Strasbourg, 29 April 2011

50th Plenary Meeting of GRECO
(Strasbourg, 28 March – 1 April 2011)

SUMMARY REPORT
I. Opening of the Meeting

1. The 50th Plenary Meeting was chaired by Mr Drago KOS (President of GRECO, Slovenia) and, in the President’s absence on Wednesday, by Mr Marin MRČELA (Vice-President of GRECO, Croatia).

2. The President opened the meeting by welcoming all representatives, referring in particular to those who had been newly nominated. He warmly greeted Belarus which was represented in GRECO for the first time since the country’s participation in GRECO as its 49th member state became effective on 13 January 2011. The list of participants appears in Appendix I.

II. Introductory speech by the Deputy Secretary General of the Council of Europe

3. The President handed the floor to Maud de BOER-BUQUICCHIO, Deputy Secretary General who on the occasion of GRECO’s 50th Plenary Meeting paid tribute to the exemplary standard for international anti-corruption monitoring it set, and the wide respect it enjoys for its rigorous methodology and the systematic and ongoing impact assessment that is carried out. In addition to her comments regarding the relevance of GRECO’s work when high levels of corruption still permeate society and public institutions in a number of Council of Europe member states, the Deputy Secretary General referred to current debates within the Organisation on the need for standards to be set as regards the issue of match-fixing and illegal betting in the world of sport and the key role that GRECO could play in the implementation of such standards. The full text of the speech is available via the following link: http://www.coe.int/t/SECRETARYGENERAL/SGA

III. Adoption of the agenda

4. The agenda was adopted as it appears in Appendix II.

IV. Information provided by the President, delegations and the Executive Secretary

5. The President referred to the discussions held at the last meeting of the Bureau (cf Bureau 56 report – Greco (2011) 4E) notably those of relevance to decisions to be taken later in the week (items 10 – 13 of the Agenda). He went on to provide information on the following:

- ongoing work within the Enlarged Partial Agreement on Sport (EPAS) on the fight against the manipulation of sports results – match-fixing, which was an initiative of the 18th Council of Europe Informal Conference of Ministers responsible for Sport (Baku, 22 September 2010). He would participate in consultations with EPAS and other relevant bodies, including betting organisations, on a draft recommendation on the question (Strasbourg, 3 May 2011). It was expected that the recommendation would be adopted by the Committee of Ministers by the end of the year and a related convention might well be developed subsequently. Either instrument could provide for GRECO to be associated with monitoring its implementation. He was of the opinion that it was not so much criminal law provisions that would need revising as match-fixing would generally fall under provisions on bribery, fraud and organised crime but more focus was needed on ensuring better cooperation with betting operators and regulating support from betting operators to sports organisations.
- the Bureau had decided that Mr Martin KREUTNER – representing both the International Anti-Corruption Academy (IACA) and the European Partners against Corruption (EPAC), the Chair of the G20 Anti-Corruption Working Group, representatives of Kazakhstan and of the Organization of American States Mechanism for Follow-up on Implementation of the Inter-American Convention against Corruption (OAS/MESICIC) should be invited to exchanges of views with GRECO in 2011;

- the Bureau had felt that e-government would be a suitable topic for a roundtable – with the participation of a representative of the European Commission - to be organised in 2011;

- in accordance with the Rules of Procedure, GRECO would be called upon to elect a new President, Vice-President and Bureau whose respective mandates would expire once the Fourth Evaluation Round opened – the Bureau would be providing representatives with all necessary information as to the scheduling of the elections and the relevant procedures in good time;

6. The President handed the floor to delegations. Representatives reported to the plenary as follows:

- Finland had been the second country to be reviewed on implementation of the United Nations Convention against Corruption (UNCAC) under the UNCAC review mechanism, following completion of the extensive self-assessment checklist that formed part of the procedure. Finland had been the first country to opt-in to hosting an on-site visit. The review focused on the activities of the police, functioning of the judiciary and international cooperation of the police and judiciary.

- The Russian Federation: Ambassador Alexander ALEKSEEV, Permanent Representative of the Russian Federation to the Council of Europe, wished to invite all participants to a reception to celebrate this jubilee meeting of GRECO’s Plenary.

- Ireland: new legislation had been adopted in December 2010 providing, in particular, for extended extra-territorial jurisdiction for corruption offences committed abroad and for protection measures for whistle-blowers who report corruption in good faith.

- Bulgaria had also undergone review within the UNCAC review mechanism and had, like Finland, hosted an on-site visit. The Secretary General of the Council of Europe had made an official visit to Sofia (23-24 February) during which talks had, among other things, touched on anti-corruption policies. The representative also reported on the adoption in January 2011 of an Election Code – which constituted a significant legislative development in the country’s efforts to implement GRECO’s recommendations to Bulgaria under Theme II of the current Third Evaluation Round.

- “the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia”: under the country’s amended Anti-corruption Law, members of the Anti-corruption Commission would be professionals. Parliament had just started the process of electing the commission members. Early parliamentary elections were likely to be held some time in the spring, it was therefore not sure when the procedure for electing the commission members would be completed.

