



Strasbourg, 7 November 2008

RL(2008)8

**European Network for the exchange of information between
persons and entities responsible for the training of judges and
public prosecutors**

LISBON NETWORK

Website of the Lisbon Network: www.coe.int/lisbon-network

10th plenary meeting

Palais de l'Europe, Strasbourg, France, 30 and 31 October 2008

“ Training of judges and prosecutors in media and the judiciary”

MEETING REPORT

Secretariat memorandum, prepared by the
Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs

DECISIONS TAKEN BY THE LISBON NETWORK

The Lisbon Network:

- i. **took note** of:
 - the information given by its Chair who represented the Lisbon Network at a meeting with the Secretary General of the European Judicial Training Network (EJTN), Mr Victor Hall, which took place in Paris on 20 May 2008 with the aim to define cooperation priorities between the two networks and at the General Assembly of the EJTN which took place in Ljubljana, Slovenia on 24 – 25 June 2008;
 - the information given by its Chair on the upcoming events where the Lisbon Network will be represented, notably at the regional Conference on the topic “Justice and Rule of Law in the South Caucasus – achievements and challenges” to be held in Tbilisi, Georgia on 19 – 20 November 2008 and at the sub-group meeting of the Justice Forum on training to be held in Brussels on 11 November 2008;
- ii. **took note** of the information given by the Secretariat concerning its participation at an expert meeting on the future of the judicial training organised by the EJTN in Brussels on 4 February 2008 and at THEMIS competition organised by the National Institute of Magistracy of Romania on 22 to 26 September 2008 in Bucharest, Romania;
- iii. unanimously **decided** to grant Observer member status within the Lisbon Network to the Institute of Judicial Studies of Lebanon;
- iv. **took note** of the information given by the members on recent domestic developments regarding judicial training and institutional developments of entities responsible for the training of judges and prosecutors and **invited its members** to forward to the Secretariat written information and useful references which could be included into the area "country profiles" of its web site;
- v. **took note** of the information given by the Secretariat of the DG-HL on current cooperation activities in the field of judicial training and human rights;
- vi. **invited** the CCJE to consider in its Opinion No7 (2005) on “Justice and society” to encourage to set up appropriate training on communication and relations with the media in the law schools and training institutions for judges and prosecutors;
- vii. **took note** of the information provided by the rapporteurs on the topic of the study sessions, notably “Training of judges and prosecutors in media and the judiciary “ and “The role of the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights and in particular Article 10 of the Convention” and **invited** the training institutions in the member states to take it into account within the framework of the training curricula and to ensure a wide dissemination of the information among their colleagues and the other relevant institutions in their countries ;
- viii. **took note** of the information on the activities of the Consultative Council of European Prosecutors, the Consultative Council of European Judges (CCJE) and the European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ) and **agreed** to pursue an appropriate cooperation with these bodies to ensure the coherence between their respective activities and facilitate the synergies, where

appropriate;

- ix. **approved** the document on the Minimum Corpus of the Council of Europe standards (Document RL-BU (2008)2) and **encouraged** training institutions to use this Corpus as a basis for the training of judges and prosecutors on the European norms;
 - it also **entrusted** the Secretariat to regularly update the Minimum Corpus of the Council of Europe standards and to publish it on the Web site of the Lisbon Network;
- x. **approved** the information document on cooperation modalities between the Lisbon Network and the European Judicial Training Network (Document RL(2008)1) and **encouraged** the two networks to increase their concrete cooperation and develop complementarities;
- xi. **took note** of the information provided by the Secretariat on the website of the Lisbon Network.
- xii. **elected** the National Institute of Magistracy of Romania and the Academy for Training of Judges and Prosecutors of the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia members of the Bureau of the Lisbon Network;
- xiii. **proposed** that its next plenary meeting be held on 29 – 30 October 2009, and the meeting of its Bureau February/March 2009 such dates having to be further confirmed by the Secretariat.
- xiv. **proposed** the following topics for the next plenary meeting: 1. Operational functioning of the judicial training institutions for judges and prosecutors – from theory to practice in the light of the CCJE Opinion No4(2003); 2. E-learning; 3. Means to access the information in foreign language in the light of the CCJE Opinion No9(2006), these topics having to be further discussed by the Bureau in view of choosing one to be developed at the plenary meeting in 2009.

