

Digital Television

LEAD ARTICLE

2010-1

Development of Digital Terrestrial Television in Russia and Ukraine

- National Policy towards Digital TV
- Legal Concepts, Decrees, and Other Acts
- Aspects of the Process
- Licensing and Competitions
- Ownership Issues
- Practice of the Digital Switch-over

RELATED REPORTING

Digital Television on Its Way?

- Observatory Member States
- Other countries
- Latest News

ZOOM

Advancing in Europe:

- Reception of Digital Television
- Implementation of DTT





OBSERVATOIRE EUROPÉEN DE L'AUDIOVISUEL EUROPEAN AUDIOVISUAL OBSERVATORY EUROPÄISCHE AUDIOVISUELLE INFORMATIONSSTELLE

IRIS *plus* 2010-1 Digital Television

European Audiovisual Observatory, Strasbourg 2010 ISBN: 978-92-871-6792-7 Price: EUR 24,50

Director of the Publication: Wolfgang Closs, Executive Director of the European Audiovisual Observatory E-mail: wolfgang.closs@coe.int

Editor and Coordinator:

Dr Susanne Nikoltchev, LL.M. (Florence/Italy, Ann Arbor/MI) Head of the Department for Legal Information E-mail: susanne.nikoltchev@coe.int

Editorial Assistant: Michelle Ganter E-mail: michelle.ganter@coe.int

Marketing: Markus Booms

E-mail: markus.booms@coe.int

Typesetting: Pointillés, Hoenheim (France)

Print: Pointillés, Hoenheim (France) Conseil de l'Europe, Strasbourg (France)

Cover Layout:

Acom Europe, Paris (France)

Publisher:

European Audiovisual Observatory 76 Allée de la Robertsau F-67000 Strasbourg Tel.: +33 (0)3 90 21 60 00 Fax: +33 (0)3 90 21 60 19 E-mail: obs@obs.coe.int www.obs.coe.int



Contributing Partner Institutions :

Institute of European Media Law (EMR) Franz-Mai-Straße 6 D-66121 Saarbrücken Tel.: +49 (0) 681 99 275 11 Fax: +49 (0) 681 99 275 12 E-mail: emr@emr-sb.de www.emr-sb.de



Institute for Information Law (IViR) Kloveniersburgwal 48 NL-1012 CX Amsterdam Tel.: +31 (0) 20 525 34 06 Fax: +31 (0) 20 525 30 33 E-mail: website@ivir.nl www.ivir.nl



Moscow Media Law and Policy Center Moscow State University ul. Mokhovaya, 9 - Room 338 125009 Moscow Russian Federation Tel.: +7 495 629 3804 Fax: +7 495 629 3804 www.medialaw.ru



Please quote this publication as:

IRIS *plus* 2010-1, Digital television (Susanne Nikoltchev (Ed.), European Audiovisual Observatory, Strasbourg 2010)

© European Audiovisual Observatory, 2010 Opinions expressed in this publication are personal and do not necessarily represent the views of the Observatory, its members or the Council of Europe.



Digital Television



Foreword

Imagine turning on your television and not receiving any signal. For an increasing number of television viewers this could have been the case had they not re-equipped themselves for digital television. To mention only the early birds: Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Finland and Sweden completed the transition to digital terrestrial television between 2006 and 2007. Consumers living in these countries had no choice but to follow suit lest they feared to lose what is still one of – if not– *the* most used audiovisual media service. If everything goes according to the expectation of the EU Commission, almost all EU member states will meet the 2012 EU target for switch-off and, hence, more digital television equipment will find its homes.

All this hype about the pre-imminent completion of an EU-driven digital television landscape may easily blind us to the fact that going digital is not a piece of cake. Sure enough some EU member states lag behind causing some kind of "European digital divide". The divide, however, deepens, if one looks beyond the EU borders where for a significant part of European territory, namely Russia and Ukraine, the goal to switch-off analogue digital television still seems to be pie in the sky. The lead article of this IRIS *plus* focuses on these two countries and their national policies for catching up with their digitally more advanced European neighbours. It explores existing legal instruments that might accelerate the switch-over and alludes to others which would still need to be developed. As pressing as the need for a "digital-proof" legal framework is the necessity to back this up with practical arrangements such as a general frequency policy, multiplex line-up, licensing and control of operators, provision of set-top boxes, and development of new services. Moreover, in both countries the role assigned to the national licensing body is central to the roll-out of digital terrestrial television. Last but not least, the lead article examines ownership issues and some already existing digital terrestrial broadcasting services.

In Europe, the transition to digital television is challenging not only Russia and Ukraine. Among the countries that are members of the European Audiovisual Observatory, the list of those which only very recently introduced digital services or are about to launch them this year reaches alphabetically from Bulgaria to Turkey. Other European States such as Bosnia-Herzegovina and Serbia are equally busy with plotting strategies to introduce a system of digital broadcasting. The related reporting-section updates on 2009 developments in many of these countries.

The ZOOM fills the DTT picture for all Observatory member states. Firstly, it presents the number of digital TV households for all reception modes (cable, satellite, terrestrial, DSL). Secondly, it lists the launch dates for digital terrestrial television services, the dates of the analogue switch off, the different DTT business models, the number of multiplexes as well as their operators and/or DTT packagers, and the adopted video/audio standard.

In 2010 and beyond, digital television will certainly be among the key topics for the audiovisual industry as well as its legislators and regulators and therefore the European Audiovisual Observatory. Enjoy the first results of our on-going investigations!

Strasbourg, February 2010

Susanne Nikoltchev Head of the Department for Legal Information European Audiovisual Observatory



TABLE OF CONTENTS

LEAD ARTICLE

Development of Digital Terrestrial Television
in Russia and Ukraine7
National Policy towards Digital TV7
• Legal Concepts, Decrees, and other Acts
• Aspects of the Process
• Licensing and Competitions
Ownership Issues
Practice of the Digital Switch-over
• Summary

RELATED REPORTING

Digital Television on Its Way?2
Observatory Member States
• Other countries
• Latest News

ZOOM

Advancing in Europe	6
• Reception of Digital Television	8
• Implementation of DTT	0

Development of Digital Terrestrial Television in Russia and Ukraine

by Andrei Richter, Moscow Media Law and Policy Center, and Taras Shevchenko, Media Law Institute, Kiev

I. National policy towards digital TV – general approach

Plans for the introduction of digital terrestrial broadcasting in Russia and Ukraine are based on international accords such as the Regional Agreement GE06 (Geneva 2006),¹ which is a binding international treaty signed by national administrations and registered with the United Nations. This Agreement served as a stimulus for adopting national policies in the switch-over to digital broadcasting.

1. Russia

In the words of the President of Russia the challenge of making the transition to digital broadcasting is a problem of technological breakthrough. It also links well with the work on the nation's technological development and innovation policies. "At the end of the day", said Dmitry Medvedev on 26 May 2009 at a high-level meeting on digital broadcasting, "this so-called breakthrough must be as convenient as possible for citizens, increase the diversity of what is available on TV and, naturally, improve its quality. Along with this it should not create any additional problems."²

In Russia, the first legal act to set the standards for the digital transition was the Government Resolution No. 1700-r of 29 November 2007, which approved a *Concept Paper for the Development of TV and Radio Broadcasting in the Russian Federation in 2008-2015* (hereinafter "Development Concept").³ This document was elaborated by the high-level Governmental Commission on Development of TV and Radio Broadcasting originally headed by Dmitry Medvedev in his capacity as first vice-chair of the government.

President Dmitry Medvedev is still very much personally involved in developing television and radio broadcasting and introducing digital format broadcasting. On 14 October 2008, before the start of a closed-door meeting with directors of federal television channels, he said about the switch-over process:

¹⁾ See its text in different languages at the website of the International Telecommunications Union: http://www.itu.int/md/R00-CR-CIR-0262/en

²⁾ http://www.kremlin.ru/eng/text/speeches/2009/05/26/1850_type82913type84779_216845.shtml

³⁾ Concept of development of TV and radio broadcasting in Russian Federation in 2008-2015 (Концепция развития телерадиовещания в Российской Федерации на 2008 — 2015 годы) available in Russian at: http://merlin.obs.coe.int/redirect.php?id=11089



"This is the road that all progressive countries are taking, and we will take this road too. But to do this we need an approved plan to guide our steps forward. We have just such a plan – the television and radio broadcasting concept. It has received the government's approval. I was personally involved in this during my time in the post of deputy prime minister."⁴

The Commission, which is still active today, was established by the Government Resolution No. 304 of 22 May 2006⁵ and mandated to co-ordinate the activities of different ministries and governmental agencies and other stakeholders in the process of switching over to digital television. It is chaired by Sergei Sobyanin, government deputy head and chief of staff.

The Development Concept sought to facilitate citizens' enjoyment of their "constitutional right to obtain socially important information". The main instrument to develop broadcasting was seen precisely in the switch-over from analogue to digital TV and radio by 2015.

The Development Concept was followed by the Resolution of the Government of the Russian Federation On the Concept of the Federal Target Programme "Development of TV and Radio Broadcasting in the Russian Federation in 2009-2015" (No. 1349-r) signed on 21 September 2009 by Prime Minister Vladimir Putin (hereinafter "Concept of the FTP").⁶ The Resolution allocated the maximum amount of RUB 76 366 million (some EUR 1.7 billion) from the federal budget for the Concept's implementation. The Concept targets 6 500 state-owned telecommunications units to be upgraded for digital terrestrial broadcasting purposes.

According to the Concept of the FTP, the switch-over to digital TV will be implemented gradually with a special focus on the regions bordering foreign countries. Of special concern are the areas bordering with China, which recently started a 24-hour TV channel in Russian.⁷

The key role in the digital transition is to be played by terrestrial broadcasting as the simplest, fastest and least expensive platform for the coverage of the Russian territory.⁸

The ideology of how to develop the audiovisual field in the Russian Federation is similar to that in other branches of the national economy. It can be described as a form of partnership between the state (or, the government) and private business. The Development Concept specified: "Construction of the TV broadcasting networks shall be performed on the basis of the market players' funds, and the government shall develop an easily understood and acceptable legal framework that meets the requirements of broadcasters, operators and consumers of TV broadcasting services." In other words, it is planned that the infrastructure and networks necessary to develop digital TV and radio will be built at the expense of the communication companies, while the government takes on the burden of working out the legislative basis for such development.

In addition, the Concept of the FTP provides for digitisation of audiovisual archives. It specifically mentions in this regard only the state institution "State Fund of Television and Radio Programmes". Only this activity, which is the major archive depositary, will be (100 per cent) state financed. At the same time it is *the* archive depositary in Russia.

Public awareness campaigning will be separately budgeted and conducted "through all major central mass media outlets".

⁴⁾ http://www.kremlin.ru/eng/text/speeches/2008/10/14/2151_type82913type84779_207844.shtml

⁵⁾ The text of the Resolution is available in Russian at:

http://www.government.ru/content/governmentactivity/rfgovernmentdecisions/archive/2006/05/25/4260828.htm 6) The text of the Resolution and the Concept of the FTP is available in Russian at:

www.government.ru/content/governmentactivity/1788e064277343d19ccaef067d6a0b9b.doc

⁷⁾ See Sitnikov, Sergei Практические вопросы цифровизации, Broadcasting, No.3 (May-June), 2009: 15. See also Russia: Government adopts pre-plan for digital switch-over by Andrei Richter, IRIS 2009-10: 18, available at: http://merlin.obs.coe.int/iris/2009/10/article26.en.html

⁸⁾ This conclusion of the Concept of the FTP was harshly criticised in a review of the Concept of the FTP by the editor of the Tele-Sputnik professional journal. See: Nikolai Orlov. Новая концепция развития телерадиовещания а России, Tele-Sputnik, No. 11 (November) 2009: 12.



Business experts confirm that the Government of the Russian Federation chose the model of a government-private sector partnership in the Development Concept in spite of the intentions of many influential players (in particular, the federal state unitary enterprise Russian Television and Radio Broadcasting Network – RTRS) to obtain funds for the modernisation of terrestrial infrastructure used to distribute TV signals.⁹ Later developments, however, demonstrated that the RTRS will lead such partnerships in broadcasting (see below).

2. Ukraine

In Ukraine, the key document that provides an outlook on the switch-over process is the *State Programme of Introduction of Digital Television and Radio Broadcasting*¹⁰ (hereinafter "the State Programme") approved by the Resolution No. 1085 of the Cabinet of Ministers on 26 November 2008.

One month after the State Programme's approval by the cabinet, it was suspended by a decree of the President of Ukraine. At the time these developments caused a political and legal crisis. The President claimed that the Programme was unconstitutional as the proposed switch-over process violated, *inter alia*, everyone's freedom of speech and right to obtain information. He asked the Constitutional Court of Ukraine for confirmation of this position. The Court, however, decided on 3 March 2009 that the State Programme did not violate the constitution; as a result, the President revoked his decree on 22 April 2009 thus finally giving way to the implementation of the State Programme.

The State Programme foresees in particular "to establish – in accordance with the Regional Plan with respect to digital television broadcasting assignments (GE06) – 81 sub-areas for digital broadcasting with the use of the MPEG-4 standard,¹¹ which will enable to transmit within a single frequency band up to 10 television channels." The State Programme demands that the principles for regulating broadcasters and telecom operators be reviewed to take into account the use of digital broadcasting technologies and the multiplication of content. The effectiveness of the State Programme once completed will be judged by criteria such as "availability of as many television and radio programmes as in the countries of Western Europe", "higher attractiveness of the broadcasting sector for investors", "better conditions for competition in the telecommunications", "environmental protection and savings in electricity usage", etc.