- Luxembourg: A law had been adopted on 27 October 2010 on international mutual assistance which approved the 29 May 2000 Convention on
Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters between the Member States of the European Union as well as its Protocol of 16 October 2001 – which aimed, inter alia, at improving mutual assistance in respect of information held by banks. Amendments to anti-money laundering legislation had also been adopted to bring it into line with GAFI standards. Finally, legislation providing for the introduction of measures to protect whistle-blowers and an extension of those subject to reporting obligations had been introduced.

- **Belgium**: a recent publication prepared by the Ministry of Justice on the prevention of corruption in the private sector *La corruption? Pas dans notre entreprise...* [Corruption? Not in our business ...], which would be widely distributed and was already available on the ministry’s website via the following link: [http://www.just.fgov.be/img_publications/pdf/345.pdf](http://www.just.fgov.be/img_publications/pdf/345.pdf)

- **The Netherlands**: draft legislation on party funding had been submitted to the Council of State and Parliament for consideration – it had been designed taking account of GRECO’s Third Round Evaluation Report on the Netherlands.

- **Liechtenstein**: the Criminal Code and Criminal Procedure Code had been amended in order to provide for criminal liability of legal persons for all offences under the Criminal Code, including corruption (1 January) and new legislation had been introduced (1 February) on the public prosecution service in order to strengthen its independence, under which it was explicitly prohibited to give any directive to the prosecution that could lead to the dropping of criminal procedures or prevent them from initiating such procedures.

7. The **President** congratulated the plenary on its achievements over its first 50 meetings, noting in particular how it was a great source of satisfaction to see that even though the chapter of GRECO’s work that was aimed at ensuring transparency of party funding was particularly challenging, its member States were nevertheless striving to implement GRECO’s recommendations on the question.

8. The floor was handed to the **Executive Secretary** who provided the plenary with information as follows:

- the Secretary General of the Council of Europe had received a letter from the Head of the Government of Andorra, Mr Jaume BARTUMEU - who had previously sat in GRECO as the representative of the Parliamentary Assembly – with a generous proposal to organise a one day training event to be held in Andorra on 9 November 2011, in conjunction with the launch of GRECO’s Fourth Evaluation Round. This excellent initiative – taken in the framework of the preparation of Andorra’s forthcoming chairmanship of the Committee of Ministers - was highly appreciated and would constitute a significant contribution to the cost of organising such an event, including travel and subsistence expenses for one representative from each of GRECO’s member States;

- he had met Ms Chantal JOUANNO, Sport Minister, France during her official visit to the Council of Europe (21 March 2011). She was very interested in the Organisation’s initiatives to promote the integrity of sport against the manipulation of results (match-fixing) and fully supported the resolution adopted by the 18th Council of Europe Informal Conference of Ministers responsible for Sport (Baku, 22 September 2010) inviting the Enlarged Partial Agreement on Sport (EPAS) to continue working on the issue with a view to the adoption of a Recommendation of the Committee of Ministers to Council of Europe member states on the manipulation of sport results. The minister was very interested in
GRECO’s methodology and it seemed clear that the group would probably play a role in monitoring any instrument developed. Further relevant information had been provided by the President (see above);

- the Secretariat had taken part in the Third Meeting of the Conference of State Parties of the Mechanism for the Follow-up on Implementation of the Inter-American Convention against Corruption (MESICIC) of the OAS (Brasilia, 9-10 December 2010). The MESICIC methodology would, for the first time, include country visits in its Third Round of Review. The Council of Europe had a clear policy to further develop its relations with the OAS through a possible up-date of its current cooperation agreement with the organisation which had also expressed a keen interest in obtaining observer status in GRECO. It could be noted that there would be no legal obstacle to the OAS – as an international intergovernmental organisation - making such a request;

- EUROJUST had previously shown an interest in being more closely associated with GRECO and the opinion of the Council of Europe’s Directorate of Legal Advice and Public Law had been sought at the time. Subsequently the Bureau had held an exchange of views with EUROJUST representatives in September 2009. The Executive Secretary had heard that an official letter seeking closer cooperation with GRECO would soon be sent to the Council of Europe. He had also been invited to make a presentation at a meeting of the Consultative Forum of Prosecutors General to be held at EUROJUST (The Hague, 23 June 2011).

- copies of the reply from the Committee of Ministers to Parliamentary Assembly Recommendation 1916 (2010) on the protection of “whistle-blowers” were available; the reply made ample reference to the comments on the recommendation adopted at GRECO 48 in October last year and foresaw the organisation of a European conference on the subject;

- finally, the Executive Secretary invited GRECO representatives to follow-up as soon as possible on the instructions they had received by e-mail concerning the setting up of individual passwords giving access to the restricted part of GRECO’s website.

V. Joint First and Second Evaluation Rounds

9. GRECO examined the draft Addendum to the Joint First and Second Round Compliance Report on Andorra. It was based on information provided by the authorities of the country, as required by the conclusions of the previously adopted compliance report. GRECO adopted the report (Greco RCMI/II (2008) 7E Addendum) and thus concluded the Joint First and Second Round compliance procedure in respect of the country. The authorities were invited to authorise its publication as soon as possible in accordance with standing practice\(^1\).

