22nd Plenary Meeting of GRECO
(Strasbourg, 14-18 March 2005)

SUMMARY MEETING REPORT
I. **Opening of the meeting**

1. The 22nd Plenary Meeting of GRECO was opened and chaired by the President, Mr Drago KOS (Slovenia). The list of participants appears in Appendix I to this report.

2. The President welcomed all representatives, referring in particular to those who had been newly nominated. He expressed satisfaction in welcoming the representatives of Andorra which had joined GRECO as its 39th member on 28 January 2005. The Head of Delegation of Andorra looked forward to making a useful contribution to GRECO’s work.

II. **Adoption of the agenda**

3. The draft agenda was adopted as it appears in Appendix II to this report.

III. **Information provided by the President**

4. The President reported on a presentation on “Corruption and Human Rights” which he had made the previous week to the Society of Greek Criminologists. Participants had shown great interest in and good knowledge of GRECO’s work. During his visit, the Greek authorities had indicated their intention to shortly authorise publication of GRECO’s First Round Compliance Report on Greece.\(^1\)

5. He reported on the principal items examined by the Bureau at its 28th meeting, held in Strasbourg on 3-4 February 2005 (cf. Greco (2005) 3E):

   - the intention of the Council of Europe Development Bank (CEB) to make a formal request to appoint a representative to GRECO. The Bureau would raise no objection to this request which would be decided on by the Committee of Ministers after consulting GRECO, pursuant to Article 7, paragraph 2 of GRECO’s Statute;

   - the G8 commitment to counteract large scale or “grand” corruption and to facilitate the recovery of illicitly acquired assets through the setting up of “rapid response teams” (cf. presentation by Mr Mark RICHARD below);

   - the results of the preliminary discussion by the Bureau on possible topics for GRECO’s Third Evaluation Round. He called upon the active participation of all delegations in the round table discussions to be held on this matter during the present Plenary. A decision on the topics of the Third Evaluation Round would then be taken by GRECO 23;

   - detailed discussions on voting, resulting in the laying down of a clear procedure to be recalled by the Secretariat and followed by GRECO when the need to vote arises.

IV. **Information provided by the Executive Secretary**

6. The Executive Secretary reported on the following developments since GRECO’s 21st Plenary Meeting (December 2004):

   - his participation in the first meeting of the Study Group on a follow-up monitoring process for the United Nations Convention against Corruption (UNCAC) (Paris, 8

---

\(^1\) Following authorisation by the national authorities, the First Round Compliance Report on Greece was made public on 23 March 2005.
December 2004). The Group is an initiative of Transparency International (TI) and includes representatives of the United Nations Office for Drug Control and Crime Prevention (UNODC), UNICRI, OECD, US Department of Justice and DFID (Department for International Development – United Kingdom). An “Outline for TI Study of Follow-up Monitoring Process for the United Nations Convention against Corruption” forms the basis of the Study Group’s discussions. Transparency International is conducting the study with a view to developing specific proposals for UNCAC monitoring to be submitted to UNODC by end 2005. The Conference of States Parties provided for under the Convention will be held once 30 States have ratified UNCAC (maybe by end 2006) and the issue of monitoring will no doubt be an important item on the Conference’s agenda. The Secretariat has submitted a description of the GRECO mechanism to be included in the Outline under the heading “Survey of Existing Monitoring Programmes”. So far, discussions within the Study Group show that the United Nations considers that no monitoring is better than bad monitoring; that duplication is to be avoided; and that a system may be adopted whereby the same issue is not monitored in each State Party. This innovative idea was tabled bearing in mind indications that some regions may not accept uniform monitoring. GRECO may be asked to contribute to monitoring and the Executive Secretary recalled that the Deputy Secretary General of the Council of Europe, Maud DE BOER-BUQUICCHIO, had indicated, during the Opening session of the Inter Agency Group on Anti-corruption Co-ordination (IGAC) (Merida, Mexico : December 2003) that the Council of Europe could contribute to UNCAC monitoring. The Secretariat will continue to be represented at the Study Group meetings, the next of which was to be held on 18 March 2005;