As part of this programme the government also plans to facilitate the production of digital TV sets and signal adaptors for analogue TV sets, and other elements and parts of hardware for digital broadcasting. This plan includes the provision of financial and technical assistance to research institutions in order to lay the "scientific and technological grounds for Ukraine's participation in international activities aimed at introducing digital terrestrial broadcasting."

The State Programme ends in 2015 and foresees expenses of UAH¹² 4.3 billion (equivalent to EUR 365 million). Of this sum, UAH 9 million (EUR 0.76 million) is to come from the national budget, while the rest is to be spent by private investors.

Conceptual guidelines for the transition to digital broadcasting were also provided by the National Council of Ukraine on TV and Radio Broadcasting (hereinafter "the National Council"). The National Council is a special supervising and licensing body with the mandate to implement legislative provisions on television and radio broadcasting and to monitor compliance of both state and private broadcasters with such rules.¹³ These quidelines took the form of a "Plan for the

⁹⁾ Digital Television in Russia. Edited by Groteck Co., Ltd. for the European Audiovisual Observatory. Moscow, 2008. P. 49.10) See its text in Ukrainian at the website of the Supreme Rada (the Ukrainian parliament) at:

http://zakon.rada.gov.ua/cgi-bin/laws/main.cgi?nreg=1085-2008-%EF

¹¹⁾ MPEG-4 is a patented collection of methods defining compression of audio and visual (AV) digital data (Wikipedia).

¹²⁾ UAH is the currency ISO code for Hryvnia, the Ukrainian currency.

¹³⁾ See more on the body: New Law Establishing the National Television and Radio Broadcasting Council by Andrei Richter. IRIS 1997-8: 12, available at: http://merlin.obs.coe.int/iris/1997/8/article20.en.html .The full text of the statute that established the National Council is also available in English at: http://www.nrada.gov.ua/cgi-bin/go?page=36



Development of the National Television and Radio Sphere of Ukraine" (hereinafter "Development Plan"), which was adopted by the National Council on 9 November 2006 (decision No. 904). Since then, the Development Plan has been amended 15 times.¹⁴

This Development Plan set a number of basic rules according to which the National Council promised to act during the digital switch-over process. It undertook, among others, "to guarantee that the licence-holders, who at this time provide analogue terrestrial broadcasting, will keep their right to broadcast with the switch-over to digital standards without any loss of their audience."

3. Costs of the digital switch-over

The financial contributions expected from private companies and the respective governments for the switch-over period are as follows:

	Public expenses (million EUR)	Private investments (million EUR)	Total (million EUR)
Russia	1 716	1 036	2 752
Ukraine	0.76	364.24	365

Table 1. Expenses for the Digital Switch-over in 2009-2015 (planned)¹⁵

II. Legal concepts, decrees, and other acts related to the switch-over

1. Russia

Russia remains one of the few European countries without a parliamentary statute on broadcasting or the audiovisual media. Moreover, an unannounced moratorium for the preparation of a draft law on television and radio broadcasting exists since 2000.¹⁶ Today the audiovisual sphere is mostly regulated by presidential decrees and government resolutions. Digital transition will probably require the federal government to draft and suggest to the parliament a set of amendments to three federal statutes (the Statute on licensing, the Statute on communications, and the Statute on the mass media), but so far the law-making process has begun with government resolutions.

The first in importance is Resolution No. 985 of 3 December 2009 of the Government of the Russian Federation On the Federal Target Programme "Development of TV and radio broadcasting in the Russian Federation in 2009-2015" (hereinafter the "FTP").¹⁷ The FTP slightly differs from the Concept of the FTP explained above and is even less clear on some key issues, which will be described further on.

2. Ukraine

In Ukraine, practically all legal acts concerning the digital switch-over originate in 2006. In hierachical as well as chronological order, the new version of the 1993 Statute On Television and Radio Broadcasting adopted by the Supreme Rada (the national parliament) on 12 January 2006

¹⁴⁾ See the text in Ukrainian at: http://zakon.nau.ua/doc/?uid=1041.20249.21&nobreak=1

¹⁵⁾ Based on Russia's FTP and Ukraine's State Programme.

¹⁶⁾ See on the reasons and history in: The Regulatory Framework for Audiovisual Media Services in Russia by Andrei Richter. IRIS-Special, European Audiovisual Observatory (Ed), Strasbourg 2010.

¹⁷⁾ See its text in Russian at the website of the Ministry of Communications and Mass Communications at: http://gov.consultant.ru/doc.asp?ID=55969



features first.¹⁸ The new wording of Art. 22 of the Act includes a number of important provisions regarding the future of digital broadcasting. In particular, the Statute stipulates that the migration from analogue to digital broadcasting shall be carried out in accordance with the Development Plan. The National Council is bound to promote the introduction of digital broadcasting and corresponding technological re-equipment of operational broadcasting channels and networks. Where the terms of a broadcasting licence must be changed after the migration from analogue to digital broadcasting because they concern technological parameters, the type of broadcasting (i.e., switching to multichannel broadcasting) or the revision of the programme concept, these modifications are subject to a broadcasting licence renewal procedure.

Concerning the switch-over process, the Statute provides that in the event that the licensee fails to submit an application for renewal of the licence to the National Council within two months of the date on which the channel or network is ready for introduction of digital broadcasting, the National Council shall announce a competition for a licence for multichannel broadcasting; the existing licensee will retain the right to broadcast on one of the channels of the new digital multichannel television network (Art. 22 para. 9). When this norm was discussed and adopted in 2005-2006 it was probably expected that every existing terrestrial broadcaster would have a multiplex of its own without need for competitions.

The Statute does not answer a number of legal questions concerning the division of competences between various governmental agencies and the licensing of operators and content providers. In particular it remains open whether one and the same entity may be both an operator and a provider. In light of this legislative gap, the President and several governmental bodies felt entitled to regulate some of the issues without consulting the parliament.

According to the Statute On the Radiofrequency Resource of Ukraine No. 1770-III of 1 June 2000,¹⁹ radio frequency allocation is performed in accordance with the Radio Frequency Resource Utilisation Plan (Art. 1). The current Utilisation Plan was approved by Resolution No. 815 of the Cabinet of Ministers of 9 June 2006.²⁰ It established in particular the switch-off dates for analogue broadcasting. Allocation of a new frequency takes place upon the request of the National Council under the condition that the frequency is legally assigned to broadcasting. The Development Plan stipulates that radio-electronic means of broadcasting must be made compatible in order to allow the creation and development of multichannel television networks. The funds required for the necessary developments are provided for in the State Budget of Ukraine as a separate line of expenditures of the National Council.²¹

Technological questions related to the digitalisation of broadcasting account for the largest part of the Development Plan, adopted by the National Council. Given the limits of its legal competence, however, the National Council could not use fiscal instruments to foster the establishment of the planned networks of relay systems and transmitters, the distribution of set-top boxes to the population, etc.

The transition to digital television was also on the agenda of the powerful Council for National Security and Defence of Ukraine (or RNBO)²² at its meeting on 21 March 2008. It was part of its discussion on information security and sovereignty. The RNBO decision on this issue was adopted by the follow-up decree of the President of Ukraine On Urgent Measures to Provide for the

- 20) See its text in Ukrainian at: http://zakon.nau.ua/doc/?uid=1096.849.7&nobreak=1
- 21) According to Art. 22 para. 11 of the Statute of Ukraine On Television and Radio Broadcasting.

¹⁸⁾ See more on the new wording of the Statute in "Ukraine Sweep Changes in Broadcasting Statute" by Taras Shevchenko in IRIS 2006-5: 19 available at: http://merlin.obs.coe.int/iris/2006/5/article34.en.html . The text of the Statute is also available in English on the website of the National Council at: http://www.nrada.gov.ua/cgi-bin/go?page=33

¹⁹⁾ See its text in Ukrainian at: http://zakon.nau.ua/doc/?uid=1087.525.23&nobreak=1 . In English the text is available on the website of the National Council at: http://www.nrada.gov.ua/cgi-bin/go?page=34

²²⁾ The RNBO is a constitutional state collegial body responsible for co-ordination of national policy in regards to security and defence, which is chaired by the President of Ukraine and consists of representatives of the Cabinet of Ministers, Supreme Rada, Prosecutor-General, Foreign Minister, Minister of Justice, etc. RNBO decisions become law through their approval by the decrees of the President of Ukraine.



Information Security of Ukraine of 23 April 2008.²³ In particular, this Decree instructed the cabinet of ministers to develop and approve a State Programme of Introduction of Digital Television and Radio Broadcasting. The Programme would require, *inter alia*, at least one digital multiplex to be operated by the state-run telecom provider, as well as state economic support for the population in the switch-over process (the State Programme was adopted on 26 November 2008, see part I). The Decree also demanded that the State Programme introduce emergency measures to stop the importation into and production in Ukraine of television sets unfit to receive TV signals of the *DVB-T/MPEG-4* standard. Another RNB0 meeting on digital television issues took place on 11 September 2009, but its decisions have not been released at the time of writing this report.

3. State (national) standards for digital broadcasting

Finally, the adoption of State Standards has been necessary in order to harmonise different technological approaches to digital broadcasting. In Russia, the government adopted 17 State Standards that refer exclusively to digital TV, while 50 more are currently being developed.²⁴ Ukraine is in need of 15 National Standards and similar acts, according to the State Programme.

III. Aspects of the process

1. Switch-over plan

The GE-06 Agreement sets 17 June 2015 as the date when all countries in the region will no longer be obliged to protect the analogue services of neighbouring states and can freely begin using the frequencies assigned to them for their digital services. The setting of this date is not a guarantee that analogue switch-off will then take place throughout a given country. But because analogue services will no longer be protected along its borders, it could serve as an incentive to switching off analogue services completely.

The FTP in **Russia** creates four sub-areas where digital terrestrial broadcasting will be introduced in stages: in 2010, 2011-2012, 2012-2013, and 2013 respectively. First in line are the border regions in the Far East and in Europe. The FTP does not set a date for the switch-off and, in a recent interview with Radio Svoboda (Liberty), Vitaly Stytsko, head of the department of digital television of the Ministry of Communications and Mass Communications, said that analogue broadcasting might continue long after 2015.²⁵ Most of the Russian officials claim that the switch-off will be allowed only when 95-98 per cent of the population has set-top boxes, an unrealistic figure according to experts.²⁶

In **Ukraine**, different acts set different dates for the switch-off of analogue broadcasting. The Resolution No. 815 of the Cabinet of Ministers that approved the Utilisation Plan (see above) provided that the use of radio frequencies for analogue broadcasting and mobile telephony of CDMA-800²⁷ standard would end on 1 January 2016.

The Development Plan adopted by the National Council was more optimistic. This document detailed the switch-over procedure for national broadcasters and set seven stages pursuant to which the transition to digital broadcasting was to be completed in December 2012. By that time set-top boxes were to be held by 80 to 90 per cent of the population. By the end of 2009, analogue

²³⁾ See its text in Ukrainian at: http://zakon.rada.gov.ua/cgi-bin/laws/main.cgi?nreg=n0010525-08

²⁴⁾ Mayzuls R. and Yu. Shavdia. Развитие нормативно-правовой и нормативно-технической базы современного телерадиовещания / "Broadcasting. Телевидение и радиовещание" No. 3, 2008, pp.31-36. See: http://broadcasting.ru/articles2/Regandstan/progress-tv

²⁵⁾ See: http://www.svobodanews.ru/content/transcript/1889152.html

²⁶⁾ See: http://www.cnews.ru/news/top/index.shtml?2009/12/07/372424, See also Nikolai Orlov. Новая концепция развития телерадиовещания а России, Tele-Sputnik, No. 11 (November) 2009: 14. Here the author expresses doubts that the current 10-15 million users of cable and satellite TV will be *en masse* motivated to purchase in addition a set-top box for terrestrial DTV.

²⁷⁾ CDMA-800 is a CDMA data protocol for mobile telephones operating in the cellular (800 MHz - 850 MHz) frequency band.

broadcasting was to be switched to digital in 47 out of the 81 sub-areas. In fact, digital television is broadcast today in six sub-areas without switch-off of analogue broadcasting and with an obvious lack of penetration of set-top boxes.

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Russie (population coverage)	0	15	30	75	98,8	98,8	98,8
Ukraine (coverage of territory)	3,1	11,4	25,15	42,87	60,59	78,31	96,03

Table 2. Penetration of digital terrestrial television (in per cent)²⁸

2. Moratorium for new analogue frequencies

According to the GEO6 Agreement, Russia and Ukraine have stopped their provision of new frequencies for analogue television broadcasting.

In **Russia**, the Ministry of Communications and Mass Communications suspended the allocation of bands for analogue television broadcasting in December 2007. The reason for this moratorium was the necessity to adopt a new plan of spectrum allocation for digital television broadcasting. This plan was partly approved by a decision of the State Commission on Radio Frequencies (GKRCh) on 19 March 2009. It confirmed the first multiplex of eight programmes (channels) and was based on the recommendations of the Governmental Commission on Development of TV and Radio Broadcasting and in particular the Development Concept drafted by the Commission.²⁹ The decision of the GKRCh foresees that once these eight digital programmes will be ready for broadcast, they will need no further permissions from the CKRCh provided that their over-the-air transmissions correspond to the relevant technical standards.