VI. Second Evaluation Round

10. GRECO examined the draft Addendum to the Second Round Compliance Report on Bosnia and Herzegovina. It was based on information provided by the authorities of the country, as required by the conclusions of the previously adopted compliance report. GRECO adopted the report (Greco RC-II (2008) 7E Addendum) and thus concluded the Second Round compliance procedure in

---

\(^1\) The Addendum to the Joint First and Second Round Compliance Report on Andorra was made public on 29 April 2011.
respect of the country. GRECO was pleased to note that authorisation was given to make the Addendum public.

VII. Third Evaluation Round

11. A detailed reading of the draft Third Round Evaluation Reports scheduled for adoption was carried out by the plenary with the participation of the Evaluation Teams which had previously carried out on-site visits to the countries concerned.


13. GRECO noted with satisfaction that Cyprus and Moldova authorised publication of the reports addressed to them and the authorities of the Czech Republic were invited to do likewise as soon as possible in accordance with standing practice. The deadline fixed for submission of Situation Reports on the implementation of the recommendations contained in the reports was 31 October 2012 in all three cases.

14. The plenary also examined the draft Third Round Compliance Reports on Albania, France, Norway, Spain and Sweden. They had been prepared, on the basis of Situation Reports submitted by the authorities of the countries concerned, in consultation with Rapporteurs designated on behalf of Armenia and Slovenia for Albania; Albania and Belgium for France; Iceland and Romania for Norway; Italy and Estonia for Spain and on behalf of Finland and Poland for Sweden.

15. When adopting the Third Round Compliance Reports on Albania (Greco RCMIII (2011) 3E), France (Greco RC-III (2011) 1E) Norway (Greco RC-III (2011) 2E) and Spain (Greco RC-III (2011) 5E) GRECO fixed the deadline for providing additional information on the further implementation of recommendations at 31 October 2012 in all four cases.

16. When adopting the Third Round Compliance Report on Sweden (Greco RC-III (2011) 4E), GRECO concluded that the overall response to the recommendations was “globally unsatisfactory” in the meaning of Rule 31, paragraph 8.3 of the Rules of Procedure and therefore decided to apply Rule 32, paragraph 2(i) and asked the authorities to provide a report on progress in implementing the pending recommendations by 31 October 2011 at the latest, for examination by GRECO 53 (5 – 9 December 2011).

17. GRECO invited the countries concerned to authorise the publication of the reports mentioned in paragraphs 15 and 16 above as soon as possible.

18. Furthermore, GRECO adopted the Third Round Interim Compliance Report on Luxembourg (GRECO RC-III (2010) 4E Interim Report). Following the application of Rule 32 paragraph 2(i), the report assessed information supplied by Luxembourg on progress made in the implementation of recommendations since the adoption of the Third Round Compliance Report. It was prepared in consultation with rapporteurs nominated on behalf of Moldova and Switzerland.

19. Due to the progress made by the authorities of Luxembourg, GRECO decided to suspend the application of Rule 32 and fixed the deadline for providing additional information.
information on the further implementation of recommendations at 31 December 2011. GRECO noted with satisfaction that authorisation had been given to publish the report.

20. Finally, as regards Third Round procedures, GRECO approved the list of rapporteur countries for forthcoming compliance procedures (Greco Eval III (2011) 2 Revised). Thus, Denmark and Montenegro would designate rapporteurs for Croatia; Austria and the Russian Federation for Germany and Latvia and the United Kingdom for Ireland.

VIII. Fourth Evaluation Round

21. The member of the Secretariat responsible, Ms Sophie MEUDAL-LEENDERS, introduced the draft Final Activity Report of the Working Party on the preparation of the Fourth Evaluation Round (WP-Eval IV) that was submitted for adoption by GRECO. It contained the draft Questionnaire composed of part A on corruption prevention in respect of members of parliament and part B on corruption prevention in respect of judges and prosecutors as well as the recommendations of the working party on the scope of evaluation and priority issues, the composition of GRECO delegations, desirable profile of evaluators, selection of evaluation teams and structure of on-site visits. WP-Eval IV also proposed that horizontal issues emerging from the evaluations could be discussed regularly by the plenary – starting at an early stage in the round – in order to define its position and shape its jurisprudence. Such a practice could provide useful guidance to both evaluation teams and the plenary.

22. The Introduction to the draft questionnaire stated that the choice of theme for the Fourth Evaluation Round highlighted the multidisciplinary nature of GRECO’s remit. There were clear links with previous work, notably the Second Evaluation Round which examined the executive branch of public administration, GRECO’s scrutiny of political financing during the Third Evaluation Round and it would also ensure an in-depth follow-up to a particularly important element of the First Evaluation Round - the independence of the judiciary. The questionnaire was fairly detailed and required precise answers, the purpose being to generate reliable information in preparation for evaluation visits. It was stressed that the questions did not pre-empt possible later conclusions by GRECO and that the absence, in a given country, of a feature referred to in the questionnaire would not in all cases lead to a recommendation to fill that “gap”. Such situations would need to be assessed in light of the structure of the system as a whole and the particular problems and needs occurring in the evaluated country.

23. Following a detailed examination of the draft questionnaire, as regards the scope of the evaluation, particularly bearing in mind the federal and confederal States among GRECO members, it was agreed that the text should specify that the focus would be on “members of national parliaments, ...” and on “prosecutors and on judges, ..., who are subject to national laws and regulations”.

