Follow-Up Group

Ninth Meeting
21- 22 September 2015

European Youth Centre, Strasbourg

Report of the Meeting
1. Opening of the meeting and adoption of the agenda

Apologies were received from the European Youth Forum, the EEA Norway Grants, Youssef Himmat (CCJ) and Aleksandra Mitrovic-Knezević (CDEJ). Sergio Belfor (CCJ) and Laurence Hermand (CDEJ) co-chaired the meeting.

The agenda of the meeting was adopted. This being the last meeting of this group under the mandate it received from the Joint Council on Youth (CMJ), it was agreed to focus the meeting on the conclusion and evaluation of the work done and to make proposals for the CMJ regarding the continuation of the campaign in 2016 and 2017.

2. News from the observers, other partners and their initiatives

**ERYICA**: the organisation and its members were especially involved in the last Action Day on 22 July. It is planning to include the campaign in the training of its Youth Ambassadors programme, they could connect with the European campaign activists. Many ERYICA Member Organisations are active in NCCs or in the implementation of the campaign.

**CDPPE**: The Education sector has now a clearer mandate to cooperate with the campaign. The campaign should in particular connect with a new project on Digital Citizenship Education.

**EYCA**: The campaign has been very well promoted in the EYCA network; 19 of its member organisations have been involved in national campaigns and want to continue being involved.

**EEA Norway Grants** (information sent to the secretariat): A new agreement between the donor countries and the EU on a new funding period of the Grants is being prepared and it is not yet possible to inform about the next funding period or the commitment as a partner to the campaign even though promoting human rights and combating discrimination will continue to be a focus for the Grants. The NGO
programmes of the Grants are still active in our 16 beneficiary states, all of which contribute to combating hate speech in various ways. The NGO Programme Operator in Greece has finished its translation of Bookmarks into Greek, supplementing translations into Polish and Hungarian by other operators.

**Online activists**: Gubaz Koberidze highlighted a very good mobilisation and commitment of the activists for the Action Day on 22 July and, more recently, in the support of refugees. The activists also wonder about their future role.

### 3. Update of the state of play of national campaigns and international partners

**Belgium (French Community)**: a decision for continuing the campaign is expected soon; the Bureau international de la jeunesse might be nominated to coordinate it. The Tolerance Trumps Hate conference organised in May was important to by the many initiatives and enthusiasm among the participants despite the late preparations. It was also important to have the statement and commitment of the Secretary General about the continuation of the campaign.

**Portugal**: A seminar to evaluate the campaign is planned for mid-October. The campaign is expected to continue with the involvement of new organisations.

The secretariat conducted a “flash survey” among coordinators regarding the state of affairs and perspectives of the national campaigns. 29 coordinators have replied and the vast majority expect the campaign to continue in 2016-2017. Many, however, are waiting for formal decisions. There is a general expectation of political support and coordination by the Council of Europe in addition to keeping the platform open and dynamic and the provision of campaign materials. Members of the Follow-up Group asked that the CDEJ members be copied on future similar information. The information complied should also be checked with the CDEJ members before the next meeting of the CDEJ.

The Follow-up Group recommended that the secretariat prepares a document about how to re-engage with the NCCs. It should consider ways for monitoring the national committees and take into account the proposals of the external evaluators to have an officer in the secretariat dedicated to communication and coordination with national coordinators.

### 4. Updates on the implementation of the European campaign

The Follow-up Group thanked the secretariat for the update written information provided ahead of the meeting [document DDCP-YD/NHSM (2015)15]. Remarks and proposals were made in relation to the the points below

**Definition of Hate Speech**: the revision of the definition, as requested by many meetings of the campaign needs to be placed high ion the priorities for the next biennium.

**Brochure** about the campaign: to be published only after the meeting of the CMJ.

**Counter-narratives**: the preparation of the work should start still in 2015, if possible, with a working group of experts. The NCCS and the partners (including the Department of Education) should be asked to suggest names.

**Financial resources**: the Follow-up Group should be regularly updated on the usage of the special account (Voluntary Contributions).
Good practices: the initiative is appreciated; it should be ready by January 2016. The practices should be presented in a very “friendly” way. The Good Practices System of EYCA was indicated as a model to take into account.

Internet platform and Hate Speech Watch: the developments to be prepared should consider extensions for browsers; a special attention should be paid to accessibility from hand-held devices. The “new” platform should be ready for 31 January 2016.