1. The European Network for the exchange of information between persons and entities responsible for the training of judges and public prosecutors (**Lisbon Network**) held its tenth plenary meeting at the Palais de l'Europe in Strasbourg on 30 and 31 October 2008 under the Chairmanship of Mrs Iryna VOYTYUK (Ukraine) elected by the Bureau at its 7th meeting which took place on 14 March 2008.
2. The agenda and the list of participants are appended to this report.
3. The meeting was opened by Mr Stéphane Leyenberger, Secretary to the European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ), Deputy chief of the Justice Division who stressed the importance of the judicial training in the member States. The Council of Europe pays particular attention to this subject also within the framework of the activity of other intergovernmental committees such as the Consultative Council of European Judges (CCJE) and the Consultative Council of European Prosecutors (CCPE) who are planning to write a joint Opinion in the course of 2009 on the relations between judges and prosecutors and where judicial training will have an important role to play.
4. Mr Leyenberger also mentioned the importance by the magistrates to be accounted with the of CCJE Opinion No7(2005) on "Justice and society" with a view to improve the relations of the courts with the public and in particular those involved in court proceedings and the media. Mr Leyenberger also suggested that the CCJE consider in its Opinion No7 (2005) on "Justice and society", paragraph 38, to encourage to set up appropriate training on communication and relations with the media in the law schools and training institutions for judges and prosecutors and for the schools of journalism to continue to set up courses on judicial institutions and procedures.
5. Mrs Iryna Voytyuk, in her opening remarks thanked the **Bureau** members for the trust they had placed in her by electing her, which offered an opportunity for the Ukrainian Academy of Justice to become more closely involved in the network's activities.
6. Mrs Voytyuk also welcomed two new members of the Lisbon Network, namely the Prosecutors' School of the Republic of Armenia and the Academy of Prosecution of Ukraine and wished them all success in their work.

Information from the Chair of the Network and the Secretariat

7. The Chair informed the members about the meeting she had with the Secretary General of the European Judicial Training Network (EJTN), Mr Victor Hall in Paris, on 20 May 2008. The aim of the meeting was to define cooperation priorities with the newly elected Secretary General of the EJTN, notably in the light of the discussions held in the Lisbon Network Bureau at its last meeting (14 March 2008). The outcome of the meeting was positive as it was agreed that cooperation should be concrete and aimed at developing complementarities between the two networks.
8. The Chair also represented the Lisbon Network at the General Assembly of the EJTN which took place in Ljubljana, Slovenia on 24 – 25 June 2008. The meeting was a good opportunity to get acquainted with the developments within the framework of various working groups of the EJTN, *inter alia*, the development of the IT working group, the reinforcement of the exchange programmes for the upcoming year, the organisation of the civil justice forum.
9. At the request of the Secretariat the Chair of the Lisbon Network will take part in a regional Conference to be held in Tbilisi, Georgia, on 19 – 20 November 2008. The topic of the

Conference is “Justice and Rule of Law in the South Caucasus – achievements and challenges for the judicial professions”, organised within the framework of the Swedish Chairmanship of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe. The target groups are high level representatives from the Parliaments, Ministries of Justice, Judicial Training Institutes, Courts and civil society from the three South Caucasus countries: Armenia, Azerbaijan and Georgia. The Chair of the Lisbon Network will be a rapporteur for the working group dealing with “The importance of training as a guarantee for the efficiency and independence of justice”.

10. The Lisbon Network had provided support for the third edition of the Themis Initial Training International Showroom organised by the Romanian National Institute of Magistracy in conjunction with the Portuguese Judicial Studies Centre (CEJ), in Bucharest, from 22 to 26 September 2008. 17 teams out of 15 countries, members of the Council of Europe have had the possibility to present their written work and an oral presentation on various topics such as international co-operation in civil and criminal matters; magistrates’ ethics and deontology and interpretation and application of the ECHR. The Lisbon Network and the European Judicial Training Network jointly agreed to finance the price of the THEMIS competition consisting in a study visit at the European institutions in Strasbourg and the European Court of Justice in Luxembourg for the winning teams from Czech Republic and Romania.