24. The President, who had chaired WP-Eval IV, agreed that a list of all texts that could be usefully referred to and provide guidance as to the standards to be considered by GRECO should be made available to delegations and evaluators.

25. Moreover, he was sensitive to concerns raised bearing in mind the need to respect the independence of parliaments, however he liked to believe that they would consider any recommendation addressed to them by GRECO constructively.

26. Minor adjustments were made to the draft questionnaire for the sake of clarity and comprehensiveness and, having endorsed the recommendations and
proposals made by WP-Eval IV, GRECO adopted the Final Activity Report – including the Questionnaire for the Fourth Evaluation Round - as it is reproduced in document WP-Eval IV (2011) 2E Final.

IX. Accession of the European Union to GRECO

27. Mr Christos GIAKOURMOPoulos, Director of Monitoring, Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs, informed the plenary that GRECO was invited to comment on the current state of discussions between the Secretariats of the Council of Europe and the European Commission on the possible modalities for participation of the EU in GRECO in order that its position be communicated to the European Commission in view of the report the latter would make to the European Council - pursuant to the Stockholm Programme and the related Action Plan - on the modalities for the Union to accede to GRECO.

28. GRECO took note of document Greco (2011) Misc 1E that outlined possible modalities for EU participation – dealing with all the core issues that would need clarification - and that reflected the most recent position of the secretariat’s interlocutors in the Secretariat of the European Commission. Note was also taken of a related Opinion (Greco 2011) 3E) of the Directorate of Legal Advice and Public Law (Jurisconsult).

29. GRECO welcomed the perspective of enhanced cooperation. For the purposes of clarifying its own position, GRECO discussed and then decided to adopt the position expressed by Bureau 56 (meeting of 18 March - Greco (2011) 4E) and gave a mandate to the President and the Secretariat to continue and intensify informal discussions with the European Commission on that basis as regards points of substance, notably the submission of EU institutions to GRECO evaluation procedures, voting rights, participation of the EU in evaluation teams and participation of GRECO in, and its possible contribution to, the EU’s planned anti-corruption reporting mechanism.

30. Note was also taken of the European Commission’s Work Programme 2011 (COM(2010) 623 final Vol. II) and GRECO stressed that “the establishment of an evaluation mechanism to assess the anti-corruption efforts in the EU” as referred to in the programme must not entail overlap with GRECO’s work.

31. Moreover, it stressed in particular that the possible participation of the European Union in GRECO should not lead to duality in evaluation procedures within the Group.

32. In its decisions, GRECO invites the European Commission to take account of GRECO’s position when it elaborates the above-mentioned report to the European Council (cf paragraph 27 above). Finally, the President and Secretariat were instructed to keep GRECO informed of the outcome of their discussions with the European Commission.


33. The Executive Secretary briefly presented the draft report which included a feature entitled Sponsorship and corruption: the German model, drawn up by Thomas RÖNNAU, Professor of criminal law, the law of economic offences and criminal procedure at Brucerius Law School, Hamburg and Ramona FRANCUSKI, LL.B., academic assistant in the same department. He felt it would be worth repeating the practice of asking an expert to draw up a feature article that examines the intricacies of an issue through the experience of a given country – as had now been the case in 2010 with Germany and in 2009 with France. Moreover, he emphasised that it could be very useful to up-date the horizontal
study “Political financing: GRECO’s first 22 evaluations” carried out by Mr Yves-Marie DOUBLET, Deputy Director of the General Secretariat of the National Assembly, France, to include the evaluations carried out since its publication.

34. GRECO adopted its Eleventh General Activity Report covering 2010 (Greco (2011) 1E Final) and the Secretariat was instructed to forward it to GRECO’s Statutory Committee and to the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe, in accordance with Article 8, paragraph 1, iii of GRECO’s Statute.

35. The President looked forward to presenting the report to the Ministers’ Deputies at their 1117th meeting on 29 June 2011. It would subsequently be made public after which time GRECO members were invited to translate the feature into their national languages and to make it available to interested circles.

36. In response to questions from the floor with reference to the section on visibility (paragraphs 46 – 47), the President and the Executive Secretary reminded the plenary that enhancing visibility had for some time been a clear policy of the Council of Europe. When approached by the media, any statements made were strictly based on the wording adopted by GRECO in reports that had been published. The same principle was applied when preparing press releases in cooperation with the Directorate of Communication, in connection with the publication of evaluation reports.

XI. Adoption of decisions

37. The decisions of the 50th Plenary Meeting were adopted, as they appear in document Greco (2011) 5E Revised.

XII. Forthcoming meetings

38. GRECO noted that the 51st Plenary Meeting would be held in Strasbourg on 23 – 27 May 2011.
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LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

ALBANIA / ALBANIE
Mr Ivi KASO (Head of delegation)
Director, Department of Internal Administrative Control and Anti-Corruption (DIACA), Council of Ministers

M. Edmond DUNGA (Member of Albanian delegation and evaluator – Moldova)
Head of Office in the Anticorruption Secretariat, Regional Anti-Corruption Initiative (RAI) Secretariat

Mrs Helena PAPA
Inspector/Coordinator, Department of Internal Administrative Control and Anti-Corruption, Council of Ministers

Mr Albert MECA (Evaluator – Cyprus)
Judge, President of Tirana District Court

ANDORRA / ANDORRE
M. Sergi ALIS SOULIE (Chef de délégation)
Unité de Prévention et Lutte contre la Corruption, Présidence du gouvernement

