



Groupe d'Etats contre la corruption
Group of States against corruption

DIRECTORATE GENERAL I – LEGAL AFFAIRS
DEPARTMENT OF CRIME PROBLEMS



COUNCIL OF EUROPE
CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

Strasbourg, 19 November 2002

Greco (2002) 33E

11th Plenary meeting of GRECO (Strasbourg, 15-17 October 2002)

Summary report **of the meeting**

I. Opening of the meeting

1. The Chair, Mr. Michel GAUTHIER (France), opened the 11th Plenary meeting of GRECO on 15 October 2002 at 9.30 am. He welcomed the participants, a list of whom appears in Appendix I to this report. In the absence of the Chair at that day, Mr. Drago KOS (Slovenia) chairs the Plenary meeting on 17th October 2002.

II. Adoption of the agenda

2. The meeting agenda was adopted as set out in Appendix II to this report.

III. Statement by the Chair and the Vice-Chair

3. The Chair of GRECO informed the participants that he would continue assuming the presidency until the end of his mandate, in December 2002, however, from this date on he would not participate in the Plenary meetings of GRECO due to his commitment to the prosecution service of Bobigny (Ile de France).

4. The Vice-Chair of GRECO, Mr. Drago KOS (Slovenia), provided information on his participation in the Meeting of the Steering Group of the Stability Pact Anti-Corruption Initiative in Rome on 25-26 September 2002 (Greco (2002) 30).

IV. Statement by the Executive Secretary

5. The Executive Secretary of GRECO, Mr. Manuel LEZERTUA, provided participants with information on the organisation of a Roundtable on "Fighting Corruption in an Enlarged Europe" by the Open Society Institute, to be held on 18 November 2002. GRECO instructed its Vice-President, Mr. Manfred Möhrensclager (Germany), its Scientific Expert, Mr. Albin Eser, and the Executive Secretary to participate in this event on behalf of GRECO.

6. The Executive Secretary told GRECO that contacts had been established between the Secretariat and the organisers of "Global Forum Three", which will take place in Seoul in May 2003. GRECO agreed to discuss contributions to be made by GRECO to this event at a future meeting.

7. The Executive Secretary notified GRECO that the Greek authorities decided to authorise the publication of the Evaluation Report on Greece, adopted at the 9th GRECO meeting (May 2002), thus it had be made public on the GRECO website. The Executive Secretary told GRECO, as well, that reports on Norway and Denmark, adopted at the 10th GRECO meeting (July 2002), had been published on the GRECO website, after the countries' authorities had notified the Secretariat of their wish to make the report public.

V. Statement of the Representative of the OECD

8. The Representative of the OECD welcomed the opportunity to participate in GRECO as an observer. The OECD believes that there is a high degree of complementarity between the Council of Europe's Criminal Law Convention and the OECD's Convention on Combating Bribery of Foreign Public Officials in International Business Transactions. The Representative of the OECD expressed that the participation in GRECO as observer advances the OECD interest in mutual evaluation as a means for promoting implementation of

anti-corruption commitments. It would also improve co-ordination between monitoring undertaken in the framework of GRECO and of the OECD Working Group on Bribery, as well as strengthen the OECD's anti-corruption outreach efforts to those central and East European countries which are members of GRECO but not of the OECD Working Group.

VI. Examination of the Draft Evaluation Report of "the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"

9. GRECO went on to examine, at first reading, the draft First Round Evaluation Report on "the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia", as set out in document Greco Eval I Rep (2002) 7E (P3).

10. To begin with, the members of the GRECO evaluation team which had visited "the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia", namely Mr. Jorn GRAVESEN (Denmark), Mr. Vladimir TURAN (Slovakia) and Mr. Jean-Pierre BUEB (France), gave an oral presentation of their assessment of the situation in "the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" with regard to action against corruption, in the light of the First Round evaluation themes.

11. The Delegation of "the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" presented GRECO with their comments on the draft report. Following this presentation, the interveners of Moldova and of Ireland, appointed by the GRECO Bureau, under Article 29 paragraph 2 of the Rules of Procedure, put their questions on the evaluation report [Greco Eval I (2002) 42 and 43 E] to the Macedonian representatives.