Round table on:

a. The development of judicial training in the Council of Europe member States and information by the Secretariat on current co-operation in the field of judicial training

11. Mr Artashes Melikyan from the Legal and Human Rights Capacity Building Division of the Council of Europe made an intervention regarding the implementation of activities in the field of bilateral as well as multilateral co-operation of the division for the key groups of legal professionals. He introduced to the participants the state of development of training programmes in the judiciary field for a better application of the European Convention on Human Rights in national judicial systems and for the development of a culture of human rights in a long-term perspective.
12. Mr Melikyan, mentioned the HELP Programme which introduced curricula on each of the articles of the Convention and transversal themes and produced a manual on training of trainers, interactive E-learning courses based on the EctHR Grand Chamber, PowerPoint presentations on all substantive articles of the Convention, etc. Apart from this programme, the Division is implementing activities in the field of training of judges and prosecutors in the Balkan countries, Turkey, South Caucasus, Russian Federation, Ukraine and Moldova. Depending on the country specific profile, training is targeted for judges and prosecutors of different levels as well as those enlisted as candidates for filling the judicial vacancies. The principles of the activities is to provide for a long term effect of the training and ensure its sustainability. Therefore, the Council of Europe is not only training judges and prosecutors but also contributes to the development of networks of national expert-trainers who are able to train their colleagues throughout the regions in each particular country.
13. The Division is currently implementing Joint Programmes on various topics such as: “Support to the implementation of human rights reforms in Turkey”; “Enhancing the capacity of legal professionals and law enforcement officials to apply the ECHR in domestic legal proceedings and practices in the Russian Federation”; “Reform of the Judiciary system in Moldova”; “Fostering a culture of Human Rights in Armenia, Georgia and Azerbaijan” and many others. In 2009 – 2010 a new programme on “Combating torture, ill-treatment and impunity” in Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Moldova and

Ukraine” will be implemented. The programme will provide tools for improving the regulatory framework and institutional/operational systems for the prevention of torture and ill-treatment and effective investigation of complaints, leading to imposition of sanctions, based on European and international human rights norms and standards and enabling key groups of legal professionals including the judiciary and prosecutors to apply these standards in their daily work.

b. Follow-up by judicial training institutions on the Lisbon Network's recommendations on "Training the magistrate for his/her interaction with the parties" (adopted at the Network's eighth plenary meeting, Strasbourg, 16 and 17 October 2006).

14. The Lisbon Network participants reported in turn on recent developments in initial and in-service judicial training in their respective countries and new training schemes planned by judicial training institutions.
15. In **Belgium** the High Council of the Judiciary more precisely the Commissions for Nominations and Appointments are in charge of insuring the training of magistrates. Among the novelties in the field of judicial training in Belgium is the consolidation as of 2nd February 2008 of the Institute of Judicial Training through the law dated from 31 January 2007. The Institute is in charge of the initial and continuous training of magistrates, judicial trainees and other members of the judicial organisation. The Institute establishes the training programmes and executes them as well as ensures the evaluation.
16. The representative of **Moldova** informed the participants that the National Institute of Justice started to function in this country in 2008 with an initial training course and 20 students – candidates for judges positions and 50 for prosecutors positions. The Institute performs also the continuous training for seating judges and other judicial professions.
17. In **Azerbaijan**, important changes occurred in the field of training of judges and prosecutors. Since the independence of Azerbaijan assistance activities have been carried out to establish an independent Centre responsible for the initial training of magistrates. Initially, one training Centre started to function close to the Ministry of Justice. Nowadays there is political will in Azerbaijan to set up an independent institution also from the financial point of view in line with the CCJE Opinion N° 4. Since the existence in 2007 of a new High Judicial Council and of a special sector in charge for the training of magistrates within it, there is hope that a new independent Magistrates School will be soon set up.
18. The representative of **the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia** informed that in their country the Judicial Training Centre provides training for judges and court administrators. In September 2008, the Centre provided initial training for the second generation of future magistrates with new teaching disciplines such as IT, language courses and communication. Continuous training is also provided by the Centre. The representative also informed that the Judicial Training School of tFYROM obtained in 2008 observer status within the European Judicial Training Network. Also, the JTC is involved in the organisation of two big conferences: one at the end of 2008 on juvenile justice and on the independence of the training institutions for which the Lisbon Network could be asked to provide experts.
19. The representative of **Estonia** informed about some structural changes regarding training, namely that the Supreme Court of Estonia is in charge for providing it.

