



DDP-YD/ETD (2020) 53

12 March 2020, Strasbourg

# Keeping the World Accessible & Inclusive Through Policy-making Perspective



Report of the 12th study session held by the International Federation of Hard of Hearing Young People (IFHOHYP) in co-operation with the Council of Europe

> European Youth Centre Strasbourg 11-16 March 2019

This report gives an account of various aspects of the study session. It has been produced by and is the responsibility of the educational team of the study session. It does not represent the official point of view of the Council of Europe.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to express our gratitude towards the Council of Europe's Youth Sector for helping us with financial assistance and administrative tasks of the study session. We also would like to thank our external educational advisor, Karina Chupina, for her endless support in improving the details from the planning to the realisation and completion of the study session.

#### Team

Pavel Novikov, Russia - Course Director Paulina Lewandowska, Poland Ece Saygi, Turkey Ediz Tekok, Turkey Hanna Mullis, Sweden

and Karina Chupina, Russia/Germany as External Educational Advisor, Youth Department of the Council of Europe

# Contents

Acknowledgements	.2
Team	.2
Contents	.3
Executive Summary	.5
Introduction to IFHOHYP Study session	
Figure 1: IFHOHYP logo	
Figure 2: Study session team	. 7
Profile of participants and relevance of study session to the Council of Europe Erro	r!
Bookmark not defined.	
Profile of the participants	9
The link of the session's theme to the Council of Europe	
Programme day-by-day1	
Monday 11 March 2019 - Teambuilding and Human Rights day	
Welcome session 1	10
Figure 3: International coffee break1	11
Group building	11
Human Rights Education1	
Reflection groups & Organisational market1	
Figure 4: Organisational Market1	
Tuesday 12 March 2019 - Advocacy & UN CRPD day 1	
Identifying & Combating Discrimination	
Figure 5: Identifying and Combating Discrimination, group presentation	
UN CRPD & Optional Protocol	13
Figure 6: UN CRPD & Optional Protocol, group presentation of Article 4 of the UN CRPD1	1 /
Introduction to social inclusion	
Wednesday 13 March 2019 - Communication & Debates day         1           Public speaking workshops         1	
Figure 7: Ediz moderating a debate group	
Thursday 14 March 2019 - Media Literacy day	
Media Literacy input	
Using media tools for advocacy purposes	
Using media by IFHOHYP 1	
Friday 15 March 2019 - Media & Policy tools development day	17
Social Media skills 1	
Figure 8: Social Media Skills session1	18
European Youth Foundation input1	
Defining media and policy tools to work on	
Saturday 16 March 2019 - Finalising Media & Policy tools Error! Bookmark not define	
Working in groups on media tools – a continuation from the previous afternoon's session 1 Presentation of the group projects1	
Figure 9: Photo of Andrea's policy recommendation on a long sheet of paper 2	

Figure 10: Poster on communicating with HoH people	21
Personal Action Plans (PAP) - as an outcome of this study session Figure 11: Personal Action Plan	
Evaluation of the study session Figure 12: Evaluation of the study session using the metaphorical thermometer	
Summary of the participants' evaluations Figure 13: A pie-chart illustrating the results of the evaluation, overall participa experience	ant
Figure 14: The average results of the formal evaluation	24
Results and conclusions of the study session for the participants Outcomes of the study session for IFHOHYP and Council of Europe	
Follow-up activities	27
Gone2Hear	27
Consistency in social media outreach	
Internal forum for member organisations	27
Appendices	28
Appendix 1 - IFHOHYP Study session programme, 11-16 March 2019	28
Appendix 2 - IFHOHYP Study Session Visibility links	29
Appendix 3 - List of Participating Countries and Organisations	30

# **Executive Summary**

The overall aim of the International Federation of Hard of Hearing Young People (IFHOHYP) study session was to develop effective advocacy skills for our rights as hard of hearing (HoH) young people through the use and production of policy and media tools. The building of advocacy competencies was incorporated in study session through:

- Building self-confidence, public speaking, argumentation skills and utilising trust-building skills towards finding allies to help defend their rights
- Exploring human rights and Human Rights Education
- Building knowledge about UN CRPD and applying its mechanisms
- Developing media literacy and critical thinking skills, social media advocacy skills
- Developing policy and media tools/strategies to advocate for HoH rights and accessibility needs in order to be fully included in society

The participants were provided with comprehensive information on the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UN CRPD). They deepened their understanding of the UN CRPD, its applications, such as the Optional Protocol and Shadow reporting, and learned how to use these tools in their own advocacy activities. Thirty-six hard of hearing participants from 17 countries, including Israel, Canada and Uganda, attended the study session.

The study session is one of the core IFHOHYP activities in realising these educational goals, particularly given the goal in IFHOHYP 2016-2020 strategic plan that 2/3 of IFHOHYP members will be able to clearly define the UN CRPD and explain its significance in their advocacy work to promote full inclusion in society.

They were informed on how to advocate *more* effectively for their rights as hard of hearing persons, particularly by using media tools and skills in disseminating hard of hearing perspectives on rights and accessibility. The group was equipped with skills in media literacy to be able to address mistreatment and exclusion. Participants learned about how the media is able to influence society and how they can create messages themselves that can also impact society, e.g. challenge common misconceptions about deaf and hard of hearing people, raise awareness about rights and participation in civic life, as well as, learn how to recognise when these rights are not being respected. During the sessions on media literacy, participants understood some of the challenges such as framing, manipulation, fake news, analysis of the information in the media, hate speech, and could partially develop some of the related media literacy related competences as developing and bringing forward advocacy messages using a variety of media (not only social media).

The participants were further informed about how to use social media to advocate for their rights. Media is often inaccessible for our demographic, particularly online audio-visual media that is not required to be closed-captioned. The study session problematised this reality, helping participants realise that inaccessibility does not have to be inevitable.

The key outcomes of the study session were media and policy tools developed by the participants with the aim of advocating for disability rights of HoH youth and developing content for the promotion of the positive image of HoH youth in the media. Specific examples of the tools include: videos on breaking stereotypes and challenging prejudices; eEmpowerment of HoH youth; how to

communicate with HoH people; guidelines on communication with HoH people; guidelines on policy development with state authorities; policy recommendations on captioning; and an awareness-raising poster in digital and paper copy version.

This report outlines the aims, structure and outcomes of the sessions for the participants, IFHOHYP and the Council of Europe. As a result of the conclusions presented in the report, IFHOHYP hopes to continue developing the project Gone2Hear on international mobility for young people with hearing loss and better assist members in their communication strategies through improved contact between the federation and its member organisations.