The exact line-up for the first multiplex was confirmed by a Presential Decree of 24 June 2009. In October 2009, the moratorium was lifted for those areas where spectrum allocation for digital TV had been completed. Existing analogue TV broadcasting channels that were incompatible with the digital plan in progress were to be repositioned to different frequencies. The lifting of the moratorium aimed to permit the renewal of analogue licences after their expiration (the maximum licence term in Russia is five years). As a result of the moratorium some TV companies had failed to renew their licences, which they had then eventually lost because their frequencies were either taken by the first multiplex or remained vacant as they interfered with digital TV.

In **Ukraine**, the moratorium obligations resulting from the GE06 Agreement were echoed in the Utilisation Plan. It established that allocation of new licences for analogue broadcasting ended on 1 June 2006 (for the use of transmitters of 100 Watts and above) and on 1 January 2007 (for transmitters below 100 Watts). The Utilisation Plan offered the possibility to grant new licences for analogue low-power broadcasting beyond 2006 under the condition that such broadcasting did not interfere with the development of digital TV.

3. Line-up of the multiplexes and must-carry rules

Until recently **Russian** law had no must-carry rules except for very few provisions in regional legislation. The Development Concept assigned the government the task to draw up a "socially important package of channels" whose transmission to the public via all platforms would be

²⁸⁾ Based on Russia's FTP and Ukraine's State Programme.

²⁹⁾ Concept of development of TV and radio broadcasting in the Russian Federation in 2008-2015 (Концепция развития телерадиовещания в Российской Федерации на 2008 — 2015 годы) available in Russian at: http://merlin.obs.coe.int/redirect.php?id=11089



obligatory and either without any charge or for a nominal fee. In other words, the government had to bundle a package of must-carry programmes. The government agreed to cover the costs for the package's transmission, while the delivery of all channels not included in the package will be left to the free market.

The line-up of this package was developed by the government. These programmes were denoted by type (e.g., "cultural programming channel"), but not named individually according to their providers. The list of specific channels was approved by the Decree of the President On National Mandatory Free Television Channels and Radio Stations of 24 June 2009.³⁰ The decree aims at "pursuing the objectives of ensuring freedom of information and guaranteeing that people everywhere in Russia have access to socially important information." It enumerates television channels and radio stations that must be broadcast nationwide and free of charge.

The package, namely a line-up of eight mandatory free national TV channels, is composed as follows:

- Kultura (the culture and arts channel), part of the All-Russian State Television and Radio Company (VGTRK);
- 2. Sport (VGTRK);
- 3. Vesti (24-hour news channel, VGTRK);
- 4. Rossia (general interest channel, VGTRK);
- 5. a yet to be formed channel for children and youth (to be established by VGTRK no later than 1 January 2011);
- 6. Channel One (a stock company 51 per cent of which is owned by the state with the remaining part held by undisclosed private companies);
- 7. Petersburg Channel 5 (run by the National Media Group owned by a group of gas, steel and oil companies close to the government);
- 8. NTV, owned by Gasprom-Media.

No public tender or competition was held for this selection. No explanation has been provided as to why, for example, a sports channel was prioritized over an educational programme, or why NTV was picked from an array of other private terrestrial national networks. No room was reserved for regional broadcasters, with the exception of the St. Petersburg's channel, although, according to the FTP, regional branches of the RTRS will be allowed to insert local windows into these programmes.³¹ Moreover, the owners of Channel 5 recently announced plans to remodel its programming to become a national rather than regional channel.

The Presidential Decree stipulates that these channels will be broadcast in mandatory fashion throughout Russia, and at no cost to consumers. These TV channels in effect become must-carry channels all over the country on all platforms, including cable and satellite services, although relevant changes to the Federal Statute On Communications (2003) have not yet been discussed in the State Duma. These changes will oblige cable operators to provide the must-carry channels and suggest ways of compensation.

The Government of the Russian Federation shall be obliged to provide these TV channels with all necessary licences and to subsidise their parallel dissemination via analogue and digital means in the market areas with a population of less than 200 000 (till 2011) and less than 100 000 (starting from 2011).³²

The first multiplex will be in DVB-T MPEG-4 standard. Neither the line-up of the further multiplexes nor the procedures to determine it have been established. If the Concept of the FTP spoke of the need of TV programmes for the youth, an educational, travel, news and other channels, the FTP itself makes no mention of that. While the Concept of the FTP noted the need for regional TV and radio channels, the FTP is also silent on this issue.

³⁰⁾ See: Russian Federation: Must-carry Channels Approved by President by *Andrei Richter*, in IRIS 2009-10: 18, available at: http://merlin.obs.coe.int/iris/2009/10/article25.en.html

³¹⁾ See Russia: Government adopts pre-plan for digital switch-over by Andrei Richter, IRIS 2009-10: 18, available at: http://merlin.obs.coe.int/iris/2009/10/article26.en.html

³²⁾ See Russia: Must-carry Channels Approved by President by Andrei Richter, IRIS 2009-10: 18, available at: http://merlin.obs.coe.int/iris/2009/10/article25.en.html



The 1993 Statute of **Ukraine** On Television and Radio Broadcasting (as amended in 2006) foresees that any broadcaster has the right to have its licence reissued for digital broadcasting without a new competition, though for a special fee (Art. 31 para. 5).³³ It also stipulates that the National Council shall determine the number of broadcasting channels, telecom networks and television networks that are expected to use the radio-frequency bandwidth of Ukraine in each territorial category (i.e., national, regional, local, or foreign broadcasting), including broadcasting based on the use of digital technologies (Art. 22 para. 12).

Complying with this provision, the National Council in its decision No. 260 of 3 October 2007 determined that eight multiplexes would be developed in Ukraine: MX-1, MX-2 and MX-3 in DVB-T (MPEG-2) standard; MX-4 and MX-5 in DVB-T (MPEG-4) standard; MX-6 in DVB-H standard; MX-7 and MX-8 in HDTV. At a later stage, when the Cabinet of Ministers had given its approval to the State Programme, the National Council decided that MPEG-2 would be replaced by MPEG-4.

On 5 December 2007, the National Council filled the line-up of the second and the third multiplexes with the existing national broadcasters:³⁴

MX-2

- 1. "National Television Company of Ukraine" (First National);
- 2. TV and Radio Company "Studiya '1+1", Ltd. (1+1);
- 3. Closed Corporation "UNTK" (Inter);
- 4. International Commercial TV and Radio Company "ICTV", Ltd. (ICTV);
- 5. TV and Radio Company "Ekspress-Inform", Ltd. (5 kanal);
- 6. CJSC "Novyi kanal" (N);
- 7. CJSC "MMTs-STB" (S);
- 8. CJSC "TV and Radio Company Ukraina" (TRK Ukraina);
- 9. "TS Sluzhba informatsii", Ltd. (NTN).

MX-3

- 1. CJSC "TV Company 'TET'" (Ter);
- 2. Open Joint Stock Company "TV Company TONIS" (T);
- 3. "TV and Radio Organization 'Multi Media Servis'", Ltd. (Megasport);
- 4. CJSC "TeleOdyn" (M1);
- 5. "TV and Radio Company 'NBM'" (5);
- 6. "TV and Radio Company 'Era'" (Era);
- 7. Public Broadcasting Channel;
- 8. Reserve;
- 9. Reserve.

The MX-1 multiplex of nine channels was set aside for broadcasting of encoded programmes of pay-TV that must still be determined in a competition to be held by the National Council.

On 5 December 2007, the National Council announced the competition for broadcasting to be carried by the MX-4 multiplex. On 2 April 2008, it determined the ten winners, all private companies. Most of them may be seen as "wild cards" or companies totally unknown to the audience and professionals. Among the winning channels are specialised programmes on culture, humour, cinema, football, travel, business, as well as a news channel and channels for children, youth and women. In order to win the competition, a company had to promise that it would broadcast 100 per cent in Ukrainian language.

On 16 June 2008, the National Council decided to make the MX-5 a multiplex for regional broadcasters. In certain specified regions, all terrestrial broadcasters obtained the right to occupy

³³⁾ The norm says: "Renewal of a licence in connection with the changeover from analogue to digital broadcasting shall be subject to a charge equal to the licence tax assessed for granting of a multichannel broadcasting licence."

³⁴⁾ The line-up consists of the name of the licensee and its business form as well as the name of the TV programme (in brackets).



a spot in the package. Initially, the MX-5 will start in the regions of Sumy, Odessa and the Trans-Carpathian region. The same day, the MX-6 was set aside for national mobile broadcasting in DVB-H standard.

4. Operators

In **Russia**, the transmission of the first multiplex will be the responsibility of the federal state unitary enterprise Russian Television and Radio Broadcasting Network (RTRS). According to the RTRS, no other operator will obtain a transmission right.³⁵

This function of the RTRS is yet to be confirmed by an umbrella licence, a requirement recently suggested by Roskomnadzor but not yet introduced.³⁶ Once the umbrella licence will have been granted, all private telecom licensees involved in the transmission will be sublicensed by the RTRS.³⁷ Even today the RTRS tells "alternative operators" to co-ordinate their activity with the company if they want to take a share of governmental subsidies for the upgrade of their networks and facilities. Another suggested option is to sell the business to the RTRS.³⁸

For the terrestrial transmission of the second and the third multiplexes, the FTP relies on the services of the RTRS "or other operators". Whether or not a competition will be announced for the service is still being debated. Also unclear is the duration of the licences. The RTRS claimed it would be technically ready to deal with the other two multiplexes. At the same time, the FTP demands that the programmes in the second and the third multiplexes be free-of-charge for the viewers.

The 2006 edition of the Statute of **Ukraine** *On Television and Radio Broadcasting* added to the traditional type of operators – telecom operators licensed by the Ministry of Transport and Communications – a new type of "content service provider" separately licensed by the National Council without competition procedures (Art. 23 para. 8). Telecom operators may themselves become content service providers upon obtaining one of these new licences for a 10-year period (or they may conclude a contract with a licensed content service provider). The idea behind the change was to force telecom operators of cable TV to obtain an additional licence – from the National Council – and thus affirm the line-up of the cable packages. In the case of cable TV, the National Council will also separately and on an annual basis approve the line-up together with the provider's report on fulfillment of its previous package obligation. In addition, the content service provider should detail principles upon which it forms its packages and present contracts with all TV companies on retransmission of their programmes (Art. 40). The National Council scrutinised especially the programme origin and language quotas during the licensing of cable operators that took place following the changes made in the Statute. This resulted in a dramatic decrease of Russian and Russian-language channels in the cable networks of Ukraine.

All terrestrial broadcasters in a particular market fall under the must-carry rule for local cable packages, and this provision has not been changed with the transition to digital broadcasting.

Regarding the functions and position of telecom operators and content providers, the Statute practically gives them the same status for digital broadcasting on multiplexes that they have in cable or satellite television. One important difference between the two situations remains: if in cable television typically one and the same entity resumes the functions of telecom operator and content provider, in digital terrestrial TV separate companies can exercise these functions.

³⁵⁾ See the interview of Aleksey Malinin, director-general of RTRS, to Itogi magazine 3 August 2009, No.32, available at: http://www.itogi.ru/hitech/2009/32/142821.html

³⁶⁾ Roskomnadzor is the Federal Service for Supervision of Communications, Information Technologies and Mass Media under the Ministry of Communications and Mass Communications. It is the special executive office in charge of licensing and state control as regards the audiovisual sphere.

³⁷⁾ See the discussion at the 13th Congress of the National Association of Broadcasters (November 2009) at: http://www.nat.ru/?an=XIII_congress_nat_2009

³⁸⁾ See the interview of Aleksey Malinin, director-general of the RTRS, to Itogi magazine 3 August 2009, No.32, available at: http://www.itogi.ru/hitech/2009/32/142821.html



Thus the Statute effectively leads to a possibility for the National Council to license digital television companies (both telecom operators and content providers or aggregators) and to do so without competition procedures. In this way it may prevent foreign (e.g. Russian) programmes to be rebroadcast in digital multiplexes.

As a result, on 16 January 2008 the communications company "Ukrainian Digital Telenetwork" (UTsTM) obtained the first licence to operate the MX-4 multiplex without a competition. This licence allowed UTsTM to take content from the TV companies that would win the competitions and bundle it into a package.³⁹ The National Council justified the licence by the fact that because UTsTM had applied for it, the licensing body was obliged to review and make a decision on the application within one month without competition procedures under the Statute *On Television and Radio Broadcasting*. This decision caused strong criticism. As a result, the National Council followed-up with a public call for applications for the forthcoming distribution of licences among content aggregators for the multiplexes 1 to 6. Although no competition is legally required, the procedure aimed at selecting the best candidate. The applications were reviewed on 26 November 2008 and failed to produce winners with one exception: a licence was issued to the TV and Radio Company "Eter" (Kiev) for mobile broadcasting on the MX-6 multiplex.

5. Set-top boxes

In **Russia**, set-top boxes are produced by the hundreds of thousands annually and widely sold in Kaliningrad (near the border with Poland and Lithuania) and other parts of the country. No governmental act foresees subsidies for their purchase for low-income families or other underprivileged parts of the population. In the words of the head of RTRS, this sphere is governed by "Mr Market".⁴⁰ Moreover, the government officials as recently as in November 2009 strongly discouraged the population from purchasing set-top boxes "in a risky and spontaneous manner" as the nationwide standards for them are yet to be approved sometime in 2010.

