



Implemented by the Council of Europe

Project against Economic Crime (PECK)

Explanatory meetings on Questionnaires for the antimoney laundering/combating the financing of terrorism (AML/CFT) and anti-corruption (AC) components

11 – 12 July 2012

Module 17

Ongoing supervision and market entry, supervisors, sanctions and money transfer services Sections 3.9 to 3.12

> H Zammit LaFerla CoE Expert





Implemented by the Council of Europe

Project against Economic Crime (PECK)

Section 3.9 **Recommendations 23 and 30**

Ongoing Supervision and Monitoring and Market Entry



Key players involved for Recommendation 23

- National policy-makers (for overview of regulatory framework).
- Regulatory authorities (for details of objectives, structures, resources and procedures).
- Licensing/registration authorities (if different to the regulatory authorities).
- Private sector institutions (for their perception of regulatory requirements and procedures).
- Professional associations (for industry-wide perspective and training initiatives).



Key Features and Objectives of Recommendation 23

- There must be competent authorities responsible for supervision, oversight or monitoring of AML/CFT compliance by each sector: – Scope of responsibility may be risk-based.
- Market entry procedures to prevent criminals from owning or managing Financial Institutions
- Licensing or registration of natural or legal persons providing money or value transfer services – subject to effective compliance monitoring





Project against Economic Crime (PECK)

Competent authorities – What should be considered?

- What is the complexity and quality of the sector that supervisory authorities cover?
- How is the supervisory authority structured to meet its responsibilities?
- How is operational independence maintained?
- How are staff allocated relative to the size and risks of the sector?
- What is the calibre of staff and how are they trained?
- What technical support is provided (e.g. databases, analytical software, inspection manuals)?



Market Entry

- Banks, securities firms and insurance companies must be licensed.
- Other institutions must be licensed or registered:
 - Licensing involves a decision-making process
 - Registration involves no qualitative assessment.
- There must be legal or regulatory measures to prevent criminals or associates from owning, controlling or managing institutions.
- Directors/Controllers and senior management of banks, securities firms and insurance companies must be subject to "fit and proper" tests.





Project against Economic Crime (PECK)

Market Entry – What should be considered?

- How are applications processed?
- What enquiries are made on controllers and directors?
- How long do authorities have to process applications?
- What rights of appeal exist, and have they been used successfully?
- How many applications are received?
- How many applications are rejected and why?





CONSEL

Implemented by the Council of Europe

Project against Economic Crime (PECK)

Ongoing Supervision

- Banks, securities firms and insurance companies • must be supervised in accordance with relevant "Core Principles": An assessment Of _ compliance with the "core principles" ĪS not required.
- Money services businesses must be subject to • effective monitoring.
- Other institutions should be subject to supervision or oversight based on risk.
- Distinction between supervision and monitoring or • oversight reflects relative degree of intrusiveness.





Project against Economic Crime (PECK)

CONSE

- Supervision vs Monitoring / Oversight
- Supervision:
 - Requires a continuous programme of measures proactively to assess compliance with obligations.
 - Routine on-site inspections essential.
- Monitoring/oversight:
 - Requires at least a process for identifying potential compliance failures through off-site surveillance, risk assessment or targeted visits.
 - Power to go on-site is essential, but need not be routinely applied.
 - Generally a lighter touch.



Key Features and Objectives for Recommendation 30

- Authorities must be supplied with adequate resources (financial, human and technical) to fully and effectively perform their functions in an independent manner
- Staff of Competent Authorities should maintain high professional standards and be of high integrity and skills
- Staff of Competent Authorities should be provided
 with adequate AML/CFT Training



Questions for discussion – Sec 3.9 Recs 23 &30 (Supervision)

- Are all financial institutions subject to supervision or monitoting/oversight? Which institutions fall in which category? Are statistics on on-site visits maintained?
- Is there a process for licensing or registration of financial institutions? Which institutions need to be licensed?
- What mechanisms are in place to control market entry?
- Are the supervisory competent authorities adequately resourced? Independence?





Implemented by the Council of Europe

COUNCIL CONSEIL OF EUROPE DE L'EUROPE

Project against Economic Crime (PECK)

Section 3.10 – Recommendation 29

Supervisors





Project against Economic Crime (PECK)

CONSEL

Key Features and Obligations of Recommendation 29

- Authorities must have clearly defined powers: •
 - To conduct inspections.

- To compel production of or to access all documents, records or other information held by the institution – no court order.

– To enforce regulations and apply effective, proportionate, and dissuasive sanctions, where necessary





Project against Economic Crime (PECK)

Ongoing supervision – What is to be considered?

