev	28148/03	23.04.2009	No	05.02.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 34023 was suspended on 29.01.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 20.11.2008. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
142	112	Israilova and Others	4571/04	23.04.2009	No	30.12.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0019-11 was suspended on 29.09.2016 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The request of S.B. Ayupova on behalf of T.T. Israilova of 26.10.2015 for copies of the decision, of the examination reports, of the clothing recognition report in criminal case no. 14/90/0019-11 was granted in part by letter no. 2-3 VSO/12703. The applicant was notified of the procedural decision on 29.09.2016. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
143	113	Asadulayev and Others	15569/06	17.09.2009	No	14.01.2019	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 30012 was suspended on 04.09.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 04.09.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
144	114	Babusheva and Others	33944/05	24.09.2009	No	04.02.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 24012 was suspended on 25.11.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 04.09.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
145	115	Gakiyev and Gakiyeva	3179/05	23.04.2009	No	30.11.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Criminal proceedings in case no. 14/90/0034-11 (no. 32027) were suspended on 24.12.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 24.12.2014. They did not study the criminal case file. However, upon the requests of M.-M.I. Elmarzayev of 09.07.2012, 19.09.2012 and 11.11.2013, the requested information about the criminal case, copies of the procedural documents and part of the criminal case file were provided. The request of Mak-Magomed Ilmanovich Elmarzayev of 26.07.2016 on account of the actions of officials of military unit no. 3 of the Military Investigation Department for the Southern Military District for the provision of access to the criminal case file for studying. The request is dismissed, the did not appear for studying. Letter no. 2-3 VSO/7862 of 28.07.2016. The request of Mak-Magomed Ilmanovich Elmarzayev of 28.02.2017 for the provision of access to the criminal case file for studying and for reply to this request. Letter no. 2-3 VSO/2077 of 02.03.2016.
146	116	Turluyeva and Khamidova	12417/05	14.05.2009	No	25.10.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 39024 was suspended on 20.10.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 25.11.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.

Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.									I	J	K
147	117	Abdulkhanov	22782/06	03.10.2013	No	17.02.2015	No	Following the additional inquiry, it was decided not to institute criminal proceedings	No	Refusal to institute the criminal proceedings of 06.09.2016 under Article 24 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF for lack of <i>corpus delicti</i> in the actions of servicemen.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 06.09.2016, they studied the inquiry case file.
148	118	Taysumov and Others	21810/03	14.05.2009	No	07.09.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0071-11 was suspended on 25.12.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the decision on 25.12.2015. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
149	119	Khumaidov and Khumaidov	13862/05	28.05.2009	No	16.12.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 52007 was suspended on 17.07.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 20.10.2009. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
150	120	Nenkayev and Others	13737/03	28.05.2009	No	08.06.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 61116 was suspended on 09.11.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 09.11.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
151	121	Khasuyeva	28159/03	11.06.2009	No	30.08.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 25170 was suspended on 02.10.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 02.10.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
152	122	Khalitova and Others	33264/04	11.06.2009	No	18.07.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 24376 was suspended on 25.07.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	On 25.07.2009 the applicants and the representatives were notified of the procedural decision. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
153	123	Karimov and Others	29851/05	16.06.2009	No	11.01.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 42009 was suspended on 31.10.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 31.10.2011, they studied the criminal case file on 25.09.2014.
154	124	Magomadova	2393/05	18.06.2009	No	24.04.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 61074 was suspended on 20.09.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 20.09.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
155	125	Pukhigova	15440/05	02.07.2009	No	12.02.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 25306 was suspended on 26.11.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicant was notified of the procedural decision on 26.11.2011, he studied the criminal case file on 27.01.2012.
156	126	Yusupova and Others	5428/05	09.07.2009	No	18.07.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no.14/90/0051-11 was suspended on 12.11.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (2) due to the search of suspects.	P.D. Gazmagamayeva and Z. Mukaliyeva studied a part of the criminal case file on 16.01.2013, 26.01.2013 and 09.10.2013. The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 12.11.2015, no further requests for studying the criminal case file were received.
157	127	Mutsayeva	24297/05	23.07.2009	No	27.08.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 61008 was suspended on 04.06.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 04.06.2013, they studied the criminal case file on 10.05.2015.

Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.											K	
158	128	Magomadova and Others	33933/05	17.09.2009	No	09.02.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 50010 was suspended on 30.06.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 30.06.2009. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
159	129	Gerasiyev and Others	28566/07	07.06.2011	No	05.02.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 27054 was suspended on 02.08.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 30.06.2009. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
160	130	Movsayevy	20303/07	14.06.2011	No	24.02.2021	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 52029 was suspended on 21.09.2010 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 21.09.2010. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
161	131	Giriyeva and Others	17879/08	21.06.2011	No	09.01.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 59046 was suspended on 01.10.2010 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 01.10.2010. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
162	132	Tasatayevy	37541/05	08.04.2010	No	31.05.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 25088 was suspended on 26.04.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 26.04.2009. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
163	133	Sadulayeva	38570/05	08.04.2010	No	09.12.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 34010 was suspended on 01.07.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 01.07.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
164	134	Rezvanov and Rezvanova	12457/05	24.09.2009	No	10.12.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 34003 was suspended on 30.11.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 30.11.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
165	135	Amanat Ilyasova and Others	27001/06	01.10.2009	No	11.08.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 59232 was suspended on 01.03.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 01.01.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
166	136	Khantiyeva and Others	43398/06	29.10.2009		04.12.2015	Yes	Discontinued	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 12368 was terminated under Article 24 § 1 (3) of the CCP RF due to the expiration of the of the statutory limits.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 29.04.2016. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received. The victims and their representatives did not appeal against the decision of 29.04.2016 to terminate the criminal case.	
167	137	Vakhayeva and Others	1758/04	29.10.2009	No	01.08.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 61153 was suspended on 11.02.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants studied the criminal case file on 06.09.2012, they were notified of the procedural decision on 11.02.2014.	
168	138	Satabayeva	21486/06	29.10.2009	No	01.08.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 44009 was suspended on 05.07.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants studied the criminal case file on 09.09.2009, the applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 05.07.2013.	

	A	Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.							I	J	K
169	139	Ismailov and Others	33947/05	26.11.2009	No	14.01.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 44011 was suspended on 10.05.2012 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 10.05.2012. No requests for studying the criminal case file were received from the victims after the procedural decision.
170	140	Ustarkhanova	35744/05	26.11.2009	No	07.01.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 24071 was suspended on 25.08.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 25.08.2014, they studied the criminal case file on 18.11.2013, 18.06.2014, 20.08.2014, 17.11.2014
171	141	Dubayev and Bersnukayeva	30613/05 and 30615/05	11.02.2010	No	15.03.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 54043 was suspended on 10.04.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 10.05.2012. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
172	142	Guluyeva and Others	1675/07	11.02.2010	No	13.07.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 48193 was suspended on 10.04.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 10.04.2009. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
173	143	Aliyeva	1901/05	18.02.2010	No	29.10.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 63045 was suspended on 26.05.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 26.05.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
174	144	Iriskhanova and Iriskhanov	35869/05	18.02.2010	No	19.06.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 36076 was suspended on 25.08.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 25.08.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
175	145	Seriyevy	20201/05	08.04.2010	No	01.06.2019	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 24074 was suspended on 03.09.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Additional information about the investigation progress was provided for the victim's representative, Zh.A. Kariyeva, upon her request, on 05.07.2013. The applicant was notified of the procedural decision on 03.09.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
176	146	Sambiyeva	20205/07	08.11.2011	No	13.08.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 24074 was suspended on 03.09.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 03.09.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
177	147	Abayeva and Others	37542/05	08.04.2010	No	13.09.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 34013 was suspended on 28.09.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 28.09.2009. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
178	148	Estamirova	27365/07	17.04.2012		05.01.2016	Yes	Discontinued in view of the expiration of statutory limitation for criminal prosecution	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 45003 was terminated on 29.04.2016 under Article 24 § 1 (3) of the CCP RF due to the expiration of the of the statutory limits.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 29.04.2016. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received. Victim S.L. Estamirova and representative S.B. Ayupova did not appeal against the decision to terminate the criminal case of 29.04.2016.
179	150	Umayevy	47354/07	12.06.2012	No	14.07.2021	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0018-11 (no. 54063) was suspended on 29.06.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicant's representative S.B. Ayupova was informed of the investigation progress, upon her request, and she studied a part of the criminal case file on 20.03.2015. The applicants were informed of the decision on 29.06.2015. No further requests for the provision of access to the criminal case file for studying were received after that notification.

Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.												
A									I	J	K	
180	151	Khambulatova	33488/04	03.06.2011	No	18.03.2019	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 40046 was suspended on 04.04.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 04.04.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
181	152	Vitayeva and Others	27459/07	07.06.2011	No	27.03.2019	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0036-11 was suspended on 12.12.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the decision on 12.12.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
182	153	Kosumova and Others	27441/07	07.06.2011	No	22.11.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 59275 was suspended on 11.11.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 11.11.2011, they studied the criminal case file on 10.10.2015.	
183	154	Mudayevy	33105/05	08.04.2010	No	29.01.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no.14/90/0022-11 (no. 42172) was suspended on 13.11.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 13.11.2015. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
184	155	Umalatov and Others	8345/05	08.04.2010	No	15.10.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 65048 was suspended on 11.06.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 11.06.2009. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
185	156	Mutayeva	43418/06	22.04.2010	No	19.01.2019	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 49516 was suspended on 20.12.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 20.12.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
186	157	Zabiyeva and Others	35052/04	17.09.2009	No	This case concerns investigation into murder, therefore if the perpetrators are identified, the issue of application of statutory limitation will be decided pursuant to Article 78 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case was suspended on 24.11.2007 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victim T.S. Zabiyeva was notified of suspension of the preliminary investigation on 24.11.2007. No requests for the provision of access to the criminal case file for studying were received.	
187	158	Tupchiyeva	37461/05	22.04.2010	No	03.01.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 22015 was suspended on 16.11.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicant was notified of the procedural decision on 16.11.2009. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received from them.	
188	159	Shakhabova	39685/06	12.05.2010	No	23.11.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 34022 was suspended on 19.07.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicant was notified of the procedural decision on 19.07.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received from them.	

	A	Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.								I	J	K
189	160	Suleymanova	9191/06	12.05.2010	No	16.05.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0069-11 was suspended on 02.10.2012 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicant was notified of the decision on 02.10.2012. She did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were filed by her.	
190	161	Dzhabrailovy	3678/06	20.05.2010	No	16.02.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 30034 was suspended on 27.12.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 27.12.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
191	162	Khutsayev and Others	16622/05	27.05.2010	No	16.12.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 61030 was suspended on 06.10.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 06.10.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
192	163	Alapayevy	39676/06	03.06.2010	No	27.12.2019	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 59000 was suspended on 30.08.2010 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 30.08.2010. They studied the criminal case file on 12.02.2015.	
193	164	Vakayeva and Others	2220/05	10.06.2010	No	15.03.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0032-11 (no. 23116) was suspended on 21.06.2016 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 21.06.2016. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
194	165	Umarova	25654/08	31.07.2012	No	30.07.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 63008 was suspended on 31.01.2012 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 31.01.2012. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
195	166	Saidova	51432/09	01.08.2013	No	08.10.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14021 was suspended on 12.04.2012 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicant was notified of the procedural decision on 12.04.2012. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
196	167	Batayev and Others	11354/05 and 32952/06	17.06.2010	No	18.09.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 12199 was suspended on 28.07.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 28.07.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
197	168	Gelayevy	20216/07	15.07.2010	No	27.02.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 44065 was suspended on 01.03.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 01.03.2011. They studied the criminal case file on 21.11.2009, 01.02.2012, 12.04.2013.	
198	169	Merzhuyeva and Others	27315/06 27449/06	07.10.2010	No	23.11.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 44090 was suspended on 15.12.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives studied the criminal case file on 13.04.2012. The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 15.12.2013.	