An interesting development in the debate on the distribution of set-top boxes was a recent offer to the government by the three major operators of mobile communication, MTS, VympelKom and MegaFon. The telecom companies claimed to be ready to supply free set-top boxes to the population if the government in return allocatedthe former analogue TV frequencies to4G mobile communications.⁴¹

There are no clear rules in **Ukraine** as to the order of distribution of set-top boxes among the viewers. Some of the basic documents concerning digital television indicate that a "special procedure" must be implemented that will benefit the economically "unprotected" part of the population. The State Programme establishes that transition to digital terrestrial broadcasting "should be aimed to coincide with the solution of the problem of supply" of the signal adaptors to the population. The Cabinet Resolution that approved the State Programme directed the Ministry of Transport and Communications to present, in consultation with the National Council, within three months a detailed plan of distribution of set-top boxes. That instruction expired on 22 July 2009 and as of the time of writing no plan has been delivered.

The Development Plan approved by the National Council promised to "the socially unprotected citizens of Ukraine adaptors for digital signals to be provided for by the state." The State Budget was to include such an expense but failed to do so.

The Decree of the President of Ukraine On Urgent Measures to Provide for the Information Security of Ukraine of 23 April 2008 contained similar provisions on economic support of the population. But such budgetary decisions fall within the competence of the Cabinet of Ministers, and not the President.

³⁹⁾ See further on the competition for the MX-4 multiplex in III.3, IV.2 and VI.

⁴⁰⁾ See the interview of Aleksey Malinin, director-general of the RTRS, to Itogi magazine 3 August 2009, No.32, available at: http://www.itogi.ru/hitech/2009/32/142821.html

⁴¹⁾ See the report by the RBK daily (Moscow) of 7 September 2009 at: http://www.rbcdaily.ru/2009/09/07/media/429693



We are only aware of a single case in the Odessa region where practical steps were actually taken. In April 2009, the regional state authority purchased 4 000 set-top boxes for the low-income families in the province.

6. Role of state TV

Neither of the two countries has a public broadcasting system. Its place, in a way, is taken by national broadcasters financed and run by the government.

State-run channels dominate the first multiplex in **Russia**, which will be operated by a staterun company (RTRS). Private national broadcasters will be allowed onto the next multiplexes if they agree to pay the political and economic price. The regional broadcasters which may be expected to obtain the slots in these multiplexes will most likely be local state-run companies politically affiliated with the governors (see part IV).

Only one state-run television company provides national terrestrial broadcasting in **Ukraine.** This programme by the "National Television Company of Ukraine" (NTCU) is titled "First National", though its ratings are far from being among the first as it can claim merely one to two per cent of the audience. All other players are commercial broadcasters. Attempts to introduce public broadcasting over the past 15 years have brought no positive results.

The line-up of the MX-2 multiplex contains a slot for the National Television Company (which is fully controlled by the government). Apparently, there is no intention to reform it into an independent public (but still state-owned) broadcaster. At the same time, the line-up of the MX-3 multiplex foresees a slot for a yet to be established public broadcaster. This decision of the National Council raised concerns with Ukrainian experts as there is no pattern of co-existence of state and public television in Europe with the exception of Azerbaijan.

7. Development of new services: on-demand, mobile TV, etc.

After the implementation of the FTP in **Russia**, 100 per cent of the country's population will have access to terrestrial broadcasting and will be able to view as many as 20 to 24 television channels. In addition, there will be up to three HDTV channels and up to ten channels for digital mobile TV in major cities with a population of at least 100 000 inhabitants.

Mobile television is at an initial stage, even though the first mobile television service, by the Skylink telecom provider, already started in 2005. Skylink provides 3G DVB-H services in 5 000 towns and settlements in 32 (out of 83) regions of Russia and takes 80 per cent of the market in the country.⁴² Moscow, until recently, was not covered by the service due to the lack of frequencies. On 7 December 2009, however, another telecom operator, VympelKom, announced "test" mobile broadcasts in the capital. They will carry 11 programmes including six of the "must-carry" channels.⁴³

New digital services develop in **Ukraine** without almost any legal regulation. Neither has the licensing process begun so far, nor has at least the national policy on the development of video-on-demand, mobile television or other technologies been announced.

Today, the largest mobile telecom operators such as Kyivstar⁴⁴ and Life⁴⁵ provide mobile television services in the form of access to video content on the broadcasters' websites, even though online access to the live programmes of TV channels via cell phones is yet unavailable.

⁴²⁾ See Технологии и средства связи (спецвыпуск Широкополосные мультисервисные сети) 2009, раде 19.

⁴³⁾ See the news report at: http://www.vesti.ru/doc.html?id=329786

⁴⁴⁾ http://www.kyivstar.net/personal/contract/services/mobile_tv/

⁴⁵⁾ http://www.life.com.ua/index.php?area=lifebox&lng=uk&page=15-25



IV. Licensing and competitions: the role of the licensing body

1. Russia

The current system and procedure for competitions to award licences was adopted by the government in 1999 and entailed the creation of the Federal Competitions Commission on Broadcasting (FCC). The FCC is a committee of nine persons, a mix of officials and public figures that meets to judge on licensing competitions. As its members receive no fee for this work and many of them are well known and respected, the FCC aims to guarantee some independence, transparency and legal certainty regarding the procedure. Although Roskomnadzor remains the licensing authority for all broadcast media outlets, until recently, the FCC also played a very important role in the licensing process. So far, the FCC selected the winners of the competitions for broadcasters and the licensing authority, Roskomnadzor, then had to award them the licences.⁴⁶

According to the Development Concept, licensing remains the main instrument of the state's broadcasting policy and will continue to be performed by the executive branch of the government. There will be no limit as to the number of licences provided to a given broadcaster, but there will be a limit to the spectrum of licensees (see below). The Development Concept underlines that, in order to reach its aim, the government will in particular "define uniform rules of licensing of broadcasting despite the differences of methods and technologies of transmission". When the Development Concept was originally adopted by the Commission on Development of TV and Radio Broadcasting on 7 November 2007, Mr. Leonid Reyman, then minister of information technologies and communications, underlined its universal character as it "does not require different rules for different technologies of the signal delivery. Such an approach is particularly timely taking into account appearance of the new services for dissemination of TV signals such as the Internet and mobile networks of the third generation."⁴⁷

The proposals for the second and third multiplexes were to be developed not by the broadcasters themselves but by a "working group" established by the Ministry of Communications and Mass Communications together with the Ministry of Defence by the end of 2009. It seems that the group will decide about the allocation of the licences and that the FCC will have no right to review these decisions.

So far, the moratorium and the follow-up decisions of the Governmental Commission on Development of TV and Radio Broadcasting and the President on the line-up of the first multiplex completely ignored the FCC rules and procedures, as well as the decade-old practice of holding competitions for the right to broadcast TV programmes. In a way, these were the first signs that under the new system for regulation of licensing television programmes the role of the FCC might become negligible.

The following example shows how the new system leads to legal problems and loopholes. In June 2009, the analogue TV channel Sport of the VGTRK became, by Presidential decree, part of the first multiplex. This was the result of a decision of the government that a programme on sports was necessary for the "social package". On 1 January 2010, Sport was transformed into the Rossia-2 channel with sports taking up just one-third of its programming. This change had been announced by the VGTRK in October 2009 and was aimed to cut costs for the purchase of copyrights to sports events and to attract those young potential viewers who so far prefer to "spend most of their time online".⁴⁸

⁴⁶⁾ See more on the FCC in: The Regulatory Framework for Audiovisual Media Services in Russia by Andrei Richter. IRIS-Special, European Audiovisual Observatory (Ed.), Strasbourg 2010.

⁴⁷⁾ The report is available on the website of the Ministry at: http://minkomsvjaz.ru/news/xPages/entry.6603.html

⁴⁸⁾ State's Sport Channel Retired as Costs Soar by Ksenia Boletskaya, *The Moscow Times*. 2 October 2009. P. 7. See also Философия «Спорта» остается by Arina Borodina in Kommersant daily of 2 October 2009, http://www.kommersant.ru/doc.aspx?DocsID=1247731



On 23 December 2009, the FCC quietly reviewed the channel's change of name and voted to reissue the licence to Rossia-2. The Commission was told that so far there was no need to review changes in the programming policy as "they would come slowly".⁴⁹ No rules exist that would allow the FCC to review the line-up of a digital multiplex in case the name and programme policy of a channel change. To modify any of the facts established by the Decree of the President, one should logically review the Development Concept as well as other governmental decisions such as that taken by the GKRCh (see above).

No wonder that, when faced with the question on the fate of Sport's spot on the first multiplex, Aleksandr Zharov, deputy minister of communications and mass communications, could only suggest that this issue be discussed by the Governmental Commission on Development of TV and Radio Broadcasting, the drafter of all concept papers on digital TV.⁵⁰ This means that changes in the format of a digital TV channel are now beyond the competence of both the government regulator for telecom and media and the FCC, and that they become a political decision of national importance.

In the same interview deputy minister Zharov said he knew at least six channels "ready to be part of the second multiplex" but he would not name them because it was "a commercial secret" of the contenders. He also mentioned two conditions for the channels to win a spot in the multiplex: firstly, to be widespread in analogue broadcasting and secondly, to be able to pay up to RUB one billion (more than EUR 22 million) per year just for the services needed to deliver the digital signal to the audience.⁵¹

In his speech at the congress of the National Association of Broadcasters (NAT) in November 2009, Zharov also said the second multiplex would consist of federal programmes only, but so far no governmental act points to this restriction.

Who will determine the line-up of the fourth and further multiplexes remains unclear. According to the FTP, they shall only carry broadcasts in cities with a population of over 100 000 inhabitants. The government will not make any financial investment to develop the next multiplexes but is nevertheless likely to appoint RTRS or Roskomnadzor as the quasi-licensing authority (for whose services broadcasters will need to pay).

Thus it is not only the FCC that loses its function: licensing at large might become irrelevant. The conditions for obtaining a spot in the line-up of multiplexes have not yet been officially stated, no programming policy has been declared, no ratio or quotas of different types of programmes have been given, nor has the period for which a channel may keep its position in the line-up been determined. An illustrative example for the lack of detail of the licensing conditions is given by the President's Decree on the line-up of the first multiplex, which did not specify whether the programmes obtained their spots for a limited period of time or indefinetely.

The impression that licensing might lose its importance was confirmed by the Concept of the FTP and the FTP itself, adopted in September and December 2009 respectively. The Concept of the FTP assigns to regional branches of the state-owned Russian Television and Radio Broadcasting Network (RTRS) the task to set up the hubs (administrative centres) entrusted with shaping the line-up of the second and third multiplexes of digital TV. The hubs are to include in the multiplexes local programmes, most likely of their choice. The hubs shall be federal property and be part of the system to implement the general state broadcasting policy. For the line-up process, both documents envision neither a tender or competition, nor any criteria for what programmes ought to be included, nor any role of the FCC.⁵²

⁴⁹⁾ The decision has not been publicly announced. This information is based on the comments by Mikhail Fedotov, a member of the FCC, at a round-table at the Faculty of Journalism, Moscow State University, on 28 December 2009.

⁵⁰⁾ See the interview with Zharov in *Kommersant* daily of 7 December 2009.

⁵¹⁾ *Ibidem.* This is a prohibitive sum for almost all regional broadcasters in Russia.

⁵²⁾ See Russia: Government adopts pre-plan for digital switch-over by Andrei Richter, IRIS 2009-10: 18, available at: http://merlin.obs.coe.int/iris/2009/10/article26.en.html . See also: Nikolai Orlov. Новая концепция развития телерадиовещания а России / Tele-Sputnik, No. 11 (November) 2009: 12.



On the other hand, it might still be possible that the hubs will perform only technical tasks and that the new line-ups will still be shaped by the FCC. Government officials are at least discussing such possibilities and are even formulating new criteria for the FCC in selecting licensees.

At the 13th congress of NAT held in Moscow on 17 November 2009, deputy minister Zharov made a number of important statements in this regard. In particular, he said that recommendations of the FCC would be taken into account in the selection of the line-up for the second and third multiplexes although he mentioned no obligation to follow them. He enumerated the following criteria to be used by the FCC in selecting the best TV stations:

- their public and social importance;
- evaluation of expenses incurred by them on the built-up of the existing analogue channel;
- television audience preferences (TV ratings and shares);
- financial ability to pay for dissemination of the digital signal.

As to selection of regional TV stations, the following criteria were recommended:

- independence from network programming;
- a large amount of local production;
- thematic variety;
- financial ability to pay for the dissemination of the digital signal;
- support by the regional governmental authorities.

Some of the major regional companies have already terminated their network agreements in order to prepare for the switch-over. TV networks will no longer need regional partners or affiliates in order to offer digital terrestrial television. Consequently, small-scale regional broadcasters with little programming of their own will have no chances to get on a multiplex.⁵³

Deputy Minister Zharov also announced the introduction of new procedures, which would also allow the granting of a single multichannel licence for at the same time broadcasting by digital terrestrial means and on other platforms. Such a multichannel licence would confirm the line-up of the (terrestrial, cable, satellite, etc.) packages and contain an obligation of the licence-holder to notify Roskomnadzor of any changes in the line-up at least a month in advance.

At the same NAT congress, a Roskomnadzor official outlined the new licensing system. According to this system, there will be one-channel and multichannel licences, each subdivided into two subclasses, namely licences for broadcasting using a radio frequency resource (to be issued on a competitive basis) and licences for broadcasting transmitted over the Internet and other platforms (to be issued without competition).

