



Strasbourg, 6 October 2011

Greco (2011) 8E

51st Plenary Meeting of GRECO
(Strasbourg, 23 – 27 May 2011)

SUMMARY REPORT

I. Opening of the Meeting

1. The 51st Plenary Meeting was chaired by Mr Drago KOS (President of GRECO, Slovenia).
2. The President opened the meeting by welcoming all representatives, referring in particular to those who had been newly nominated. He also regretted the departure of Mr Jean ALEGRE (Head of Delegation, France) and Mr Harry de WIT (Netherlands) and thanked them for their active, constructive participation in the work of the plenary. The list of participants appears in [Appendix I](#).

II. Adoption of the agenda

3. The agenda was adopted as it appears in [Appendix II](#).

III. Information provided by the President, delegations and the Executive Secretary

4. The **President** informed the plenary that the Bureau had not met in advance of the present meeting as no item on the plenary's agenda had required substantial prior preparation. Where necessary, written consultation procedures had been used. He would provide information himself during the course of the week under individual agenda items.
5. The President handed the floor to delegations which reported to the plenary as follows:
 - the Government of **Serbia** had approved a draft law on the financing of political parties that had been assessed by the OSCE Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights (ODIHR) and the European Commission and was designed to respond to recommendations issued by both institutions as well as by GRECO. Adoption of the law by parliament was expected by end June 2011;
 - provisions on incompatibility of functions for members of parliament and mayors had been included in the legislation of **Slovenia** – the Integrity and Prevention of Corruption Act;
 - the Bribery Act would enter into force in the **United Kingdom** on 1 July 2011;
 - new amendments to the criminal legislation of the **Russian Federation** had been adopted introducing fines that are multiples of the amount of a bribe – a move that complemented other sanctions and which was intended to undermine the economic basis for corruption offences. A new mechanism had been established for international cooperation when dealing with administrative offences, in particular as regards corruption offences committed by legal entities.
6. The floor was handed to the **Executive Secretary** who provided the plenary with information as follows:
 - it was assumed that the generous pledge made by the previous Head of the Government of Andorra to host a one-day training event to launch the Fourth Evaluation Round would be maintained by the newly formed Government. The Secretariat had given some consideration to the possible format and content of the one-day event which could involve presentations by interveners from some national parliaments, possibly from parliamentary ethics committees and from the judiciary and prosecution services. Some input from academics and

representatives of NGOs might also be foreseen. Provisionally, three types of presentation were being considered : overview of main issues under consideration in the round, national examples of institutional set-ups and regulations as well as information on publicised cases of corrupt dealings in parliaments and the judiciary looking at what went wrong, why it went wrong and measures taken in response. Delegations were invited to signal to the Secretariat any ideas as regards interveners and topics;

- an exchange of views with Mr Martin KREUTNER who would report both on the International Anti-Corruption Academy (IACA) and the European Partners against Corruption (EPAC) would be held during GRECO 52. The plenary was also asked to note that registration was still open for an IACA training course for practitioners to be held on 30 June – 9 July;

- as regards EUROJUST's previously expressed interest in being more closely associated with GRECO, provisional consideration had been given to a possible exchange of letters – the most appropriate format upon which enhanced cooperation could be based, but no further developments could be reported for the time being;

- the Executive Secretary had recently participated in a conference organised by the Institute of Advanced Legal Studies: "It takes two to Tango – The Council of Europe and the European Union" (London, 16 May 2011). The main focus had been EU accession to the European Convention on Human Rights and accession to GRECO. His detailed presentation had covered perspectives for EU accession to GRECO, referring to the history and legal basis for cooperation with the EU and to GRECO's response to the Stockholm Programme and to the main issues currently under discussion as regards participation of the EU in GRECO. The audience which included academics and representatives of, *inter alia*, the Scottish Law Commission and the International Bar Association was clearly interested in the issue of cooperation and showed a clear understanding of the need to avoid duplication;

- finally, the Executive Secretary informed the plenary of the departure from GRECO's Secretariat of Tania VAN DIJK who would take up a new post as Deputy Head of the Council of Europe Office in Tbilisi as from 1 June.

IV. Joint First and Second Evaluation Rounds

7. The draft Joint First and Second Round Compliance Report on **Italy** examined by GRECO had been prepared, in consultation with Rapporteurs designated on behalf of Switzerland and Ukraine, on the basis of a Situation Report submitted by the authorities of Italy.

8. The Rapporteurs communicated their observations and a detailed reading of the draft report was carried out. The compliance report was adopted (Greco RC-I/II (2011) 1E) and Italy was invited to authorise its publication as soon as possible in accordance with standing practice¹. The deadline fixed for the submission of additional information regarding the implementation of outstanding recommendations is 30 November 2012.

9. GRECO examined the draft Addendum to the Joint First and Second Round Compliance Report on **Ukraine**. It was based on information provided by the authorities, as required by the conclusions of the previously adopted compliance report. Following a detailed reading, GRECO adopted the Addendum (Greco RC-II

¹ The Joint First and Second Round Compliance Report on Italy was made public on 14 June 2011.