M. Gérard ALIS EROLES
Avocat, Présidence du gouvernement

ARMENIA / ARMENIE
Ms Anna MARGARYAN
Chair of Criminal Law and Criminology, Yerevan State University

AUSTRIA / Autriche
Mr Christian MANQUET (Head of delegation)
Head of Unit, Directorate for Penal Legislation, Federal Ministry of Justice

Mr Christian EISNER
Expert on Party Financing, Federal Chancellery

Ms Claudia LODERBAUER
Federal Ministry of the Interior

Mr Hubert SICKINGER (Evaluator – Czech Republic)
Research fellow, Institute of Conflict Research, Vienna University

azerbaijan / AZERBAIDJAN
Mr Elnur MUSAYEV – Apologised / Excusé
Senior Prosecutor, Anticorruption Department, General Prosecutor's Office

BELARUS
Mr Pavel SASCHEKO
Head of criminological forecasting, Division of key trends, dynamics and structure of organised crime and corruption, Scientific and Practical Centre of problems of the rule of law and order to the General Prosecutor of Belarus

BELGIUM / BELGIQUE
M. Frederik DECRUYENAERE (Chef de délégation)
Attaché au Service du droit pénal spécial, Service Public Fédéral Justice (SPF Justice)

M. Guido HOSTYN (Membre de la délégation belge et évaluateur – Moldova)
Premier conseiller de direction, Secrétaire de la Commission de contrôle des dépenses électorales
BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA / BOSNIE-HERZEGOVINE
Mr Sead TEMIM
Prosecutor, Federal Prosecutor’s Office of the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina

BULGARIA/ BULGARIE
Mr Georgi RUPCHEV (Head of delegation)
State Expert, Directorate of International Cooperation and European Affairs, Ministry of Justice

CROATIA / CROATIE
Mr Marin MRČELA (Head of delegation)
Vice-Président du GRECO – Vice-President of GRECO
Justice of the Supreme Court

CYPRUS / CHYPRE
Mr Philippos KOMODROMOS (Head of delegation)
Counsel of the Republic, Law Office of the Republic of Cyprus

Mrs Eva ROSSIDOU PAPAKYRIACOU
Senior Counsel of the Republic, Law Office of the Republic of Cyprus

CZECH REPUBLIC / REPUBLIQUE TCHQUE
Ms Helena LIŠUCHOVÁ (Head of delegation)
Legal Expert, Department for International Programmes and Cooperation, Ministry of Justice,

Mr Tomáš HUDEČEK
Legal expert, Department for International Programmes and Cooperation, Ministry of Justice

Ms Marta LÉBLOVÁ
Expert, Public Administration Section, Ministry of the Interior

DENMARK / DANEMARK
Mr Flemming DENKER (Head of delegation)
Deputy Director, Public Prosecutor for Serious Economic Crime

ESTONIA / ESTONIE
Ms PalomaMKrõõt TUPAY
Advisor, Public Law Division, Legislative Policy Department, Ministry of Justice

FINLAND / FINLANDE
Mr Kaarle J. LEHMUS (Head of delegation)
Inspector General of the Police, National Police Board

FRANCE
M. Jean ALEGRE (Chef de délégation)
Chargé de mission auprès du directeur des affaires juridiques, Ministère des Affaires étrangères et européennes

M. François BADIE
Chef du Service Central de Prévention de la Corruption (SCPC), Ministère de la Justice et des Libertés

M. Régis PIERRE
Magistrat, Adjoint au Chef du bureau du droit économique et financier, Direction des affaires criminelles et des grâces

M Guy PRUNIER
Ministère de l’ Intérieur

M. Stéphane GAUVIN
Adjoint au Chef du Service Juridique de la Responsable du pôle des partis politiques Commission Nationale des Comptes de Campagne et des Financements Politiques (CNCCFP)
GEORGIA / GEORGIE
Ms Nino SARISHVILI
Adviser to the Analytical Department, Ministry of Justice

GERMANY / ALLEMAGNE
Ms Nora KAISER

GREECE / GRECE
Mr Dimitrios GIZIS (Member of Greek delegation and evaluator – Cyprus)
Prosecutor, Court of 1st Instance, ATHENS
Mrs Panagiota VATIKALOU
Judge of First Instance of Chania

HUNGARY / HONGRIE
Mr Ákos KARA (Head of delegation)
Deputy Head of Department, Ministry of Justice

ICELAND / ISLANDE
Mr Helgi Magnús GUNNARSSON
Public Prosecutor, Head of Unit for Investigation and Prosecution of Economic Crime, National Commissioner of the Police

IRELAND / IRLANDE
Ms Aileen HARRINGTON
Assistant Principal Officer, Criminal Law Reform, Department of Justice and Law Reform
Mr Aidan MOORE (Evaluator – Cyprus)
Assistant Principal Officer, Standards Commission Secretariat, Standards in Public Office Commission

ITALY / ITALIE
Mme Anna PAGOTTO
Juge, Ministère de la Justice, Direction Générale de la justice pénale, Bureau I des affaires législatives et internationales

LATVIA / LETTONIE
Mrs Inese TERINKA
Senior Specialist, Administrative Division, Corruption Prevention and Combating Bureau