- a draft European Council Resolution concerning the communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament and the European Economic and Social Committee on a comprehensive EU policy against corruption (agreed on at a meeting of the Article 36 Committee (CATS) on 1 March 2005) which urges members to ratify the Civil and Criminal Conventions on Corruption (ETS n° 173 and 174) and sets out two options for monitoring, either participation in GRECO or a monitoring mechanism to evaluate only European Union instruments. It was to be noted that two options had apparently been abandoned: a separate European Union mechanism with a more comprehensive monitoring mandate and a mechanism to monitor both European Union instruments and UNCAC;

- a forthcoming meeting between the Secretary General of the Council of Europe, Mr Terry DAVIS and the Vice-President of the European Commission and Commissioner for Justice, Security and Liberty, Mr Franco FRATTINI, where the possible accession of the European Union to GRECO might be evoked;

- no new ratifications of the Criminal Law Convention on Corruption (ETS n° 173);

- the ratification by Armenia of the Civil Law Convention on Corruption (ETS n° 174), the Convention will enter into force in respect of Armenia on 1 May 2005;

- the entry into force of the Additional Protocol to the Criminal Law Convention on Corruption (ETS n° 191) on 1 February 2005 (States Party : Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Norway, Romania, Slovenia, Sweden, United Kingdom);

- the Council of Europe Member States which had not yet joined GRECO were Austria, Italy, Liechtenstein, the Russian Federation, San Marino, Switzerland and Ukraine. The Secretariat had heard that Austria was in the process of ratifying the Criminal and Civil Law Conventions on Corruption (ETS n° 173 and 174) and may therefore join GRECO in the not too distant future. Switzerland was likely to complete the ratification process of the Criminal Law Convention on Corruption (ETS n° 173) by early 2006. The Russian Federation had begun the ratification
process of the Criminal Law Convention on Corruption. In a recent speech to the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe, the President of Ukraine, Mr YUSHCHENKO had made a pledge to “cure the Ukrainian public authorities of corruption”. Ukraine had shown strong interest in GRECO and intended to ratify the Civil Law Convention on Corruption shortly’. In addition, Mr P. SCHIEDER, President of the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe had, in a key note speech delivered to the Standing Committee of the Mexican Union Congress (Mexico City, 12 January 2005), encouraged the authorities of Mexico to ratify the Criminal Law Convention on Corruption;

- the completion of a reworked and newly designed GRECO leaflet on “Monitoring compliance with Council of Europe anti-corruption standards”, copies of which would be available by the next Plenary.

V. Second Round Evaluation procedure

Examination of the draft Evaluation Reports on Albania, Denmark and Sweden

7. GRECO examined the draft (P3) Second Round Evaluation Report on Albania.

8. Members of the Evaluation Team (cf. list of participants) made introductory presentations. The representatives of Albania presented their authorities’ comments, which were followed by a first reading of the draft report (paragraph by paragraph).


10. GRECO examined the draft (P3) Second Round Evaluation Report on Denmark.

11. Members of the Evaluation Team made introductory presentations. The representatives of Denmark presented their authorities’ comments, which were followed by a first reading of the draft report (paragraph by paragraph).


14. Members of the Evaluation Team (cf. list of participants) made introductory presentations. The representatives of Sweden presented their authorities’ comments, which were followed by a first reading of the draft report (paragraph by paragraph).


16. GRECO invited the authorities of Albania, Denmark and Sweden to authorise, as soon as possible, the publication of their respective Evaluation Reports.


2 During the present Plenary, GRECO was informed that the Ukrainian Parliament had ratified that convention on 16 March 2005.
VI. **First Round Compliance procedure**

*Examination of the draft Compliance Reports on the Czech Republic, Hungary, and the Netherlands*

18. GRECO examined the draft (P3) First Round Compliance report on the **Czech Republic**. It was based on the Situation Report forwarded by the Czech authorities (Greco RS-I (2004) 12E) and additional information provided by the country. Following a debate, GRECO adopted the First Round Compliance Report on the Czech Republic as it appears in Greco RC-I (2004) 12E.

19. GRECO examined the draft (P3) First Round Compliance report on **Hungary**. It was based on the Situation Report forwarded by the Hungarian authorities (Greco RS-I (2004) 14E) and additional information provided by the country. Following a debate, GRECO adopted the First Round Compliance Report on Hungary as it appears in Greco RC-I (2004) 14E.