# **Introduction to IFHOHYP Study session**

#### Hiya readers!

Welcome to our report of the study session that **IFHOHYP** hosted in cooperation with the Council **of Europe's Youth Department**! Our study session took place between **10-17 March 2019** and was titled "**Keeping the World Accessible & Inclusive through Policy-making Perspective"** – a study session to encourage the enthusiastic participants to become more active as young people, both in their local organisations and in our international federation. It took place in the European Youth Centre Strasbourg, France, which is the very first building of Council of Europe dedicated to support young people to make the world a better place. Here, you will find detailed information on the study session's aim and objectives, what we have done during the study session, who the participants were, and finally, evaluation and outcomes of the study session.



Figure 1: IFHOHYP logo

To begin, let us introduce you to IFHOHYP very briefly. IFHOHYP stands for **International Federation of Hard of Hearing Young People**, an international, politically and religiously independent, nonprofit organisation to bring all hard of hearing youth organisations around the globe under the same roof. Our federation actively works to empower hard of hearing individuals, acknowledge our rights and raise awareness to the broader hearing world on young people with hearing loss. IFHOHYP consists of a board of six people and committees who are the backbones of the federation – we are the study session committee below!



Figure 2: Study session team, from left to right: Hanna Mullis, Ediz Tekok, Paulina Lewandowska, Pavel Novikov, Ece Saygı, and our educational advisor, Karina Chupina

IFHOHYP hosts different activities – one of them being this study session. If you would like to learn more about IFHOHYP, please make sure to check out our website! <u>http://ifhohyp.org/</u>

If you have noticed our study session title earlier, we have dived into policymaking and accessibility as our main goal. Why did we host this study session on these topics?

- 1. All of our member organisations agree that we have one common problem: that is there is a lack of accessibility and inclusion in the society for hard of hearing people. This is a serious problem and we need to look for ways to improve this situation.
- 2. The previous point also comes from lack of awareness by both the societies and our governments: to get our governments' attention, we need to learn how to use policies effectively that works out for both parties.
- 3. Our members are striving to take action, but do not know how to speak up or defend their rights.

Because of the above reasons, IFHOHYP study session Committee has organised the study session to achieve this aim: To develop effective advocacy skills for our rights as hard of hearing young people through the use and production of policy and media tools.

In order to achieve our aim, we have set a few objectives.

At the end of our study session, we expected the participants to be able:

- ✓ To have developed media literacy skills to engage, access, critically evaluate and use media effectively in their advocacy work
- ✓ To identify misinformation, discrimination, manipulation, disability hate speech and abuse in media – and how to address it
- ✓ To identify which media tools (images, social media, videos, documentations etc.) are needed for different purposes
- ✓ To advocate for disability/HoH rights to external parties through public speaking, writing, building social media strategy for their organisations and campaigns
- ✓ To identify discrimination and use UN CRPD, Optional Protocol and CoE Disability strategy 2017-2023 to address discrimination
- $\checkmark$  To create the content for promotion of the positive image of young hard of hearing people

We believe that we have managed to achieve our objectives and aims and hopefully you will also agree as you read more about our study session!

# **Participants and partners**

# **Profile of participants**

In total, 36 Hard of Hearing and d/Deaf (DHH) participants, including 5 team members, attended the study session. They were representatives from 16 national organisations, 2 regional organisations, and 4 participants from organisations that are not members of IFHOHYP, from a total of 17 countries, all of whom are active on hearing loss issues in their countries. Participants were primarily from member states of the Council of Europe, although there were also participants from Canada, Uganda and Israel. This means that DHH people from four continents came to this event: from Europe, America, Africa and Asia. Not all their national organisations were members of the IFHOHYP, but after the study session, several organisations have begun efforts to join IFHOHYP, a testament to the importance of study sessions in IFHOHYP's operations. The majority of participants were under 30 years old, with the average age of the participants being 26.5. The group had a good gender balance, with 18 male and 18 female participants (including preparation team). The full list of participants and organisations is presented in appendix.

#### In accordance with the call, participants had to be:

- Primarily hard of hearing young people aged from 18 to 30 who were actively working/ volunteering in organisations on issues of hearing disability; other applicants who were actively involved in work on hearing loss issues or applicants aged over 30 were also welcomed to apply.
- Able to communicate and work in spoken English without the help of another person (speech to text and International Sign interpreters will be present).
- Motivated to develop a project/training related to youth, inclusion and hearing disability in their organisations or communities after the session.
- Interested in raising awareness about hearing loss and concerns of hard of hearing youth.

# The link of the session's theme to the Council of Europe

IFHOHYP study session contributed firstly, to the Council of Europe Youth Sector priority on "access to rights and human rights education" (through learning about human rights, ways to fight for disability rights and in part, learning through human rights), and, secondly, to the priority of "building inclusive and peaceful societies" (working on media and policy tools that should help improve inclusion of HoH in the society and make it more accessible and inclusive).

This activity placed emphasis on an anti-discriminatory approach where participants shared their experiences as hard of hearing (HoH) and d/Deaf (D) young people fighting discrimination and exclusion. Unfortunately, a sphere in which exclusion and discrimination can and does occur is within the media. As DHH young people, we rely more and more on media originating from the internet. Audio-visual media in this medium is often not captioned, presenting a barrier to inclusion. Further, misinformation, prejudices, stereotypes and outright lies about hearing loss and our rights in our countries can spread quickly on both social media and the regular media. The study session's goal was achieved because participants developed strategies to ensure that social rights and social inclusion are a reality for them. The prep team provided participants with knowledge about their rights so they understood what constitutes discrimination and how they can fight against it in an

effective and peaceful way utilising the technology available. Further, they learnt basic media literacy competencies to foster critical thinking skills and the ability to speak out against misinformation. Now, after the study session, participants are able to apply what they learned – in their advocacy work at their communities, beginning with concrete and realistic personal action plans they developed during the session. All the above, aligned with the priorities of the Youth Department, mainly a "rights-based approach to youth policies", responds to the standards and principles of the Council of Europe's human rights instruments and the UN CRPD.

The Council of Europe Youth Department has contributed to the content of the study session's programme. During this event, participants became familiarised with the structure, functioning and priorities of the Council of Europe and its Youth Sector, in particular the work of the European Youth Centres. Participants were introduced to the resources of the Council of Europe (such as the Manual on Human Rights Education for Young People "COMPASS") and received publications of the Council of Europe and us the manuals and publications of the CoE-European Commission (EC) Partnership in the Field of Youth, which they could take home.

# Programme day-by-day

# **Teambuilding and Human Rights day**

#### Welcome session

The study session 2019 was opened by its Course Director, Pavel Novikov. Participants were told to introduce themselves by their name, country, organisations and occupations, and to show their sign language name. Ms. Sarah Walter of the Youth Department Secretariat introduced Council of Europe and wished participants a successful and enjoyable study session. The external advisor Karina Chupina then asked participant groups to take a Kahoot quiz about Council of Europe. During the quiz, Karina gave background information on each of the correct answers, which served as a way to teach the participants about the Council of Europe's primary operations. The first session ended with the information from the team about the study session's general rules and reimbursement forms.

