## Gathering e-evidence from Service Providers



Challenges and good practices from a national perspective

CAMILA BOSCH
Legal Advisor
Cybercrime Specialized Unit
National Prosecutor's Office
CHILE

### **Gathering e-evidence from service providers**

- Increasing of the online activity
- Increase in the amount of information that is stored in the Cloud vs information stored in users computers.
- Anonimity granted by internet (asking for e-vidence to SP as a first step for criminal investigations)

 Electronic data is constantly being deleted











# Challenges in the relationship with service providers

- Most service providers are located abroad
- Necessity of a common language:
- 1. Preservation (art. 16 Budapest Convention + ER p. 150, 151...)
- 2. Suscriber information (art 18 letter 3)
- 3. Traffic information (art. 1 letter d) Budapest Convention)
- 4. Content (ER Budapest Convention p.229)
- Service providers that don't cooperate directly with LEA:
   24/7 network
   MLA
- Internal policies of providers that do cooperate directly:
   Will depend on each provider and can change constantly
- \* Second Additional Protocol to the Budapest Convention.



#### **National Good Practices**

- Acceding the Budapest Convention
- Capacity building for prosecutors
- Guide for obtaining e-evidence from service providers located abroad:
   Explanation of information that can be seeked

Instructions on how to seek it depending on the service provider

Templates for asking information depending on the service provider

**Constant update of the guide** 



### **THANK YOU**

cbosch@minpublico.cl