The Watch must be completed by a guide to national reporting systems. A test group of “non-experts” should be invited to provide feedback. EYCA is develop an application to report abuse; this and the experiences of InSafe, ELSA and InHope should be taken into account. The Follow-up Group agreed on the prioritisation of a study on existing reporting tools. An advisory group should be composed to support these developments, including ELSA, activists and national coordinators.

Antje Rothemund informed the Follow-up Group of the proposal to have the campaign implementation at national level as a criterion for the report of the Secretary General on the state of human rights, democracy and the rule of law.

5. Feedback on the external evaluation of the campaign

The Follow-up Group thanked Sergio Belfor and the partners in Rotterdam for organising and hosting the meeting on the evaluation and the external evaluators, Lise Paaskesen and Hilde van Hulsta Mooibroek.

This meeting was very important to understand many of the points in the draft report and also to correct some of the mis-perceptions or of the evaluators. The practice should be kept for future evaluations.

The work of the evaluators was highly appreciated, valuable and useful. Members of the Follow-up Group felt that it reflected their experiences with the campaign and makes very valid proposals. It was felt that not all proposals of the evaluators are taken up in the proposals for the campaign continuation. The Follow-up Group recommended the following action:

- To invite one of the consultants to help design the evaluation of the next phase
- To consider a system to collect information from the NCCs that could be equally useful for other projects
- To send to the CMJ the summary report and the link to the full report of the evaluators.

6. Report and evaluation of the 22 July Action Day

The Follow-up Group welcomed the report on the action day and appreciated the high level of involvement of partners and activists. The coordination with the activities of the NNC Norway and the President of the Parliamentary Assembly supported very well the actions. This highlighted the need to strategise with the No Hate Alliance. Two questions emerge from the action day: what to do with the petition and how to advocate for the recognition of 22 July as European Day for the Victims of Hate Crime? The group agreed on the following proposals:

- To update the petition text and prepare a feedback for the signatories
- To discuss with the secretariat of the No Hate Alliance the possibility of a common action to present the petition
- To re-launch and publicise the petition (e.g. via Facebook) so as to reach 10.000 signatures by the end of 2015.
The group thanked László Földi, online moderator, for the report on the day and recommended that he be invited to future meetings of the Follow-up Group.

7. Future Action Days

The Follow-up Group backed the proposals of the Evaluation Conference to have less days and more spaced; with less and better focused actions and involving more “natural” partners related to the theme of the day. However, it is also important to be reactive to major events (as with the refugees’ crisis); for this it is also important to renew the group of activists. The Group recommended:

- To implement a minimum of 3 and a maximum of 5 days per year involving all campaign actors (with the possibility for reactive or partial thematic actions)
- The secretariat to prepare a concept paper about online action and mobilisation for the next meeting of coordinators and activist.

8. Evaluation and follow-up to the conference “The End of the Beginning”

The Follow-up Group welcomed the draft report of the conference prepared by the general rapporteur, Veronika Juhász.

The group shared their own evaluations and impressions of the conference and its preparation and agreed that:

- It was a key moment in the campaign despite the insecurities about the modalities of the follow-up
- It was important that everyone could reflect and comment on everything (open for critical remarks)
- The preparations could have started earlier and were influenced by the Tolerance Trumps Hate Conference
- There was some lack of coordination with the conference of LICRA and the NGO conference (which was held in parallel)
- The representatives of the statutory bodies should only be involved in the definition of the programme but not on its running (this should be the task of trainers or facilitators)
- All participants should be informed, after the upcoming CMJ meeting, of the results and intended continuation of the campaign
- The conference fulfilled its objectives by associating everyone, including the partners to the evaluation and future planning, collecting good practices and creating an atmosphere that supports continuing to campaign together. The recommendations are very practical and should be taken into account.

9. The status and state of the campaign in the Council of Europe

Antje Rothemund presented the latest information about campaign developments and thanked the members of the group for their patience during this interim phase when decisions and directions were not always clear. The following points were stressed:

- The youth sector of the Council of Europe remains the master of the campaign
- There is a general recognition of the value of the campaign and the input of the youth sector
- The need of resources to continue the campaign has been recognised in the proposals of the Secretary General to the Committee of Ministers
- Rui Gomes assures the temporary coordination of the campaign as coordinator of the work priority that the campaign is part of.
- If national campaigns are to be taken as a criterion for the Secretary General’s report, we will need to have “waterproof” information about them.
- We should aim to bring together the different modernities of the campaign and emphasise peer learning and mutual support.
- We should aspire to keep a level of activity as high as possible.
- There is no intention to keep the internal task force of the Council of Europe; instead direct bi-lateral cooperation will be sought whenever relevant and useful.
- The European Youth Foundation will launch a call for pilot projects by NGOs addressing young people in the penitentiary systems; this will also figure as a contribution to the Action Plan to combat violent and extremism and radicalisation leading to terrorism.