After the international coffee break (where each coffee break was served with a sample of national cuisine snacks by the participants from their countries of residence), the session started with the opening speech video by IFHOHYP President Bowen Tang. Bowen stated that this year's study session is quite diverse with the 17 member countries spanning across four continents: Europe, North America, Africa and Asia. He also emphasised the importance of use of the opportunity to educate government officials and policy makers, with the upcoming EU elections. Pavel informed participants about the aims and objectives of the study session and its general programme. Participants were asked to write down their expectations, fears and needs towards this study session on post-its, and then stick their post-its on the wall of the plenary room. Most participants feared that the study session would be too intense, while at the same time many participants wished to learn more about media-related topics.



Figure 3: International coffee break

#### **Group building**

Before starting the session on Group building by Ediz Tekok, an energiser called "Whoosh – Aboing" was shortly played to raise participants' energy levels. Participants were then asked to play Will-o'-the-wisp to build trust among participants. In this activity, one person stands in the center of a circle of 7-8 people. The group stands with their hands at chest level and palms up open towards the person in the centre. The person in the centre keeps their eyes closed and falls onto different sides whereas the people in the circle gently push them towards the others, and so on.

After the energiser was completed, the group began with a game called "Stepping Stone". Stepping Stones game's purpose was to emphasise the importance of communication in teamwork. On the floor, there were paper balls ("stones") on tape band ("river"). The aim was to be able to cross the river with all group members. Participants were divided into 2 groups and each group discussed on how to cross the river without leaving any person behind. After this game, the groups shared their solutions and experiences. While one group said that it was easy for them to communicate because one person found the solution and explained to the others, the other group needed more time to arrive to a common decision.

#### **Human Rights Education**

Before the last session of the day, the energiser "Ping-Pong" was played. Then the session facilitator Ece Saygi gave the group the definition of human rights as defined by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) and by Council of Europe. The concept of human rights was discussed with participants and examples were given. In the activity "Flower power" from COMPASS, participants were asked to give examples of "basic needs", "esteem", "personal fulfilment", "personal security", "financial security", "health, "friendship" and "family". The importance of human rights with regards to these topics was discussed. Then the activity "Playing with Pictures" from COMPASS was conducted. In this activity, several pictures were scattered on the floor and participants were asked to pick up a picture on the floor that they felt best related to an article of the UDHR that was read aloud by Ece. A number of articles were read aloud and participants were asked to explain why they connected the picture they chose to the article that was read aloud. Towards the end, the activity "Where do you stand?" from COMPASS, was carried out to let participants see diversity and complexity of opinions about human rights issues, to develop argumentation skills, empathy and also respect to the opinions of others even if they are different. The room was divided by tape on the floor into two sections: Agree or Disagree. Participants were asked to find their position in one

of the two parts of the room after they read the statement on the screen, and then to explain why they agree/disagree with it. Those who were not sure or had a position "I don't know" had to stand in the middle, on the line of tape. Statements were provocative or ambiguous on purpose, to encourage discussion. Some examples were: "We aren't born with rights; we get them", "It is not the government's job to make sure that people get hearing aids", "Disability rights are only for rich disabled people".

#### **Reflection groups & Organisational market**

After the sessions ended, participants were divided into "Reflection groups" of 5-6 people where each group had a conversation with a team member to share their feelings about the day and give feedback about the day's sessions. These groups continued for the rest of the study session immediately following the daily programme. In the evening, participants arranged an Organisational Market where they had a chance to present the activities, structure and aims of their hard of hearing organisations at home.



Figure 4: Organisational Market

# Outcomes of the day:

- ✓ The group got to know information about the Council of Europe, its Youth Sector and how Youth Department contributes to inclusion of young people
- ✓ The group built better contact and trust with each other in order to be able to work together for the rest of the week
- ✓ Participants got to know each other and each other's organisations
- ✓ Participants started thinking about their rights as HoH youth in the context of human rights framework

# Advocacy & UN CRPD day

Tuesday was devoted to the advocacy theme. The preparation team led advocacy-related sessions on topics such as identifying and combating discrimination, introduction to social inclusion, and civil society as a force for change. Following this, we had a guest speaker working for the United Nations, Rados Keravica, who provided the group with an engaging session on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and how to use the UN CRPD Optional Protocol and Shadow Reporting in IFHOHYP advocacy work.

# **Identifying & Combating Discrimination**

The aim of the session was:

- to understand what discrimination is and the basic discrimination-related concepts
- to share knowledge on a given discrimination-related topic
- to exchange experiences of discrimination

The participants were divided into groups of six in which each group chose a leader. Groups were asked to exchange experiences in their respective countries in relation to different types of discrimination; for example, institutionalised discrimination, indirect and direct discrimination, and positive and negative discrimination. As a result, participants gained a better understanding of their experiences of discrimination in relation to each other and came to understand the necessity for advocacy initiatives such as advocacy work on the UN CPRD, and the need to take active participation in advocacy.



Figure 5: Identifying and Combating Discrimination, group presentation

# **UN CRPD & Optional Protocol**

Beginning the session with an energiser, the participants from Russia ran a sign language game with guest speaker Rados Keravica, which allowed for the guest and the participants to learn about sign language and to communicate using basic signs along the way. The guest speaker Rados Keravica, also a person with a disability, came to present the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UN CRPD). The aim of this session was to understand that the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities is there to help protect against discrimination of people with disabilities that happens everywhere in the world. Rados informed the group that the governments that ratified the Convention have a legally binding obligation to make human rights a reality for people with disabilities.

After the first part of Rados's input, participants were divided into four groups to discuss which items were most important to them as hard of hearing individuals, in the following articles: Article 2 (Communication), Article 5 (Health), Article 9 (Accessibility), Article 21 (Freedom of expression), Article 27 (Work & Employment), and Article 13 (Access to Justice).

Participants engaged in interesting conversations about implementation of the Optional Protocol through shadow reporting as it pertains to the Committee of Persons with Disabilities, and

implementation measures in national legal, policy, budget frameworks both internationally and regionally. Participants had an open conversation about the monitoring, implementation, and complaint filing processes as well as concerns relating to submitting shadow reports and avenues of filing complaints under allied organisations.