(2007) 14E Addendum) and invited Ukraine to authorise its publication as soon as possible.² In its Conclusion, GRECO urges the authorities to take determined action with a view to addressing the outstanding recommendations and, in accordance with Rule 31 paragraph 9.1 of its Rules of Procedure, asks Ukraine to submit additional information on their implementation by 31 December 2011. Progress will be examined by GRECO at its first plenary meeting in 2012 in a Second Addendum to the Joint First and Second Round Compliance Report.

10. Finally, as regards joint evaluation procedures, GRECO approved the composition of the team in charge of the joint first and second round evaluation of its most recent member state, Belarus (Greco Eval II (2003) 1 bil. of 20 May 2011).

V. Second Evaluation Round

11. GRECO examined the draft Addendum to the Second Round Compliance Report on Georgia. It was based on information provided by the authorities of the country, as required by the conclusions of the previously adopted compliance report. GRECO adopted the Addendum (Greco RC-II (2008) 9E Addendum) which concludes the Second Round compliance procedure in respect of the country and invited Georgia to authorise its publication as soon as possible.³

VI. Third Evaluation Round

12. A detailed reading of the draft Third Round Evaluation Reports scheduled for adoption was carried out by the plenary with the participation of the Evaluation Teams which had previously carried out on-site visits to the countries concerned.
13. Following a first reading and a reading of revised drafts, GRECO adopted the Third Round Evaluation Reports on **Andorra** (Greco Eval III Rep (2010) 11E – Themes I and II), **Bosnia and Herzegovina** (Greco Eval III Rep (2010) 5E – Themes I and II), and **Georgia** (Greco Eval III Rep (2010) 12E – Themes I and II) and invited the countries to authorise publication of the reports addressed to them as soon as possible, in accordance with standing practice⁴.
14. The deadline fixed for submission of Situation Reports on the implementation of the recommendations contained in the reports is 30 November 2012 in all three cases.
15. The plenary also examined the draft Third Round Compliance Reports on **Belgium, Denmark and Lithuania**. They had been prepared, on the basis of Situation Reports submitted by the authorities of the countries concerned, in consultation with Rapporteurs designated on behalf of Andorra and Luxembourg for Belgium; Albania and the Netherlands for Denmark and on behalf of “the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia” and Portugal for Lithuania.
16. When adopting the Third Round Compliance Report on Lithuania (Greco RC-III (2011) 7E), GRECO fixed the deadline for providing additional information on the further implementation of recommendations at 30 November 2012 and noted with satisfaction that Lithuania authorised publication of the report.
17. When adopting the Third Round Compliance Reports on Belgium (Greco RC-III (2011) 6E) and Denmark (Greco RC-III (2011) 8E), GRECO concluded that the

² The Addendum to the Joint First and Second Round Compliance Report on Ukraine was made public on 30 June 2011.

³ The Addendum to the Second Round Compliance Report on Georgia was made public on 1 July 2011.

⁴ The Third Round Evaluation Reports on Andorra, Georgia and Bosnia Herzegovina were made public on 15 June, 1 July and 17 August 2011 respectively.

overall response to the recommendations was “globally unsatisfactory” in the meaning of Rule 31, paragraph 8.3 of the Rules of Procedure and therefore decided to apply Rule 32, paragraph 2(i), asking the authorities to provide a report on progress in implementing the pending recommendations by 30 November 2011 at the latest, for examination by GRECO at its first plenary meeting in 2012.

18. Belgium and Denmark were both invited to authorise publication of the Third Round Compliance Reports as soon as possible.⁵

VII. Participation of the European Union in GRECO

19. The President and the Executive Secretary reported on developments concerning the participation of the European Union in GRECO.
20. Ambassador Torbjørn FRØYSNES, Director of the Office of the Council of Europe in Brussels and Special Representative of the Secretary General, Mr Humbert de BIOLLEY, Deputy Director of the Office of the Council of Europe in Brussels, GRECO’s President and Executive Secretary had met with members of the Cabinet of European Union Commissioner for Home Affairs, Cecilia MALMSTRÖM and of DG-Home Affairs in Brussels on 8 April 2011. During the meeting, the results of GRECO’s previous decisions – including its firm views as regards the modalities of EU participation - were communicated.
21. On 1 June, the college of all European Union Commissioners would discuss an anti-corruption package consisting of a communication on an EU policy against corruption (policy statement of the EU), a draft proposal for a Commission decision establishing an EU anti-corruption reporting mechanism (referred to as an EU anti-corruption report), a report on the modalities of EU participation in GRECO and a report on implementation by EU member states of the European Council framework decision on combating corruption in the private sector.
22. The Executive Secretary further reported that it was expected that the report on the modalities of EU participation in GRECO would present several options for possible cooperation with GRECO, including full membership. The European Commission would be requesting a negotiating mandate from the European Council, decisions concerning all other aspects of the package were to be taken by the European Commission itself.
23. Delegations were also informed of a speech delivered by Commissioner Malmström in Saint Petersburg on 19 May 2011 in which she stated: “The Commission wishes to strengthen cooperation and synergies with existing anti-corruption monitoring mechanisms at international level. The Commission is therefore considering how the EU could best become a party to the Council of Europe’s Group of States against Corruption (GRECO). This will help create synergies between the two mechanisms. The Commission values GRECO’s assessments and continuously encourages member states and other partners to meet the recommendations issued by GRECO.”
24. In response to questions, the President and the Executive Secretary informed delegations on some aspects on which an understanding had already been reached between the secretariats of the European Commission and the Council of Europe, notably as concerns the fundamental principle of one vote per delegation whereby each GRECO member is able to express views, make comments and take

⁵ The Third Round Compliance Reports on Belgium and Denmark were made public on 10 and 18 August 2011 respectively.

positions on its own behalf. With regard to possible future evaluation by GRECO of the European Union institutions, the EU could establish its competence in respect of Council of Europe anti-corruption instruments, in particular the 20 Guiding Principles thus making it possible to design an evaluation on those grounds. All aspects of formal negotiations with the European Commission would be subject to Bureau and Plenary approval.