This betrays the intention of the government to license broadcasting in all possible distribution forms, be it online, via cable, satellite or mobile telephony.⁵⁴ The main aim of the changes is to establish legal control over foreign channels disseminated via cable systems and IPTV that are not registered today as mass media outlets under Russian law. Their licensing will have to be preceded by registration. Both procedures have limitations for foreign companies. For example, they would be obliged to establish entities with at least 51 per cent of Russian capital.⁵⁵ "The activity of foreign television channels must be put in the framework of Russian legal norms", proclaimed in this regard Sergei Sitnikov, the head of Roskomnadzor.⁵⁶ There were recent reports that Roskomnadzor is already in negotiations on registration and licensing with Discovery Enterprises and BBC World.

⁵³⁾ Such stories in Krasnodar, Chita and Irkutsk were described by the Mediaprofi professional magazine, No. 11, 2009: 3. 54) This is confirmed also in: Инвестиции в цифру / Teletsentr, No 9 (November) 2009, p.66.

⁵⁵⁾ See more on registration and restrictions for foreign companies in: The Regulatory Framework for Audiovisual Media Services in Russia by Andrei Richter. IRIS-Special, European Audiovisual Observatory (Ed.), Strasbourg 2010.

⁵⁶⁾ See: Sitnikov, Sergei Практические вопросы цифровизации / Broadcasting, No.3 (May-June), 2009: 17.

2. Ukraine

The Statute of Ukraine On Television and Radio Broadcasting links the carrying out of terrestrial broadcasting and the use of multichannel networks (like cable or satellite networks) to the prior obtaining of a licence from the National Council. The issuance of the licence for broadcasting using radio frequencies and for broadcasting on free channels of multichannel networks (i.e., without using spectrum) is based on the results of open competitions (Art. 25 para. 1). The only exception to the competition requirement applies to digital broadcasting of existing and already licensed terrestrial analogue programmes (see above).

The competition for the MX-4 digital multiplex was the only one held by the National Council as of today. A peculiarity of the competition was the demand for all its participants to pay a "deposit against competition security" in the amount of UAH 65 773 (EUR 9 000). This amount was determined by a decision of the National Council. The law stipulates that it may not exceed ten per cent of the announced maximum rate of the license fee. In this particular case, it amounted to five per cent of the license fee. For the winners of the competition, the deposit was credited towards the total amount of the licence fee payable by them. The deposits of the participants who failed in the competition were remitted to the state budget. The procedure was stipulated in Art. 26 of the Statute of Ukraine On Television and Radio Broadcasting in 2006 for both analogue and digital broadcasting but never applied before in competitions for broadcast licences.

This competition was peculiar in other respects as well. The professional online publication "Telekrytyka" conducted its own investigation in the competition process.⁵⁷ It concluded that the process had not been transparent and that the winners seemed to be front companies for shadow businesses. Much later, in September 2009, when most of the winners had failed to start broadcasting within six months after the competition as required, President Viktor Yushchenko attacked the methods used by the National Council in the licensing of the MX-4 multiplex and called for the annulment of the licences. This call was made at an RNBO meeting specifically devoted to the digital switch-over. On 16 September 2009, the National Council (half the members of which represent the President) reacted to his call by deciding to inspect the TV companies that obtained the MX-4 licences.

Another issue related to the licensing procedure is the provision in the Statute On Television and Radio Broadcasting that a renewal of the licence in connection with the switch-over from analogue to digital broadcasting shall be subject to a charge equal to the licence fee assessed for the granting of a multichannel broadcasting licence (Art. 31 para. 5). As we can see from the competition for the MX-4 multiplex, the fee can be as high as EUR 180 000, which adds an unfair burden for the TV companies that have already recently paid a fee for an analogue licence issued for ten years (a standard licence term in Ukraine).

V. Ownership issues

1. Russia

The Regulation On Licensing of 1994 is the only piece of Russian law to impose specific restrictions on the award of a broadcasting licence. Its Point 13 prevents a legal entity from obtaining "a television and/or a radio broadcasting licence for more than two broadcasting channels covering the same territory, if the zones served overlap completely, or for more than two-thirds of each zone, unless an existing law of the Russian Federation stipulates otherwise". However, this provision contains no further clarification on the use of different bands (AM, FM, SW, MW, etc.) by the same station. It also does not restrict any cross-ownership between broadcasting and the press and therefore it does not achieve its objective. Recently, Roskomnadzor did not follow up on possible violations of Point 13 of the Regulation, which its officials have called an obsolete norm, ready to be abolished.

⁵⁷⁾ See: http://telekritika.ua/cabletv/2008-06-12/39689



A monopoly exists in the telecommunications sector for transmission of terrestrial digital television. It is the above-mentioned RTRS which owns more than 90 per cent of all television transmitters in the country. Established in 2001 by presidential decree as a "state broadcasting company", the network disseminates TV and radio signals throughout Russia and ensures Russia's audiovisual presence abroad. Its director-general is appointed by the President of the Russian Federation. In addition to its current status, the RTRS has been assigned a major role in making the transition to digital television and radio broadcasting.⁵⁸

2. Ukraine

In Ukraine the legal framework for the digital switch-over process has not triggered changes in media ownership restrictions. The Statute *On Television and Radio Broadcasting* is quite liberal in this regard and foresees a principle that one company may have one licence in each market segment (e.g. one national licence, a licence in each of the provinces and each city or town). At the same time, one owner can possess an unlimited number of companies benefiting from broadcast licences. The only limitation is not to control more than 35 per cent of the market, though whether "the market" should be calculated by advertising revenues, number of channels or size of the audience is not clear.⁵⁹

Another ownership-related issue of the digital transition concerns the means of digital terrestrial broadcasting such as towers, transmitters etc. The law is silent about any restrictions on concentration in this context. At the same time, the major role in the switch-over process will belong to the state company "Broadcasting, Radio-communications & Television Concern (BRT Concern)".⁶⁰ The infrastructure of the BRT Concern includes more than 560 towers and masts, more than 12 000 kilometers of microwave links, over 1 350 television transmitters, and, in particular, 24 digital transmitters of DVB-T standard in the city of Kiev and its region, as well as in the Zhitomir and Odessa regions. More than 90 per cent of television transmitters in Ukraine either belong to the BRT Concern or are maintained by it.

Nevertheless, certain functions of multiplex operators and aggregators are to be given to private companies. The government's policy is to rely on huge investment from private businesses, which might mean that telecom operators are either expected to be private or to be privatized in the switch-over process.

3. Vertical restrictions

Note should be taken of the absence of any restrictions in both countries for a TV company to own the multiplex operator or provider which will serve programmes of *inter alia* its competitors.

VI. Practice of the digital switch-over

In **Russia**, there are seven zones of experimental digital terrestrial broadcasting: Yekaterinburg and the Sverdlovsk region surrounding it, the Khanty-Mansy autonomous district, Tatarstan, the Kurgan region, etc. The Sverdlovsk region leads among them with some 60 000 users of digital terrestrial TV.⁶¹

⁵⁸⁾ See: http://www.kremlin.ru/eng/text/news/2008/10/208317.shtml

⁵⁹⁾ Art. 8 of the Statute on Television and Radio Broadcasting as amended on 18 March 2008, see its text in Ukrainian at: http://www.medialaw.kiev.ua/laws/local/6/

⁶⁰⁾ See more on the company (in English) at its website: http://www.rrt.ua/en/concern

⁶¹⁾ See interview of Aleksey Malinin, director-general of RTRS, to Itogi magazine 3 August 2009, No.32, available at: http://www.itogi.ru/hitech/2009/32/142821.html



In **Ukraine**, the start-up of digital terrestrial broadcasting began with a set of experiments in Kiev. The first three licences were obtained in March 2006. In 2008, work began on the implementation of the digitalisation State Programme in Kiev, Zhitomir, the Sumy and the Odessa regions, but the advancement here is behind schedule.

As to the MX-4 multiplex, the winning TV companies (with one exception) failed to start digital broadcasting on time, and thus the multiplex operators were allowed by the National Council to fill the slots with the existing terrestrial broadcasters.

VII. Summary

Both countries advance towards digital terrestrial broadcasting but in a tranquil way. The advent of digital television and other new services and technologies has recently accelerated the elaboration of regulation and related processes. This development could point to future changes that might go beyond merely adjusting the legal framework to digital technology. Whether it will lead to adequate legal regulation and thus the setting of transparent parameters for technical advancement, whose absence has been a major problem so far, remains unclear.

An even bigger problem in Ukraine is the lack of harmonisation among different agencies in the government and the National Council (the regulator which is independent from the Cabinet of Ministers).

The domination of state-run broadcasters and state-run telecom operators is an important feature in Russia. It triggers fears that the places on the first three terrestrial multiplexes may be allocated, without any competitions and public discussions, to incumbent players favoured by government policy.

In both countries, the economic crisis complicates the perspectives for attracting both public and private investments in this segment of the economy. This might cause either the collapse of hundreds of regional broadcasters facing the high cost of the switch-over to digital TV or lead to the preservation of analogue broadcasting in the central areas of Russia and Ukraine. As a result, the pluralistic and manifold programming and information promised in the concepts of digital television may not materialise.



Digital Television on Its Way?

In several member states of the European Audiovisual Observatory the moving to digital television is rather slow. In Bulgaria, for example, constitutional concerns put into question key legal provisions for implementing the digitalisation of television. Ireland had spent considerable time on a very thorough review of practical experience with the new technology and its impact on Irelands future spectrum policy. Finally, the recently passed Broadcasting Act 2009 now regulates the switch over from analogue to digital. Romania only very recently provided a legal framework for starting the transition. The Russian Federal Target Programme already discussed in the lead article has been adopted by the government. Slovakia has awarded a digital television licence to its most watched TV channel but it is unclear, when the licensee will actually start using it.

Looking beyond the members of the European Audiovisual Observatory, we can note that Bosnia-Herzegovina and Serbia are also gearing up for digital television by developing a national strategy for the switch over. Up to date, however, neither of the two countries did report the existence of any digital television services.

The trouble with any publications and in particular one on digital television is that by the time it seems ready for release, most likely new relevant events will have taken place. Hence, the third part of the related reporting-section presents the latest news on Hungary and Greece.

We shall add the further information that Denmark and Norway have switched off their analogue television services (November and December 2009), that Slovakia has launched its first DTT services (December 2009) and that since December 2009 Sweden and Slovenia offer additional multiplexes. For the very latest news on digital television, we invite you to check for the pending release of the Yearbook 2009 (Volume 2: Trends in European Television) and to subscribe to our monthly electronic newsletter "IRIS Legal Observations of the European Audiovisual Observatory". This brand new service is available free-of-charge at: http://merlin.obs.coe.int/newsletter.php



2010-1 p.26

I. Observatory Member States

Bulgaria: Implementation of the Digitalisation of TV Broadcasting

Rayna Nikolova Council for Electronic Media, Sofia

The Act on the Amendment and Supplementation of the Radio and TV Act was promulgated on 20 February 2009 in the State Gazette, issue 14 ("New Law"). The New Law sets out the main principles and rules for digital TV broadcasting in Bulgaria.

According to the New Law the Council for Electronic Media (CEM) is empowered to grant licences for broadcasting regional and national TV and radio programmes. The applications for such licences should be reviewed and evaluated on the basis of the following criteria:

- 1. The original content and variety of the programming;
- 2. The opportunities for the creation of internal productions;
- 3. The degree of readiness and stages for 24-hour broadcasting of the programme;
- 4. Proven experience as a radio and TV operator.

The evaluation shall be carried out by an expert commission comprising three members of the CEM and two members of the Communications Regulation Commission (CRC). The expert commission shall propose to the CEM whether a licence should be issued or refused. The CEM shall decide upon the issuance or refusal of the licence on the basis of the following principles:

- 1. The right of information is ensured;
- 2. Favourable conditions for media variety will be created;
- 3. The national identity is preserved.

The number of licences under the New Law is unlimited. The CEM is obliged to issue the licence within 10 days of its positive decision on granting the licence.

Once the licence is issued the programmes can be broadcast by an enterprise that has been granted a permit for the use of an individual scarce resource - radio frequency spectrum for carrying out electronic communications through terrestrial digital networks for radio transmission by the CRC.

The enterprise that has been granted a permit for the use of individual scarce resource - radio frequency spectrum, shall propose to the CEM the type and profile of the licenced TV programme to be broadcast. The enterprise that has been granted a permit for the transmission of programmes cannot be both a TV and a radio operator at the same time.

The CEM is obliged by operation of law to issue licences for digital terrestrial broadcasting to the public Bulgarian National Television and the two nationwide commercial operators - Balkan News Corporation EAD and Nova Television - First Private Channel EAD, as they meet the following conditions:

- 1. They have already been granted licences for TV activities with national coverage on the basis of previous tenders;
- 2. They transmit their programmes through electronic communication networks for terrestrial analogue radio transmission;
- 3. The electronic communication networks ensure access to their programmes of at least 50% of the country's population.
- Act on the Amendment and Supplementation of the Radio and TV Act, promulgated on 20 February 2009 in the State Gazette, issue 14 ("New Law")

IRIS 2009-4:6



Bulgaria: Changes to the Electronics Communications Act

Rayna Nikolova Council for Electronic Media, Sofia

In March 2009 important amendments to the Electronic Communications Act (ECA) became effective. Some of the changes concern the process of digitalisation, while the remainder concern the licensing of analogue television.

[...]

Para 5 item 2 of the Transitional and Final Provisions of ECA states that: "Until new permits for the utilisation of the individual scarce resource - radio frequency spectrum, for the provision of electronic communications by electronic communications networks for terrestrial digital radio broadcasting with a national coverage under the terms and conditions of this act are issued, the CRC may grant to TV operators registered under the Radio and Television Act permits for the use of available free scarce resource - radio frequency spectrum, which is not allocated according to para. 9a of the Transitional and Final Provisions of the Radio and Television Act".