LIECHTENSTEIN
Mr Peter C. MATT (Head of delegation)
Diplomatic Officer, Office for Foreign Affairs

LITHUANIA / LITUANIE
Ms Aušra BERNOTIENE (Head of delegation)
Director, Department of International Law, Ministry of Justice
Mr Petras RAGAUSKAS (Evaluator – Cyprus)
Deputy Director for scientific research and expertise, Law Institute, Associated Professor, Institute of International Relations and Political Science, University of Vilnius

LUXEMBOURG
M. Jean BOUR (Chef de délégation)
Procureur d’Etat, Parquet du Tribunal d’Arrondissement de Diekirch
Mme Doris WOLTZ
Procureur d’Etat adjoint, Tribunal d’Arrondissement de Luxembourg

MALTA / MALTE
Mr Leonard CARUANA
Advocate, Attorney General’s Office
MOLDOVA
Mme Cornelia VICLEANSCHI (Chef de délégation)
Procureur, Chef de la Section Générale, Bureau du Procureur Général

Mr Radu COTICI
Chief of legislation and anti-corruption proofing Directorate, Centre for fighting economic crimes and corruption (CCCEC)

Mme Corina BORZIN
Chef de la Direction juridique de la Commission électorale centrale
Mme Liliana IACONI
Chef de la Direction analyse, monitoring et évaluation des politiques du Ministère des Finances

Mr Valeriu SECAS
Chef de la Direction juridique du Ministère des Finances

MONACO
M. Thierry PERRIQUET
Conseiller près la Cour d’Appel, Palais de Justice

MONTENEGRO
Ms Nina KRGOVIC
Head of Department for International Cooperation, Directorate for Anticorruption Initiative

NETHERLANDS / PAYS-BAS
Ms Nicole VISSCHER (Head of delegation)
Senior Policy Advisor, Ministry of Justice

Mr Harry DE WIT
Programme manager, Public administrative integrity, Directorate Public Sector Employment Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom Relations

NORWAY / NORVEGE
Mr Atle ROALDSØY (Head of delegation)
Senior Advisor, Ministry of Justice, Police Department

Mr Jens-Oscar NERGÅRD
Senior Advisor, Ministry of Government Administration and Reform

Mr Christian Fredrik HORST
Deputy Director General, Ministry of Government Administration and Reform

POLAND / POLOGNE
Mr Piotr FIRLUS
Advisor to the Chief of Office of the Prime Minister, Office for Plenipotentiary of the Government for Preparation of the Programme for Prevention of the Irregularities in Public Institutions

Ms Katarzyna NASZCZYNSKA
European Criminal Law Division, Department of Judicial Cooperation and European Law, Ministry of Justice

PORTUGAL
Mr Daniel MARINHO PIRES
Legal Advisor, International Affairs Department, Ministry of Justice

Mr Paulo de SOUSA MENDES (Evaluator – Czech Republic) – Apologised / Excusé
Professor of Law, Faculty of Law of the University of Lisbon

ROMANIA / ROUMANIE
Ms Anca CHELARU (Head of delegation)
Deputy Director, Department for Relations with the Public Ministry, Prevention of Crime and Corruption, Ministry of Justice and Citizens Freedoms
Ms Anca JURMA (Member of Romanian delegation and evaluator – Czech Republic)
Chief Prosecutor, International Cooperation Service, National Anticorruption Directorate,
Prosecutors’ Office attached to the High Court of Cassation and Justice

Ms Laura STEFAN (Evaluator – Moldova)
Anticorruption Coordinator, Romanian Academic Society

RUSSIAN FEDERATION / FEDERATION DE RUSSIE
Mr Aleksandr BUKSMAN (Head of delegation)
First Deputy Prosecutor General, Prosecutor General’s Office

Mr Oleg PLOKHOI
Deputy Head of the Presidential Department for Civil Service and Human Resources, Administration
of the President

Mr Aslan YUSUFOV
Deputy Head of Directorate, Head of Section of supervision over implementation of anti-corruption
legislation, Prosecutor General’s Office

Mr Andrei ILYIN
Councillor of the Presidential Department for Civil Service and Human Resources, Administration of
the President

Mr Konstantin KOSORUKOV
Permanent Representation of the Russian Federation to the Council of Europe

SAN MARINO / SAINT MARIN
M. Eros GASPERONI (Chef de délégation)
Premier Secrétaire, Ministère des affaires Étrangères

REPUBLIC OF SERBIA / REPUBLIQUE DE SERBIE
Ms Zorana MARKOVIC (Head of Serbian delegation and evaluator – Czech Republic)
Director, Anti-Corruption Agency

Ms Ivana PETRIN
Legal Advisor, Unit for the control of financial reports of political parties, Anti Corruption Agency

SLOVAK REPUBLIC / REPUBLIQUE SLOVAQUE
Mr Ronald KAKAS
Director of the Strategic Analysis and International Cooperation Department, Bureau of the Fight
Against Corruption, Police Headquarters of the Slovak Republic, Ministry of the Interior

SLOVENIA / SLOVENIE
Mr Drago KOS
President of GRECO / Président du GRECO
Former Chief Commissioner of the Commission for the Prevention of Corruption