Figure 6: UN CRPD & Optional Protocol, group presentation of Article 4 of the UN CRPD

#### Introduction to social inclusion

After the international coffee break with food from Finland, Hanna gave a presentation on social inclusion. The aim of this session was to inform participants about inclusivity, a key characteristic of the UN CPRD, and to distinguish benefits and challenges related to exclusion, integration, and segregation. Participants shared personal experiences of segregation and integration in schools of Germany, Finland and Poland, and compared the advantages and disadvantages. Hanna reminded us that despite exclusion creating broken social bonds, it can also empower excluded individuals to actively participate for change. Anniina shared that being socially inclusive means feeling you are part of a community, bonded together by a common identity and shared values where you feel you matter as an individual.

Groups that were formed in the previous session joined together again to discuss what their respective organisations can do to be socially inclusive.

For the energiser, participants stood up and passed around an imaginary ball across the room to exercise spatial awareness and body language communication.

Due to a change in Day 2 schedule, there could be no input on the Council of Europe Disability Strategy 2017-2023, and the remaining time was given to allow for in-depth conversations about social inclusion and the UN CPRD Optional Protocol. Participants were reminded of the basic principles behind Day 2 study session focus — advocacy for disability rights for those with hearing loss.

Reflection groups took place in various designated areas throughout the EYC with members of the study session team leading constructive discussions about this advocacy-focused study session day.

# Outcomes of the day:

- $\checkmark\,$  Participants explored and understood the concept of discrimination and various types of discrimination
- ✓ They reflected about the concept of social inclusion and understood what it means in disability context, with particular emphasis on space and role of their organisations in the work towards inclusion
- ✓ Participants gained a comprehensive understanding of the UN CRPD and how its mechanisms work

# **Communication & Debates day**

# Public speaking workshops

Hanna conducted her workshop on public speaking by first running a mock debate with team member Ediz on the question of whether science, technology, engineering and math (STEM) workplaces should introduce quotas to include more women in their workforce. The question was presented on the screen, and as the facilitator, Hanna made an opening statement followed by her primary argument. Ediz then made a counter argument and expanded with a new argument from his side. Hanna replied to his new argument and made one final argument. Ediz finished the mock debate by following the same format.

After the group finished the "mock" debate, Hanna opened the floor for reactions from people. Which argument was persuasive? Why? Comments ranging from body language to the validity of the arguments were made. The facilitators did not give any of their own responses to the participants' observations to allow the participants to speak freely.

Following the open discussion, Hanna gave a short presentation on three topics. First, Hanna shortly discussed why public speaking skills are crucial to our advocacy work. Then the "burger" model of argumentation was introduced, where the top bun is the opening statement; the lettuce an argument, cheese – counter argument, meat – argument and sauce – a counter argument; and the bottom bun is the concluding arguments. Following the presentation of the simple argumentation model, Hanna gave some tips on what to look for when judging someone's public speaking. These included tone, body language, the argument's relevance, and checking for rhetorical fallacies like straw man arguments, which are arguments that refute arguments that the debate opponent did not actually make.

The slide show was concluded with one more short debate with team member Ediz, following the same format. Following the debate, Hanna left the floor to review the strengths and weaknesses of the debate, this time with some "background" from the slide show. After collecting feedback from the floor, participants were split into two groups of six debaters (3 per team) and the rest active observers who would "grade" the debate at the end given the criteria introduced during the lecture.

The debate was structured so that the facilitators actively consulted with debaters and observers in small groups as the arguments were being prepared so that people would remember the process.

In the end, the following issues were deliberated upon:

Which question was chosen and why?

#### Outcome - specify which points were raised Any remaining questions from people? Proposals to action?

Following the discussion, participants had a brief lunch where some departed to the European Parliament, which is right across from the European Youth Centre in Strasbourg, on a pre-booked group tour, and others went on their own to downtown Strasbourg for some sightseeing for their free afternoon. Those who went on the tour of the European Parliament met Helga Stevens, a Deaf MEP from the Netherlands who is a prominent voice for the rights of disabled people in European politics.



Figure 7: Ediz moderating a debate group

# Outcomes of the day:

- ✓ Participants trained and improved their skills in argumentation, debating and public speaking
- ✓ Participants visited the European Parliament and had a chance to meet and talk to Helga Stevens, Deaf MEP

# **Media Literacy day**

#### **Media Literacy input**

Malwina Fendrych, PR & Program Manager Theodor-Heuss-Kolleg, Dialogue for Change & Aktywniej Wsi, led a working session entitled "Searching for patterns – a few words about media literacy and critical thinking" to help participants to understand media literacy and other themes such as technological and information literacy. This session had the aims of making participants aware of the roles of different media in delivering information/content analysis and sensitivity to all information; learning how to identify Information framing and fake news; and using these tools for their purposes or fighting them. Malwina used graphics and picture messages to challenge the participants to think and make meaning out of the images she demonstrated and make independent decisions. We also used groupwork to discuss information framing in the media.

#### Using media tools for advocacy purposes

Ece led the second part of the session, which had the participant aim of understanding media tools and their potential in advocacy work. We continued the discussion what participants can do in different cases of need of media. The example for discussion was in case of a positive talk with a minister and how best can we share the messages to target audience.

Various mediums, their abilities and means of use were identified. Real examples using social media as well as traditional information spreading methods were brought up. The concept of different target groups was discussed. Participants cited examples of successful media campaigns on disability; it was more of a moment of sharing experiences in media and disability reporting.

#### Using media by IFHOHYP

Pavel led the third part, "More about IFHOHYP and Using media tools for IFHOHYP purposes", in cooperation with Manuel Rohrbach, IFHOHYP vice president. There was a brief discussion about IFHOHYP and its media representation. This included explanations on changing the logo, updating the content of website and social media channels. One of the ideas was to use IFHOHYP platform as a way sharing of ideas between the member organisations. We also discussed IFHOHYP's campaigns and especially #Hear2Stay campaign, which was developed during the 2017 study session at the European Youth Centre in Budapest. This session was in a sense a practising of the material learnt throughout the day.

During breaks, our participants decided to organise their own energiser, a non-formal debate about selling alcohol to 16-under aged.

#### Outcomes of the day:

- ✓ Participants were able to understand what are Media Literacy, framing and critical thinking
- ✓ Participants understood the advantages of using media in advocacy
- Participants seemed to get more confidence in using media and social media after the presentations

# Media & Policy tools development day

#### **Social Media skills**

Friday was somewhat of a continuation on the media theme from Thursday. In the morning, Ediz began with the sessions entitled "Social Media Skills" and "How to advertise our message positively in social media". Ediz gave information about the main social media platforms used the most: Facebook, Twitter and Instagram. Then he explained how often the posts should be shared, what kind of content on each social media platform should be included, and mentioned the golden rules that should be followed in every social media platform. Finally, he showed some good and bad examples of posts on each of the mentioned social media platforms.



Figure 8: Social Media Skills session

#### **European Youth Foundation input**

On the second session, a guest speaker from the European Youth Foundation informed the group about their grants, conditions for applying and instructions on how to apply. At the end of this session, participants split up to get their travel costs reimbursed.