20. En **France**, there is a new programme of the reform of training of magistrates debated by the Conseil d'Etat with a view to make obligatory, for future magistrates, the exam on Community Law. Accent will be also put on the foreign languages and International Law. The National School of Magistracy of France continues to be in constant cooperation with similar institutions Europe wide, which is a mutually enriching process. It will also celebrates in 2009 its 50 anniversary.
21. The representative of **Bosnia and Herzegovina** mentioned that the training of judges and prosecutors in this country is performed by two separate institutions at the level of entities.
22. In Armenia the Judicial Training School exists since four years and only since 2008 the School is performing initial training. Mandatory training in Armenia became a reality and this thanks to the efforts made by the Council of Europe experts through various projects including HELP. The Armenian representative also expressed gratitude to the institutions of Spain and Portugal for the useful cooperation.
23. In **Poland** until recently the judicial training was very decentralised. A new system will run as of January 2009 with first instance exam for judges and prosecutors for a period of three years. The students will have the opportunity to chose their specialisation during those three years. Obligatory training became a novelty in the Polish judicial system. Within the framework of the neighbourhood policy of the EU, the Polish Judicial Training Centre is spreading the good practices with their Belorussian neighbours and other developing countries.
24. In **Greece**, the National School of Magistracy exists since 1995, but in 2008 some amendments were made to the Law. Henceforward, the training of magistrates contains modules on European Law and the ECHR. Specific training programmes are made taking into account programmes of the Lisbon Network and the European Judicial Training Network and equivalent judicial systems. The Minimum Corpus of the Council of Europe standards drafted by the Lisbon Network could also be integrated in the national programmes.
25. The representative of **Romania** explained that there are 2700 candidates for 160 places for the entering exam at the National Institute of Magistracy. In Romania, training became a duty as well as a right and the Institute has three main tasks to perform: 1. to integrate, through continuous training, the magistrates seating before 1989; 2. to perform initial training and 3. to perform continuous training all over the country. Concerning the training curriculum, interpretation of the European Convention on Human Rights became obligatory subject.
26. The representative of **Ukraine** informed about a number of amendments to the Law concerning the Academy of Judges which would turn the institution into a university type under the Ministry of Education and not under the supervision of the judicial power. The new draft does not contain any provisions as to the organisation of mandatory continuous training for seating judges. These new provisions in the Law are steps backwards towards the guarantee of an independent judicial system through highly qualified judges. The new provisions are in contradiction with opinion n° 4 (2003) of the CCJE on training of judges and with Rec 94 (12) on the independence, efficiency and role of judges.

Study session

27. The Lisbon Network held a Study Session on the theme "**Training of judges and prosecutors in media and the judiciary**". The theme was divided into two sub-themes as follows : "*Training of Judges and Prosecutors in Media and the Judiciary – relationship*

and co-operation” and “The role of the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights and, in particular, Article 10 of the Convention”.

28. An exchange of views took place in the light of the reports presented by Mr Mike Wicksteed, Head of Judicial Communications for England and Wales, Judicial Communications Office, London (United Kingdom), Mr Carlos Berbell Bueno, Communication Adviser to the Presidency, General Council for the Judiciary of Spain (Madrid) and Mr Philippe Morandini, 1st Substitute to the Prosecutor of the King, Prosecutor’s Office of Dinant (Belgium) (sub-theme 1) and Mr Frédéric Gras, Advocate, Bar Association of Paris (France) (sub-theme 2). *Their presentations are set out, respectively, in documents RL(2008)3, RL(2008)5, RL(2008)7 and RL(2008)4, available in English and French on the Lisbon Network website.*
29. The Lisbon Network warmly thanked the four Rapporteurs for their outstanding contribution to the analysis of these issues and proposed new subjects for the 11th plenary meeting in 2009 to be finally decided by the Bureau.

Relations with other Council of Europe bodies

30. As part of the scheme to improve synergies between the Lisbon Network and other Council of Europe bodies, the participants took note about the working programme and activities of the Consultative Council of European Judges (CCPE), the European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ) and the Council of European Prosecutors (CCPE). The participants were informed about the plans for drafting of a joint CCJE/CCPE Opinion in 2009 on “the relationships between judges and prosecutors” where the issue of joint training will also be addressed.