25. Having taken note of the information provided by the President and the Executive Secretary, GRECO noted in particular that the European Commission's anti-corruption package (which would include a report on the modalities of the European's accession to GRECO) was planned to be released on 6 June 2011.⁶

VIII. Preparation of the Budget 2012-2013

26. GRECO took note of the information provided by the Executive Secretary on the preparation of the draft Council of Europe programme and budget in its new biennial format for the years 2012 – 2013. The ongoing reform of the Organisation involved a reprioritisation of activities providing for a certain critical mass in order to maximise their impact. GRECO was not concerned by any particular restrictions beyond the overall policy of zero real growth which still applied. Various aspects related to the implementation of the new biennial Programme and Budget were still under discussion within the Committee of Ministers, any discussion by GRECO at this stage would therefore be premature and might not be required at all before its formal adoption by GRECO's Statutory Committee.

IX. Enlarged Partial Agreement on Sport (EPAS) – work against the manipulation of results

27. GRECO noted the participation of its President in a Hearing with public authorities, the sports movement and betting operators organised by EPAS on 3 May and in the preparation of a draft recommendation of the Committee of Ministers to member states that had been drawn up by EPAS on promotion of the integrity of sport against manipulation of results notably match-fixing (EPAS (2011) 32rev1 of 12 May 2011).
28. GRECO took note of the draft recommendation on which Heads of Delegation had been asked to submit any comments to the Secretariat of EPAS by 1 June 2011. With this in mind, Mr Stanislas FROSSARD, Executive Secretary of EPAS, briefed the plenary on the process that had led to the preparation of the current draft and the principal issues at stake. The draft recommendation, which contains a detailed set of guidelines, was an initiative of the 18th Council of Europe Conference of Ministers responsible for Sport, held in Baku on 22 September 2010. The geographic scope of Council of Europe membership provided a good platform for work to promote the integrity of sport and it was felt that the Organisation could play a pioneering role in dealing with this issue while providing for the possibility of broader geographical involvement at a later stage, as the issue was a planetary one.
29. The principal stakeholders were public authorities in charge of sport, regulation of the betting market, fighting corruption, law enforcement, money laundering,

⁶ Components of the European Commission's anti-corruption packaged adopted on 6 June 2011, after the present meeting:

http://ec.europa.eu/home-affairs/news/intro/docs/110606/307/1_EN_ACT_part1_v7.pdf

http://ec.europa.eu/home-affairs/news/intro/news_intro_en.htm#201100606

http://ec.europa.eu/commission_2010-2014/malmstrom/news/default_en.htm#20110606

cybercrime, data protection and of course international sports federations and the betting operators - traditional lotteries but also private betting operators and on-line operators.

30. The draft recommendation foresaw some involvement of GRECO in monitoring its implementation – in the context of reform of the Council of Europe there was a strong movement towards more transversal cooperation between the different bodies of the Organisation. A reference to the launching of a study on the feasibility of developing an international convention on the issue, for which quite strong support had been expressed during consultations already carried out, had also been included. Moreover, the draft recommendation tasked EPAS (in cooperation with the European Union and the sports movement) with promoting co-operation between the organisers of sports events and betting operators within the framework of national and EU law, bearing in mind the European Commission’s role in regulating the betting market. It could be noted that EPAS had a Consultative Committee (composed of 15 sports organisations - UEFA being the one with the most developed policy against manipulation of sports results) which, as well as certain betting operators, had been consulted during the preparation of the draft recommendation.
31. The draft recommendation would be submitted for possible amendment and approval to the Governing Board of EPAS on 7 June, then to the Steering Committee on Crime Problems (CDPC) on 16 June. Subsequently, it would be examined by the Rapporteur Group of the Ministers’ Deputies on Education, Culture, Sport, Youth and Environment (GR-C) before being submitted to the Ministers’ Deputies for adoption.
32. Some concern was expressed by the floor as regards guidelines that would maybe require new institutional set ups. In this respect, Mr Frossard outlined the guidelines concerned stressing that efforts had been made to limit the need for new institutional set ups to a strict minimum. The setting up of a point of contact to ensure the provision of immediate assistance for the purpose of investigations (article 16) had been included in order to link up with Article 35 of the Budapest Convention on Cybercrime (ETS No 185). The intention behind article 15 was to ensure that mechanisms for whistle-blowing already in place could be applied in the field of manipulation of sports results. Article 20 had been included at the specific request of the sports movement in order to ensure cooperation between sports organisations that apply disciplinary sanctions and the public prosecution authorities.
33. Furthermore, the floor expressed some doubts as to whether criminal law provisions on corruption would in fact cover the manipulation of sports results as defined by the guidelines and some concerns were raised as regards GRECO’s capacity to deal with matters outside of their evaluation rounds and the related ongoing compliance procedures.
34. GRECO’s President had felt during the preparatory stages that, in general, the criminal law aspects of match fixing could be considered as being covered by already existing legislation on either corruption, fraud or organised crime and that most states would, therefore, not be required to initiate significant legislative reform in response to the recommendation.