20. GRECO examined the draft (P3) First Round Compliance report on the **Netherlands**. It was based on the Situation Report forwarded by the authorities of the Netherlands (Greco RS-I (2004) 13E) and additional information provided by the country. Following a debate, GRECO adopted the First Round Compliance Report on the Netherlands as it appears in Greco RC-I (2004) 13E.

21. GRECO noted with satisfaction that the national authorities authorised publication of the First Round Compliance Report on Hungary.

22. GRECO invited the delegations of the Czech Republic, and the Netherlands to authorise publication of their respective First Round Compliance Reports.

VII. **Procedure under Rule 32, paragraph 2 of the Rules of Procedure**

23. GRECO examined the Comments III (Greco Eval I (2005) 2E) prepared by its Special Rapporteur, Mr Ákos KARA (Hungary), on information provided by the delegation of Georgia pursuant to Rule 32, paragraph 2, al. (i) of GRECO’s Rules of Procedure and in accordance with Decision 12 of GRECO 16 and Decision 17 of GRECO 19. GRECO welcomed the progress reported in respect of a number of First Round recommendations and urged the Georgian authorities to pursue their efforts to comply with recommendations not yet addressed in an appropriate manner, bearing in mind the observations made in the aforementioned Comments III. The delegation of Georgia was invited to submit to the Executive Secretary by 6 June 2005 the additional information necessary for the overall assessment by GRECO 24 of Georgia’s compliance with GRECO’s First Round Recommendations, (cf. paragraph 116 of the First Round Compliance Report on Georgia – Greco RC-I (2003) 12E).

VIII. **Draft amendment to GRECO’s Rules of Procedure**

24. GRECO examined an amendment - proposed by Bureau 28 - to Rule 31 of its Rules of Procedure concerning Additional Reports required by First Round Compliance Reports. The amendment was adopted (Greco (2004) 20 Final) and the Secretariat was instructed to revise the Rules of Procedure accordingly.

25. GRECO examined its draft Fifth General Activity Report (2004) which had been approved by Bureau 28. The President welcomed the inclusion, for the first time, of a substantive issue: “Immunities of public officials as possible obstacles in the fight against corruption”; this innovation had greatly improved the quality of the report. Ideally, future General Activity Reports should also address matters of substance, and could thus become more attractive to interested specialist circles and the general public.

26. The representative of the United States of America, Mr Mark RICHARD, suggested that reference be made to the general trend, at international level, for evaluation and monitoring mechanisms and the need for a detailed examination of the implications with regard to international resources and competences available for implementing such monitoring. The President indicated the need for the report to reflect GRECO’s position during the period covered as well as the fact that reflections, at international level, on the development of monitoring mechanisms, in particular UNCAC monitoring, were not as yet advanced enough for a position to be taken on the issue. The Executive Secretary indicated that the concerns expressed by the Representative of the United States of America would certainly be included in the discussions to be held on the content of GRECO’s Third Evaluation Round and could in that context be covered by the substantive section in GRECO’s Sixth General Activity Report (2005) which could deal with its future work and how it perceives its role, making reference, in particular, to the multiplication of international monitoring mechanisms. The President asked the Executive Secretary to ensure that such a proposal be made when preparing GRECO’s Sixth General Activity Report (2005).

27. The Report was adopted (Greco (2005) 1E Final) and the Secretariat was instructed to forward it to the Statutory Committee and to the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe, in accordance with Article 8, para. 1, iii of the Statute. GRECO noted that the President of GRECO would be asked to present the report at a hearing during a forthcoming meeting of the Ministers’ Deputies of the Council of Europe.

X. Third Evaluation Round

28. The President opened the round table discussion on possible topics for GRECO’s Third Evaluation Round, drawing attention to the substantive issues discussed by Bureau 28 as outlined in its Summary Report (Greco (2005) 3E).