12. GRECO proceeded to hold a general debate on the available documents and explanations provided. It then held an initial discussion on the draft report, paragraph by paragraph, and agreed on the amendments to be made.

13. In the light of the discussion and upon the list of questions to be forwarded to the Delegation, GRECO invited the delegation of "the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" to submit additional information to the Secretariat, in writing, on the first reading version of the draft report by the 4 November 2002, and postponed the second reading to its forthcoming 12th meeting.

VII. Preparation of the Preliminary Draft Questionnaire for the Second Evaluation Round

1. General

14. The Executive Secretary of GRECO pointed out that, according to the decision taken by GRECO under its Statute and Rules of Procedure with regard to evaluation procedures, the First Evaluation Round would end on 31 December 2002. With a view on GRECO's subsequent activities pursuant to Article 11 of its Statute, GRECO was required to adopt a questionnaire for each evaluation round, as under Article 11 paragraph 2 "the questionnaire shall provide the framework of the evaluation procedure".

2. Discussion of the Preliminary Draft Questionnaire

15. According to the decision made at GRECO's 10th Plenary meeting, a preliminary draft questionnaire (GRECO(2002)28E) was prepared by the Secretariat and the Bureau with the valuable contributions of the Research

Group on Drug Policy, Criminal Policy and International Crime of the University of Gent as well as those of certain delegations.

16. GRECO went on to examine the preliminary draft questionnaire in a general manner. In the course of the discussion, it was pointed out that some issues addressed by this questionnaire are already tackled in the framework of the FATF as well as of the PC-R-EV evaluations, therefore the evaluation reports of the abovementioned organisations should serve as reference concerning the relevant issues. Some delegations felt there was a danger that some reports would already be outdated by the time of the GRECO evaluation.

17. Following the general discussion, the Executive Secretary suggested to examine the preliminary draft questionnaire according to the following procedure. The participant should consider,

- firstly, which questions were to be eliminated,
- secondly, whether the questions retained should be redrafted, and
- finally, the Executive Secretary invited the Heads of Delegation to submit to the Secretariat in writing, by 15 November 2002, proposals for additional questions to be included in the draft questionnaire.

18. Due to the discussion, amendments were introduced to the first part of the questionnaire. Furthermore, GRECO accepted the proposal of the Representative of the United Kingdom to revise the second part of the preliminary draft questionnaire prior to the Training Seminar for Expert-Evaluators to be held in Cyprus.

19. Following further discussions, GRECO decided that the Revised Draft would be prepared by the Secretariat, with the contribution of the U.K. delegation, and be distributed for information and further consideration at the Cyprus Training Seminar for Expert-Evaluators. GRECO also agreed to devote a part of the forthcoming Plenary meeting (GRECO 12, 9-13 December 2002) to resuming considerations on the draft questionnaire for the Second Evaluation Round.

20. The Executive Secretary proposed that the Secretariat would elaborate a "checklist" in order to complement the information provided by the questionnaire for to assist Second Round Evaluators during evaluation visits.

21. Certain GRECO members believed that the "checklist" mentioned above should contain a list of minimum standards, where, in case of fulfilment, no recommendations should be made by the evaluators concerning the relevant issue. Other participants thought that even in case of compliance with these standards GRECO should be able to make recommendations, notably for improvements in the light of GRECO's objectives.

VIII. Organisation of GRECO's Second Evaluation Round

22. GRECO turned its attention to the proposals made by the delegation of Sweden [GRECO (2001) 16E] on the organisation of the Second Evaluation Round, regarding improvements to be introduced to the evaluation procedure.