Antje informed also the Follow-up Group that a letter has been prepared for the Secretary General to inform the Member states about the continuation of the campaign and of the need to mobilise their line ministries concerned by the continuation of the campaign.

Antje also introduced the proposals for the continuation of the campaign; the document could be sent to the next meeting of the CMJ as a proposal of the Follow-up Group. Additional budgetary resources are foreseen and some expected, yet we should not count on all of them as being secured.

The members of the Follow-up Group thanked Antje for the information and for the documents about the future of the campaign; they help dispel many questions and concerns.

10. Cooperation envisaged with other Council of Europe sectors

**Parliamentary Assembly - No Hate Alliance**

Giorgio Loddo and Elodie Fischer presented an update on the work of the Parliamentary Assembly. They recalled the creation of the Alliance in January and the three debates held, respectively on antisemitism, freedom of expression and hate speech, and the link between radicalisation and islamophobia. In September, the meeting will focus on migrants and refugees.

A roadmap for future activities should be adopted at the next meeting of the Alliance on 29 September. It foresees the continuation of the Alliance until the end of 2017 and the opening to members from countries having the status of observer or partner for democracy with the Parliamentary Assembly and possibly the European Parliament. Alliance members are also invited to set up similar groups in their national parliaments. So far, only 3 national coordinators have taken up contact with members of the Alliance.

The following proposals and suggestions emerged from the exchanges:

- The Alliance members are important partners and should be invited for further action at national level, not the least because they are also an entry point to access national parliaments.
- A toolbox/handbook on hate speech for parliamentarians is envisaged, subject to availability of funds. It should also contain information about the Additional Protocol to the Budapest convention.
- The Committee on Equality and non-discrimination will appoint for a report on “Ending cyberrdiscrimination and online hate”. The preparation of the report
may include fact-finding visits and hearings; it should result in a resolution and/or a recommendation. The (upgrading of the) definition of hate speech could be addressed here.

- A side event or an exhibition could be organised at the January meeting of the Assembly to launch/present the new phase of the campaign.
- The campaign secretariat may propose activities to be undertaken for 22 July by writing to the coordinator of the Alliance.
- Counter-narratives: Ms Santerini, coordinator of the Alliance, is very interested; there should be room for them also in the handbook. The secretariat is interested in following the process.

**European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (ECRI)**

Stefano Valenti, from the secretariat of ECRI, presented the status of the General Recommendation of ECRI on Hate Speech. He thanked the member of the Follow-up Group for the proposals made which were generally taken into account and are reflected in the latest version of the recommendation. It should be adopted in December and go public in February.

In the discussion with Stefano the following proposals emerged:

- ECRI is interested in contributing to the work on counter-narrative
- ECRI welcomes partners for the launching of the General Recommendation – perhaps a common event with the campaign?
- The new General Recommendation should also be reflected in the future editions of Bookmarks.

**Education Department**

Christopher Reynolds, from the Education Policies Division, presented the project on Competences for Democratic Culture which is identified in the Action Plan to combat violent and extremism and radicalisation leading to terrorism as a prevention measure. The competencies project is done for/by the CDPPE but there is a drive to also involve the non-formal education sector.

The Education Department also published recently “Signposts – Policy and practice for teaching about religions and non-religious world views in intercultural education”, in cooperation with the European Wergeland Centre.

A new project on Digital Citizenship Education has been approved by the CDPPE for 2016 and is currently being prepared as a contribution to the Internet Governance Strategy. A hearing with the statutory bodies is foreseen for the beginning of 2016.

Christopher mentioned also a conference on the Role of Education in addressing radicalisation and extremism which is to be held at the end of the week in Strasbourg and where the campaign will be show-cased. He stressed the intention of the Education Department to be more involved in the campaign. In the discussion that followed, the following proposals were agreed:

- Non-formal education should indeed be reflected in the project Competences for Democratic Culture; the Youth Department and the Advisory Council on Youth should still be able to provide feedback
- The project on counter-narratives can provide the ideal framework for further cooperation, possible open also to the Wergeland Centre
- The hearing on Digital Citizenship Education should/could foresee a specific dimension for the campaign
- The EDC coordinators ought to be contacted/approached by the national coordinators in order to connect with national campaigns
- A presentation of the new phase of the campaign should be done at the CDPPE meeting in March 2016.