X. Council of Europe cooperation programmes in the anti-corruption field

35. GRECO took note of information provided by the Directorate of Co-operation on capacity building technical cooperation projects in the anti-corruption field.

36. Ms Ardita ABDIU from the Department of Information Society and Action against Crime, within the Directorate of Co-operation of the Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs thanked the plenary for having been given the opportunity to provide a briefing on activities run by her department which had been able to constitute and rely heavily on pools of skills and expertise provided by GRECO's President and evaluators as well as contact networks established through representatives in GRECO.
37. The first activities in the field of anti-corruption reform with Council of Europe member States had been initiated in the late 1990s, focusing on the design of the very first anti-corruption strategies. Furthermore, due to the specific nature of GRECO recommendations, other themes had been developed to strengthen capacities related to efforts in the following fields: conflicts of interest, declarations of assets, risk assessment, anti-corruption typologies, anti-money laundering efforts, public awareness, financial crimes and criminal law, working closely with the following sectors: structures responsible for policy design, specialised anti-corruption agencies, economic crime investigation bureaux established by ministries of the interior and institutions attached to prosecutor offices, Financial Intelligence Units attached to ministries of finance or banks as well as independent bodies such as central election. There had been civil society, and even private sector, involvement – even at the project design stage.
38. The drafting of a new generation of anti-corruption strategies and implementation action plans in a number of countries (especially in south-east Europe was currently underway. There was also involvement in anti-corruption typologies and in the coming 3 years (in particular with Eastern Partnership countries) links between anti-money laundering reform and anti-corruption policy design, financial crimes and criminal law procedure and criminal law reform would be looked into.
39. The methodology applied was based on the organisation of ad hoc activities in response to urgent needs. Project design and negotiations for funding could take a number of years before implementation that would span 2 to 3 years and involve the organisation, as appropriate, of: workshops, conferences, training activities, studies, peer networking (taking examples of good practices/lessons learned from one country to another), gap analysis, working groups, seminars and pilot activities (used when very specific needs were identified – either through monitoring work or during EU integration phases).
40. The tools and other deliverables developed in the context of projects include: compilations of good practices and their distribution to governments (and civil society bodies), training manuals that provided sustainable tools to judicial or law enforcement academies and institutions (in particular in areas such as detection, investigation and adjudication of corruption related cases), technical and advisory papers that include draft law reviews and legal opinions on internal regulations or institutional reforms. Full information, documentation and contact details for each project was/is available at the following address: www.coe.int/corruption. The current total budget allocated to these activities was € 5,462,000 – a significant part of which was secured through EU grants, but also voluntary contributions from Council of Europe member States.
41. Currently, in addition to a number of bilateral activities, four projects were underway: the PACA project against corruption in Albania initiated in 2009 addressing overall corruption, providing advice on strategy development and implementation as well as corruption in education; the CAR (criminal asset recovery) project and MOLI anti-money laundering project in Serbia providing assistance to the national FIU and a recent project covering the six countries (Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Georgia, Ukraine and Moldova) of the Eastern

Neighbourhood Partnership Initiative (EaP) of the European Union, part of a facility involving four components including corruption that was currently in the inception phase. In this context, for example, GRECO recommendations had signalled priority areas for cooperation and assistance when developing the original work plan. The launch of four projects by the second half of 2011 was planned, two in Turkey addressing ethics and assistance in strengthening capacities for cooperation and policy design for implementing the country's anti-corruption strategy, another in Serbia providing anti-corruption training and reform related activities concerning the judiciary and the prosecution services.

42. Comments by GRECO representatives of countries that had been programme beneficiaries or donors or who had participated as consultants in implementation – including the President of GRECO, stressed the quality of the work carried out and the concrete results achieved. The complementary nature of the technical assistance provided and the results of GRECO monitoring was highlighted. In response to questions from the floor, Ms ABDIU explained that before a project could be initiated it had to be requested by the Council of Europe member State concerned, duplication had to be avoided – through donor coordination (which was assisted, in Europe, by the presence of EU delegations and the institutional memory of national focal points such as anti-corruption agencies) and funding had to be secured. Finally, she explained that the expected output of civil society involvement was incorporated into the expected results of projects and civil society bodies participated in policy design discussions, in some cases modalities (memoranda of understanding) for civil society involvement stretching beyond the lifespan of a project were established

XI. Adoption of decisions

43. The decisions of the 51st Plenary Meeting were adopted, as they appear in document Greco (2011) 7E.

XII. Forthcoming meetings

44. GRECO noted the Bureau would hold its 57th meeting in Strasbourg on 9 September 2011 and decided that the 52nd Plenary Meeting would be held in Strasbourg on 17 – 21 October 2011.