23. Several GRECO members thought that the descriptive part of the draft evaluation report should be prepared by the Secretariat, in the future, on the

bases of answers given to the questionnaire, in consultation with the member submitted to evaluation, prior to the relevant visit in order to facilitate the evaluation procedure. In this case, answers to the questionnaire should be presented to the Secretariat prior to the visit in order to allow the Secretariat prepare the general description of situation in GRECO states. Other participants felt that, taking into consideration the laps of time, thereby the changes occurred between the date appointed and the evaluation visit, hence the necessity to update, this may pose a double burden on states as well as on the Secretariat. GRECO decided that the descriptive part of the draft evaluation reports, subject to consideration by the Plenary meeting, would be elaborated in advance of the GET visit, which would reinforce the preparation of the mission of evaluators. GRECO also agreed that replies to the questionnaire should be submitted to the Secretariat at least three months prior to the relevant evaluation visit (in order to allow the evaluators receive the descriptive part at least one month prior to the visit). The Secretariat would present a model of the descriptive part at the forthcoming Plenary meeting of GRECO.

24. Some delegations, following the Swedish proposal, took the view that the analytical part of the draft evaluation reports should be drafted by the Secretariat on the bases of the reports submitted to the Secretariat by the evaluators. Certain other members felt that the actual balance of division of tasks between the Secretariat and the evaluators should be preserved. For this end, consistency and equal treatment of the reports are guaranteed by the consideration resumed at the Plenary meetings of GRECO.

25. Several GRECO members expressed the need for accelerating the evaluation procedure by reducing the laps of time between the consideration of the draft evaluation report by the Plenary and the visit rendered to the relevant state. GRECO decided that consideration by the GRECO Plenary should not take place later than six months subsequent to the evaluation visit, and also agreed that in case of non-respect of this date-limit an explanation would be provided to the Plenary. In addition, the reports should be shorter and more concentrated.

26. GRECO instructed the Secretariat to prepare amendments to the Rules of Procedure of GRECO in order to introduce the abovementioned decisions.

27. Several participants believed that a "manual of evaluators" would facilitate the evaluation procedure and the work of the evaluators. The manual should contain all basic references of evaluation. It should also deal with the comportment of the evaluators during GRECO meetings and evaluation visits, as well as their attitude before, during and after the evaluation visit ; their obligation to participate in the preparatory and final co-ordination meetings during the visit, as well as the questions of confidentiality. The manual should also contain a liste of typical questions to be raised during the evaluation visit. GRECO instructed the Secretariat to prepare a draft manual for the Second Evaluation Round.

28. With reference to the question of a "check list", defined above, GRECO would further re-examine the question of a list of criteria to be fulfilled in order to determine the opportunity of recommendations.

29. Some delegations took the view that shortening the procedure of examining the draft evaluation reports in Plenary meeting would seem reasonable, as discussion should concentrate more on analysis than on the presentation of the descriptive part of the draft report. Other participants

believed that questions and interventions extend the reasonable time limit for examination.

30. Some GRECO members thought that it is the task and responsibility of the Plenary to agree on draft evaluation reports, therefore every part of the reports should be subject to debate before the Plenary. Other delegations added that the discussion on the analytical part should be more detailed, but agreed on the shortening of the general description of the situation in the evaluated state.

31. GRECO endorsed the proposal made by the Executive Secretary to examine the possibilities to simplify the procedure of discussing draft evaluation reports, notably by discontinuing the use of interveners, and agreed to examine this proposal in relation to amendments to be introduced to the Rules of Procedure.

32. Following the intervention of the Representative of the United States, GRECO approved that more time should be spent on discussions on the base of orientation. GRECO decided to intensify its relations with the civil society and non-member states for the purpose of furthering the objectives of GRECO.

33. Several participants felt that follow-up reports were of great importance in the evaluation procedure, showing how the relevant state implemented the recommendations made by GRECO, and proposed the elaboration of a follow-up procedure by the Secretariat.

34. The Representative of the United Kingdom felt, that due to the lack of approved follow-up procedure, it would be too early to submit the follow-up report of the United Kingdom by the end of year 2002, as appointed, and demanded to postpone the date-limit. GRECO decided that those members, who were to submit their follow-up reports by the end of 2002, should do so no later than the end of March 2003.

IX. Preparation of the Seminar for Evaluators participating in GRECO's Second Evaluation Round

35. The Executive Secretary presented GRECO members with the documents (Greco Sem/Eval (2002) 1 Rev. 1 and 2 Rev. 2 E) concerning the programme and the information on the Second Evaluation Round Training Seminar for Evaluators to be held, jointly with the PC-R-EV, in Paphos (Cyprus) from 21 to 23 November 2002.