29. The Executive Secretary presented the main conclusions drawn by the Secretariat after detailed consideration of the possible options, as reflected in the Summary Report of Bureau 28. The Secretariat proposal: “Public accountability and corruption” would cover GPC 13 (public liability/accountability) and GPC 15 (codes of conduct for elected representatives; financing of political parties and election campaigns) in conjunction with Recommendations No. R (2000) 10 on Codes of Conduct for Public Officials and Rec(2003)4 on Common Rules against Corruption in the Funding of Political Parties and Electoral Campaigns.

30. The President gave the floor to each delegation in turn. A large number of delegations voiced support for the Secretariat proposal. There was general support for the idea that the Third Evaluation Round should have a clearer focus and identity than previous rounds. The multidisciplinary nature of GRECO would be well reflected by this choice of topic. The funding of political parties was in particular highlighted as being a very topical issue which reflected public concern and debate in a number of member States. Despite the highly sensitive nature of
the issues to be examined, the President felt that, if it succeeded, GRECO’s position on the international scene would be strengthened.

31. Some doubts were expressed as to monitoring one or other specific part of the Secretariat proposal. Some suggestions were made to include GPC 1, Article 19, para. 1 of the Criminal Law Convention on Corruption, legislation on conflicts of interest and to reflect upon possible implications of Articles 10 and 11 of the European Convention on Human Rights. However, a number of delegations strongly favoured focusing on only one aspect, in particular GPC 15 (possibly omitting, however, the issue of codes of conduct for elected representatives) and the related Recommendation Rec (2003) 4. It was considered that it may be wise not to include GPC 13 (which was linked to Article 5 of the Civil Law Convention on Corruption).

32. Some delegations expressed disagreement with the Secretariat proposal. Difficulties could be foreseen, in particular, with regard to clarifying the exact scope of monitoring. Moreover, in the absence of substantive international agreement on standards among GRECO members in this area, it would be difficult to achieve credible monitoring results. Finally, ensuring appropriate expertise in the composition of evaluation teams might prove problematical.

33. Other possible topics for the Third Evaluation Round favoured by several delegations included: the *incriminations* provided for by Articles 2 to 12 of the Criminal Law Convention on Corruption; *international cooperation in criminal matters; corruption in the private sector and trading in influence; or research on corruption*.

34. Some delegations wondered to what extent “soft-law” instruments, i.e. recommendations, could be usefully monitored, as well as to what extent monitoring was possible or desirable in the absence of pertinent domestic legislation. The President recalled that GRECO was specified as the body responsible for monitoring the standards laid down in all the six normative instruments under its purview. Moreover, not only legislation, but also rules and regulations, should form the basis of evaluation, as has already been the case to some extent during the First and Second Evaluation Rounds.

35. Several delegations stressed that GRECO’s monitoring methodology would need to be improved and rationalised. For example, its work would benefit from developing a pre-defined set of common standards/indicators to be applied in order to ensure a consistent approach and equal treatment among members. The related questionnaire should be streamlined. When eventually deciding on the topics to be covered by the Third Round, GRECO should also examine some of the logistical aspects of its work: the length of on-site visits, composition of evaluation teams and profile of national interlocutors; the balance between oral and written evaluation.

36. The need to avoid duplication of monitoring by other international bodies would need to be considered, even though not all GRECO members were concerned. More importantly, GRECO’s possible contribution to UNCAC monitoring would have to be borne in mind.

---

37. The President instructed the Secretariat to formulate, in the light of the discussions, proposals on the content of the Third Evaluation Round as well as the methodology to be applied, for further examination by Bureau 29. Subsequently, Bureau 29 would submit proposals to GRECO 23 for decision.

XII. “Grand” Corruption

38. Due to a lack of time, it was decided to postpone the presentation by Mr Mark RICHARD (Head of Delegation, United States) on large scale or “grand” corruption to GRECO 23.

XII. Miscellaneous


40. The President bid farewell to Ms Karin BINERova (Czech Republic) and Ms Angelika LAITENBERGER (Germany), wishing them success with their new professional responsibilities and thanking them for their constructive contributions to GRECO’s work.