#### Defining media and policy tools to work on

On the last session of Friday, Karina was responsible for dividing participants into groups for group work on developing media and policy tools for the rest of the day. Participants were first asked to write down their thoughts and what they would like to produce as a result of the study session. After collecting the notes, Malwina and Karina grouped similar ideas and proposed the themes of group work and groups' composition. Participants were asked if they were okay with their groups, while being told they could change them if needed.

At the end of the session, participants began working in their groups until the presentations next morning.

#### **Outcomes of the day:**

- ✓ Participants got to know key nuances, rules, tips and tricks of using a variety of social media channels for their advocacy
- ✓ The group learned about the grants of the European Youth Foundation that could be helpful in their future work and organising youth projects and meetings
- ✓ The work on the key results of the study session a variety of media products and tools and policy recommendations was kick-started

#### **Follow-up and Conclusions Day**

# Working in groups on media tools – a continuation from the previous afternoon's session

Saturday morning began by completing and polishing the group projects on media and policy tools. Some groups worked late into the night in various EYCS locales. During the morning session, participants had the possibility to consult with team members about their ideas and products.

# Presentation of the group projects

After a short coffee break, all participants and team members with Karina met in the plenary room for the first time that day. The chair for this day was Karina who, with Pavel, started the session with some practical announcements and information about the day. The second session was devoted to the presentation of the results of participants' work from the previous day and the morning. In total, nine projects were presented. The last project was promised to be revealed a few weeks after the completion of the study session, as a surprise for participants. Each of these projects showed not only ingenuity, but also uniqueness. The participants presented the entire spectrum of possibilities of using media tools for actions for people with hearing loss (hard of hearing and deaf).

# The results of group work:

1) Kevin, Moses, Nicole, Mikael  $\rightarrow$  a short video (**empowerment of HoH people**)

The idea of this group was to create a short movie through connection of four clips on where members of this group show their stories about empowerment. Two example clips:

- What do you do to be Empowered? Kevin Shares his Story

- How do you become empowered? Moses shares his story

2) Maxim, Anastasia, Nikolai, Artashes, Tatia  $\rightarrow$  an awareness-raising video (**communication with** HoH people)

In this video, group members showed how to communicate with people with hearing loss. The video presented common mistakes that are made by people when they talk to peers with hearing loss. The video also showed how to improve the communication with a HoH person.

- Do it right! - How to communicate with hard of hearing people

3) Mariam, Anniina, Ece  $\rightarrow$  a guideline on how to do a flash mob **about hiring DHH people** The aim of this tool was to create the guidelines for national organisations on how to do a flash mob campaigns to encourage companies and employers to hire HoH and d/Deaf young people. With the help of these guidelines, the group wanted to raise the employment rate of DHH youth. Two tools were created as an example on how to prepare awareness-raising campaigns by organising flash mobs.

# 4) Mustafa, Zeynep $\rightarrow$ a **TV (video) programme and newsletter**

This group created a sample episode of the TV programme "Morning with IFHOHYP" and a sample edition of the newsletter in which issues related to the Deaf and Hard of Hearing world are cheekily presented, such facts, news, curiosities and problems.

5) Manuel, Maria, Emir, Kristina, Ramune, Heiko, Karolina C.  $\rightarrow$  a video on **changing attitudes** 

This group created a video as a tool to change attitudes in society about people with hearing loss: "We can do anything except hear". By talking about their backgrounds, jobs, hobbies and so forth, the group members showed that they are not much different from hearing people.

6) Karolina B., Leonie, Kave, Onur, Emir  $\rightarrow$  an **animation video on accessibility of education exchanges** 

It is a project of the programme that was created by the group. This programme helps HoH students, studying abroad at universities, to find local mentors. The aim of this idea was to encourage HoH students to study abroad thanks to the availability of someone who will help to accomodate to the local environment.

#### - Gone2Hear

7) And rea  $\rightarrow$  an example of **policy recommendations** to the government to provide subtitles and closed captions at all cinemas in Slovakia

This production is a guideline of a policy recommendation to specific branches of the government. The example recommendation called for the establishment of legal requirements for film companies and theatres to ensure that all movies (incl. national) at every cinema in Slovakia are provided with subtitles and closed captions.

ENSURE EQUAL ALLESS CAPTIONS 10 ALL VIEWERS AT ALL ROVIDING SUBTITLES AND CLOSED LSO FOR THE NATIONAL PHONS TO ENSURE EQUAL A EWERS AT ALL CINE AKE SUBMITLING OF HEL NO GOVERNMENT SHOULD FOR CINEHAS TO SIGNIFICANTLY EXTHAND CINEHA MARTIC MATTON, DIVERSIT E SUBTITLING OF ALL NOWES AND ACCESSIBILITY AT CINEMAS SUBTILLES AND CLOSED CAPTIONS MEN UP CONTENTS TO A LARGER FENERAL GOVERNMEN AUDIENCE INGLUDING DEAF AND FOR CINEHAS TO SIGNIFICA HARD OF HEARING UN CINEHA PARTICI BATION DIVERS ACCESSIBILITY AT CINEHA F.S. AND CLOSED CAPTIONS AUDIENCE INGLUDING DEAF QF

Figure 9: Photo of Andrea's policy recommendation on a long sheet of paper

8) Andrei  $\rightarrow$  a **guideline on how to write an effective policy recommendation** to the government Andrei prepared a guideline that illustrates, step-by-step, how to write an effective policy recommendation to one's national government. This includes not only writing skills but also tips on collecting information and data, such as: doing research, examining the legislation and also hiring proper specialists or preparing an action plan. 9) Raviv, Ellen, Dora  $\rightarrow$  an **awareness-raising poster** about communicating with HoH people This group presented a poster to raise awareness about hard of hearing people's communication needs. The poster depicts pictures of the situations in which HoH people often find themselves and that make communication difficult. The poster shows, for instance, that HoH people need light to see other persons' faces to understand (for lip-reading) or quiet environments to hear better.



Figure 10: Poster on communicating with HoH people

10) Tomasz and Vlad  $\rightarrow$  a **survey** of the participants, presented by **two films** and **one multimedia presentation** 

Tomasz and Vlad prepared two films and a multimedia presentation on the participants' opinions on the study session, their thoughts and comments on what they learned during the study session. The aim of the project is to improve future non-formal education sessions in order to accommodate new approaches and opinions that were not taken into account for the study session's duration. In conclusion, the participants made serious attempts to use their newly acquired skills and knowledge gained during this study session by creating these tools, such as public speaking, argumentation skills and knowledge of the UN CRPD and disability rights issues. In addition, they had the opportunity to present and develop their existing skills, for instance in drawing and design (a poster), programming (an animation), argumentative and persuasive writing (a recommendation) or filming close-ups with the use of mobile phone flashlights. The results of these work groups are featured on IFHOHYP's website, Facebook, Twitter and Instagram.

