

# The CEFR Grid for Writing Tasks\* v. 3.1 (analysis)

This grid has been developed in order to assist test providers in their work with the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: Learning, Teaching, Assessment (CEFR) and the Manual for Relating Language Examinations to the CEFR, both available from the Language Policy Division of the Council of Europe.

There are two varieties of this grid: the **analysis** grid (this one) and the **presentation** grid. The **analysis** grid is intended to be used in workshops, benchmarking events and for other activities where participants at the event are asked to complete the grid. In these cases, the activities are likely to be part of the *standardisation of judgements* stage described in the *Manual*. Where the grid is to provide a descriptive record of test tasks, the **presentation** grid may be more suitable. If completed grids are intended to be offered as illustrative samples, their final use will relate to *standardisation of judgements* stage of the *Manual*. On the other hand, if test providers wish to analyse test content and specifications for their own purposes, the relevant stage is *specification*.



# The CEFR Grid for Writing Tasks\* v. 3.1 (analysis)

# Sample Test Tasks

Report on analysis of Target language of this test CEFR level of this test Task number/name

## General Information - the whole test

1	Total test time	minutes		
2	Purpose	general proficiency		
		specific purpose (specify):		

3 Background to the examination

### 4 Candidature

### 5 Structure of the test

## General Information - the writing component

6	Number of tasks in the writing paper	1	2		3	4 c	or more	
7	Total component time	minutes						
8	Integration of skills	none re			reading	reading		
		speaking		listening				
		a combination (specify):						
9	Channel	handwritten word prod		cessed	either			
10	CEFR level of this component	A1	A2	B1	B2	C1	C2	

### 11 The writing component format

# 12 Specific Information - example task

#### **13 Mark distribution**

### 14 Task rating

### **15 Effective level**

#### 16 Sample task:

-- sample task here --

i) Task input/prompt								
17	Language of input/prompt							
18	CEFR level of input/prompt	A1	A2	B1	B2	C1	C2	
19	Time permitted or suggested for this task	minutes						
20	Control/guidance	controlled semi-controlled				open-ended		
21	Content	fully-specified specified to some extent				not speci	not specified	
22	Genre of input	letter (business)				letter (personal)		
		review				academic essay		
		composition				report		
		story				proposal		
		article				form		
		other (sp	ecify):					
23	Rhetorical function(s) of input	describir	ng (events)		describir	describing (processes)		
	r		narrating		commentating			
		expositing			explainin	g		
		demonstrating			instructin	ıg		
		arguing		persuadi	ng			
		reporting events		giving opinions				

\*The original template for this grid was developed by ALTE members. www.alte.org

		making complaints		suggesti	ng
		comparing and contrasting		exemplifying	
		evaluating		expressi	ng possibility
		expressing probabil	lity	summari	sing
		other (specify):			
24	Imagined audience for input	friend(s)/acquaintar	nce(s)	general p	public
	-	employer(s)		employe	e(s)
		teacher(s)		student(s)	
		committee		business	(es)
		other (specify):			
25	Mode of input/prompt	oral		written	
		visual		a combination	
26	Topic or theme of input	personal identification		house and home, environment	
		daily life		free time, entertainment	
		travel		relations with other people	
		health and body care		education	
		education		shopping	
		food and drink		services	
		places		language	)
		weather			
		other (specify):			
27	Integration of skills for input	reading listening			a combination

ii) Response (description of written response elicited by the prompt(s)/input)						
28	Number of words expected	umber of words expected         0 - 50         51 - 100           151 - 200         201 - 250			101 – 150	
				0	251 - 300	
		301 – 350	351 – 40	0	more than 400	
29	Rhetorical function(s) expected	tion(s) expected describing (events) narrating expositing demonstrating arguing		describing (processes)		
				commentating		
				explaining		
				instructing		
				persuading		

\*The original template for this grid was developed by ALTE members. <u>www.alte.org</u>

		reporting events			giving opinions		
		making complaints comparing and contrasting		suggesting			
				exemplif	ying		
		evaluating			expressi	ng possibil	ity
		expressing probability		summar	sing		
		other (specify):					
30	Text purpose	referential			emotive		
		conative			phatic		
	metaling		ual		poetic		
31	Register	informal		unmarked to informal			
		unmarked			unmarked to formal		
		formal					
32	Domain	personal occupational		public			
				educational/academic			
33	Grammatical competence expected	A1	A2	B1	B2	C1	C2
34	Lexical competence expected	A1	A2	B1	B2	C1	C2
35	Discoursal competence expected	A1	A2	B1	B2	C1	C2
36	Authenticity: situational	low medium		high			
37	Authenticity: interactional	low medium		high			
38	Cognitive processing	reproduc	tion of kno	wn ideas		·	
		knowledge transformation					
39	Content knowledge required	general/r	non-specia	lised	specialis	ed knowled	dge
		very specialised knowledge			a range	of knowled	ge

iii) Rating of Task						
40	Known criteria					
41	Task rating method	impressionistic/holistic	descriptive scale			
		analytical scale	with compensation system			
		other (specify):				
42	Assessment criteria	grammatical range	grammatical accuracy			
		lexical range	lexical accuracy			