**Equality Division**

Carolina Lasén Diaz, Head of the Gender Equality Unit presented the Strategy for Gender Equality of the Council of Europe for 2014-2017, whose first objective, on combating gender stereotypes and sexism, makes a specific reference to hate speech. The Human Rights Commissioner published a recent Commentary on sexist hate speech, especially concerning women in public life. This creates a good ground for cooperation with the campaign.

The Follow-up Group welcomed the proposals and agreed to:

- Have the campaign presented at the next meeting of the Gender Equality Commission (November)
- Explore possibilities to update the definition of hate speech of the Recommendation 1997 (20), which excludes sexist hate speech
- Support a seminar or workshop about sexist hate speech together with the Gender Equality Unit
- Propose 8 March as a European Action Day dedicated to sexist hate speech, and which would be prepared by the seminar above-mentioned.

**Internet Governance**

Lee Hibbard, Internet Policy Coordinator, invited the stakeholders of the Youth Department, especially youth organisations, to be more present in Internet Governance processes, including at national level where Internet governance fora are being held. He also encouraged youth participants to take part in the next EuroDIG (Dialogue on Internet Governance) conference in 9 and 10 June 2016 in Brussels.

He introduced the draft Internet Governance Strategy 2016-2019 which has now been sent to relevant to the secretaries of relevant CoE steering and convention Committees for comments. On the basis of comments received, a revised draft strategy will be considered by the Steering Committee on Media and Information Society (CDMSI) during its next meeting on 8-11 December 2015. Thereafter, the draft strategy will be examined by member states in the Committee of Ministers with a view to its adoption in February/March 2016. The No Hate Speech campaign is the main contribution of the youth sector to the strategy.

Loreta Vioiu presented the project of integrating the Guide of Internet Users Rights in Bookmarks, which will allow for the development of a Bookmarks-based training programme in Ukraine, Georgia and possibly other countries. This is being done in cooperation with the Youth Department and should be finalised by December.

The Follow-up Group thanked both Loreta and Lee for the cooperation and also the chair of the CDMSI for her participation in the Evaluation and Follow-up Conference.

The Follow-up Group also proposed:

- To hold a seminar on Internet Governance with the members of the Advisory Council on Youth, open to campaign activists and partners of the Education Department, to understand the issues at stake in Internet Governance from a youth participation/policy perspective.
- To prepare a “quick guide on Internet Governance” to support campaign activists and partners interest in Internet Governance processes.
11. Guidelines for the follow-up of the campaign in 2015 and 2016


The group reviewed in details the documents, especially the objectives and expected results. It did not have the time to analyse in detail all the proposals of the secretariat – and some members were also not sure that it should be the role of this Follow-up Group to review everything. This made it difficult to agree on this document to be submitted to the Joint Council Meeting as a document of the Follow-up Group. It was agreed that:

- The secretariat will send a revised draft of the document, including amendments suggested by the secretariat, to the Follow-up Group by email. If there is consensus about the document it can be sent to the CMJ as a document of the CMJ. If not, it will be submitted as a proposal from the secretariat.
- The secretariat should also prepare a paper describing the role and functions of the online activists and those of the national committees.
- Regarding the composition of the next Follow-up Group, the proposals of the secretariat was considered a good basis for work provided that the national committees/coordinators be also represented.
- The next Follow-up Group should take into account the evaluations of this campaign especially the proposals of the external evaluators and those of the conference “The end of the beginning?“.

12. Evaluation of the work of the Follow-up Group

The participants shared their experiences in monitoring and following the campaign over several years for some, and only some months for others. The following remarks and conclusions were made:

- Meeting twice a year is a good and effective rhythm but sometimes a 3rd meeting may be needed.
- It was important to include representatives of the activists.
- It was important for individual members to not only attend the group meetings but also to take part in activities or represent the experiences of the campaign in other processes. This strengthened ownership and competence to advocate for the campaign.
- The meetings were usually very good for guidance and inspiration.
- The CDEJ should be represented by CDEJ members to secure continuity with the Joint Council and the CDEJ.
- The members of the group were all activists and defenders for the same values; this made the work enriching and worthwhile. There are many good moments to remember.
- The campaign reflected very well the tensions in European societies and the dilemmas that many young people experience in relation to human rights on and offline. It has been also a huge contribution to the role and of youth in the Council of Europe.