Most practitioners and commentators are of the opinion that the above-cited provision may lead to an unequal treatment of operators competing on the same market, namely: those who have been granted licences for TV activity and the others who can be given the right to operate within the free scarce resource, which is exclusively owned by the State. The main difference between these two groups is that the first one is obliged to meet certain programme requirements, which are included in their licences, while the second one is not expected to meet any pre-determined criteria concerning their programme content. [...]

Pursuant to para 5 item 3 of the Transitional and Final Provisions of the ECA the permits mentioned above shall be issued in compliance with the rules and procedures adopted by the CRC. [...] Para 5 item 4 of the Transitional and Final Provisions of the ECA stipulates that the permits shall be issued after the CEM has given its positive consent. [...]

• Amendments to the Electronic Communications Act, State Gazette, issue 17 of 6 March 2009

IRIS 2009-5:9

Bulgaria: Decision of the Constitutional Court on Digital Broadcasting

Rayna Nikolova Council for Electronic Media, Sofia

On 4 June 2009 the Bulgarian Constitutional Court decided on a case regarding the constitutionality of some provisions of the Electronic Communications Act (ECA) and the Radio and Television Act. [...]

According to the disputed Article 48, para. 3 of the ECA, an enterprise and its related parties, which has/have obtained a permit for the use of an individually assigned scarce resource, is/are restricted to becoming a radio and television operator or to creating radio or television programmes. In addition, the above-mentioned enterprises and their related parties cannot construct electronic communications networks for broadcasting radio and television programmes (Article 48, para. 5 of the ECA). According to the claim submitted to the Constitutional Court the said prohibition contradicts Article 19, paras. 1, 2 and 3 of the Constitution because it violates the principle of equal



economic initiative and the principle that all Bulgarian and foreign legal entities performing economic activities in the country should enjoy equal rights. [...]

The Constitutional Court decided as follows:

- Article 48, para. 5 of the ECA has been proclaimed unconstitutional and therefore illegal, and

- Paragraph 5a, item 1 (which says:" Within the framework of a single procedure under Article 48 (1) herein, the Communications Regulation Commission shall designate a single undertaking whereto the said Commission shall grant an authorisation for the use of the individually assigned scarce resource - radio spectrum, for the provision of electronic communications over electronic communications networks for digital terrestrial broadcasting within a national range in conformity with the provisions for the First Stage of the Plan for the Introduction of Digital Terrestrial Television Broadcasting (DVB-T) in the Republic of Bulgaria, adopted by the Council of Ministers.") has been proclaimed partially illegal.

The rest of the disputed provisions have been declared compatible with the Constitution and therefore remain in force.

• РЕШЕНИЕ № 3 София, 4 юни 2009 г. по конституционно дело № 3 от 2009 г., съдия докладчик Георги Петканов (Обн., ДВ, бр. 45 от 16.06.2009 г.) (Decision No 3 of 4 June 2009 on Constitutional Case No 3/2009) http://merlin.obs.coe.int/redirect.php?id=11855

IRIS 2009-8:8

Ireland: DTT and Digital Dividend

Marie McGonagle School of Law, National University of Ireland, Galway

In November 2008, the Department of Communications, Energy and Natural Resources published a report on the digital terrestrial television trials conducted in 2006. One of the key decisions from the trials was to use MPEG 4, the technology chosen in most countries.

Under the Broadcasting (Amendment) Act 2007 (see IRIS 2007-4: 16), RTÉ, the public service broadcaster, is required to provide a digital television service offering access to the Irish national channels (RTÉ, TG4 and TV3) on a free-to-air basis. RTÉ received its licence in 2008 and is expected to launch its service on a phased basis from autumn 2009. RTÉ Networks Limited (RTÉNL), a subsidiary of RTÉ, is responsible for building RTÉ's DTT national network and has been operating a test service using MPEG 4. The 2007 Act placed the onus of identifying and licensing commercial DTT service providers on the Broadcasting Commission of Ireland (BCI). In 2008, the BCI awarded a licence to operate the three commercial DTT multiplexes to Boxer DTT Limited, but the latter handed back the licence in April 2009, citing prevailing and anticipated economic circumstances. The licence was then awarded (May 2009) to the OneVision consortium comprising Eircom, TV3, Setanta Sports and Argiva, subject to the successful outcome of contract negotiations.

One of the results of DTT is that it frees up spectrum for use by other communications services. Accordingly, the Commission of Communications Regulation, ComReg, launched a consultation in March 2009 on a new approach to spectrum use. This followed a number of publications, including a Department of Communications paper on the development of a national policy framework for identifying spectrum for the Digital Dividend. A new statutory instrument, S.I. 192 of 2009, also provided for new regulations regarding the licensing of wireless telegraphy for amateur stations and ComReg has published guidelines for applicants. The regulations came into force on 1 June 2009.



- Department of Communications, Energy and Natural Resources, "A report on the Digital Terrestrial Television Trial, Ireland, August 2006 August 2008", November 2008 http://merlin.obs.coe.int/redirect.php?id=11774
- ComReg, "Digital Dividend in Ireland / A new approach to spectrum use in the UHF Band", publication number 09/15 http://merlin.obs.coe.int/redirect.php?id=11775
- Department of Communications, Energy and Natural Resources, "Development of a National Policy Framework for identifying spectrum for the Digital Dividend", March 2009 http://merlin.obs.coe.int/redirect.php?id=11776
- S.I 192 of 2009, Wireless Telegraphy (Amateur Station Licence) Regulations 2009, 25 May 2009, as Annex 1 to ComReg, Amateur Station Licence Guidelines, publication 09/45, 28 May 2009 http://merlin.obs.coe.int/redirect.php?id=11775

IRIS 2009-7:15

Ireland: New Broadcasting Act

Marie McGonagle School of Law, National University of Ireland, Galway

The Broadcasting Act 2009 is a major piece of legislation, which overhauls Irish broadcasting law. It consolidates all previous content-related legislation in a single Act, comprising 185 sections, which are divided into 14 Parts, and two schedules. It sets the regulatory framework for broadcasting services in Ireland. The definitions of terms such as "broadcasting service" are updated (s.2, Part 1). A new regulator, the Broadcasting Authority of Ireland (BAI) is established. It replaces the Broadcasting Commission of Ireland (BCI) and the Broadcasting Complaints Commission (BCC), which becomes the Compliance Committee of BAI (Part 2). BAI is also given a role in respect of various aspects of the operation of the public service broadcasters, RTÉ and TG4. [...]

Part 7 of the Act deals with public service broadcasting, including the allocation of public funding, while Part 8 deals with the switchover from analogue to digital.[...]

The Broadcasting Act 2009 was signed into law on 12 July 2009 and the BAI was established on 1 October 2009.

• Irish Broadcasting Act 2009 http://merlin.obs.coe.int/redirect.php?id=11916

IRIS 2009-10:13

Romania: Digital Strategy

Mariana Stoican Journalist, Bucharest

The Romanian Government recently adopted a strategy for the switchover from analogue to digital terrestrial television and the introduction of digital multimedia services at national level.



Under the strategy, in accordance with Community law provisions, the introduction of digital terrestrial TV at national level and the withdrawal of analogue TV services using UHF frequency bands should be achievable by 1 January 2012. The transition to digital technology should lead to modernisation and harmonisation with other EU Member States. New regulations will also be gradually introduced in order to provide more effective control of the radio spectrum in accordance with the ITU recommendations contained in the final acts of the 2006 Regional Radiocommunication Conference (RRC).

The optimal use of the frequency spectrum using technologies that make DVB-T, DVB-T2 and DVB-H standards as well as the MPEG2 and MPEG4 methods possible, should open up new development opportunities. Regarding the proposed switch-off of analogue signals, all public and private broadcasters of national TV channels, as well as local TV providers, will be obliged to adapt their transmission equipment to the new format. In parallel, new reception devices will need to be produced and sold.

The Government has authorised the *Ministerul Comunicațiilor și Societății Informaționale* (Ministry for Communication and Information Society - MCSI) to issue licences by the end of 2009, with the aim of ensuring that 80% of the country can receive digital terrestrial television.

According to the Government's decision, 7,025,000 households (of a total of 7.5 million) currently receive TV channels primarily via cable and satellite. In Romania, 260 TV and 662 radio broadcasters are currently operating, which represents the second largest market for local broadcasters in central and eastern Europe. The strategy mentions the idea of State support to help poorer members of the population in disadvantaged regions to afford the equipment needed to receive digital television.

In terms of implementing the strategy, the decision allocates individual tasks to the MCSI, the *Ministerul Culturii, Cultelor și Patrimoniului Național* (Ministry of Culture), the *Autoritatea Național pentru Administrare și Reglementare în Comunicații* (National Regulatory Authority for Communication - ANCOM) and the *Consiliul Național al Audiovizualului* (National Council for Electronic Media - CNA).

• Hotărâre pentru aprobarea Strategiei privind tranziția de la televiziunea analogică terestră la cea digitală terestră și implementarea serviciilor multimedia digitale la nivel național (Government decision)

http://merlin.obs.coe.int/redirect.php?id=11860

IRIS 2009-9:17

Romania: New Audiovisual Law Enters into Force

Eugen Cojocariu Radio Romania International

On 10 November 2009, Act no. 333/2009, amending Audiovisual Act no. 504/2002, was promulgated by the President. This enacts the *l'Ordonanța de Urgență nr. 181/2008* (Emergency Government Decree no. 181/2008, OUG 181/2008) which modified the *Legea Audiovizualului nr. 504/2002* (Audiovisual Law 504/2002) (see IRIS 2009-3: 18). The amendments aim at implementing Directive 2007/65/EC into Romanian law (see IRIS 2009-2: 17 and IRIS 2009-3: 18) and set up the general framework inter alia for introducing digital radio and TV services for the public.

[...] [T]he Government is obliged to launch a strategy for the transition from analogue to digital TV, in accordance with European legislation. The amended Act assures the continuity of the



programmes provided to the public, allowing all the holders of analogue licences to keep these licences after the switch off to digital transmission. Romania has to switch completely from analogue to digital TV by 1 January 2012 (see IRIS 2009-9: 17). [...]

- Act no. 333/2009 amending Audiovisual Act no. 504/2002, published on 19 November 2009 (Official Journal no. 790) http://merlin.obs.coe.int/redirect.php?id=11601
- Government strategy for the transition from analogue to digital TV, adopted by Government Decision no. 1213 on 7 October 2009, published in the Official Journal no. 721 on 26 October 2009

IRIS 2010-1:36

Russian Federation: Government Adopts Pre-plan for Digital Switch-over

Andrei Richter Media Law and Policy Centre

On 21 September 2009 Prime Minister Vladimir Putin signed Resolution of the Government of the Russian Federation No. 1349-г *О концепции федеральной целевой программы «Развитие телерадиовещания в Российской Федерации на 2009 - 2015 годы»* (On the Concept of the Federal Target Programme "Development of TV and radio broadcasting in the Russian Federation 2009-2015").

The Federal Target Programme (FTP), which was drafted at the end of 2008, is yet to be approved as such. Meanwhile the Government has adopted certain guidelines for its key features. The Resolution approved the Concept of the FTP and allocated a maximum of RUB 76,366 million from the federal budget for its implementation. The Concept targets 6,500 State-owned telecommunications units to be upgraded for digital broadcasting purposes.

The switch-over will be implemented in stages in five zones from the far eastern to the European part of Russia with special focus on regions bordering foreign countries. The switch-off will take place when more than 90 percent of the households have set-top boxes, which must be purchased individually at the householders' own expense.

Regional branches of the State-run national transmission system RTRS will be responsible for the dissemination of the first multiplex of 8 channels approved by the Decree of the President of the Russian Federation of 24 June 2009 (see IRIS 2009-10: 18). They will be allowed to place local informational inserts in federal programmes of the first multiplex. They will also serve as the basis for the hubs assigned with the task of shaping the line-up of the second and third multiplexes of digital TV with the inclusion in them of local programmes of their choice. The hubs will be federal property and be part of a system to implement general State policy in broadcasting.

The Concept provides that the 2nd and the 3rd multiplexes will be for free for consumers of terrestrial television, established with funding from both the federal budget and businesses. Further multiplexes will be funded without support from the federal budget.

Implementation of the FTP will make it possible for that part of the population with access to terrestrial broadcasting to view as many as 20 to 24 TV channels. In addition there will be up to 3 HDTV channels and up to 10 channels for digital mobile TV in major cities.

The Ministry of Communications and Mass Communications is entrusted with drafting the final text of the FTP and submitting it for the approval of the Government.



• Распоряжение Правительства РФ N 1349-р «О концепции федеральной целевой программы «Развитие телерадиовещания в Российской Федерации на 2009 - 2015 годы» (Resolution of the Government of the Russian Federation No. 1349-r On the Concept of the Federal Target Programme "Development of TV and radio broadcasting in the Russian Federation 2009-2015")

IRIS 2009-10:18

Slovakia: TV Markíza Receives Digital Licence and Slovak TV Faces Crisis

Jana Markechova Markechova Law Office, Bratislava

The most watched TV channel in Slovakia, TV Markíza, is planning to launch its second channel. On 16 December 2008 the Board for Broadcasting and Retransmission granted TV Markiza a digital licence for a new channel which will focus on housewives and will be called "TV Doma". TV Markíza did not specify when the new station will start broadcasting. However, TV Markiza must start broadcasting within 24 months from the day of receiving the licence.

[...]