APPENDIX I

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

ALBANIA / ALBANIE

Mrs Helena PAPA

Inspector/Coordinator, Department of Internal Administrative Control and Anti-Corruption, Council of Ministers

ANDORRA / ANDORRE

M. Sergi ALIS SOULIE (Chef de délégation)

Unité de Prévention et Lutte contre la Corruption, Présidence du gouvernement

M. Gérard ALIS EROLES

Avocat, Présidence du gouvernement

Mme Alexandra CORNELLA SOLA

Procureur adjoint au Parquet d'Andorre

M. Jésus JIMENEZ NAUDI

Avocat, Expert en droit pénal, Membre de la Commission de travail sur le code pénal

M. Francesc d'assis PONS TOMAS

Membre du Tribunal des comptes (FPP)

ARMENIA / ARMENIE

Mr Artur OSIKYAN (Head of delegation)

Deputy Head of Police

AUSTRIA / AUTRICHE

Apologised / Excusé

AZERBAIJAN / AZERBAIDJAN

Mr Inam KARIMOV (Head of delegation)

Chief Adviser, Law Enforcement Coordination Department, Administration of the President of the Republic, Secretary of the Commission for Combating Corruption

BELARUS

Mr Pavel SASCHEKO

Head of criminological forecasting, Division of key trends, dynamics and structure of organised crime and corruption, Scientific and Practical Centre of problems of the rule of law and order to the General Prosecutor of Belarus

BELGIUM / BELGIQUE

M. Frederik DECRUYENAERE (Chef de délégation)

Attaché au Service du droit pénal spécial, Service Public Fédéral Justice (SPF Justice)

BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA / BOSNIE-HERZEGOVINE

Mr Tomislav CURIĆ

Ministry of Security

Ms Hasida GUŠIĆ

Central Election Commission

BULGARIA / BULGARIE

Mr Georgi RUPCHEV (Head of delegation)

State Expert, Directorate of International Cooperation and European Affairs, Ministry of Justice

Mr Dimitar KUMURDJIEV (Evaluator – Georgia)

Legal Adviser to the National Assembly

CROATIA / CROATIE

Mr Krèsimir SIKAVICA

Department for the Fight against Economic Crime and Corruption, General Police Directorate
Division for Criminal Investigation Ministry of the Interior

CYPRUS / CHYPRE

Mr Philippos KOMODROMOS (Head of delegation)

Counsel of the Republic, Law Office of the Republic of Cyprus

CZECH REPUBLIC / REPUBLIQUE TCHEQUE

Ms Helena LIŠUCHOVÁ (Head of delegation)

Legal Expert, Department for International Programmes and Cooperation, Ministry of Justice,

Ms Marta LÉBLOVÁ

Expert, Public Administration Section, Ministry of the Interior

DENMARK / DANEMARK

Mr Flemming DENKER (Head of delegation)

Deputy Director, Public Prosecutor for Serious Economic Crime

ESTONIA / ESTONIE

Mr Urvo KLOPETS

Advisor of Analysis Division, Criminal Policy Department, Ministry of Justice

FINLAND / FINLANDE

Mr Kaarle J. LEHMUS (Head of delegation)

Inspector General of the Police, National Police Board

Ms Helinä LEHTINEN

Ministerial Advisor, Ministry of Justice, Crime Policy Department

FRANCE

M. Jean ALEGRE (Chef de délégation)

Chargé de mission auprès du directeur des affaires juridiques, Ministère des Affaires étrangères et européennes

Mlle Sabrina SUSEC

Chargée de mission, Service Central de Prévention de la Corruption (SCPC), Ministère de la Justice et des Libertés

M. Stéphane GAUVIN (Evaluateur – Bosnie et Herzégovine)

Adjoint au Chef du Service Juridique de la Responsable du pôle des partis politiques Commission Nationale des Comptes de Campagne et des Financements Politiques (CNCCFP)

GEORGIA / GEORGIE

Mr Mamuka JGENTI

Ambassador, Permanent Representative of Georgia to the Council of Europe

Mr Vakhtang LEJAVA (Head of delegation)

Chief Advisor, Prime Ministers Office, Deputy Head of the Anti-corruption Council, State Chancellery

Mr Otar KAKHIDZE

Head of Analytical Department, Ministry of Justice

GERMANY / ALLEMAGNE

Ms Nora KAISER

Deputy Head of Division, Economic Crime, Computer Crime, Corruption-related Crime and Environmental Crime, Ministry of Justice

GREECE / GRECE

Mr Demosthenis STINGAS

Judge of First Instance of Thessaloniki, Hellenic Ministry of Justice

HUNGARY / HONGRIE

Mr Ákos KARA (Head of delegation)
Deputy Head of Department, Ministry of Justice

ICELAND / ISLANDE
Apologised / Excusé**IRELAND / Irlande**

Mr James MOLONEY
First Secretary, Justice Attaché, Permanent Representation of Ireland to the Council of Europe

ITALY / ITALIE

M. Calogero PISCITELLO (Chef de délégation)
Directeur des ressources humaines, Ministère de la justice

Mme Anna PAGOTTO
Juge, Ministère de la Justice, Direction Générale de la justice pénale, Bureau I des affaires législatives et internationales