Rui Gomes, on behalf of the secretariat thanked all the members of the Follow-up Group for their commitment, support and contribution to the campaign. He wished to address special thanks to Aleksandra Mitrovic-Knezević, Laurence Hermand and Sergio for their supported in many crucial moments of the campaign.
13. Conclusions and report to the Joint Council on Youth

The group agreed on the conclusions and draft decisions to propose to the Joint Council on Youth at its meeting on October 2014 as they appear in the text hereunder.

The Follow-up Group of the No Hate Speech Movement campaign, set up by the Joint Council on Youth, held its 9th and final meeting in Strasbourg on 21 and 22 September.

The group reviewed the evaluation of the campaign from March 2013 to March 2015, including the external evaluation and the results of the Evaluation and Follow-up Conference "The End of the Beginning?". It also took note of major developments at national and European level, especially those related to the continuation of the campaign until December 2017 as decided by the Committee of Ministers at its 125th session in the Action Plan on the fight against violent extremism and radicalisation leading to terrorism.

The group considers its mandate to be finished with this meeting and addresses the following conclusions and recommendations to the Joint Council on Youth:

1. The No Hate Speech Movement campaign has largely reached its aims for the period 2013-2015, especially in relation to awareness-raising and mobilisation about hate speech, human rights online, netcitizenship and online participation.

2. The campaign has provided an opportunity for youth policy actors to value young people as change-makers and promoters of the values of the Council of Europe, both at national and at European level.

3. The Follow-up Group thanks the individual campaign activists, the partners, national committees and campaign coordinators, as well as the members of the European Steering Committee on Youth and of the Advisory Council on Youth, for their support and concern for the campaign’s success.

4. The campaign in 2016 and 2017 ought to take into account the conclusions of the evaluations of the campaign so far, including the need for mobilisation of adequate resources at national and European level, the effective political support at all levels, and the need to strengthen the educational dimension of the campaign.

5. The Joint Council on Youth is invited to continue supporting and monitoring the campaign in 2016-2017 and, especially, to make sure that the campaign is effectively implemented in all member states.

6. The Joint Council on Youth is invited to discuss and adopt the guidelines for the campaign implementation in 2016-2017 as proposed in the document of the secretariat/Follow-up Group, in appendix of this report (add doc. Reference)

7. A new Follow-up Group should be set up by the Joint Council on Youth for the biennium 2016-2017; a proposal of terms of reference is appended as to document DDPC-YD/NHSM (2015)12 rev.

8. The Follow-up Group wishes to thank the Joint Council on Youth for the trust and support provided throughout its mandate.
Draft decision by the Joint Council on Youth

The Joint Council on Youth:

a) Took note of the conclusions of the Follow-up Group of the No Hate Speech Movement and of the report of its 9th meeting
b) Took note of the report of the external evaluation of the campaign and the conclusions of the Evaluation and Follow-up Conference “The End of the Beginning?”
c) Thanked the outgoing members and observers of the Follow-up Group for their commitment and hard work to secure the success of the campaign
d) Commended the work of online activists and volunteers in making the campaign real and invited to remain active and expand their informal networks
e) Praised the partners of the campaign and invited them to remains associated to it in 2016 and 2017
f) Took note of the decision of the Committee of Ministers at its 125th session to continue the campaign until the end of 2017 in the framework of the prevention measures of the Action Plan on the fight against violent extremism and radicalisation leading to terrorism
g) Adopted the Concept for the implementation of the campaign based on the document DDCP-YD/NHSM (2015)12 rev with the following amendments and remarks:
   a. Xx
   b. Xxx
h) Asked the member States to continue supporting and developing the outreach of the campaign and, where this is not yet the case, to effectively set up national campaign committees in accordance with the guidelines of the campaign and to implement the campaign at national level
i) Agreed on the Terms of Reference for a new Follow-up Group of the campaign for the period 2016-2017, composed of 3 members of the Advisory Council on Youth and 3 members of the European Steering Committee on Youth and with the participation of the observers foreseen in those Terms of Reference – see document DDCP-YD/NHSM (2015)12 rev.