IRIS 2009-4:Extra

II. Other Countries

Bosnia-Herzegovina: Switchover to Digital Broadcasting on the Agenda

Dusan Babic Media researcher and analyst, Sarajevo

The Digital Terrestrial Television (DTT) Forum, as an *ad hoc* body working under the auspices of the Communications Regulatory Agency (RAK), was entrusted with preparing a comprehensive plan for the transition from analogue to digital terrestrial television in Bosnia and Herzegovina (see IRIS 2008-5: 3). This switchover undertaking relates to frequency bands 174-230 MHz and 470-862 MHz in the country.

The transition is a very complex process and represents a real challenge for even any advanced State. Many factors have to be considered, among others the size of the media market, technical preconditions, such as the accessibility of cable or satellite television, the distribution of digital TV-receivers and financial modalities.

The Strategy for the Transition to Digital Terrestrial Television which was recently developed is the framework for the introduction of DTT in Bosnia and Herzegovina, also providing guidelines for the work of competent institutions in this field, including the duties to inform stakeholders in the communications sector, as well as to familiarise citizens with the benefits digitalisation is offering to them: *inter alia* a better picture, better sound and the availability of many more channels.

In early January this year, a draft version of the Strategy was formally opened for public consultation. The closing date for the submission of comments, recommendations and suggestions



was set for 14 February 2009. After the public consultations the proposal of the document is to be submitted to the Council of Ministers of Bosnia and Herzegovina for adoption.

The complete switchover to DTT in Europe should take place no later than the year 2012.

• Draft Strategy for the Transition to Digital Terrestrial Television http://merlin.obs.coe.int/redirect.php?id=10734

IRIS 2009-3:4

Serbia: Digitalisation Strategy Adopted

Miloš Živković Belgrade University School of Law and Živković Samardžić Law Offices

At its session held on 2 July 2009 the Government of the Republic of Serbia adopted the Strategy and Action Plan for the Transfer from Analogue to Digital Broadcasting ("Digitalisation Strategy"). The Strategy has been prepared by the Ministry of Telecommunications and Information Society. The switch-off date for analogue broadcasting has been set for 4 April 2012, the selected compression method is MPEG-4 and the selected standard for digital TV broadcasting is DVB-T2.

The Strategy underlined a number of open issues, such as the manner and the proceedings for the choice of the digital broadcasting network operator, the manner of multiplex management and the tender conditions for future operators, the manner and procedure for issuing licences for programme contents, the amount of fees for content licences, the protection of competition on the digital TV market, the rights and obligations of public service broadcasters in the digitalisation process, as well as the conditions for distribution and use of the digital dividend.

During the public discussion about the draft prepared by the ministry, the existing commercial broadcasters succeeded in having the following items included in the Strategy: a place within the multiplexes shall be guaranteed only to broadcasters having valid licences at the time of the analogue switch-off; the application of equal, non-discriminatory conditions relating to quality, availability and fees for all broadcasters shall be guaranteed by the future network operator, whereas the fee amount shall be based upon the cost-covering principle; the recognition of the rights and the market positions of the existing broadcasters shall be guaranteed; a special simulcast fee shall not be introduced; the maintaining of the same service zones as provided by the existing broadcasting licences and the same data flow for all programmes within a multiplex are guaranteed.

IRIS 2009-8:17



2010-1 p.34

III. Latest News

Hungary: DTT/DAB Service Provider Fined

Mark Lengyel Attorney at law

In a decision of 15 October 2009 *Nemzeti Hírközlési Hatóság* (Hungarian National Communications Authority – NHH) imposed a fine of HUF 40 million (approx. EUR 150,000) on the provider of national DTT and DAB services Antenna Hungária Zrt, AH. The decision was made on the basis of the assessment of AH's compliance with the terms of its DTT/DAB licence agreements.

AH concluded the licence agreements on providing DTT and DAB services with the NHH at the end of 2008 (see IRIS 2008-9: 14). By these agreements AH undertook a number of obligations beyond paying licence fees. Such obligations are for example:

- to reach pre-defined percentages of DTT and DAB network coverage in accordance with a schedule fixed by the relevant licence agreement;
- to play an active role in consumer information campaigns;
- to be actively involved in the distribution of set-top boxes;
- to introduce two new national free-to-air television channels as a part of the DTT offer.

Following the launch of DTT and DAB services the NHH first assessed the compliance of AH with the conditions defined in the licence agreements in April this year. The assessment led to the conclusion that AH was behind schedule in fulfilling many of its commitments listed above. However, at that time NHH only warned AH to comply with the licence agreements and did not impose any other sanction.

In autumn NHH conducted a new round of assessment. This revealed, inter alia, that:

- AH has not introduced the expected two new national free-to-air television channels yet;
- AH has not introduced a proper scheme to make available set-top boxes for consumers on easy terms;
- the website launched by AH providing consumer information related to the digital switchover does not comply fully with the criteria described in the licence agreement.

Given that the revealed shortcomings are related to the key success factors of digital switchover (namely to attractive content on digital platforms and awareness among consumers) the Board of the NHH decided to impose a fine on the DTT service provider for breaching its material obligations as defined in the licence agreements.

• Decision of the NHH no. HB/4066-48/2009 http://merlin.obs.coe.int/redirect.php?id=12106

IRIS 2010-1:28

Grece: The Transition Process to Digital Terrestrial Television in Motion in Greece

Alexandros Economou National Council for Radio and Television

The first digital terrestrial transmission in Greece of private television channels of national reach through the digital network provider Digea took place on 24 September 2009 in an area of the North


Peloponnese, while current planning envisages the immediate launch of transmissions in big, urban centres as well. Greece has thus officially entered the period of digital transition envisaged in the ministerial decision, published in August 2008, which determined the frequencies on which the existing television stations can digitally transmit their analogue programme. On the institutional level, these stations have already received the necessary licence from the $E\theta v \iota \kappa \delta \Sigma u \beta o \delta \iota o P \alpha \delta \iota o \tau \eta \lambda \epsilon \delta \rho \alpha \sigma \eta \zeta$ (National Council for Radio and Television – EXP) for the digital simulcasting of their analogue programming in January 2009, while 42 stations of regional reach have also been issued the same licence. Across the country, two digital frequency bands of the public service broadcaster $E\lambda\lambda\eta v\iota\kappa\dot{\eta} P\alpha\delta\iota o\phi\omega vi\alpha T\eta\lambda\epsilon\delta\rho\alpha\sigma\eta$ (Greek Radio and Television – EPT) have already been in operation since 2006, on which the existing four analogue channels are rebroadcast and three digital channels broadcast. However, the technical method for the encoding of the signal of the private channels of national reach is MPEG-4, while public service television has chosen the MPEG-2 system, a fact that inhibits the dissemination of the new method of transmission among consumers.

On the legislative level, a delay has occurred in relation to the publication of the Presidential Decree with which, according to the recent Law 3592/2007, the process for the issuance of licences for digital terrestrial television (DTT) will be decided, while the frequencies that will be used for this purpose have not yet been determined. The progress of DTT is meeting with obstacles in the face of the absence of central planning and of a strict timeframe, while the general coordination of the frequencies is also hindered by the fact that not all television stations have a permit. The new political leadership of the Ministries of Internal Affairs and of Transport and Communications, who took office after the recent parliamentary elections in Greece on 4 October 2009, are now called upon to provide immediate answers to these problems.

 Απόφαση Αριθμ. 604/20.11.2008 του Εθνικού Συμβουλίου Ραδιοτηλεόρασης (Decision No. 604/20.11.2008 of the National Council for Radio and Television) http://merlin.obs.coe.int/redirect.php?id=12107

IRIS 2010-1:27



Advancing in Europe

Florence Hartmann and Deirdre Kevin, European Audiovisual Observatory

The two tables below provide an overview of the digitisation of European households (regarding the countries who are members of the European Audiovisual Observatory).

The first table provides data on the digitisation of households on a country-by-country basis and a breakdown of household reception equipment by types of digital platform:

There are significant differences between countries where, for example, at the end of 2008 only 9.5% of Russian households and 15% of Latvian households were digital, compared with 100% in Finland or Luxembourg. However, in most countries the proportion of digital households was between one third and two thirds: 57.8% in Germany, 55.2% in Italy and 42.2% in Poland. These figures, which date from late 2008, have naturally increased during 2009.

The first columns in the table show the digitisation of households by types of platform (cable, satellite, DTT and IPTV), which allows for a comparison of countries regarding the progress of digitisation of the terrestrial networks. For example, the United Kingdom had 17.7 million DTT households in December 2008, while in France the figure was over 13 million. However, in fifteen other countries the figures were far lower, with the number of households receiving a DTT signal still either zero or marginal.

Above all, these data allow for a distinction between countries according to the types of transmission platforms employed for their digitisation. Alongside the transition to digital terrestrial television, the cable networks and satellite platforms also need to make a gradual switchover to digital. It is for this reason that the digitisation of television households in the Netherlands was still only partially complete at the end of 2008 (46%) even though the country began switching off its analogue signals in 2006. The Dutch cable networks, which supply nearly four out of five households, have a low level of digitisation. Finally, the table shows the level of households with ADSL television services (IPTV), which has played a particularly dynamic role in the digitisation of households in some European countries, especially France or Slovenia, where these services were received by more than 20% of households.

The figures in this table originate either from Screen Digest or were calculated by the European Audiovisual Observatory.

The second table focuses on the digital terrestrial television (DTT) rollout in Europe:

The first columns show the launch dates of the various DTT services, the main stages of the regional switchovers (in most countries, especially the larger ones, this is being, or has been, carried out on a regional basis) and the date of the full switchoff of terrestrial analogue transmissions in each country. This information shows that terrestrial analogue transmissions have



already been switched off in eight countries (Denmark, Finland, Germany, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, Sweden and Switzerland) while DTT is yet to be launched in five others (Bulgaria, Cyprus, Ireland, Romania and Turkey).Hence, 30 of the 35 countries under consideration here had launched digital terrestrial transmissions by the end of 2009.

The table then outlines the business and technical models chosen by the various countries. Is DTT free of charge or subject to payment? A free-to-air service is offered in virtually all countries (with the exception of Albania and Malta) but additional pay-TV platforms have been set up in just only 18 of the 30 countries with DTT broadcasting. How many multiplexes are in service and/or planned? Here, too, the situation varies, with just one multiplex in Luxembourg and as many as ten in Italy, and the differences are all the more pronounced as in many countries not all of the planned multiplexes have so far been launched (in Croatia, Poland or Portugal, for example). Who operates these multiplexes and/or distributes the channel packages? In some countries, one company operates the network and distributes the channels, as in the case of Hungary (Antenna Hungaria) or Portugal (Portugal Telecom), but many other models exist side by side. In France, for example, each multiplex is operated by a different company, and these companies are distinct from the packagers that market the pay-DTT offerings. In Spain, the multiplexes are operated on a regional basis.

There is a further crucial question from a technical point of view, namely the choice of encoding standards. The MPEG-2 standard requires less sophisticated equipment, but the more recent MPEG-4 standard enables HD signals to be transmitted. The MPEG-2 standard is used in nine countries, which are among the first to have launched DTT services and most of which are, incidentally, now thinking of moving to the MPEG-4 standard. Sixteen countries have from the outset opted for this standard. Mixed models combining the two standards are being rolled out in eight countries (MPEG-2 is usually used for free-to-air transmissions and MPEG-4 for pay-TV and HD).

These different time-based, economic and technical factors explain why European television viewers had access to a varying number of DTT channels at the end of 2009. While only three DTT channels are currently available in Slovakia, more than 60 can be received in Italy and 55 in Lithuania. An average of 26 national channels are now available to viewers via terrestrial signals, and this number rises considerably if one takes account of the many local DTT channels offered in some countries, such as in Denmark where there are nearly 200.

It should be noted that on-demand DTT services are only available in the United Kingdom, where Top Up TV Ltd, which began life as a distributor of pay-TV channels, now focuses on the distribution of on-demand services.

The data here have mainly been collected with the help of regulatory authority publications, websites, press releases from companies operating on the DTT market and the work of consortiums set up to promote and monitor the deployment of DTT (such as DVB Project or DigiTAG). Detailed line-ups of multiplex operators and pay-TV package providers can be found in the MAVISE database (http://mavise.obs.coe.int), which is maintained by the European Audiovisual Observatory for the European Commission's DG Communication.



Digital TV reception in Europe (as at 31.12.2008) TV households in thousand

Country	Number	of digital TV hou	seholds (HH)		Total digital	Total TV HH	Digital TV HH /
	Cable	Satellite (DTH)	Digital terrestrial	DSL (IPTV)	TV HH (est.)		Total TV HH
AL - Albania	n.a.	n.a.	100	n.a.	186	701	26,5%
AT - Austria	440	1 325	1 000	64	1 926	3 398	56,7%
BE - Belgium	898	73	64	441	1 476	4 506	32,8%
BG - Bulgaria	180	415	25	1	621	2 738	22,7%
CH - Switzerland	510	472	164	120	1 266	3 127	40,5%
CY - Cyprus	5	22	0	56	83	270	30,7%
CZ - Czech Republic	310	494	590	147	1 541	4 198	36,7%
DE - Germany	3 326	11 673	4 130	438	21 608	37 412	57,8%
DK - Denmark	167	410	655	84	1 316	2 443	53,9%
EE - Estonia	15	48	46	75	184	532	34,6%
ES - Spain	1 112	2 035	7 207	708	11 062	16 700	66,2%
FI - Finland	1 323	84	1 390	15	2 379	2 379	100,0%
FR - France	1 641	4 859	13 005	6 376	17 070	25 903	65,9%
GB - United Kingdom	3 630	8 665	17 700	463	23 117	25 500	90,7%
GR - Greece	0	400	450	77	925	4 191	22,1%
HR - Croatia	73	110	0	135	318	1 578	20,2%
HU - Hungary	182	596	10	33	821	3 686	22,3%
IE - Ireland	537	573	0	23	912	1 546	59,0%
IS - Iceland	12	11	0	0	70	117	59,8%
IT - Italy	0	4 700	8 100	587	13 387	24 258	55,2%
LI - Liechstenstein	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.