	A	Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.							I	J	K
199	170	Sadykov	41840/02	07.10.2010	No	03.03.2015	Yes	Suspended	Yes (in respect of some suspects, the others are on a wanted persons list)	Investigation of criminal case no. 12088 was suspended on 17.11.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (2) due to the search of suspects.	They studied the criminal case file on 12.09.2011. The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 17.11.2014.
200	171	Sasita Israilova and Others	35079/04	28.10.2010	No	13.02.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 20039 was suspended on 21.05.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 21.05.2013. They studied the criminal case file on 09.07.2014.
201	172	Amuyeva and Others	17321/06	25.11.2010	No	07.02.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 24037 was suspended on 05.06.2016 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 05.06.2016. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
202	173	Dzhabirailova and Dzhabrailova	15563/06	02.12.2010	No	19.02.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 42027 was suspended on 11.02.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	On 11.02.2009 the applicants were notified of the procedural decision. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
203	174	Tumayeva and Others	9960/05	16.12.2010	No	19.09.2019	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 38043 was suspended on 18.05.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 18.05.2011. They studied the criminal case file on 30.08.2013.
204	175	Taymuskanov	11528/07	16.12.2010	No	30.12.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 42061 was suspended on 20.08.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 20.08.2009. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
205	176	Malika Dzhamayeva and Others	26980/06	21.12.2010	No	16.09.2019	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 38041 was suspended on 25.10.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 25.10.2011. They studied the criminal case file on 28.11.2011.
206	177	Udayeva and Yusupova	36542/05	21.12.2010	No	17.10.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 24045 was suspended on 08.05.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 08.05.2009. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
207	178	Gisayev	14811/04	20.01.2011	No	23.10.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 50127 was suspended on 10.09.2010 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 10.09.2010. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
208	179	Dudarovy	5382/07	10.02.2011	No	18.11.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 56189 was suspended on 18.09.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 18.09.2009. They studied the criminal case file on 25.01.2012.
209	180	Nasukhanov	1572/07	10.02.2011	No	19.02.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 59054 was suspended on 25.09.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 25.09.2011. They studied the criminal case file on 11.07.2012 and 12.03.2013.

	A	Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.				I	J	K			
210	181	Khakiyeva, Temergeriyeva and Others	45081/06	17.02.2011	No	27.12.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 52098 was suspended on 17.07.2011 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 17.07.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
211			7820/07							On 18.02.2011 the investigation of criminal case no. 40007 related to the abduction of M.Yu. Temergeriyev was referred in accordance with the investigative jurisdiction to the Military Investigation Department of the Investigative Committee of Russia for the South-Eastern Command.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 18.02.2011. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
212	185	Dovletukayev and Others	(1) 7821/07	24.10.2013	No	09.01.2019	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 35002 was suspended on 23.05.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 23.05.2014, they studied the criminal case file on 25.07.2013.
213			(2) 10937/10	24.10.2013		30.12.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 75146 was suspended on 08.02.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 08.02.2015. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received from them.
214			(3) 14046/10	24.10.2013		20.01.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 40090 was severed from criminal case no. 21037 and suspended on 12.11.2012 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 12.11.2012. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received from them.
215			(4) 32782/10	24.10.2013		04.03.2011	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 25033 was suspended on 09.04.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 09.04.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received from them.
216	186	Baisultanova	7461/08	04.07.2013	No	07.05.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 19076 was suspended on 26.05.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 25.05.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received from them.
217	187	Kaykharova and Others	(1) 11554/07	01.08.2013	No	20.12.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 52159 was suspended on 10.07.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 10.07.2014. They studied the criminal case file on 06.02.2016.
218			(2) 56745/08			03.06.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 12073 was suspended on 29.05.2015 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 29.05.2015. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
219			(3) 61274/09			23.02.2015	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 18059 was suspended on 11.03.2012 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 11.03.2012. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.
220			(4) 7862/08				Yes	Pending	No		Central Military District