36. The Representative of Cyprus, Mrs. Eva ROSSIDOU-PAPAKYRIACOU provided GRECO with information on the organisational arrangements made by the valuable contribution of the Government of Cyprus. All additional practical information (concerning transportation, visas, social programmes) is available at the Secretariat of GRECO. Detailed information are also available at the GRECO website.

37. The Executive Secretary of GRECO notified the members that the number of participants, whose expenses are covered by GRECO, had to be limited to three (three evaluators, or two evaluators and the chief of delegation of each member country). He invited the Heads of Delegations to transmit nominations (Greco Sem/Eval (2002) 3 bil RegForm) to the Secretariat by 25 October 2002, also, reminded the members of GRECO to designate, as soon as possible, in conformity with Article 10 paragraph 4 of its Statute a

maximum of five experts to participate in the evaluation procedure in the framework of GRECO's Second Evaluation Round.

IX. Other business

1. Issues relating to GRECO's First Evaluation Round

38. The Vice-Chair, Mr. Drago KOS, presented the list of interveners, approved by the Bureau in accordance with the rule of Procedure 29 paragraph 2 for GRECO's 12th, 13th and 14th Plenary meetings (Greco Eval I (2002) 38, 39 and 40 bil.).

39. The Vice-Chair also presented the document Greco Eval I (2001) 17 of 16 October 2002 on the composition of First Round Evaluation teams as approved by the Bureau.

2. New developments since the previous meeting of GRECO

40. The Executive Secretary provided GRECO with the information regarding:

- the ratification of the Criminal Law Convention against Corruption [STE n° 173], on 3 October 2002, by Finland, which brings the number of member states to 18 ;
- the entering into force of this Convention with respect to the Netherlands, Portugal and Romania ;
- the ratification of the Civil Law Convention against Corruption [STE n° 174], on 11 September 2002, by Poland, which brings the number of member states to this Convention to 8 ;
- the favorable opinion of the Parliamentary Assambly on the adhesion of the Serb Republic and of the Republic of Montenegro to the Council of Europe, as well as the latter's most likely adhesion to GRECO until the end of year 2002 ;
- Mexico's interest, after having signed the Criminal Law Convention on Corruption, to become a member of GRECO.

41. GRECO accordingly decided to hold an exchange of views with a delegation of Mexico at its forthcoming Plenary meeting.

3. GRECO – personal

42. GRECO participants asked the Vice-Chair to forward their wishes for full and speedy recovery to Mr. Patros KAKALIS, Head of the Greek Delegation.

4. Election

43. The Vice-Chair reminded the members of GRECO for the forthcoming election of Chair and Deputy-Chair, on the last day of the 12th Plenary meeting of GRECO, and accordingly invited the participants to submit their proposals by 48 hours before the Plenary, or, at the latest, on the first day of the Plenary.

X. Dates of forthcoming meetings

44. The next (15th) meeting of the GRECO Bureau would be held in Paphos (Cyprus) on 20 November 2002. The 12th Plenary meeting of GRECO would be held in Strasbourg from 9 to 13 December 2002.

ANNEXE I**LIST OF PARTICIPANTS /LISTE DES PARTICIPANTS****ALBANIA / ALBANIE**

Mr Ardian DVORANI
Director of Codification Department, Ministry of Justice

BELGIUM / BELGIQUE

Mme Isabelle VAN HEERS
Magistrat Fédéral, Palais de Justice – Extension

Mme Claire HUBERTS
Conseiller adjoint, Direction Générale de la Législation pénale et des Droits de l'Homme, Ministère de la Justice

BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA / BOSNIE-HERZEGOVINE

Mr Nedzad HADZIMUSIC
Director for Political Multilateral Relations and Cooperation, Ministry of Foreign Affairs

BULGARIA / BULGARIE

Mr Georgi RUPCHEV
Head of Section "International Legal Cooperation", Ministry of Justice