14. Any other business

There was no other business.
Appendix 1 - List of Participants

Members
Advisory Council on Youth (CCJ)

Sergio Belfor, United for Intercultural Action

Youssef Himmat, Forum of European Muslim Youth and Students Organisation (apologised)

Roh Petas, International Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender & Queer Youth & Student Organisation

European Steering Committee on Youth (CDEJ)

Laurence Hermand, Bureau International de la Jeunesse, French Community of Belgium

Aleksandra Mitrovic-Knezević, Ministry of Youth and Sport of Serbia (apologised)

Margarida Saco, Portuguese Institute of Youth and Sport

Observers

Imre Simon, European Youth Information and Counselling Agency

Jan Wilker, European Youth Forum (apologised)

Finn Denstad, EEA Norway Grants (apologised)

Ellen Lange, European Steering Committee for Education Policy and Practice

Gubaz Koberidze, Online Campaign Activist

Euan Platt, International Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Queer Youth Student Organisation (non-attendance)

Manel Sanchez Garcia, European Youth Card Association

Consultant

László Földi, online coordinator (via visio conference)

Secretariat

Antje Rothemund, Head of the Youth Department

Rui Gomes, Head of Division Education and Training, Youth Department

Anca-Ruxandra Pandea, Educational Advisor, Youth Department (by visio conference)

Estelle Glessinger, Campaign Assistant, Youth Department

Ciara Spencer, Trainee, Youth Department

Alessandra Coppola, Trainee, Youth Department

Elodie Fischer, Giorgio Loddo, Committee on Equality and Non-Discrimination, Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe

Stefano Valenti, European Commission against Racism and Intolerance

Christopher Reynolds, Education Department

Lee Hibbard, Loreta Vioiu, Media and Internet Governance (DG I)

Carolina Lasén Díaz, Head of the Gender Equality Unit (DGII, Directorate of Human Dignity and Equality)
Appendix 2: Update on National Campaigns

On 17 and 18 September the secretariat carried out a flash survey among national coordinators and contacts to find out their plans for 2015 and, especially 2016-2017. Below, the summary of the replies received. Information from some countries is subject to confirmation. In colored boxes: countries where the campaign has never been officially launched or adopted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Replied?</th>
<th>Active?</th>
<th>Plans for 2016/2017</th>
<th>Functioning NCC? To stay active?</th>
<th>Expectations from CoE</th>
<th>Contacted No Hate Alliance?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Waiting decision</td>
<td>Support and statement by CoE for events in 2016</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andorra</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Plans to set committee</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaijan</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belarus</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium (French Community)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>To be checked</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium (Flanders)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium (German Community)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia and Herzegovina</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Waiting decision</td>
<td>Best practices, assess impact, promotion materials</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>Y (Only NGOs)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Support to establish NCC; Coordination; Differentiation between on and offline tools</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Guidelines for campaign continuation</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Promotion materials</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y (TBC)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Objectives of next years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holy see</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y which ends Spring 2016</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Coordination; support online; financial support or opportunities for NCC to apply for EYF funds</td>
<td>Y, exchange of emails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Coordinating activities, share resources</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Support group only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Y (only)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Coordination</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Replied?</td>
<td>Active?</td>
<td>Plans for 2016/2017 Functioning NCC?</td>
<td>To stay active?</td>
<td>Expectations from CoE</td>
<td>Contacted No Hate Alliance?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Not officially</td>
<td>Possibly</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Advice on awareness raising will little funding. Support materials especially for refugee crisis</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liechtenstein</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Not officially</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Funding; translation of Bookmarks; Platform for national coordinators</td>
<td>Y. Meetings in Parliament; organised round table discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>More promotion, visibility and material</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Hopefully</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Training, sharing information, tools and experiences.</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moldova</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monaco</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>No but attempting</td>
<td>Coordination, Database on work done; information about activists and organizations</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Conferences, meeting spaces, institutional support, follow-up mails, information</td>
<td>Tried but no response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Financing till end of April</td>
<td>Non formal</td>
<td>Pressure on current government, advice on how to operate after acceptance of SG plan in May</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>Coordination. A functioning online platform.</td>
<td>Y. Limited but promising involvement; information shared in Parliament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Marino</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Coordination activities, sharing information among the committees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Funding; international partners, promotion materials, infographics</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Replied?</td>
<td>Active?</td>
<td>Plans for 2016/2017</td>
<td>Functioning NCC? To stay active?</td>
<td>Expectations from CoE</td>
<td>Contacted No Hate Alliance?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N - but operating under Swedish Media Council</td>
<td>Campaign materials</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ended March 2015</td>
<td>Possibly-to decide in Oct.</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Website maintained and information spread; budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia”</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Expertise; support of implementation, training</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Assistance</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>N/Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>Regional support groups is being established in Northern Ireland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>