



I. Principles of Judicial Training on cybercrime and electronic evidence

The following 16 principles constitute a common base and an aspirational reference, devised from the discourse with participants from the various jurisdictions engaged in judicial training, comprising of Brunei, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Ghana, Mauritius, Morocco, Myanmar, Nigeria, Philippines, Senegal, Singapore, Sri Lanka, Thailand, Tonga, beyond the diversity of legal systems and the training modes of the judges and prosecutors.

They are intended to provide a set of recommendations to be considered when defining the National strategy for judicial training on cybercrime and electronic evidence.

The principles draw from the Judicial Training Principles adopted by the European Judicial Training Network and from international best practices.

Cebu, Philippines, 14 December 2017

1. Judicial training in cybercrime and electronic evidence is a multidisciplinary and practical type of training, essentially intended for the transmission of professional techniques and values complementary to legal education.
2. Training is part of the normal working life of a judge and a prosecutor. All judges and prosecutors should have time and opportunity to undertake training as part of the normal working time, unless it exceptionally jeopardises the service of justice.
3. In accordance with the principles of judicial independence the design, content and delivery of judicial training in cybercrime and electronic evidence are exclusively for national institutions responsible for judicial training to determine.
4. Judicial training in cybercrime and electronic evidence should be crafted in a manner that responds to the needs of judges and/or prosecutors by conducting a needs assessment, taking into account existing international standards and cooperation instruments, in particular the Budapest Convention on Cybercrime.

5. Judicial training should be designed in consultation with appropriate experts by practicing judicial office holders or trainers with appropriate professional skills, under judicial direction.
6. Training in cybercrime should primarily be delivered by judges and prosecutors who have been previously trained for this purpose.
7. All judges and prosecutors should receive initial training in cybercrime and electronic evidence before or on their appointment.
8. All judicial office holders should undertake a programme of continuing education in cybercrime and electronic evidence. There should also be a system of incentives introduced with respect to judicial training in the area of cybercrime.
9. Judicial office holders who design and deliver judicial training in cybercrime and electronic evidence will receive continuous training and advice for that purpose.
10. Active and modern educational techniques should be given primacy in judicial training on cybercrime and electronic evidence.
11. Face to face training and e-learning are both core methods of judicial training in cybercrime and electronic evidence. The most effective face to face training is that which requires active participation by judicial office holders in a supportive environment and gives them the opportunity to practise and develop skills. The most effective e-learning is that which requires judicial office holders to participate in interactive learning online.
12. Partnering with academia or other parties from the public sector could enhance the training programmes on cybercrime and electronic evidence and help covering a range of issues relevant to the judicial role in the field.
13. Participating in international projects for judicial training in cybercrime and electronic evidence reinforces the judiciary's position and is key to effectively tackle the cross-border nature of cybercrime and issues related to electronic evidence.
14. Evaluation of judicial training in cybercrime and electronic evidence should ensure that officers attain the expected competencies and should result into continuous development and improvement, in a cost-effective manner. This activity should be mandated to a Judicial Training Institute, where present.

15. The highest judicial authorities should support and participate in judicial training in cybercrime and electronic evidence.
16. States should provide national institutions responsible for judicial training with sufficient funding and other resources to achieve their aims and objectives.



II. National judicial training strategy on cybercrime and electronic evidence – recommendations

The following template provides a set of considerations that should be taken into account when drafting the National judicial training strategy on cybercrime and electronic evidence. The list is not intended to be exhaustive, nor binding by any means.

Vision/Overarching Objective

[Articulate the Vision and the Mission statement of the Strategy]

- Identify any possible reference to the National Cyber Security or Cybercrime Strategies and Policies

Responsibility

[Identify the Entity(s) as well as Partners responsible for Oversight, Implementation and Review of the strategy]

- Identify the coordinating authority that should oversee the implementation of training strategy and delivery of the trainings
- Identify the lead competent authority and any entities/authorities with which to coordinate
- When drafting the strategy take into account the complexity of the legal and technical language
- Mention the consultation process and the stakeholders consulted to arrive at the Strategy
- There should be consultation for drafting of the strategy with other relevant/specialized authorities including judges and prosecutors and Judicial Training Institutes

Principles

[Insert the Principles that will be the guiding values of the Strategy]

- Select from the “Principles of Judicial Training on cybercrime and electronic evidence”, provided under the GLACY+ Project