XIII. Adoption of decisions

41. GRECO adopted the decisions of the 22nd Plenary Meeting, as they appear in Greco (2005) 4E.

XIV. Dates of forthcoming meetings

42. GRECO’s 23rd Plenary Meeting would be held in Strasbourg on 17-20 May 2005. GRECO noted that its Bureau would meet on 18-19 April 2005 in Strasbourg.
APPENDIX I

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS / LISTE DES PARTICIPANTS

ALBANIA / ALBANIE

Mr Edmond DUNGA
Director of Anti-Corruption Unit, Council of Ministers

ANDORRA/ANDORRE

M. Frédéric GUTIERREZ LE SAUX
Commissaire de Police, Unitat de Prevenció de Blanqueig (UPB)

M. Jordi PONS LLUELLS
Directeur de la UPB, Unitat de Prevenció de Blanqueig (UPB)

ARMENIA / ARMENIE

Mr Ashot GALOYAN
Adviser to the Minister of Foreign Affairs, Ministry of Foreign Affairs

AZERBAIJAN / AZERBAIDJAN

Mr Kamran ALIYEV
Senior Assistant to the Prosecutor General, General Prosecutor’s Office

BELGIUM / BELGIQUE

Mme Isabelle VAN HEERS
Vice-Présidente du GRECO – Vice-President of GRECO
Magistrat Fédéral, Parquet Fédéral

BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA / BOSNIE-HERZEGOVINE

Mr Vjekoslav VUKOVIC
Assistant Minister of Security of Bosnia and Herzegovina, Council of Ministers of Bosnia and Herzegovina, Ministry of Security

Mr Sead TEMIM
Prosecutor, Federal Prosecutor’s Office of the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina

BULGARIA / BULGARIE

Mr Georgi RUPCHEV
Head of Department International Legal Cooperation, Ministry of Justice

CROATIA / CROATIE

Mr Marin MRČELA
Judge at the County Court in Zagreb

Mr Dražen JELENIĆ
Deputy Head of USKOK, Office for Prevention of Corruption and Organised Crime

CYPRUS / CHYPRE

Mrs Eva ROSSIDOU PAPAKYRIACOU
Senior Counsel of the Republic, Head of the Unit for Combating Money Laundering, Law Office of the Republic of Cyprus
CZECH REPUBLIC / REPUBLIQUE TCHEQUE
Ms Karin BINDEROVA
Policy Maker, Ministry of the Interior

Mr Karel BLAHA
Lawyer, Ministry of Justice

DENMARK / DANEMARK
Mr Svend LARSEN
Public Prosecutor, The Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions

Ms Anne Kristine AXELSSON, Head of Department

Mr Joachim KROMANN, Head of Section

ESTONIA / ESTONIE
Ms Mari-Liis LIIV
Adviser, Corruption Specialist, Department of Crime Policy, Ministry of Justice

FINLAND / FINLANDE
Mr Kaarle J. LEHMUS
Inspector General of the Police, Ministry of the Interior, Police Department

Ms Helinä LEHTINEN
Ministerial Advisor, Ministry of Justice, Crime Policy Department

FRANCE
M. Pierre-Christian SOCCOJA
Secrétaire Général du Service Central de Prévention de la Corruption, Service Interministériel placé auprès du Ministère de la Justice

GEORGIA / GEORGIE
Mr Artem TOGNIDZE
Anti-Corruption Policy Branch, State & Public Security Department, Office of the National Security Council

GERMANY / ALLEMAGNE
Ms Angelika LAITENBERGER
State Prosecutor, Ministry of Justice

GREECE / GRECE
Mr Gerassimos FOURLANOS
Judge by the Court of Appeal in Athens, Hellenic Ministry of Justice

HUNGARY / HONGRIE
Mr Ákos KARA
Head of Division, Ministry of Justice

ICELAND / ISLANDE
Ms Ragna ARNADOTTIR - Apologised / Excusée
Director of Legal Affairs, Ministry of Justice
IRELAND / IRLANDE
Ms Nicola MURPHY
Administrative Officer, Department of Justice, Equality and Law Reform, Criminal Law Reform Division

LATVIA / LETTONIE
Mrs Inese TERINKA
Senior Specialist, Legal Division, Corruption Prevention and Combating Bureau

LITHUANIA / LITUANIE
Ms Aušra BERNOTIENE
Director, Department of International Law, Ministry of Justice