Ms Vita HABJAN
Assistant Head, Sector for Prevention, Commission for the Prevention of Corruption

SPAIN / ESPAGNE
Mr Rafael VAILLO
Technical Counsellor, D.G. for International Cooperation, Ministry of Justice

Mr Íñigo ORTIZ DE URBINA GIMENO
Advisor, Ministry of Justice, MADRID

SWEDEN / SUÈDE
Mr Olof NYMAN (Head of Delegation)
Legal Advisor, Division for Criminal Law, Ministry of Justice

Ms Feryal MENTES
Legal Advisor, Ministry of Justice
SWITZERLAND / SUISSE
M. Ernst GNAEGI (Chef de délégation suisse et évaluateur - Moldova)
Chef de l’unité du droit pénal international, Office fédéral de la Justice

M. Olivier GONIN
Collaborateur scientifique, Unité du droit pénal international, Office fédéral de la justice,

Mr Jacques RAYROUD
Procureur fédéral, Ministère public de la Confédération

"THE FORMER YUGOSLAV REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA" / "L'EX-RÉPUBLIQUE YOUGOSLAVE DE MACÉDOINE"
Ms Slagjana TASEVA (Head of delegation)
Professor in Criminal Law

TURKEY / TURQUIE
Mr Ömer Faruk ALTINTAŞ (Head of Delegation)
Judge, Deputy Director General for International Law and Foreign Affairs, Ministry of Justice

Mr Mete DEMIRCI
Inspector, Prime Ministry Inspection Board

Mr Yuksel YILMAZ
Deputy Head, Prime Ministry Inspection Board

UKRAINE
Ms Olena SMIRNOVA
Head of Unit responsible for development of anticorruption policy, Ministry of Justice

UNITED KINGDOM / ROYAUME-UNI
Ms Helen SMITH
Senior policy Advisor, International Anti-corruption, International Relations, Human Rights and International Directorate, Ministry of Justice

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA / ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE
Ms Jane LEY (Head of Delegation)
Deputy Director, US Office of Government Ethics

PRESIDENT OF THE STATUTORY COMMITTEE OF GRECO / PRÉSIDENT DU COMITÉ STATUTAIRE DU GRECO
Apologised / Excusé

PARLIAMENTARY ASSEMBLY OF THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE / ASSEMBLÉE PARLEMENTAIRE DU CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE
Apologised / Excusé

REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CDCJ / REPRÉSENTANT DU CDCJ
Apologised / Excusé

REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CDPC / REPRÉSENTANT DU CDPC
Mr Damir VEJO
Deputy Director, Ministry of Security of Bosnia and Herzegovina

COUNCIL OF EUROPE DEVELOPMENT BANK / BANQUE DE DEVELOPPEMENT DU CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE
Mr Jan DE BEL
Chief compliance Officer ad interim, Council of Europe Development Bank

OBSERVER UNITED NATIONS – UNODC / OBSERVATEUR NATIONS UNIES – ONUDC
Apologised / Excusé

OBSERVER OECD / OBSERVATEUR OCDE
Apologised / Excusé
Theme I – Incriminations
Mr Albert MECA
Judge, President of Tirana District Court

Mr Dimitrios GIZIS
Prosecutor, Court of 1st Instance, ATHENS

Theme II – Party Funding / Financement des partis politiques
Mr Aidan MOORE
Assistant Principal Officer, Standards Commission Secretariat, Standards in Public Office Commission

Mr Petras RAGAUSKAS
Deputy Director for scientific research and expertise, Law Institute, Associated Professor, Institute of International Relations and Political Science, University of Vilnius

Third Round Evaluation report on the Czech Republic
Rapport d’Evaluation du Troisième Cycle sur la République tchèque

Theme I – Incriminations
Mr Paulo de SOUSA MENDES - Apologised / Excusé
Professor of Law, Faculty of Law of the University of Lisbon

Ms Anca JURMA
Chief Prosecutor, International Cooperation Service, National Anticorruption Directorate, Prosecutors’ Office attached to the High Court of Cassation and Justice

Theme II - Party Funding / Financement des partis politiques
Ms Zorana MARKOVIC
Director, Anti-Corruption Agency

Mr Hubert SICKINGER
Research fellow, Institute of Conflict Research, Lecturer (political science), Vienna University

Mr Justin FISHER
Professor of Political Science, Director, Magna Carta Institute, Brunel University

Third Round Evaluation report on Moldova / Rapport d’Evaluation du Troisième Cycle sur le Moldova

Theme I – Incriminations
M. Edmond DUNGA
Buream Member, Head of the Office in the Anticorruption Secretariat, Regional Anti-Corruption Initiative (RAI) Secretariat

M. Ernst GNAEGI
Chef de l’unité du droit pénal international, Office fédéral de la Justice

Theme II - Party Funding / Financement des partis politiques
M. Guido HOSTYN
Premier conseiller de direction, Secrétaire de la Commission de contrôle des dépenses électorales,

Ms Laura STEFAN
Anticorruption Coordinator, Romanian Academic Society
Rapporteurs
Third Round Compliance Reports / Rapports de Conformité du Troisième Cycle

Albania / Albanie
Ms Anna MARGARYAN (Armernia / Arménie)
Ms Vita HABJAN (Slovenia / Slovénie)