#### Personal Action Plans (PAP) - as an outcome of this study session

The aim of this session was to enable participants to develop their own Action Plans on what they will do when they back home, as a follow-up of the study session.

The participants focused on completing the study session outcome - Personal Action Plans (PAP). Hanna conducted this session. Everyone received a piece of paper with a grid for an individual work. The instruction was to write down the things that each participant wanted to take from the study session into their future work as hard of hearing advocates. The PAP were then hung on the wall to present and be viewed by other participants. During the allotted time later, everyone could read the PAP of other participants, leave a comment, suggestion, question, or propose a partnership in the idea implementation.

	ACTION TO TAKE	RESOURCES NEEDED	DATE TO BE COMPLETED
PROJECTS AND PARTNERSHIPS			
HUMAN RIGHTS EDUCATION			
COMBATING DISCRIMINATION			
COMMUNICATION AND MEDIA WORK			

#### **Personal Action Plan**

Figure 11: Personal Action Plan

#### Evaluation of the study session

The aim of this session was to evaluate whether, and to which extent, the course content, learning process and learning outcomes among the participants were achieved.

Paulina conducted the evaluation session. During the first portion, participants were invited to stand up in the middle of the plenary room with a line representing a thermometer, with one side representing warmth and another cold. When participants heard and/or read the name of each program element on the screen (i.e. "public speaking session"; "media literacy session with Malwina"; "atmosphere"; "the prep team") they expressed their feelings by choosing their position along the thermometer. The centre of this thermometer (0°C) meant neutral feelings, with increased (+°C) temperature implying more positive feelings they had. The decreasing (-°C) temperature indicated more negative feelings about this session or disliking this element. Comments from participants were very much appreciated. The majority of the participants were on the "warm" side of the thermometer during the whole session, so the overall feedback was positive. The participants really enjoyed the whole study session as a whole, as well as particular

sessions. There were some negative comments for a few of the participants as some topics were already familiar to them.



Figure 12: Evaluation of the study session using the metaphorical thermometer

# Summary of the participants' evaluations

Afterwards, the participants filled in an on-line evaluation.



Figure 13: A pie-chart illustrating the results of the evaluation, overall participant experience

Formal evaluation via survey (1 - not achieved 5 - fully achieved)									
AIM:	To develop effective advocacy skills for our rights as hard of hearing young people through the use and production of policy and media tools								
OBJECTIVES:	A	To have developed media literacy skills – to engage, access, critically evaluate and use media effectively in their advocacy work	4,08						

В	To identify misinformation, discrimination, manipulation, disability hate speech and abuse in media – and how to address it	3,77
с	To identify which media tools (images, social media, videos, documentations etc.) are needed for different purposes	3,88
D	To advocate for disability/HoH rights to external parties through public speaking, writing, building social media strategy for their organisations and campaigns	3,88
E	To be able to identify discrimination and use UN CRPD, Optional Protocol and CoE Disability strategy 2017 - 2023 to address discrimination	3,92
F	To be able to create the content for promotion of the positive image of young hard of hearing people	4,38

Figure 14: The average results of the formal evaluation

After the international coffee break, participants were invited to a Kahoot quiz. It was a mix of funny questions about the participants, IFHOHYP and questions to check the participants' knowledge gained during the study session. Afterwards, a prize was awarded to the winners.

Later, due to an extraordinary situation, the floor was given to a participant who had just found out that one of their closest friends had committed suicide. The friend of the participant also had a disability (not hearing loss), which led to a discussion on the real-life importance of disability rights advocacy by people with disabilities among the whole group in the plenary room. The discussion emphasised the importance of raising awareness of the rights and needs of those struggling with mental health issues, and on how important is it not to underestimate the challenges every person faces.

The Course Director, Pavel, closed the official part of the study session. Pavel thanked participants for their hard work; Paulina, Hanna, Ediz and Ece for being a great team; Karina for her professional input and help, palantypists – Norma and Julia; and International Sign interpreters – Brigitte and Lissa, for their high quality services.

After the official closing, there was an unofficial one: a farewell party during which everyone had a great time.

# Outcomes of the day:

- ✓ Participants got to know each other's media production and policy recommendations, and started developing ideas on which project they would like to work together or how to continue work on the developed media and policy tools
- ✓ The production was very creative and meaningful, and the team started discussions on how to best apply the produced tools in IFHOHYP advocacy
- Personal stories of disability and mental health issues touched everyone and made everyone realise the importance of disability rights work

#### Results and conclusions of the study session for the participants

The prep team believes that this study session achieved its goals. It received positive feedback and formal evaluation scores from the participants.

An important result of the study session was that many participants indicated that they got a better understanding of human rights. They became familiar not only with the UN CRPD but also with using its practical tools and mechanisms (Shadow reporting, Optional Protocol) – the UN CRPD is now less of an abstract concept/document to them compared to before the study session.

The discrimination topic was important for participants, as they use the internet and media on a daily basis, witnessing that they often do counter discrimination and exclusion online. The attendees shared different situations of discrimination, experienced themselves or stories they had heard from others, and testimonies of social exclusion in their countries. Towards the end of the study session, a variety of approaches to combat discrimination had been developed from the non-formal sessions and through group discussions.

Participants also became familiar with the concept of Media Literacy (framing, manipulation, fake news, analysis of the information in the media, hate speech) and partially developed some of the related media literacy skills. Media Literacy competencies also include skills in public speaking, argumentation, and bringing advocacy messages through all media channels. The study session largely contributed to building these skills.

In the end, the key outcomes of the session were media and policy tools developed by the participants with the aim of advocating for disability rights of HoH youth and developing content for the promotion of the positive image of HoH youth in the media. The tools developed were as follows: Videos on breaking stereotypes and challenging prejudices, Guidelines on communication with HoH people, Guidelines on policy development with state authorities, Policy recommendations on captioning, Empowerment video, Awareness-raising poster in digital and paper copy version (see pages 23-25).

The main learning points for the participants were strengthening their personal competences in developing and using advocacy media tools that follow rights-based approach. This includes not only a fundamental knowledge framework, but also the ability to apply advocacy awareness and concrete skills to addressing DHH policy issues and putting forward basic advocacy actions. As a result of the session, participants also prepared their own personal action plans to implement in their organisations.

Participants stated in their evaluation forms that they had gained a lot of knowledge that is useful individually and in their organisations. They stated that they would use the acquired knowledge in their organisations to battle against misinformation and discrimination. They also indicated that the objectives of the study session successfully matched most of their personal learning objectives (see figures 13 and 14).