LUXEMBOURG
M. Jean-Paul FRISING - Apologised / Excusé
Procureur d’Etat adjoint, Parquet du Tribunal d’Arrondissement de Luxembourg

MALTA / MALTE
Mr Silvio CAMILLERI
Attorney General, Attorney General’s Office

MOLDOVA
Mme Cornelia VICLEANSCHI - Apologised / Excusée
Procureur, Chef de la Section Générale, Bureau du Procureur Général

MRS Elena ECHIM
Head of Directorate of International Legal Cooperation, Department of International Relations and European Integration, Ministry of Justice

NETHERLANDS / PAYS-BAS
Ms Eline WEEDA
Policy maker at the Investigation Department, Ministry of Justice

Mr Alex BELLING
Coordinator policy on integrity, Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom Relations, Directorate-General Management Public Sector

Mr Alain HOEKSTRA, Ministry of the Interior

NORWAY / NORVEGE
Mr Atle ROALDSØY
Senior Adviser, Police Department, Ministry of Justice

POLAND / POLOGNE
Mr Cezary MICHALCZUK
Prosecutor, Department of International Cooperation and European Law, Ministry of Justice

Mme Iwona JANOWSKA-MARCINIAK
Senior Specialist, Ministry of Finance, Bureau of Fiscal Documentation
PORTUGAL
Mrs Luisa Maia GONÇALVES
Director of Department, International Relations, Ministry of Justice

ROMANIA / ROUMANIE
Mr Constantin Virgil IVAN-CUCU
Director, Directorate for the Relation with the Public Prosecutor's Office, Anti-Corruption and Crime Prevention, Ministry of Justice

SERBIA AND MONTENEGRO / SERBIE-MONTENEGRO
Mr Veselin SUKOVIC
Director of the Anti-Corruption Initiative Agency

Ms Ana NIKOLIC
Legal Advisor, Anti-Corruption Initiative Agency

Mrs Aleksandra POPOVIC
Assistant Minister, Ministry of Justice

SLOVAK REPUBLIC / REPUBLIQUE SLOVAQUE
Mr Jaroslav PAL’OV - Apologised / Excusé
Director of Bureau of International Police Co-operation, Presidium of Police Forces, Head of National Central Bureau of INTERPOL

Ms Alexandra KAPISOVSKA
Adviser, Department of International Affairs, Ministry of Justice

SLOVENIA / SLOVENIE
Mr Drago KOS, President of GRECO / Président du GRECO
Chairman, Commission for the Prevention of Corruption

Ms Mateja KRIVEC
Ministry for Interior, Office for European Affairs and International Cooperation

SPAIN / ESPAGNE
Mrs Rosalia SERRANO
Legal Adviser, Ministry of Justice

Mr Atanasio GONZALEZ PASTRANA
Legal Adviser, International Relations Department, Ministry of Interior

SWEDEN / SUEDE
Mr Kazimir ÅBERG
Director of International Affairs, Head of Director – General’s Office, Economic Crimes Bureau

Mr Håkan ÖBERG
Director, Division of International Affairs, Economic Crimes Bureau

"THE FORMER YUGOSLAV REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA" / "L'EX-RÉPUBLIQUE YOUGOSLAVE DE MACÉDOINE"

Mr Nikola TUPANCEVSKI
Professor Ph. D (Criminal Law), Faculty of Law Justinianus "Primus"
TURKEY / TURQUIE

M Ergin ERGÜL
Magistrat, Chef de Département, Direction Générale du Droit International et des Relations Extérieures, Ministère de la Justice

Mr Erkan TUNÇDEMİR
Expert of Financial Crimes Investigation Board, Ministry of Finance, Financial Crimes Investigation Board

Mr Alparslan ÇALIŞKAN
Superintendent, Anti-Smuggling and Organized Crimes Department, General Directorate of Police, Ministry of the Interior

UNITED KINGDOM / ROYAUME-UNI

Ms Natasha CHETTY
Policy Advisor, Fraud and Corruption Legislation Team, Criminal Law Policy Unit, Home Office

Ms Danielle McALINDON
Foreign and Commonwealth Office, King Charles Street

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA / ETATS-UNIS D’AMERIQUE

Mr Mark RICHARD
Senior Counsellor for Criminal Justice Matters, US Mission to the European Union