Mr Fabrizio GANDINI (Evaluator – Georgia)
Judge, Tribunal of Rome

M. Lorenzo SALAZAR
Ministère de la Justice, Direction Générale de la justice pénale

M. Italo BORRELLO
Banque d'Italie, Unité des finances

LATVIA / LETTONIE

Ms Inese TERINKA
Senior Specialist, Administrative Division, Corruption Prevention and Combating Bureau

LIECHTENSTEIN
Apologised / Excusé**LITHUANIA / LITUANIE**

Ms Aušra BERNOTIENE (Head of delegation)
Director, Department of International Law, Ministry of Justice

Ms Elena KONCEVIČIŪTĖ
International Relations Officer, International Cooperation Division, Special Investigation Service A

Ms Elena MASNEVAITĖ (Evaluator – Bosnia and Herzegovina)
Vilnius University, Law Faculty, Department of Public Law

Mr Jevgenijus KUZMA

Ms Lina PETRONIENE

LUXEMBOURG

M. Jean BOUR (Chef de délégation)
Procureur d'Etat, Parquet du Tribunal d'Arrondissement de Diekirch

Mme Doris WOLTZ
Procureur d'Etat adjoint, Tribunal d'Arrondissement de Luxembourg

MALTA / MALTE

Mr Leonard CARUANA
Advocate, Attorney General's Office, The Palace

MOLDOVA

Mme Cornelia VICLEANSCHI (Chef de délégation)
Procureur, Chef de la Section Générale, Bureau du Procureur Général

MONACO

M. Frédéric COTTALORDA
Chef de Section, Service d'Information et de Contrôle sur les Circuits Financiers (SICCFIN),
Département des Finances et de l'Economie

M. André MUHLBERGER (Evaluateur – Andorre)
Commissaire divisionnaire, Directeur de la Sûreté publique, Direction de la Sûreté publique

MONTENEGRO

Apologised / Excusé

NETHERLANDS / PAYS-BAS

Ms Nicole VISSCHER (Head of delegation)
Senior Policy Advisor, Ministry of Justice

Mr Harry DE WIT
Programme manager, Public administrative integrity, Directorate Public Sector Employment
Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom Relations

NORWAY / NORVEGE

Mr Atle ROALDSØY (Head of delegation and evaluator – Bosnia and Herzegovina))
Senior Advisor, Ministry of Justice, Police Department

Mr Jens-Oscar NERGÅRD
Senior Advisor, Ministry of Government Administration and Reform

Mr Christian Fredrik HORST
Deputy Director General, Ministry of Government Administration and Reform

POLAND / POLOGNE

Ms Ineza KROLIK (Head of delegation)
Director, Office for Plenipotentiary of the Government for Preparation of the Programme for
Prevention of the Irregularities in Public Institutions

Mr Piotr FIRLUS
Adviser of the Chief of Office of the Prime Minister, Office for Plenipotentiary of the Government for
Preparation of the Programme for Prevention of the Irregularities in Public Institutions

PORTUGAL

Mr Daniel MARINHO PIRES
Legal Adviser, International Affairs Department, Ministry of Justice

ROMANIA / ROUMANIE

Mr Cornel-Virgiliu CALINESCU
Head of Unit for Crime Prevention and for the Cooperation with EU Asset Recovery Office, Ministry
of Justice

Ms Camelia SUTIMAN (Evaluator – Georgia)
Chief Inspector of the Judicial Inspection for Prosecutors at the Superior Council of Magistracy,
Prosecutors' Office attached to the High Court of Cassation and Justice

RUSSIAN FEDERATION / FEDERATION DE RUSSIE

Mr Aleksandr BUKSMAN (Head of delegation)
First Deputy Prosecutor General, Prosecutor General's Office

Mr Oleg PLOKHOI
Deputy Head of the Presidential Department for Civil Service and Human Resources, Administration
of the President

Mr Aslan YUSUFOV
Deputy Head of Directorate, Head of Section of supervision over implementation of anti-corruption
legislation, Prosecutor General's Office

Mr Ivan VOLODIN (Evalueur – Andorre)
a.i. Head of Division in the Legal Department, Ministry of Foreign Affairs

Mr Konstantin KOSORUKOV
Permanent Representation of the Russian Federation to the Council of Europe

SAN MARINO / SAINT MARIN

M. Eros GASPERONI (Chef de délégation)
Premier Secrétaire, Ministère des affaires Etrangères

REPUBLIC OF SERBIA / REPUBLIQUE DE SERBIE

Ms Zorana MARKOVIC (Head of delegation)
Director of the Anti-Corruption Agency

Ms Ivana PETRIN
Legal Advisor, Unit for the control of financial reports of political parties, Anti Corruption Agency

SLOVAK REPUBLIC / REPUBLIQUE SLOVAQUE

Mr Peter KOVAŘÍK (Head of delegation)
Director, Bureau of the Fight Against Corruption, Police Headquarters of the Slovak Republic
Ministry of the Interior

SLOVENIA / SLOVENIE

Mr Drago KOS
President of GRECO / Président du GRECO
Former Chief Commissioner of the Commission for the Prevention of Corruption

Ms Vita HABJAN
Assistant Head, Sector for Prevention, Commission for the prevention of corruption