Draft document on the Minimum Corpus of the Council of Europe standards

31. The conclusions of the 9th Plenary meeting lead to the initiation of a reflection by the Bureau of the Lisbon Network on the drafting of a document which will contain an exhaustive list of Council of Europe standards (convention and non-convention *acquis* of the Organisation) to be taken into consideration by judicial training institutes. The document shall provide the training institutes with a framework programme which would define both Council of Europe instruments and ways of improving the quality of training in European law.
32. The Secretariat prepared a draft on the Minimum Corpus of the Council of Europe standards, document RL-BU (2008)2. The Lisbon Network approved the document and encouraged the training institutions to use it as a basis for the training of judges and prosecutors on the European norms. Some delegations, such as Portugal suggested to link certain aspects of the Corpus to the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights; the Georgian representative asked to remove from the introductory part of the document related to “Training methodologies” reference to an *“ideal profile of a judge/prosecutor”* as being non-appropriate; the representatives of the European Judicial Training Network stated that the document could be a source of cooperation between two networks.
33. The participants agreed that the document is a lively instrument which need to be updated all the time. This will be constantly done by the Secretariat and the Corpus will be published on the Lisbon Network’s website.

Co-operation between the Lisbon Network and the European Judicial Training Network (EJTN)

34. The Secretariat of the Lisbon Network drafted an information document on concrete modalities for short term cooperation activities between the Lisbon Network and the European Judicial Training Network which was adopted by the Plenary meeting.
35. Mr Victor Hall, attended the Plenary meeting in his new capacity of Secretary General of the European Judicial Training Network. He pointed out the importance for cooperation between two networks as they are major actors on the European scene in the field of training of magistrates. Mr Hall mentioned the meeting in Paris between heads of both networks as a step forward towards a common reflection on how to make good use of each others experience and bring an added value to the training programmes both network work on, even though separately.
36. Concerning future work of the EJTN, there are three big projects presented to the European Commission concerning training of trainers, linguistically aspects and training on human rights. Two new working groups have been created: one on exchange programmes and the other one on IT development such as e-learning, virtual library, possibility to download training material for judges and prosecutors. Mr Hall stressed the importance of EJTN, Lisbon Network and HELP Programme as key actors in designing the European future of the training of magistrates.

The Network's communication tools

37. The Secretariat presented the Lisbon Network's website and asked the members to constantly send information on the training programmes in order to update the country profiles. The question related to the functioning of the forum opened last year was also raised, as there is no user on it. The delegations decided to keep it and to find a use for it, for example by the THEMIS participants.

Partial renewal of the Network Bureau

38. The term of office for the Academy of Judges of Ukraine and the Judicial Training Institute of Belgium came to an end. The Lisbon Network decided unanimously to renew the Bureau with the following members: National Institute for Magistracy of Romania and the Academy for Training of Judges and Prosecutors of the Republic of Macedonia which will act in the Bureau for the next two years.

APPENDIX I

AGENDA

Thursday, 30 October 2008

9h30: Opening of the meeting

- **Stéphane LEYENBERGER**, Deputy Head of Justice Division, Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs, Council of Europe

- **Iryna VOITYUK**, Director of the Academy of Judges, Chair of the Bureau of the Lisbon Network

9h50: First Session

Information by the Chair and the Secretariat

Request for observer member status within the Lisbon Network from the Institute of Judicial Studies of Lebanon

10h30: Tour de Table on

a) the development of judicial training in the Council of Europe member States and information by the Secretariat on current co-operation in the field of judicial training,

b) follow - up by the training institutions of the Lisbon Network's recommendations on how to train the magistrate for his/her interaction with the parties (adopted at the eighth plenary meeting of the Network, Strasbourg, 16-17 October 2006)

11h15: Break

11h30: Tour de Table (resumed)

12h15: Lunch Break

Second Session

14h45: Study Session

Theme: "Training of Judges and Prosecutors in Media and the Judiciary"

Sub-theme 1: "Training of Judges and Prosecutors in Media and the Judiciary – relationship and co-operation"

"Presentation based on the history leading up to the creation of a Judicial Communications Office (JCO) for England and Wales in 2005; the day-to-day functions of the JCO; and special press and web support the JCO provided to Lord Justice Scott Baker in his capacity as Coroner for the recent Inquests in investigating the deaths of Diana Princess of Wales and Mr Dodi al Fayed."

