

The UK's approach on setting FRVs Jem Powell Jem.Powell@JNCC.gov.uk

Together for Nature

Setting UK FRVs: background



- Set in 2001-2006
 - Based on Habitats Directive (Article 17) reporting
- Updated where possible in following reporting rounds
- Reference based approach
 - 'Viability judgement' assessment
- Summary:



Together for Nature



UK Habitat FRV Process Example:

Setting FRA through a 'Viability judgement' assessment



Viability Judgement Assessment: Overview ***JNCC**

FRVDefinitionFRATotal surface area of habitat in a given biogeographical region considered the minimum necessary
to ensure the long-term viability of the habitat type; this should include necessary areas for
restoration or development for those habitat types for which the present coverage is not
sufficient to ensure long-term viability; favourable reference value must be at least the surface
area when the Directive came into force.

Step 2a - Reference-base approach

Identify 'historical' baseline (reference)

Distance to baseline & date entry into force of directive

Requirements for favourable reference values, e.g. long-term survival/viability, ecological/genetic variation

Set either FRA as either:

- Figure
- Pre-defined range

Viability Judgement Assessment: Overview ***JNCC**

- Difficulty applying the concept of viability to habitats
- To determine viability
 - Key factors identified to give indication of viability
 - Additional factors
- Expert opinion, trends, and general knowledge
- Did not precisely define FRA

FRA: Key factors to determine viability

Total habitat area

- Extensive habitats more likely to be viable than scarce habitats
- Considerations: ecological variants and habitat components

Area of individual patches:

- Larger patches more likely to be viable than smaller
- Considerations: requirements of component species

Additional factors:

- Habitat Fragmentation and isolation
 - Better connected more likely to be viable
- Vulnerability and restorability of habitats
- Naturally scarce?
- Trends (especially if rapid decline)

Viability Judgement Assessment: Overview



Viability assessment undertaken based on factors outlined in slide 5



Together for Nature



Example: H7130/ D1.2 Blanket bogs

- 1) Is the area considered viable?
- <1% rate of decline in area since 1994.
- Lots of evidence of historic decline:
 - the 1940s and 1980s 21% reduction in Scotland and 8% in NI.
 - Factors include drainage, cutting, and afforestation

The habitat is not considered viable



Viability Judgement Assessment: Overview



Viability assessment undertaken based on factors outlined in slide 4



Together for Nature



Example: H7130/ D1.2 Blanket bogs

2) How much does the area need to be increased to ensure viability?

- Condition of area is the main problem, not range
 - Present across large areas of range
 - No need to extend range (FRR= same as current area)
- Considering losses and restoration potential, expert opinion was not more than 10% increase in area would be favourable (the FRA is not more than 10% above the current area.)

FRA operator: between 2% and 10% smaller than the FRA





Key considerations and issues

- FRV figures based on operators
 - Viability judgment approach does not precisely define the FRV
 - Operator judgements can be used to set FRV value based on available data
- FRV definition differences
 - UK level vs Country level FRVs

Vision Thriving nature for a sustainable future.

Mission Turning science into action for nature, people and the planet.



Together for Nature