\*The original template for this grid was developed by ALTE members. <u>www.alte.org</u>

		cohesion and coherence	content/task fulfilment		
		development of ideas	orthography		
		other (specify):			
43	Number and combination of raters	1	2		
		3 or more	1 + more in selected cases		
		2 + more in selected cases	computer rated		

iv) Feedback to candidates							
44	Quantitative feedback	raw score percentage score					
		ranking in candidature	CEFR level				
		exam-specific grade	pass/fail status				
		other (specify):					
45	Qualitative feedback	comments for each rating criteria					
		holistic comments					
		other (specify):					

### 46 Example answer

## 47 Commentary

#### 48 Score allocated

Notes

All references to the *CEFR* are to the document on the Council of Europe's Language Policy web site (<u>www.coe.int/lang-CEFR</u>)

Numbers below correspond to numbered items in the grid.

- 2 The purpose of the test may be **general proficiency**, or for a specific purpose, for example, **English for Legal Purposes** or **German for Academic Purposes**.
- 3 The description of test background may contain the reasons for developing the test, a description of the suite of which this test is a part, or other such details.
- 4 Describe the size and demographic profile of the candidature.
- 5 Describe the other components of the test (e.g. the speaking component, the reading component).
- 6 In the case that there the number of tasks depends on which options are chosen, specify in the introductory text (point 5)
- 8 Skills, in addition to writing, which are involved in the completion of this task (regardless of whether they are explicitly recognised at the rating stage).
- 9 The method by which the candidate's response is recorded.
- 10 CEFR, Ch. 3.
- 11 Describe the format of the writing component (i.e. the number of subsections, task types in each subsection, time allowed for each subsection).
- 2 You may wish to include a short description of the task here. The description could include the aims of the task, what candidates have been asked to do and what would constitute completion of the task.
- 3 Describe how marks are distributed in this section of the task and what candidates would need to include to achieve full marks on this task.
- 4 Explain how the task is rated (e.g. **clerically**, **machine marked**), what instruments are used in this process and what aspects are considered when deciding the grade.
- 5 Describe the measures taken to ensure Writing tasks are set at the appropriate level. This description may include the process of question paper production and trialling.
- 6 Insert the sample task, including rubric and prompt/input.
- 20 The extent to which the rubric, prompt or input determines the nature and content of the response.
- 21 Whether the content of the response is specified in the rubric.
- 26 *CEFR*, p 51 53.
- 27 The language skills the candidate needs to understand the rubric and prompt/input.
- 29 CEFR, p125 130.
- 30 The expected purpose(s) of the response. Choose from: referential (to give 'objective' facts about the world), emotive (to describe the emotional state of the writer), conative (to persuade the reader(s)), phatic (to establish or maintain social contact with the reader(s)), metalingual (to clarify or verify understanding), poetic (writing for aesthetic purposes).
- 31 The register the candidate is expected to adopt in their response. CEFR, p 118 122.
- 32 The domain to which the expected response is imagined to belong. CEFR, p 45 46.
- 33 Expected level. CEFR, p 112 116.
- 34 Expected level. *CEFR*, p 110 112.
- 35 Expected level. CEFR, p 123 125.
- 36 The extent to which the task reflects a real-life activity a candidate could perform.
- 37 The extent to which interaction patterns are likely to mirror those in an equivalent, real-life task.
- 38 The difficulty in performing the task from a non-linguistic point-of-view.
- 39 The kind of extra-linguistic knowledge required to successfully complete the task.

- 40 Describe the rating criteria made available to the candidate, either before or during the test. If the criteria are not available together with the paper, state where they can be viewed.
- 41 If clerically marked, the number or raters will be 1 or more. However, in some cases, the involvement of other raters may depend on other factors, such as level of agreement in earlier ratings. In these cases, select '+ more in selected cases'.
- 44 Quantitative feedback routinely given (for the writing component).
- 45 Qualitative feedback routinely given (for the writing component).
- 46 Insert a sample response to the task.
- 47 An explanation or justification of the grade awarded to the sample response.
- 48 The grade (or score) awarded to this sample response.