SPAIN / ESPAGNE

Mr Rafael VAILLO
Technical Counsellor, D.G. for International Cooperation, Ministry of Justice

SWEDEN / SUEDE
Apologised / Excusé

SWITZERLAND / SUISSE

M. Ernst GNAEGI (Chef de délégation)
Chef de l'unité du droit pénal international, Office fédéral de la Justice

M. Olivier GONIN
Collaborateur scientifique, Unité du droit pénal international, Office fédéral de la justice

Mme Muriel BARRELET (Evaluatrice – Andorre)
Chancellerie

"THE FORMER YUGOSLAV REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA" / "L'EX-RÉPUBLIQUE YOUGOSLAVE DE MACÉDOINE"

Ms Slagjana TASEVA (Head of delegation and evaluator – Bosnia and Herzegovina)
Professor in Criminal Law

TURKEY / TURQUIE

Mr Ömer Faruk ALTINTAŞ (Head of Delegation)
Judge, Deputy Director General for International Law and Foreign Affairs, Ministry of Justice

Mr Mete DEMIRCI
Inspector, Prime Ministry Inspection Board

UKRAINE

Ms Valeria LUTKOVSKA (Head of delegation)
Deputy Minister of Justice, Ministry of Justice

Ms Olena SMIRNOVA
Head of Unit responsible for development of anticorruption policy, Ministry of Justice

Mr Mykhaylo BUROMENSKIY
President of the Institute of Humanitarian Research

UNITED KINGDOM / ROYAUME-UNI

Ms Helen SMITH
Senior policy advisor, International Anti-corruption , International Relations, Human Rights and International Directorate, Ministry of Justice

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA / ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Mr Robert LEVENTHAL
Director, Anticorruption and Governance Initiatives, Bureau for International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs, U.S. Department of State

PRESIDENT OF THE STATUTORY COMMITTEE OF GRECO / PRÉSIDENT DU COMITÉ STATUTAIRE DU GRECO

Apologised / Excusé

PARLIAMENTARY ASSEMBLY OF THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE / ASSEMBLEE PARLEMENTAIRE DU CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

Apologised / Excusé

REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CDCJ / REPRÉSENTANT DU CDCJ

Apologised / Excusé

REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CDPC / REPRÉSENTANT DU CDPC

Apologised / Excusé

COUNCIL OF EUROPE DEVELOPMENT BANK / BANQUE DE DEVELOPPEMENT DU CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

Apologised / Excusé

OBSERVER UNITED NATIONS – UNODC / OBSERVATEUR NATIONS UNIES – ONUDC

Mr Olivier KRAFT
Consultant, Corruption and Economic Crime Branch, United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

OBSERVER OECD / OBSERVATEUR OCDE

Apologised / Excusé

GRECO EVALUATION TEAMS / EQUIPES D'ÉVALUATION DU GRECO

**Third Round Evaluation report on Andorra
Rapport d'Évaluation du Troisième Cycle sur l'Andorre**

Theme I – Incriminations

Mme Muriel BARRELET
Chancellerie, Chaux de Fonds, Suisse

M. André MUHLBERGER
Commissaire divisionnaire, Directeur de la Sûreté publique, Direction de la Sûreté publique, Monaco

Theme II - Party Funding / Financement des partis politiques

M. Paul MULS – **Apologised / Excusé**
Premier conseiller de direction, Secrétaire de la commission de contrôle des dépenses électorales Chambre des représentants, Bruxelles, Belgique

Mr Ivan VOLODIN
a.i. Head of Division in the Legal Department, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Moscow, Russian Federation

**Third Round Evaluation report on Bosnia and Herzegovina /
Rapport d'Évaluation du Troisième Cycle sur la Bosnie-Herzégovine**

Theme I – Incriminations

Mr Atle ROALDSØY

Senior Adviser, Ministry of Justice, Police Department, Oslo, Norway

Ms Slagjana TASEVA

Professor in Criminal Law, Skopje, “the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia”

Theme II - Party Funding / Financement des partis politiques

M. Stéphane GAUVIN

Adjoint au Chef du Service Juridique de la Responsable du pôle des partis politiques, Commission Nationale des Comptes de Campagne et des Financements Politiques (CNCCFP), Paris, France

Ms Elena MASNEVAITĖ

Vilnius University, Law Faculty, Department of Public Law, Vilnius, Lithuania

**Third Round Evaluation report on Georgia /
Rapport d'Évaluation du Troisième Cycle sur la Géorgie**

Theme I – Incriminations

Mr Fabrizio GANDINI

Judge, Tribunal of Rome, Italy

Ms Camelia SUTIMAN

Chief Inspector of the Judicial Inspection for Prosecutors at the Superior Council of Magistracy, Prosecutors' Office attached to the High Court of Cassation and Justice, Bucharest, Romania

Theme II – Party Funding / Financement des partis politiques

Mr Rovshan ISMAYILOV – **Apologised / Excusé**

Professor, Baku State University, Azerbaijan

Mr Dimitar KUMURDJIEV

Legal Adviser to the National Assembly, Sofia, Bulgaria

Mr Karl-Heinz NABMACHER – **Apologised / Excusé**

Professor Emeritus, Carl von Ossietzky Universität, Solingen, Germany

RAPPORTEURS

**Joint First and Second Round Compliance Report : /
Rapport de Conformité des Premier et Deuxième Cycles Conjoint :**

Italy / Italie

M. Olivier GONIN (Switzerland / Suisse)

Ms Olena SMIRNOVA (Ukraine)