Mr John M. BRANDOLINO - Apologised / Excusé
Director for Anticorruption and Governance Initiatives, US Department of State (INL)

SCIENTIFIC EXPERT / EXPERT SCIENTIFIQUE

Prof. Dr Albin ESER - Apologised / Excusé
Max Planck-Institute for Foreign and International Penal Law, Abteilung Strafrecht (Germany / Allemagne)

EXPERT-EVALUATORS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE EVALUATION OF THE FOLLOWING COUNTRIES / EXPERTS-EVALUATEURS RESPONSABLES DE L’ÉVALUATION DES PAYS SUIVANTS

GRECO EVALUATION TEAMS / EQUIPES D’ÉVALUATION DU GRECO

Second Round Evaluation of Albania / Evaluation du Deuxième Cycle de l’Albanie

Mr Gerassimos FOURLANOS (Greece/Grèce)
Judge by the Court of Appeal in Athens, Hellenic Ministry of Justice

Mr Henrik HORN (Norway/Norvège) - Apologised / Excusé
Senior Adviser, Ministry of Justice

Ms Eline WEEDA (Netherlands/Pays-Bas)
Policy maker at the Investigation Department, Ministry of Justice

Second Round Evaluation of Denmark / Evaluation du Deuxième Cycle du Danemark

Mrs Teresa GÁLVEZ (Spain/Espagne)
Prosecutor, Special Attorney General’s Office for the Repression of Economic Offences related with Corruption / Temporarily : European Criminal Judicial Cooperation Unit, Eurojust

Mrs Birgit LAITENBERGER (Germany/Allemagne)
Head of Division, Ministerial Counsellor, Ministry of Interior
Mr Bostjan PENKO (Slovenia/Slovénie)
Senior State Prosecutor, Supreme State Prosecutor’s Office

Second Round Evaluation of Sweden /
Evaluation du Deuxième Cycle de la Suède

Mr Marin MRČELA (Croatia/Croatie)
Judge at the County Court in Zagreb

Mr Alex BELLING (Netherlands/Pays-Bas)
Coordinator policy on integrity, Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom Relations, Directorate-General
Management Public Sector

Mr Antti PIHLAJAMÄKI (Finland/Finlande)
Chief District Prosecutor, Public Prosecutor’s Office, Turku Administrative District

RAPPORTEURS : Compliance Procedure/Procédure de Conformité

RC-I CZECH REPUBLIC/REPUBLIQUE TCHEQUE

Ms Isabelle VAN HEERS – Belgium/Belgique

Ms Sandor DUSIK – Hungary/Hongrie – Apologised/Excusé

RC-I HUNGARY/HONGRIE

M. Pierre-Christian SOCCOJA - France

Ms Aleksandra KAPISOVSKA – Slovak Republic/République Slovaque

RC-I NETHERLANDS/PAYS-BAS

Ms Mari-Liis LIIIV – Estonia/Estonie

Ms Ragna ARNADOTTIR – Iceland/Islande - Apologised/Excusée

PRESIDENT OF THE STATUTORY COMMITTEE OF GRECO / PRÉSIDENT DU COMITÉ
STATUTAIRE DU GRECO

Mme Anna LAMPEROVA - Apologised / Excusée
Ambassadeur Extraordinaire et Pléniépotentiaire, Représentation Permanente de la Slovaquie auprès
du Conseil de l’Europe

PARLIAMENTARY ASSEMBLY OF THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE / ASSEMBLEE
PARLEMENTAIRE DU CONSEIL DE L’EUROPE

Mr Jaume BARTUMEU CASSANY – Apologised / Excusé
Membre du Conseil Général, ANDORRE

Mr David MILNER, Deputy Secretary to the Committee on Legal Affairs and Human Rights,
Parliamentary Assembly

REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CDCJ / REPRÉSENTANT DU CDCJ

Mr Petar RASHKOV
Director, International Legal Co-operation, European Integration and International Legal
Assistance, Ministry of Justice

REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CDPC / REPRÉSENTANT DU CDPC
**OBSERVER OECD / OBSERVATEUR OCDE**

Melle Gwenaëlle LE COUSTUMER
Administratrice, Division de Lutte contre la Corruption, Direction des Affaires Financières, Fiscales et des Entreprises, Organisation de Coopération et de Développement Économiques (OCDE)

**COUNCIL OF EUROPE SECRETARIAT / SECRETARIAT DU CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE**

Mr Wolfgang RAU, Executive Secretary of GRECO, Directorate General I – Legal Affairs, Council of Europe

M. Carlo CHIAROMONTE, Administrateur, Direction Générale I - Affaires Juridiques, Conseil de l'Europe

Mr Björn JANSON, Administrative Officer, Directorate General I – Legal Affairs, Council of Europe

M. Spyros TSOVILIS, Administrateur, Direction Générale I - Affaires Juridiques, Conseil de l'Europe

Ms Lioubov SAMOKHINA, Administrative Officer, Directorate General I – Legal Affairs, Council of Europe

Ms Laura SANZ-LEVIA, Administrative Officer, Directorate General I – Legal Affairs, Council of Europe

Ms Elspeth REILLY, Assistant, Directorate General I – Legal Affairs, Council of Europe

Ms Penelope PREBENSEN, Administrative Assistant (Evaluation rounds), Directorate General I – Legal Affairs, Council of Europe

Mme Laure HEIM, Assistante, (Evaluation rounds), Directorate General I – Legal Affairs, Council of Europe

Mme Marie-Rose PREVOST, Assistante, (Evaluation rounds), Directorate General I – Legal Affairs, Council of Europe

Ms Simona GHITA, Webmaster, Direction Générale I - Affaires Juridiques, Conseil de l'Europe

**INTERPRETERS / INTERPRETES**

Mme Sally BAILEY

Mme Isabelle MARCHINI

Mme Julia TANNER
APPENDIX II

AGENDA / ORDRE DU JOUR

1. Opening of the meeting at 09h30 / Ouverture de la réunion à 09h30
2. Adoption of the draft agenda / Adoption de l’ordre du jour
3. Information by the President / Information par le Président
4. Information by the Executive Secretary / Information par le Secrétaire Exécutif
5. Communication by Bureau 28 / Communication du Bureau 28
   - Denmark / Danemark : Monday / lundi
   - Sweden / Suède : Tuesday / mardi
   - Albania / Albanie : Wednesday / mercredi

The evaluators and the national delegations concerned are, nevertheless, requested to be available during the following periods: / *Les évaluateurs et les délégations nationales concernés sont, toutefois, invités à être disponibles pendant les périodes suivantes :*
   - Denmark / Danemark : Monday all day and Tuesday morning / lundi toute la journée et mardi matin
   - Sweden / Suède : Tuesday all day and Wednesday morning / mardi toute la journée et mercredi matin
   - Albania / Albanie : Wednesday all day and Thursday morning / mercredi toute la journée et jeudi matin

7. Examination and adoption of the draft First Round *Compliance Reports* on : the Czech Republic, Hungary, and the Netherlands (Thursday) / Examen et adoption des projets de *Rapport de Conformité* du Premier Cycle sur *la République Tchèque, la Hongrie et les Pays-Bas* (jeudi)
8. *Second reading* and adoption of the draft Second Round Evaluation Reports on Denmark, Sweden and Albania (Vendredi) / *Deuxième lecture* et adoption des projets de Rapport d’Évaluation du Deuxième Cycle sur *le Danemark, la Suède et l’Albanie* (vendredi)
9. First Round Compliance Procedure – Examination of additional information to be submitted by Georgia, pursuant to Rule 32, paragraph 2 sub-paragraph (i) of the Rules of Procedure and Decision 15 of GRECO 21 / *Procédure de conformité* du Premier Cycle – Examen des informations complémentaires à soumettre par la Géorgie, en vertu de l’article 32, paragraphe 2 alinéa (i) du Règlement Intérieur et de la Décision 15 du GRECO 21


14. Presentation by Mr Mark RICHARD on Grand Corruption / Présentation par M. Mark RICHARD sur la “Grande Corruption”

15. Miscellaneous / Divers

16. Adoption of decisions / Adoption des décisions

17. Dates of next meetings / Dates des prochaines réunions