(1) As at 31.12.2007

Source: Screen Digest / European Audiovisual Observatory Yearbook Online Premium Service 2009



Implementation of digital terrestrial

Country	Service Launch	Regional ASO	ASO Date	Business Model	Number of multiplexes
Switch-off compl	ete				
CH - Switzerland	2001	Completed February 2008	2008	FTA + PayTV	6
DE - Germany	2002	Completed November 2008	2008	FTA + PayTV	4 initially
DK - Denmark	2006	Completed November 2009	2009	FTA + PayTV	5 (2 FTA and 3 payTV)
FI - Finland	2001	Completed September 2007	2007	FTA + PayTV	5 currently (of which one is for mobile TV) + 2 should be launched in 2010 for HDTV
LU - Luxembourg	2006	Completed September 2006	2006	FTA	1
NL - Netherlands	2003	Completed December 2006	2006	FTA + PayTV	5/6 planned
NO - Norway	2007	Completed December 2009	2009	FTA + PayTV	3 currently / 5 planned
SE - Sweden	1999	Completed October 2007	2007	FTA + PayTV	6 (Mux 1-4 cover >98%, Mux 5 = 70%, MUX 6 = 60%)
Switch-off 2010				1	
AT - Austria	2006	ASO in 11 of 16 regions	2010	FTA	4 currently (2 national, 1 regional, 1 for mobile services) / 6 planned
EE - Estonia	2006	Ruhnu March 2008	2010	FTA + PayTV	3 (1 FTA and 2 payTV)
ES - Spain	2000	3 phases from June 2009	2010	FTA + PayTV	5 national + 2/3 regional + 3 other national planned after ASO in April 2010
IS - Iceland	2005		2010	FTA	2 currently / 5 planned
MT - Malta	2005		2010	PayTV (FTA launch 2010)	2 currently
SI - Slovenia	2006		2010	FTA	2 currently / 6 planned

FTA : Free-to-air / ASO : Analogue Switch Off



television in Europe (end 2009)

Multiplex operators and/or DTT packagers	Video/Audio	Number of channels available
3: SRG-SSR idée suisse, Teleraetia, Valaiscom	MPEG-2	4 per linguistic area (except in the German speaking region: 5 channels)
11: Media Broadcast and 9 ARD regional stations, Eutelsat Visavision	MPEG-2; MPEG-4 for PayTV	37 (Berlin)
2: Digi TV (free DTT, the company is owned by DR and TV 2), Boxer (pay DTT)	MPEG-2; MPEG-4 for PayTV and HDTV	38 + 196 local stations
3: Digita (multiplex operator) / Digi TV Plus and Canal Digital Finland (Pay DTT packagers)	MPEG-2; MPEG-4 planned for HDTV	33
1: CLT-UFA (RTL group)	MPEG-2	12
2: KPN, EDPnet	MPEG-2	41
2: Norges Televisjon - NTV (multiplex operator), Riks TV (pay DTT packager)	MPEG-4, AAC+	24 + 25 local stations
2: Teracom (Multiplex operator), Boxer TV-Access (Pay-TV packager, owned by Teracom)	MPEG-2; MPEG-4 AVC for the 6th Mux (launched December 2009)	34
14: 1 national (ORS) and 13 operators for 16 regional areas	MPEG-2	6 + 16 local stations
2: Levira (free DTT), Starman (Zuum TV, pay DTT)	MPEG-4 AVC	49
>5: Grup Abertis(Retevision), Axion, Itelazpi, Teledifusion Madrid, Retegal etc	MPEG-2	31 (Madrid)
1: Vodafone (Dagsbrún group)	MPEG-2	19
1: Go		64
2: RTVSL0, Norkring	MPEG-4 AVC	3 (RTVSLO)



Country	Service Launch	Regional ASO	ASO Date	Business Model	Number of multiplexes
Gradual Switch-o	ff to 2012				
AL - Albania	2003		2012	PayTV (operates without licence)	4 currently / 7 planned
BE - Belgium	2002	Flanders completed November 2008	2011 (Walloon)	FTA	Flanders : 1 currently / 6 planned ; Walloon : 1 currently
CZ - Czech Republic	2005	Susice-Svatobor and Chomutov-Jedlová 2008; Plzen and Prague 2009.	2011	FTA	4 + a 5th likely for mobile services
FR - France	2005	Coulommiers February 2009 ; Cherbourg November 2009	2011	FTA + PayTV	6
GB - United Kingdom	1998	Border 2008, West Country, HTV Wales, Granada 2009	2012	FTA + PayTV	6
GR - Greece	2006		2012	FTA	2 currently
HR - Croatia	2007		2011	FTA	1 currently (2 more tendered February 2009)
IT - Italy	2003	Sardinia, 2008; Bolzano, Campania, Lazio, Trento, Valle d'Aosta, Western Piedmont, 2009	2012	FTA + PayTV	10
LT - Lithuania	2008		2012	FTA + PayTV	4
Recently launche	d				
HU - Hungary	2008		2011	FTA + PayTV	6 (of which one is for mobile TV).
LV - Latvia	2009		2012	FTA + PayTV	6
MK - "The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"	2009			FTA + PayTV	4
PL - Poland	2009		2013	FTA	1 currently / 5 planned
PT - Portugal	2009		2011	FTA (payTV to be launched)	1 currently / 6 planned
RU - Russian Federation	2009		2015	FTA	1 currently / 3 planned
SK - Slovak Republic	2009		2012	FTA (payTV to be launched)	1 currently / 3 planned

FTA : Free-to-air / ASO : Analogue Switch Off



Multiplex operators and/or DTT packagers	Video/Audio	Number of channels available
1: DigitAlb (Top Media group) runs 4 multiplexes without license	MPEG-4 AVC	40
2: Norkring Belgie (51% owned by the VRT), RTBF	MPEG-2	Flanders: 3 / Walloon: 11 (which includes 7 linguistic versions of Euronews)
2: Czech Digital Group, Radiocumikace	MPEG-2 and one MUX with MPEG-4 AVC	12
10:6 multiplex operators + 4 pay-DTT packagers (Canal+ Distribution/Canalsat, FNAC/LePackTV, Vest@vision/TNTop and TV Numeric)	MPEG-2; MPEG-4 for PayTV and HDTV	29 + 34 local stations
7: 5 multiplex operators + 2 packagers (DTV Services/Freeview and Top-Up TV)	MPEG-2	48 (Freeview)
2: ERT, Digea	MPEG-2 for public Mux; MPEG-4 for commercial platform	14
1: Odašiljači Veze	MPEG-4 AVC	5
9: Dahlia TV, Elettronica Industriale, Prima TV, Profit Group, Rai Way, Rete 7, Rete A, Telecom Italia Media Broadcasting, Tivu	MPEG-2	31 (Free DTT) + ungefähr 30 (pay-per-view/ premium DTT)
1: Teo (Teliasonera group)	MPEG-4 AVC	55
1: Antenna Hungaria (1 free platform and 1 pay package)	MPEG-4 AVC	11
1: Lattelecom (TeliaSonera)	MPEG-4 AVC	41
1: On.net (Telekom Slovenije group)	MPEG-4 AVC	42
1: TP Emitel	MPEG-4 AVC	7
1: Portugal Telecom	MPEG-4 AVC	4
	MPEG-4 AVC	8
1: Towercom	MPEG-2; MPEG-4 planned for payTV	5



Country	Service Launch	Regional ASO	ASO Date	Business Model	Number of multiplexes
To be launched					
BG - Bulgaria	2010		2012	(FTA + PayTV planned)	(5 planned)
CY - Cyprus	2010		2011	(FTA + PayTV planned)	(6 planned :1 FTA / 5 payTV)
IE - Ireland	2010		2012	(FTA + PayTV planned)	(6 planned)
RO - Romania	2010		2012		
TR - Turkey					

FTA : Free-to-air / ASO : Analogue Switch Off

Source : DVB Project Office / OBS



Multiplex operators and/or DTT packagers	Video/Audio	Number of channels available
(In 2009 Towercom was attributed the licence for 2 FTA Mux and Hannu Pro was awarded the licence for the 3 remaining Mux (PayTV))	MPEG-4 AVC	
(The Directorate of Electronic Communications in the Ministry of Communications and Works is preparing a tender for the commercial DTT platform in early 2010)	MPEG-4 AVC	
(Licence awarded to One Vision)	MPEG-4 AVC	
2: Radiocommunicatii, Romkatel	MPEG-4 AVC	
(Anten A.S.)		

OBSERVATOIRE EUROPÉEN DE L'AUDIOVISUEL EUROPEAN AUDIOVISUAL OBSERVATORY EUROPÄISCHE AUDIOVISUELLE INFORMATIONSSTELLE

Information services for the audiovisual sector

It is the task of the European Audiovisual Observatory to improve transparency in the audiovisual sector in Europe. It does this by collecting, processing and publishing up-to-date information about the various industries concerned.

The Observatory has adopted a pragmatic definition of the audiovisual sector in which it works. Its principal areas of interest are film, television, video/DVD, new audiovisual media services and public policy on film and television. In these five areas, the Observatory provides information in the legal field as well as information about the markets and financing. As far as its geographical scope is concerned, the Observatory monitors, records and analyses developments in its member states. In addition, data on non-European countries is also made available when judged appropriate. The various stages involved in providing information include the systematic collection and processing of data as well as its final distribution to our users in the form of print publications, information on-line, databases and directories, and our contributions to conferences and workshops. The Observatory's work draws extensively on international and national information sources and their contributions of relevant information. The Observatory Information Network was established for this purpose. It is composed of partner organisations and institutions, professional information suppliers and selected correspondents. The Observatory's primary target groups are professionals working within the audiovisual sector: producers, distributors, exhibitors, broadcasters and other media service providers, international organisations in this field, decision-makers within the various public bodies responsible for the media, national and European legislators, journalists, researchers, lawyers, investors and consultants.

The European Audiovisual Observatory was established in December 1992 and is part of the Council of Europe thanks to its status as a "partial and enlarged agreement". Its offices are in Strasbourg, France. The Observatory's membership currently comprises 36 European States and the European Community, which is represented by the European Commission. Each member appoints one representative to its board, the Executive Council. An Executive Director heads the international Observatory team.

The Observatory's products and services are divided into four groups:

- Print Publications
- Information on-line
- Databases and Directories
- Conferences and workshops

European Audiovisual Observatory

76 Allée de la Robertsau – F-67000 Strasbourg – France Tel: +33 (0) 3 90 21 60 00 – Fax: +33 (0) 3 90 21 60 19 www.obs.coe.int – E-mail: obs@obs.coe.int



Legal Information Services from the European Audiovisual Observatory

	Order: • online at http://www.obs.coe.int/about/order • by email: orders-obs@coe.int • by fax: +33 (0) 3 90 21 60 19
IRIS plus A legal hot topic examined from different angles	Legal, technological or economic developments in the audiovisual sector generate immediate priority information needs for professionals. IRIS <i>plus</i> identifies these issues and provides the relevant legal background. It features a combination of a lead article, related reporting and a <i>Zoom</i> section, comprising overview tables, market data or practical information. This brand new format provides you with the knowledge to follow and join in the latest and most relevant discussions concerning the audiovisual sector. For more information, please contact: Markus.Booms@coe.int
IRIS Merlin Database on legal information relevant to the audiovisual sector in Europe	The IRIS Merlin database enables you to access nearly 5,000 articles reporting on legal events of relevance to the audiovisual industry. These articles describe relevant laws, decisions of various courts and administrative authorities, and policy documents from over 50 countries. They also report on legal instruments, decisions and policy documents of major European and international institutions. Free access at: http://merlin.obs.coe.int
IRIS Newsletter Legal Observations of the European Audiovisual Observatory	The IRIS Newsletter is an invaluable, highly topical and very reliable information service covering all legal developments in Europe relating to the audiovisual sector. IRIS covers all areas of law of importance to the audiovisual sector. The main emphasis of the IRIS articles is on legal developments in the fifty or so countries that make up greater Europe. IRIS reports on media legislation in the broadest sense, as well as major developments in case law, important administrative decisions, and policy decisions with potential effects on law. For more see: http://www.obs.coe.int/oea_publ/iris/index.html
IRIS Special Comprehensive factual information coupled with in-depth analysis	The themes chosen for our IRIS <i>Special</i> publications are all topical issues in media law, which we explore from a legal perspective. IRIS <i>Special</i> publications offer detailed surveys of relevant national legislation facilitating the comparison of the legal frameworks in different countries, they identify and analyse highly relevant issues and outline the European or international legal context that influences national legislation. IRIS <i>Special</i> publications explore their legal themes in an extremely accessible way. You don't have to be a lawyer to read them! Every edition combines a high level of practical relevance with academic rigour.



OBSERVATOIRE EUROPÉEN DE L'AUDIOVISUEL EUROPEAN AUDIOVISUAL OBSERVATORY EUROPÄISCHE AUDIOVISUELLE INFORMATIONSSTELLE IRIS *plus* 2010-1 Digital Television 24,50 € - ISBN 978-92-871-6792-7