CROATIA / CROATIE

Mr Željko HORVATIĆ – **Apologised / Excusé**
Professor, Faculty of Law

CYPRUS / CHYPRE

Mrs Eva ROSSIDOU PAPAKYRIACOU
Counsel of the Republic, Law office of the Republic of Cyprus

CZECH REPUBLIC / REPUBLIQUE TCHEQUE

Mrs Kateřina CIZLOVA
Lawyer, International Department, Section for International Organisations and International Co-operation, Ministry of Justice

Mr Petr POSPISIL
Security policy Department, Section for Analyses and Strategies, Ministry of the Interior of the Czech Republic

DENMARK / DANEMARK

Mr Poul DAHL JENSEN – **Apologised / Excusé**
Public Prosecutor, Public Prosecutor's Office

Mr Flemming DENKER
Deputy Director, The Public Prosecutor for Serious Economic Crime

ESTONIA / ESTONIE

Mrs Ülle RAIG
 Legal Adviser, Penal Law Department, Ministry of Justice

FINLAND / FINLANDE

Mr Kaarle J. LEHMUS
 Inspector General of the Police, Ministry of the Interior, Police Department

Ms Raija TOIVIAINEN
 State Prosecutor, The Office of the Prosecutor General

FRANCE

M. Michel GAUTHIER (Président du GRECO / President of GRECO)

M. Franck ZIENTARA
 Magistrat, Administration Centrale, Bureau du droit économique et financier,
 Ministère de la Justice, Direction des affaires criminelles et des grâces

GEORGIA / GEORGIE

Mr Teimuraz BAKRADZE
 Director of the International Law Dept., Ministry of Foreign Affairs

GERMANY / ALLEMAGNE

Mr Fernando SANCHEZ-HERMOSILLA
 Judge at the District Court, Federal Ministry of Justice

GREECE / GRECE

M. Lampros PATSAVELLAS
 Procureur Adjoint du Tribunal de Première Instance à Athènes auprès du
 Ministère de la Justice

HUNGARY / HONGRIE

Mr Akos KARA
 Legal Adviser, Ministry of Justice

Mr Sandor DUSIK
 Expert Adviser, Ministry of Interior

ICELAND / ISLANDE

Mr Stefán EIRÍKSSON
 Director, Ministry of Justice

IRELAND / IRLANDE

Ms Lucinda MacMAHON
 Assistant Principal Officer, Criminal Law Reform Division, Department of Justice,
 Equality and Law Reform

LATVIA / LETTONIE

Mr Rudolfs KALNINS – *Apologised / Excusé*
Chief Officer of the Secretariat to the Corruption Prevention, Council of Latvia

LITHUANIA / LITUANIE

Mr Jurgis JURGELIS
Advisor to the Director General of the State Security Department

LUXEMBOURG

M. Jean BOUR
Procureur d'Etat, Parquet du Tribunal d'Arrondissement de Diekirch

MALTA / MALTE

Mr Anthony BORG BARTHET
Attorney General, Office of the Attorney General (attending Thursday 17.10)

Mr. Silvio CAMILLERI
Deputy Attorney General, Attorney General's Chambers

MOLDOVA

Mme Cornelia VICLEANSCHI
Procureur, Chef du Département des Relations Internationales, Bureau du Procureur Général

NETHERLANDS / PAYS-BAS

Mr Arthur van DIJK – *Apologised / Excusé*
Head of Financial and Economic Crime Department, Ministry of Justice, Directorate General for Law Enforcement

Mrs S.F.H.M STAAL-DOENSEN – *Apologised / Excusée*
Ministry of Justice, Directorate General for Law Enforcement

NORWAY / NORVEGE

Mr Lars STOLTENBERG
Chief Public Prosecutor, ØKOKRIM

POLAND / POLOGNE

Mr Jacek GARSTKA
Judge, Department of International Co-operation and European Law, Ministry of Justice

PORTUGAL

Mrs Luisa Maia GONÇALVES
Director of Department, International Relations, Ministry of Justice

ROMANIA / ROUMANIE

Mme Nicoleta ILIESCU – *Apologised / Excusée*

Conseillère du Ministre de la Justice, Direction des Etudes et Elaboration des Actes normatifs et Documentation, Ministère de la Justice

