

# Habitats Final Conclusion

## Norway - June 2016

CODE	Title	iso	biogeo	final concl.	final comment
D1.2	Blanket bogs	NO	ARC	Excl. from Ref List & CD	
D1.2	Blanket bogs	NO	ATL	IN MOD	
D1.2	Blanket bogs	NO	BOR	Excl. from Ref List	
D1.2	Blanket bogs	NO	ALP	IN MOD	Finmark amongst others
D2.3	Transition mires and quaking bogs	NO	ALP	IN MOD	
D2.3	Transition mires and quaking bogs	NO	ARC	IN MOD	
D2.3	Transition mires and quaking bogs	NO	ATL	IN MOD	
D2.3	Transition mires and quaking bogs	NO	BOR	IN MOD	
D3.1	Palsa mires	NO	ATL	Excl. from Ref List	
D3.1	Palsa mires	NO	ALP	IN MOD	Finmark in particular
D3.2	Aapa mires	NO	ATL	SUF	
D3.2	Aapa mires	NO	ARC	Excl. from Ref List	
D3.2	Aapa mires	NO	ALP	IN MOD	Finmark and more
D3.2	Aapa mires	NO	BOR	SUF	
D4.1	Rich fens, including eutrophic tall-herb fens and calcareous flushes and soaks	NO	BOR	IN MOD	

CODE	Title	iso	biogeo	final concl.	final comment
D4.1	Rich fens, including eutrophic tall-herb fens and calcareous flushes and soaks	NO	ATL	IN MOD	
D4.1	Rich fens, including eutrophic tall-herb fens and calcareous flushes and soaks	NO	ALP	IN MOD	Finnmark amongst others
D4.2	Basic mountain flushes and streamsides, with a rich arctic-montane flora	NO	ALP	IN MOD	Finnmark
D4.2	Basic mountain flushes and streamsides, with a rich arctic-montane flora	NO	ARC	SR Ref List	
D4.2	Basic mountain flushes and streamsides, with a rich arctic-montane flora	NO	ATL	IN MOD	
X04	Raised bog complexes	NO	ALP	SUF	
X04	Raised bog complexes	NO	ATL	IN MOD	
X04	Raised bog complexes	NO	BOR	IN MOD	

Remarks:

This document only contains the final conclusions for the species/habitats discussed at the second Emerald biogeographical evaluation Seminar for Norway. The conclusions for the species/habitats which were not reviewed at this seminar can be found in the final conclusions document of the first Emerald biogeographical evaluation Seminar for Norway (June 2013) or in the consolidated version of the conclusions, both available on the [Emerald Network Reference Portal](#).

The IN MIN status implies that the Emerald Network of the country will be considered as sufficient for the given species/habitats if one or several already proposed Emerald sites are added in the database. In general, the necessary sites or the regions where these already proposed sites are located were identified. Therefore, the common understanding of the second Emerald evaluation Seminar for Norway is that it is relevant to treat species with IN MIN as SUF, assuming the database will be updated before a possible 3rd evaluation round.