	A	Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.							I	J	K	
221	188	Murtazovy	11564/07	29.03.2011	No	19.11.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 67061 was suspended on 30.08.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicant was notified of the procedural decision on 30.08.2009. They studied the criminal case file on 27.06.2012 and 11.03.2013.	
222	189	Matayeva and Dadayeva	49076/06	19.04.2011	No	08.06.2021	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 53092 was suspended on 30.08.2009 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 30.08.2009. They studied the criminal case file on 24.09.2013.	
223	190	Shokkarov and Others	41009/04	03.05.2011	No	06.01.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 46037 was suspended on 21.06.2016 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 13.04.2009. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
224	191	Ilayeva	27504/07	10.07.2012	No	04.07.2019	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 49002 was suspended on 17.12.2013 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 17.12.2013. They studied the criminal case file on 30.10.2014.	
225	192	Akhmadova and Others	3026/03	04.12.2008	No	06.03.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0009-13 was suspended on 07.04.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the decision on 07.04.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
226	193	Basayeva and Others	15441/05 and 20731/04	28.05.2009	No	06.07.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 61117 was suspended on 01.04.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants studied the criminal case file on 10.06.2011. The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 01.04.2014.	
227	194	Umarovy	2546/08	12.06.2012	No	28.04.2022	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 702789 was suspended on 30.11.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 25.12.2013. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	
228	195	Gubiyev	29309/03	19.07.2011	The Court did not find the investigation in this case ineffective							
229	196	Nakayev	29846/05	21.06.2011	No	04.12.2009	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0007-13 was suspended on 19.02.2017 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	No requests were received.	
230	197	Medova	25385/04	15.01.2009	No	17.06.2019	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0001-11 was suspended on 09.10.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicant was notified of the decision on 09.10.2014. She did not study the criminal case file. The applicant was provided, upon her request, the access to the criminal case file for studying, but she failed to appear for studying them. However she was duly notified, upon her requests, of the investigation progress and outcome on 18.01.2016 and 14.06.2016.	
231	198	Miltayev	8455/06	15.01.2013	The court found no violations caused by ineffective investigation in this case.							
232	199	Taziyeva	50757/06	18.07.2013	By its resolution of 24 September 2015 (Cm/Del/Dec(2015)1236/167) the CMCE confirmed that no individual measures need to be taken in this case.							
233	200	Akhmatkhanovy	20147/07	22.07.2010	No	02.04.2018	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 22054 was suspended on 12.12.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicant was notified of the procedural decision on 30.11.2014. They did not study the criminal case file as no relevant requests were received.	

A		Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.							I	J	K
234	201	Vakhayeva	27368/07	10.07.2012	No	05.10.2016	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 61153 was suspended on 11.02.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants were notified of the procedural decision on 11.02.2014. They did not study the criminal case file.
235	202	Benuyeva and Others	8347/05	22.07.2010	No	24.11.2017	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 61161 was suspended on 23.01.2014 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives were notified of the procedural decision on 23.01.2014. They did not study the criminal case file.
236	203	Turluyeva	63638/09	20.06.2013	No	21.10.2024	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 66102 was suspended on 20.07.2012 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The applicants and representatives studied the criminal case file on 12.09.2011. They were notified of the procedural decision on 20.07.2012.
237	204	Khatsiyeva and Others	5108/02	17.01.2008	No	30.04.2016	Yes	Discontinued	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 14/90/0072-11 was terminated under Article 24 § 1 (2) of the CCP RF for lack of corpus delicti.	The applicants were notified on 11.11.2011, they studied the criminal case file on 14.09.2012. They did not file the relevant requests after the notification.
238	205	Mutsolgov and Others	2952/06	01.04.2010	No	Murders are under investigation in these cases, therefore if the perpetrators are established, the issue on application of statutory limitation will be solved in accordance with Article 78 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 23520016 was suspended on 24.06.2010 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victim M.A. Mutsolgov was notified of the suspension of the preliminary investigation on 24.06.2010. No requests to study the case file were received.
239	206	Tovsultanova	26974/06	17.06.2010	No	Murders are under investigation in these cases, therefore if the perpetrators are established, the issue on application of statutory limitation will be solved in accordance with Article 78 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation	Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 05600034 was suspended on 02.11.2005 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victim L.A. Tovsultanova was notified of suspension of the preliminary investigation on 02.11.2005. No requests for the provision of access to the criminal case file for studying were received.
240	207	Tsechoyev	39358/05	15.03.2011	No		Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 16/24-99 was suspended on 13.12.2003 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	The victim was notified of the procedural decision on 13.12.2003. No requests for the provision of access to the criminal case file for studying were received.
241	208	Khatuyeva	12463/05	22.04.2010	No		Yes	Suspended	No	Investigation of criminal case no. 04600054 was suspended on 25.05.2008 under Article 208 § 1 (1) of the CCP RF due to the failure to identify the persons to be involved as defendants.	Victim L.B. Khatuyeva was notified of suspension of the preliminary investigation on 25.05.2008. No requests for the provision of access to the criminal case file for studying were received.
242	209	Khamzayev and Others	1503/02	03.05.2011	No		Yes	Discontinued	No	Criminal case no. 34/00/0008-03 was terminated on 17.11.2003 under Article 24 § 1 (2) of the CCP RF for lack of corpus delicti.	The applicants were notified of the decision on 17.11.2003. No requests for the provision of access to the criminal case file for studying were received.

245	212	Maskhadov	18071/05	06.06.2013	The Court confirmed that the investigation in this case was effective.
-----	-----	-----------	----------	------------	--