France
Mr Edmond DUNGA (Albania / Albanie)
Mr Guido HOSTYN (Belgium / Belgique)

Norway / Norvège
Mr Helgi Magnús GUNNARSSON (Iceland / Islande)
Ms Anca CHELARU (Romania / Roumanie)

Spain / Espagne
Ms Paloma Krööt TUPAY (Estonia / Estonie)
Ms Anna PAGOTTO (Italy / Italie)

Sweden / Suède
Mr Kaarle LEHMUS (Finland / Finlande)
Ms Katarzyna NASZCZYNSKA (Poland / Pologne)

Luxembourg
Mme Cornelia VICLEANSCHI (Moldova)
M. Ernst GNÄGI (Switzerland / Suisse)

Council of Europe Secretariat / Secrétariat du Conseil de l'Europe

Mr Christos GIAKOUMPOULOS, Director of Monitoring / Directeur des monitorings

Mr Wolfgang RAU, Executive Secretary of GRECO / Secrétaire Exécutif du GRECO

Assistant / Assistante
Ms Elspeth REILLY, Personal Assistant to the Executive Secretary / Assistante Particulière du Secrétaire Exécutif

Administrative Officers / Administrateurs
Mr Björn JANSON
M. Christophe SPECKBACHER
Ms Laura SANZ-LEVIA
Ms Tania VAN DIJK
Ms Sophie MEUDAL-LEENDERS
Mr Michael JANSSEN

Central Office / Bureau Central
Ms Penelope PREBENSEN, Administrative Assistant / Assistante Administrative
Mme Laure PINCEMAILLE, Assistant / Assistante
Mme Marie-Rose PREVOST, Assistant / Assistante

Webmaster
Ms Simona GHITA, Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs / Direction générale des droits de l'Homme et des affaires juridiques
Mme Marie-Rose PREVOST, GRECO

Interpreters / Interpretes
Ms Sally BAILEY-RAVET
Ms Isabelle MARCHINI
Ms Julia TANNER
APPENDIX II

AGENDA

1. Opening of the meeting / Ouverture de la réunion **09h30**
2. Adoption of the agenda / Adoption de l’ordre du jour

3. Introductory speech by Maud de BOER-BUQUICCHIO, Deputy Secretary General of the Council of Europe on the occasion of GRECO’s 50th Plenary Meeting / Discours introductif par Maud de BOER-BUQUICCHIO, Secrétaire Générale Adjointe du Conseil de l’Europe à l’occasion du 50ème Réunion Plénière du GRECO **(Monday / lundi, 10h00)**

4. Information from the President, Delegations and the Executive Secretary (including on Bureau 56) / Informations du Président, des Délégations et du Secrétaire Exécutif (y compris sur le Bureau 56)

5. First reading of draft Third Round Evaluation Reports on: / Première lecture des projets de Rapports d’Évaluation du Troisième Cycle sur :
   - **Cyprus / Chypre**
     (Monday / lundi)
   - **Czech Republic / République Tchèque**
     (Tuesday / mardi)
   - **Moldova**
     (Wednesday / mercredi)

6. Examination and adoption of the Addendum to the Joint First and Second Round Compliance Report on **Andorra** / Examen et adoption de l’Addendum au Rapport de Conformité des Premier et Deuxième Cycles Conjoints sur **Andorre**

7. Examination and adoption of the Addendum to the Second Round Compliance Report on **Bosnia and Herzegovina** / Examen et adoption de l’Addendum au Rapport de Conformité du Deuxième Cycle sur la **Bosnie-Herzégovine**

8. Examination and adoption of the Third Round Compliance Reports on **Albania**, **France**, **Norway**, **Spain** and **Sweden** / Examen et adoption des Rapports de Conformité du Troisième Cycle sur l’**Albanie**, la **France**, la **Norvège**, l’**Espagne** et la **Suède**


10. Fourth Evaluation Round – consideration and adoption of the final report including the draft evaluation questionnaire prepared by WP-Eval IV (approved by Bureau 56) / Quatrième Cycle d’Évaluation – examen et adoption du rapport final incluant le projet de questionnaire d’évaluation préparé par WP-Eval IV (approuvé par le Bureau 56)

11. Accession of the European Union to GRECO – draft proposals on modalities for consideration / Adhésion de l’Union Européenne au GRECO – projet de propositions de modalités pour examen

12. Adoption of the Eleventh General Activity Report - 2010 (approved by Bureau 56) / Adoption du Onzième rapport général d’activités – 2010 (approuvé par le Bureau 56)
13. Third Round Compliance Procedure regarding Croatia, Germany and Ireland – Selection of rapporteur countries (Bureau 56 proposals) / Procédure de conformité du Troisième Cycle à l’égard de la Croatie, de l’Allemagne et de l’Irlande – Sélection de pays rapporteurs (propositions du Bureau 56)

14. **Second reading** and adoption of the draft Third Round Evaluation Reports on Cyprus (Thursday), Czech Republic and Moldova (Friday) / Deuxième lecture et adoption des projets de Rapports d’Evaluation du Troisième Cycle sur Chypre (jeudi), la République Tchèque et Moldova (vendredi)

15. Miscellaneous / Divers

16. Adoption of decisions / Adoption des décisions

17. Dates of next meetings / Dates des prochaines réunions