# **Outcomes of the study session for IFHOHYP and Council of Europe**

By the end of this study session, participants were able to:

Describe and interpret the intentions and objectives of the UN Convention on the Rights of
 Persons with Disabilities

✓ Identify different forms of media advocacy through critical thinking, training on framing arguments and media tools that serve different needs and goals

 Evaluate current social media strategies, like IFHOHYP's #hear2stay initiative, as well as other contemporaneous campaigns in groups to assess successes and failures of different approaches

 Review different techniques used in advocating towards decision-makers in local and national governments

- ✓ Discuss strategies for "going viral" in social and traditional medias
- Develop the ability to communicate and persuade, using rhetoric and public speaking

✓ Analyse the role civil society plays in developing a more inclusive world – promoting our interests to others outside IFHOHYP's traditional target groups, holding decision-makers accountable, building social capital to bring more attention to disability and human rights movements worldwide

The acquired skills and knowledge enable IFHOHYP and its member organisations to become more efficient in organising campaigns and in developing advocacy messages through the media. The experience our participants got from this study session will be useful in future activities for IFHOHYP's policy-work development and increasing our presence, visibility, advocacy and impact in online and offline events relating to youth and disability issues. Furthermore, the non-formal sessions on UN CRPD and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights assisted participants' awareness of their fundamental rights and provided a framework in which participants could contextualise the difficulties in accessing rights and being a full participant in democracy as young people with disabilities. This has raised participants' capacities to evaluate the state of legislation as it applies to them, as young people and as people with disabilities, furthering the Council of Europe's work in strengthening the rule of law in Europe. These newfound capacities can later be channelled into further work with other disability organisations like the European Network on Independent Living (ENIL) and collaborations on the mobility of young people with disabilities in Europe with the Inclusive Mobility Alliance.

By addressing the organisational and individual capabilities of hard of hearing young people, the study session has improved participants effectiveness in carrying out policy and media-related work individually, within their local organisations, and with IFHOHYP. Themes to continue include the relationship between media literacy and policy advocacy, with a specific focus on creating and analysing media in relation to our rights and whether they are being protected or violated. IFHOHYP will therefore create a special policy group to undertake this work in staking out what positions to take and how to best communicate to stakeholders. IFHOHYP would further like to develop its member organisations' competencies in human rights training and monitoring and access to civic participation, competencies of which many of the participants have taken home with them following the study session.

As outlined in the beginning of this section of the report, many new skills and competencies were attained under the course of the study session. The trainings in the texts and contexts of UN CRPD and UDHR have given participants the tools to challenge any bias that presents itself in traditional and social medias alongside the ability to create new discourses on youth, disability, in particular hearing loss, that can be used across media tools after needs and ultimate goals. This training represents a base of knowledge that participants can then proceed with in their campaigns to affect policy initiatives and outcomes. After getting started with media literacy projects, participants also learned how to monitor, evaluate and follow-up with strengths and weaknesses that inevitably emerge during every project's life cycle. Steps on connecting media literacy and communication to policy initiatives and campaigns were reviewed, with participants ultimately creating their own projects to make a pitch for more hearing friendly environments. Lastly, the characteristics of civil society, and IFHOHYP's position within it, was analysed in several of the sessions by participants to understand the role that the youth civil society has in affecting change, and to underline the importance of our perspective within the broader movement of increasing levels of participation, inclusion, mobility and access of rights to young people in Europe.

# **Follow-up activities**

On the final day of the study session, participants presented their proposals for affecting change through campaigns and lobbying aimed at politicians and other stakeholders. Following the presentation, three main follow-up activities emerged:

# Gone2Hear

Gone2Hear is a project aimed at empowering youth who want to make use of mobility projects such as Erasmus+, but feel hindered by their hearing loss. In this project, IFHOHYP would create a database of young hard of hearing volunteers in specific cities to provide mentors to youth interested in experiencing life in another city and country. Further cooperation with other youth disability organisations that are currently running a similar project is a good opportunity with a good probability of making this project a reality.

# Consistency in social media outreach

Participants analysed and engaged with IFHOHYP's social media activity thus far and concluded that there is much to do both within IFHOHYP and within their own organisations. Participants created personal action plans to further their own plans to create a consistent and engaging social media strategy as that has shown to be highly valued during the group evaluations of IFHOHYP's social media tools and strategies.

# Internal forum for member organisations

IFHOHYP is a federation of its member organisations, but some participants expressed a concern that IFHOHYP's advocacy work is not coordinated well enough with its members, resulting in advocacy that is not as inclusive as it could be. A project that was proposed to improve communication between member organisations in the spirit of transparency and inclusion is to create a centralised forum for a liaison from the IFHOHYP board and (1) representative of each member organisation to discuss desires for future projects and strategy in current undertakings on a regular basis. This follow-up activity will be the first to be carried out of the three, with the forum hopefully rolling out by August 2019.

# Appendices

21:00	13.00 - 21.00	40.00 04.00	18:00 - 18:30	16:30 - 18:00					16:00 - 16:30	14:30 - 16:00				13:00 - 14:30		11:30 - 13:00			11:00 - 11:30	09 <mark>:</mark> 30 - 11:00				07:30 - 09:30	Date/Time	*
Paulina & Ece														Arrivals 🖏											Sunday - March 10	Arrivals
Ece & Ediz	Organizational Market		Reflection Groups	Ece & Hanna		Rights	Human		Coffee Break 🖱	Ediz & Hanna	Activities	and	Team Building	Lunch [C]		Pavel & Hanna	<ul> <li>Expectations, fears and needs</li> <li>Ground rules of Study Session</li> </ul>	- Aims and Objectives; Programme Presentation	Coffee Break 🖱	Pavel & Hanna	Presentation - Technical Info	- CoE Presentation - IFHOHYP	- Welcome and round of	Breakfast 🕅	Monday - March 11	Team Building - Human Rights
TIME			Reflection Groups	Hanna & Ediz	for change	Social Inclusion	- Introduction to		Coffee Break 🖱	CoE speaker	Karina & Pavel with UN CRPD and	Stragegy 2019 - 2023	- Optional Protocol - CoE Disability		interests of the test of the test	Karina & Pavel with UN CRPD speaker		- UN CRPD & Optional Protocol Workshops	Coffee Break 🖱	Paulina & Ediz	- Live-Active Role Playing Game (LARP)	-Identifying & Combating Discrimination		Breakfast 🕅	Tuesday - March 12	Advocacy
			IN THE CITY	FREE TIME	- #ileiezsio	- IFHOHYP				•				Lunch [C]		Hanna & Ediz	- Rhetorics	- Public Sneaking	Coffee Break 🖱	Hanna & Ediz		<ul> <li>Rhetorics parallel workshops</li> </ul>	- Public Speaking	Breakfast 🕅	Wednesday - March 13	Communication
TIME			Reflection Groups	Manuel	- #Herezsiay campaign - Evaluation	- IFHOHYP Rebranding			Coffee Break 🖱	Ece & Pavel	- Media Accessibility	- Using Media Tools For Different	Thing Modia Toolo	Lunch (C)	and a second sec	Karina & Paulina & Ediz with Media Lit. Speaker	Media Literacy	Introduction	Coffee Break 🖱	Karina & Paulina & Ediz with Media Lit. Speaker	Media Literacy	Introduction to		Breakfast 🔀	Thursday - March 14	Media
TIME			Reflection Groups	Hanna - StS Team	other	campaign; media	social media	- Work in groups:	Coffee Break 🖱	Hanna - StS Team	ourer	campaign; media tools; policy tools;	social media	Lunch [C]		Hanna - StS Team	campaign; media tools; policy tools; other	- EYF grants (20 min) - Work in groups: social media	Coffee Break 🖱	Ediz & Ece	message positively in social media	<ul> <li>Social Media Skills</li> <li>Advertising our</li> </ul>		Breakfast 🕅	Friday - March 15	Media
TIME			Reflection Groups	Paulina & Ece	Study Session	of the	Evaluation	- Formal and Non- Formal	Coffee Break 🖱	Paulina & Ece	Jession	of this Study	- Personal Action Plan for participants	Lunch [C]		Hanna & Pavel		Presentations of Group Works	Coffee Break 🖱	Hanna - StS Team	policy tools; other	social media campaig media tools;	- Work in groups:	Breakfast 🕅	Saturday - March 16	Finalization