**Third Round Compliance Reports : /
Rapports de Conformité du Troisième Cycle :**

Belgium / Belgique

M. Gérard ALIS EROLES (Andorra / Andorre)

Mme Doris WOLTZ (Luxembourg)

Denmark / Danemark

Ms Helena PAPA (Albania / Albanie)

Ms Nicole VISSCHER (Netherlands / Pays-Bas)

Lithuania / Lituanie

Ms Slagjana TASEVA (“the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia” / “L'ex-République yougoslave de Macédoine”)

Mr Daniel MARINHO PIRES (Portugal)

COUNCIL OF EUROPE SECRETARIAT / SECRETARIAT DU CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

Mr Wolfgang RAU, **Executive Secretary** of GRECO / **Secrétaire Exécutif** du GRECO

Assistant / Assistante

Ms Elspeth REILLY, Personal Assistant to the Executive Secretary / Assistante Particulière du Secrétaire Exécutif

Administrative Officers / Administrateurs

Mr Björn JANSON

M. Christophe SPECKBACHER

Ms Laura SANZ-LEVIA

Ms Tania VAN DIJK

Ms Sophie MEUDAL-LEENDERS

Mr Michael JANSSEN

Central Office / Bureau Central

Ms Penelope PREBENSEN, Administrative Assistant / *Assistante Administrative*

Mme Laure PINCEMAILLE, Assistant / *Assistante*

Mme Marie-Rose PREVOST, Assistant / *Assistante*

Webmaster

Ms Simona GHITA, Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs / *Direction générale des droits de l'Homme et des affaires juridiques*

Mme Marie-Rose PREVOST, GRECO

Interpreters / Interprètes

Mme Sally BAILEY-RAVET

Mme Isabelle MARCHINI

Mme Julia TANNER

APPENDIX II

AGENDA

1. Opening of the meeting / *Ouverture de la réunion* **09h30**
2. Adoption of the agenda / *Adoption de l'ordre du jour*
3. Information from the President, Delegations and the Executive Secretary / *Informations du Président, des Délégations et du Secrétaire Exécutif*
4. **First reading** of draft Third Round Evaluation Reports on: / **Première lecture** des projets de Rapports d'Évaluation du Troisième Cycle sur :
 - **Georgia / Géorgie**
(Monday / *lundi*)
 - **Andorra / Andorre**
(Tuesday / *mardi*)
 - **Bosnia and Herzegovina / Bosnie-Herzégovine**
(Wednesday / *mercredi*)
5. Examination and adoption of the Joint First and Second Round Compliance Report on **Italy** / *Examen et adoption du Rapport de Conformité des Premier et Deuxième Cycles Conjointes sur l'Italie*
6. Examination and adoption of the Addendum to the Joint First and Second Round Compliance Report on **Ukraine** / *Examen et adoption de l'Addendum au Rapport de Conformité des Premier et Deuxième Cycles Conjointes sur l'Ukraine*
7. Examination and adoption of the Addendum to the Second Round Compliance Report on **Georgia** / *Examen et adoption de l'Addendum au Rapport de Conformité du Deuxième Cycle sur la Géorgie*
8. Examination and adoption of the Third Round Compliance Reports on **Belgium, Denmark** and **Lithuania** / *Examen et adoption des Rapports de Conformité du Troisième Cycle sur la Belgique, le Danemark et la Lituanie*
9. Participation of the European Union in GRECO – latest developments / *Participation de l'Union Européenne au GRECO – développements récents*
10. Budget 2012-2013: preparation / *Budget 2012-2013 : préparation*
11. Enlarged Partial Agreement on Sport (EPAS) : Hearing with public authorities, the sports movement and betting operators on the Draft Recommendation on promotion of the integrity of sport against manipulation of results notably match-fixing (3 May 2011) - outcome / *Consultation avec les autorités publiques, le mouvement sportif et les opérateurs de paris sur le projet de recommandation sur la promotion de l'intégrité du sport pour lutter contre la manipulation des résultats, notamment les matchs arrangés – résultats*
12. Joint First and Second Evaluation Rounds – composition of the Team in charge of the evaluation of Belarus (Bureau proposals) / *Premier et Deuxième Cycles d'Évaluation conjoints – composition de l'équipe chargée de l'évaluation du Bélarus (proposition du Bureau)*
13. Information from the Directorate of Co-operation / *Informations de la Direction de la Coopération*

14. **Second reading** and adoption of the draft Third Round Evaluation Reports on Andorra, Bosnia and Herzegovina and Georgia (**Friday**) / **Deuxième lecture** et adoption des projets de Rapports d'Évaluation du Troisième Cycle sur l'Andorre, la Bosnie-Herzégovine et la Géorgie (**vendredi**)
15. Miscellaneous / *Divers*
16. Adoption of decisions / *Adoption des décisions*
17. Dates of next meetings / *Dates des prochaines réunions*