Mrs Alina DOROBANT – *Apologised / Excusée*

Legal Counsellor, Ministry of Justice, Chief of Office, Department for Elaboration of Normative Acts, Studies and Documentation

SLOVAK REPUBLIC / REPUBLIQUE SLOVAQUE

Mr L'ubomir HANUS

Director, Department of International Relations and European Integration, Ministry of Interior

SLOVENIA / SLOVENIE

Mr Drago KOS

(Vice-President of GRECO / Vice-Président du GRECO)

State Undersecretary, Office for the Prevention of Corruption of the Republic of Slovenia

SPAIN / ESPAGNE

Mr Sancho IÑIGUEZ HERNÁNDEZ

Legal Adviser, Legal Affairs with the European Union and International Organisations

SWEDEN / SUEDE

Mr Kazimir ÅBERG

Head of the Director-General's Office, Economic Crimes Bureau, Division for International Affairs

Mr Håkan ÖBERG

Director, Economic Crimes Bureau, Division for International Affairs

"THE FORMER YUGOSLAV REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA" / "L'EX-RÉPUBLIQUE YUGOSLAVE DE MACÉDOINE"

Mr Nikola TUPANCEVSKI

Docent Ph.D. at the Law Faculty (Criminal Law), University "St. Cyril and Methodius"

Mr Stevan CIGARIDOV

Chef de section, Ministère de l'Intérieur, Section de lutte contre la corruption

M. Zvonimir JANKULOSKI

Ambassadeur Extraordinaire et Plénipotentiaire de « l'ex-République Yougoslave de Macédoine »

Ms Sanja ZOGRAFSKA-KRSTESKA

Représentant Permanent Adjoint

UNITED KINGDOM / ROYAUME-UNI

Mr Paul STEPHENSON
Head of Corruption and Criminal Policy Section, Home Office, Sentencing and Offences Unit

Mrs Anna HODGSON
Policy Advisor, Corruption and Criminal Policy Section, Home Office, Sentencing and Offences Unit

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA / ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Mr Mark RICHARD
Senior Counsellor for Criminal Justice Matters, US Mission to the European Union

Mr Joseph E. GANGLOFF
Senior Counsel, Office of International Affairs, U.S. Department of Justice

**PARLIAMENTARY ASSEMBLY OF THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE /
ASSEMBLEE PARLEMENTAIRE DU CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE**

Mr Cevdet AKÇALI – ***APOLOGISED / EXCUSE***
Consul of Europe, Member of the Legal Affairs and Human Right Committee, Parliamentary Assembly, Delegation of Turkey, Council of Europe

REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CDCJ / REPRÉSENTANT DU CDCJ

Mr Vincent A. DEGAETANO – ***Apologised / Excusé***
Judge, Superior Courts, Courts of Justice, Judges' Chambers, The Law Courts

Mrs. Cristina LUZESCU – ***Apologised / Excusée***
Secrétaire d'Etat au Ministère de la Justice

REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CDPC / REPRÉSENTANT DU CDPC

M. Daniel FONTANAUD – ***Apologised / Excusé***
Président du CDPC, Commission des Communautés Européennes

SCIENTIFIC EXPERT / EXPERT SCIENTIFIQUE

Prof. Dr Albin ESER
Max Planck-Institute for Foreign and International Penal Law, Abteilung Strafrecht (Germany / Allemagne)

**PRESIDENT OF THE STATUTORY COMMITTEE OF GRECO / PRÉSIDENT DU
COMITÉ STATUTAIRE DU GRECO**

M. Gérard PHILIPPS – ***Apologised / Excusé***
Ambassadeur Extraordinaire et Plénipotentiaire, Représentant Permanent du Luxembourg auprès du Conseil de l'Europe

OBSERVERS / OBSERVATEURS

Mr Edric SELOUS
Senior Project Manager, Stability Pact Anti-Corruption Initiative, OECD Anti-Corruption Division, Directorate for Financial Fiscal and Enterprise Affairs