Appendix 1 - IFHOHYP Study session programme, 11-16 March 2019

# Appendix 2 - IFHOHYP Study Session Visibility links

#### **Before Study Session:**

https://www.facebook.com/ifhohyp/posts/2226669777385072 https://www.facebook.com/ifhohyp/posts/2226648887387161 https://www.facebook.com/ifhohyp/posts/2222593807792669 https://www.instagram.com/p/BsTd8qVHOHv/ https://twitter.com/IFHOHYP/status/1073048171251683328

#### **During Study Session:**

https://www.facebook.com/ifhohyp/photos/a.707103296008402/2327885230596859 https://www.facebook.com/ifhohyp/posts/2325649504153765 https://www.instagram.com/p/Bu8XojiH7xR/ https://www.instagram.com/p/BvFHHT0njRK/ https://twitter.com/IFHOHYP/status/1106891382516535296 https://twitter.com/IFHOHYP/status/1106144977598537728 https://twitter.com/coe/status/1106115770998767616 https://twitter.com/IFHOHYP/status/1105752475557154816

#### After Study Session:

https://www.coe.int/en/web/youth/-/crown-prince-of-norway-visits-the-european-youth-centrein-strasbourg https://www.facebook.com/ifhohyp/videos/1360495370742021/ https://www.facebook.com/watch/?v=275738563373479 https://www.facebook.com/ifhohyp/photos/a.211652862220117/2364868366898545/?type=3&t heater https://www.facebook.com/ifhohyp/posts/2352697398115642 https://www.facebook.com/ifhohyp/posts/2352697398115642 https://www.facebook.com/ifhohyp/posts/2364868366898545/?type=3&t

Appendix 3 - List of Participating	Countries and Organisations
------------------------------------	-----------------------------

	Full name	Country	Organisation					
1	Mikael de Vries	The Netherlands	SH-Jong					
2	Mare Andrei-Dumitru	Romania	-					
3	Heiko Burak	Germany	Bundesjugend					
4	Kevin Wieser	Switzerland	Jugehörig					
5	Karolina Blaszka	Poland	Papillon					
6	Vladislav Kolesnikov	Russia	Fund of Assistance to Disabled Children and Youth (FADCY)					
7	Maria Skoczyńska	Poland	Papillon					
8	Elen Sargsyan	Armenia	The Voice of Silence					
9	Leonie von Berlin	Germany	-					
10	Kristina Morkunaite	Lithuania	Lithuanian Association of Families with Deaf and Hearing Impaired Children PAGAVA					
11	Tatia Datashvili	Georgia	Aures Foundation					
12	Hüseyin Onur İPEK	Turkey	İED - İşitme Engelliler Ve Aileleri Derneği					
13	Mustafa Emre BİCİ	Turkey	İED - İşitme Engelliler Ve Aileleri Derneği					
14	Anastasia Samoylova	Russia	Fund of Assistance to Disabled Children and Youth (FADCY)					
15	Raviv Marcus	Israel	Bekol - Organisation of Hard of Hearing People in Israel					
16	Anniina Kauppila	Finland	Kuuloliitto ry					
17	Serwadda Moses	Uganda	Uganda Federation of the Hard of Hearing UFHOH					
18	Artashes Hovhannisyan	Armenia	The Voice of Silence					
19	Mariam Darbaidze	Georgia	Aures Foundation					
20	Nikolai Efutin	Russia	Fund of Assistance to Disabled Children and Youth (FADCY)					
21	Andrea Sabová	Slovakia	-					
22	Dora Kajtar	Hungary	National Association of Deaf and Hard of Hearing					
23	Ramunė Triušytė	Lithuania	Lithuanian Association of Families with Deaf and Hearing Impaired Children PAGAVA					
24	Karolina Czaban	Poland	Association of Hard of Hearing and CI Users – SUITA					
25	Tomasz Olender	Poland	Association of Hard of Hearing and CI Users – SUITA					
26	Manuel Rohrbach	Switzerland	Jugehörig					
27	Emir Music	Germany	Bundesjugend					
28	Kave Noori	Sweden	Unga hörselskadade					

29	Nicole Leung	Canada	Canadian Hard of Hearing Association
30	Isaev Maxim	Russia	Fund of Assistance to Disabled Children and Youth (FADCY)
31	Zeynep Çakır	Turkey	-
32	Paulina Lewandowska	Poland	Association of Hard of Hearing and CI Users – SUITA
33	Pavel Novikov	Russia	Fund of Assistance to Disabled Children and Youth (FADCY)
34	Есе Ѕауді	Turkey	İED - İşitme Engelliler Ve Aileleri Derneği
35	Ediz Tekok	Turkey	İED - İşitme Engelliler Ve Aileleri Derneği
36	Hanna Mullis	Sweden	Unga hörselskadade
37	Karina Chupina	Russia/Germany	CoE Youth Department External Educational Advisor
38	Malwina Fendrych	Germany/Poland	MitOst e.V. Berlin <b>Expert</b>
39	Radoš Keravica	Serbia	ENIL Youth Network Expert
40	Stefan Manevski		Supervising EYCS Educational Advisor
41	Jackie Lubelli		EYCS secretarial assistant