- What do supervisors review?
- Is ongoing supervision applied consistently (e.g. a standard manual)?
- What is the cycle of visits and how is it determined (*e.g.* fixed schedule or risk-based)?
- What is the output from inspections and how is follow-up action pursued?
- What evidence is there that the programme is actually implemented?
- What are the institutions' views of the effectiveness
 and value of the supervisory programme?



Questions for discussion – Sec 3.10 Rec 29 (Supervisors)

- Is the relevant Competent Authority mandated by law to supervise or monitor financial institutions for AML/CFT compliance? – Or derived from prudential supervision?
- Does the relevant Competent Authority have legal power to undertake off-site and on-site inspections?
- Can the relevant Competent Authority compel the production of or have access to all records relevant to monitoring compliance?
- Does it have adequate powers of enforcement and sanctions against financial institutions? Against their Directors? And against senior management?





Implemented by the Council of Europe

COUNCIL CONSEIL OF EUROPE DE L'EUROPE

Project against Economic Crime (PECK)

Section 3.11 – Recommendation 17

Sanctions



Key Features and Objectives of Recommendation 17

- At least one authority must be empowered to apply sanctions, including the supervisory authority.
- Sanctions do not need to be specific to AML/CFT, but must be available where only AML/CFT breaches occur – but in principle consider sanctions for prudential purposes separate from AML/CFT.



by the Council of Europe

Project against Economic Crime (PECK)

Nature and scope of sanctions

- May be criminal, civil or administrative. •
- Must be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. ullet
- Must provide a range of options to fit the severity of • the situation, and must include the ability to suspend or withdraw licence.
- Sanctions must be available in relation both to financial institutions and to their directors and senior management.



Range of sanctions available (1/2):

- The methodology (Rec17) provides examples of a range of sanctions:
 - Written warnings.
 - Orders to comply with specific instructions.
 - Mandatory special reports.
 - Financial penalties.
 - Removal of named officers and employees.



Project against Economic Crime (PECK)

Range of sanctions available (2/2):

- Restrictions on powers of managers, directors or owners.
- Restrictions on business activities.
- Direct intervention: conservatorship, suspension, revocation of licence.
- Criminal sanctions.



by the Council of Europe

Project against Economic Crime (PECK)

Typical deficiencies for Recommendation 17

- Insufficient range of sanctions available/applied in • practice.
- Sanctions rarely applied.
- Sanctions not available for senior managers and directors of institutions



Questions for discussion – Sec 3.11 Rec 17 (Sanctions)

- Which authority is empowered by law to impose sanctions for breaches of AML/CFT obligations ?
- What is the full range of sanctions available?
- Is there excessive reliance on sanctions which may be difficult to uphold?
- What is the process for deciding on the application of sanctions?
- Is there clear evidence that authorities apply effective, proportionate and dissuasive sanctions in appropriate cases?
- Do the institutions themselves consider the sanctions to be dissuasive?





Implemented by the Council of Europe

Project against Economic Crime (PECK)

Section 3.12 – Special Recommendation VI

Money or Value Transfer Services







Project against Economic Crime (PECK)

Key Features and Objective of SR VI (1/2)

- Generally applies to free-standing remitters, not to banks that also offer remittances, *etc.* – for banks and other financial institutions refer to SR VII
- Alternative remittances systems are often carried out outside the formal financial system – underground banking, *hawala*.
- Such operators must also be included as financial institutions under other relevant Recommendations.







Project against Economic Crime (PECK)

Key Features and Objective of SR VI (1/2)

- Requirement either to be licensed or registered:
 - It is not necessary for a due diligence process.

 Licensing/registration authority must maintain a list of operators and the operators must maintain lists of agents.

- Other Recommendations must also apply to these operators (R.4-R.11, R.13-R.15, R.21-R.23, SR.VII in particular). Deficiencies under these Recommendations must be reflected in SR.VI.
- Effective, proportionate and dissuasive sanctions must apply for non-compliance with AML/CFT obligations.





Implemented by the Council of Europe

Project against Economic Crime (PECK)

Questions for discussion – Sec 3.12 SR VI (Alternative Remittances)

- Are there mechanisms in place for the Competent Authorities to identify other alternative remittances systems in operation?
- Is there a requirement to license or register such activities? Which authority has responsibility?
- Does the Competent Authority have a system in place to monitor such activities for AML/CFT purposes?
- Are natural or legal persons carrying out such activities required to maintain lists of their agents?
- Are these made available to the relevant authorities?





Implemented by the Council of Europe

Project against Economic Crime (PECK)

CONTACT DETAILS

Herbert Zammit LaFerla hzl684@yahoo.com zammitlh@go.net.mt

Mob: + 356 9945 2215