

Regional Workshop on COVID-19 related cybercrime and electronic evidence in ASIA

International Cooperation on Cybercrime and Electronic Evidence

Evolution of India's Data Protection Framework

- Deepak Maheshwari

7-9 March 2022 Sri Lanka Octopus



20th Century Tool For 21st century crime?

Cross-Border Nature of Internet

- \odot Criminals operate and collaborate across borders
- \circ But what about surely,
- \odot Service providers including social media
 - $\,\circ\,$ Cross geographical borders
 - $\,\circ\,$ Play around or cross legal redlines
 - $\,\circ\,$ User in country A
 - $\circ\,$ (Suspected) Criminal in (supposedly) country B
 - $\,\circ\,$ Data center in country C
 - Headquarters in country D

Technology is Global, Policy and Law is Local / National / Regional

Sharp Challenges, Blunt Responses?

Slew of Proposals

Localization mandates

- Data localization
- In-country establishment
- 'Local residents' in key positions, criminally liable
- Personal, criminal liability
- Content moderation per local norms
- Strong KYC mandate for customers and users
- Trace the originator
- Ban, Restrict or Weaken 'end-to-end encryption' & VPN

Is Data Protection Framework the Right Tool?

Evolution of Legislative Framework

- 2000 Information Technology Act enacted
- 2008 IT Act amended; rules for sensitive or personal data or information, 2011
- 2010 Enrolment began for Aadhaar without law, national ID for 'residents' sans any entitlement
- 2010 Consultations on Privacy Law began
- 2012 National Data Sharing and Accessibility Policy notified
- 2012 A committee recommends 9 principles for privacy law
- 2012 Writ petition filed in Supreme Court challenging constitutional validity of Aadhaar
- 2016 Aadhaar Act passed
- 2017 Supreme Court upheld privacy as a fundamental right in 2017
- 2018 An expert committee recommends Draft Personal Data Protection Bill, 2018
- 2018 Supreme Court upholds constitutional validity of Aadhaar Act
- 2019 Government introduced PDP Bill, 2019; referred to Joint Parliamentary Committee (JPC)
- 2020 Another expert committee recommends Non-Personal Data (NPD) governance framework
- 2021 JPC recommends to include NPD and renames it as Data Protection Bill, 2021
- 2021 Intermediary rules notified with higher compliance burden in case of more than 5 million users
- 2022 Comments sought on Draft Data Accessibility and Use Policy; monetization of processing data

Data Protection \rightarrow Privacy \rightarrow Data Protection



Data Protection ≠ Privacy

- Non-Personal Data In, Non-Digital Data Out
- Modeled on EU GDPR (2018 & 2019)
 - Over-reliance on consent framework
 - Fresh consent necessary for any other purpose(s)
 - Hard Classification between SPI and PII
- 'Critical Data' undefined
- Data localization mandates
- Government to decide on Data Transfer Agreements
- Exemption for Government Agencies
- Social Media Intermediaries to be treated as Publishers
- Adverse Impact of Compliance Burden on Start-ups and Innovation
- Concept of Sandbox

Need for Public Consultation Afresh!

Questions to Ponder

- What is the scope and philosophy of your national law (existing or proposed)?
 - 'Data Protection' or the broader 'Right to Privacy'
 - Is GDPR the right model, notwithstanding social-cultural milieu and political economy of a country?
 - What about crimes pertaining to Non-Personal Data?
 - What about 'Privacy Sandbox', for example, to test the data practices of digital platforms and mobile apps?