**EXPERTS HAVING PARTICIPATED IN THE EVALUATION VISITS CARRIED OUT IN THE FOLLOWING COUNTRIES: /
EXPERTS EVALUATEURS AYANT PARTICIPE AUX VISITES D'EVALUATION MENÉES DANS LES PAYS SUIVANTS**

the "former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" / l'« ex-République yougoslave de Macédoine »

Date: 18-21 March / mars 2002

Evaluation team / Equipe d'évaluation :

Mr Jorn GRAVESEN, Detective Chief Superintendent, the Public Prosecutor for Serious Economic Crime (Denmark / *Danemark*)

Mr Vladimir TURAN, Prosecutor, Unit of Fight against Corruption Crime, General Prosecutor's Office, Unit of Fight against Corruption Crime (Slovakia / *Slovaquie*)

M. Jean-Pierre BUEB, Conseiller au Service Central de Prévention de la Corruption, Service Interministériel placé auprès du Ministère de la Justice (France)

COUNCIL OF EUROPE SECRETARIAT / SECRETARIAT DU CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

M. Manuel LEZERTUA, Executive Secretary to GRECO, Directorate General I, Legal Affairs, Council of Europe

M. Carlo CHIAROMONTE, Administrateur, Direction Générale des Affaires Juridiques, Conseil de l'Europe

M. Bjorn JANSON, Administrative Officer, Directorate General of Legal Affairs, Council of Europe

M. Spyros TSOVILIS, Administrateur, Direction Générale des Affaires Juridiques, Conseil de l'Europe

M. Christophe SPECKBACHER, Administrateur, Direction Générale des Affaires Juridiques, Conseil de l'Europe

Miss Sonya FOLCA, Assistante, Direction Générale des Affaires Juridiques, Conseil de l'Europe

Ms Fiona MYLES, Assistant, Directorate General of Legal Affairs, Council of Europe

Mlle Laure PINCEMAILLE, Assistante (Cycles d'évaluation) / Direction Générale des Affaires Juridiques, Conseil de l'Europe

Ms Penelope PREBENSEN, Assistant (Evaluation rounds), Directorate General of Legal Affairs, Council of Europe

Ms Simona GHITA, Webmaster, Direction Générale des Affaires Juridiques, Conseil de l'Europe

INTERPRETERS / *INTERPRETES*

Mr Amath FAYE
Mme Julia TANNER
Mlle Isabelle MARCHINI

ANNEXE II**DRAFT AGENDA / PROJET D'ORDRE DU JOUR**

1. Opening of the meeting at **09h30** / *Ouverture de la réunion à 09h30*
2. Adoption of the agenda / *Adoption de l'ordre du jour*
3. Information by the President / *Information par le Président*
4. Information by the Executive Secretary / *Information par le Secrétaire Exécutif*
5. First reading and examination of the draft evaluation report on the following country: / *Première lecture et examen du projet de rapport d'évaluation du pays suivant :*
 - **"the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" / "l'ex-République yougoslave de Macédoine** : Tuesday / mardi, 10h00

The evaluators and the national delegation concerned are, nevertheless, requested to be available during the following periods: / Les évaluateurs et la délégation nationale concernés sont, toutefois, invités à être disponibles pendant les périodes suivantes :

 - Monday all day (preparatory meeting), Tuesday all day (consideration in plenary) and Wednesday morning / *lundi toute la journée (réunion préparatoire), mardi toute la journée (examen en plénière) et mercredi matin.*
6. Second reading and adoption of the draft evaluation report (Thursday 17 October 2002) / *Deuxième lecture et adoption du projet de rapport d'évaluation (jeudi, 17 octobre 2002).*
7. Preliminary Draft Evaluation Questionnaire for GRECO's Second Evaluation Round / *Projet préliminaire du Questionnaire pour le Deuxième Cycle d'Evaluation du GRECO*
8. Organisation of GRECO's Second Evaluation Round / *Organisation du Deuxième Cycle d'Evaluation du GRECO*
9. Preparation of the seminar for evaluators for GRECO's Second Evaluation Round / *Préparation du Séminaire pour les évaluateurs pour le Deuxième Cycle d'Evaluation du GRECO*
10. Miscellaneous / *Divers*
11. Dates of the next meetings / *Dates des prochaines réunions*