Priorities

[Identify the aspects of the Strategy that should be prioritized]

Objectives

[List the Objectives and the Targets of the Strategy]

SMART criteria to set the objectives

- Specific
- Measurable
- Achievable
- Realistic
- Time-bound

Specific and measurable

- Specify the number of people to be trained within a certain timeframe

Time bound targets

- Divide the Strategy into Time bound targets:
 - Short term (e.g. 12 months – Provide introductory training with support from external entities)
 - Medium term (e.g. 3 years – Identify and train a pool of resource persons from the national authorities)
 - Long term (e.g. 5 years – Fully sustainable training courses are provided on cybercrime and electronic evidence on a continuing basis)

Pool of trainers:

- Consider if and how to establish a pool of resource persons
 - E.g. through training of trainers programmes or identifying possible trainers
- Evaluate the feasibility of setting up a center of excellence, also for the training of trainers, which could act either on national or on regional level

Target categories of trainees:

- Specify the Categories of trainees for the judiciary (eg. Judges and Prosecutor)
- In case the aim is set to train all categories – different professionals may be trained separately, but as a part of the broader training strategy, in coordination with other competent authorities

- Specify the categories of trainees to be included in the broader strategy: attorneys, police officers, forensic experts etc.
- Include all levels of any particular category (eg. Magistrate, First Instance Judges up to Supreme Court Judges)
- Specify if there need to be any difference between prosecutors and judges (establish joint training courses complemented by specialized modules for specific professions)
- Consider the subdivision of trainees (by region or jurisdiction)
- Mention the various types, kinds or range of curriculum and trainings that should be prepared and delivered for a specific period

Approach

[Identify the elements of the approach to be undertaken]

Multidisciplinary approach

- Adopt the multidisciplinary approach in the broader sense, to include:
 - different disciplines within the law (including international comparative jurisprudence)
 - different skills required to apply the law
 - e.g. science, technology, digital forensics, financial frauds, BEC, IPR, child pornography, digital copyright, terrorism, human trafficking, online sexual exploitation, online drug trafficking, cryptocurrencies, etc.

Mandatory Training

- State the extent to which and details of how training of newly inducted judicial officers and prosecutors should be compulsory
- State the extent to which and details of how the continuing training of sitting (in office) judicial officers and prosecutors should be compulsory (including any exceptions if necessary)

Resources

- State the sources, such as organizations or public funds, and the suitability of the resource

Partners

- Public Sector - Identify State and Public Sector entities that can contribute to the implementation of the strategy
 - Domestic Agencies,
 - Regional Organizations [ASEAN, ECOWAS, SAARC, etc.],
 - International Organizations [Council of Europe, etc.]

- Private Sector – Consider the private sector participation under the supervision of the competent authority (Ministry of Justice etc.), in:
 - assisting in the delivery of the training
 - assisting in the design of the training content

caveat: where there may be potential of a perception of bias or dealing with a potential litigant party these should be avoided or supervisory safeguards should be introduced where Judicial authorities supervise the activities and delivery of the trainings

Implementation

[Include the actual implementation elements]

- Specify how it is expected to achieve the goals
- Specify Modules, Time period and Levels of training
 - (e.g. Introductory/ Intermediate/ Advanced)
- Specify Format of the training
 - Face-to-face
 - Training Materials
 - eLearning
 - Reports
 - Case Studies
 - Podcasts
 - Bibliographies
 - Handbooks and Guidelines
 - Short term exchanges
- Identify contemporary subjects that are pressing at the national level in matters related to cybercrime and electronic evidence
- Include practical case-based examples
- There should be consultation and coordination for the implementation of the Strategy with other relevant/specialized authorities where judges and prosecutors are involved in addition to the Judicial Training Institutes
- Judicial Institutes from various countries should assist, coordinate and cooperate with each other for judicial training support, updates on the latest cybercrime activities and case law, collaboration and exchanges (e.g. institutes in some countries could act as hubs in their regions for sharing of a pool of trainers and resources for trainings)

Evaluation/ Review/ Improvement

[Include the evaluation plan and the periodic updates]

- Define a process for a continuing evaluation, review and improvement of the strategy, possibly undertaken by external/independent evaluators
- Consider the following dimensions: Effectiveness, Efficiency, Relevance, Coherence, Sustainability.
- Establish an independence to the evaluation based on judicial and non-judicial stakeholders