Rapporteur: Mr Mike Wicksteed, Head of Judicial Communications for England and Wales, Judicial Communications Office, London, UK

14h15: General discussion

14h40: Sub-theme 1: “Training of Judges and Prosecutors in Media and the Judiciary – relationship and co-operation” - continuation

Presentation based on an in-depth analysis of the issues and practices observed in relations between the judiciary and the press during the “11-M” trial concerning the 2004 Madrid bombings.

Rapporteur: Mr Carlos BERBELL BUENO, Communication Adviser to the Presidency, General Council for the Judiciary of Spain, Madrid (Spain)

15h10: General discussion

15h30: Break

15h45: Sub-theme 1: “Training of Judges and Prosecutors in Media and the Judiciary – relationship and co-operation” - continuation

Rapporteur: Mr Philippe MORANDINI, 1st Substitute to the Prosecutor of the King, Prosecutor’s Office of Dinant (Belgium)

Presentation based on the specificities of case Dutroux and the relations with media.

16h10: General discussion

16h40: Sub-theme 2: “The role of the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights and, in particular, Article 10 of the Convention”

Presentation based on media cover of court activity in the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights.

Rapporteur : Mr Frédéric GRAS, Barrister at the Paris Bar (France)

17h10: General discussion

17h30: End of the day one

Friday 31 October 2008

9h30: Third Session

Communication on the activities of the Consultative Council of European Prosecutors (CCPE), the Consultative Council of European Judges (CCJE) and the European Commission on the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ)

10h00: Presentation of a draft document on the Minimum Corpus of the Council of Europe standards and general discussion

10h45: Co-operation between the Council of Europe and the European Judicial Training Network (EJTN)

a) Communication by the Secretariat

b) Communication by **Mr Victor Hall**, Secretary General of the European Judicial Training Network (EJTN)

11h00: Break

11h15: Communication strategy of the Lisbon Network

11h30: Partial renewal of the Bureau of the Lisbon Network

12h00: Any other business

APPENDIX II

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

ALBANIA / ALBANIE**Mr Xhezair ZAGANJORI**

Lecturer

School of Magistrates of Albania

ANDORRA / ANDORRE**M André PIGOT**

Assesseur

Conseil Supérieur de la Justice

ARMENIA / ARMENIE**Mr Arman VARDANYAN**

Director

Judicial School of the Republic of Armenia

Mr Grigor SARGSYAN

Director

Prosecutors' School of the Republic of Armenia

AUSTRIA / AUTRICHE**Mr Oliver SCHEIBER**

Judge

Federal Ministry of Justice

AZERBAIJAN / AZERBAÏDJAN**Ms Gunel NAGIYEVA**

Leading adviser

Ministry of Justice

BELGIUM / BELGIQUE**Mme Edith Van den BROECK**

NETWORK

Directrice de la Formation Judiciaire

Institut de Formation Judiciaire

HELP

&

LISBON

BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA / BOSNIE–HERZEGOVINE**Mr Drago ŠEVA**

Director

Public Institution

Center for judicial and prosecutorial training
of the Republika Srpska**Mrs. Adisa Zahiragić**

Judge

Official representative for the
Centre for judicial and prosecutorial training
County Court of Sarajevo

BULGARIA / BULGARIE

Ms Kremena HARALANOVA
Senior expert EU Law
National Institute of Justice

CROATIA / CROATIE

Ms Ivana GORANIC
Director of the Croatian Judicial Academy

CYPRUS / CHYPRE

Mr Myron NICOLATOS
Justice of the Supreme Court of Cyprus

Excused/excusé

CZECH REPUBLIC / REPUBLIQUE TCHEQUE

Mr Vít PEŠTUKA
Mgr. / academic teacher (akademický pracovník)
Judicial Academy of the Czech Republic

Mr Jaroslav OPRAVIL
Head of International Department
Czech Judicial Academy

DENMARK / DANEMARK

Ms Ditte FRIIS-PEDERSEN
Prosecutor Human Relations
Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions

Excused/excusée

Ms Christina OTTESEN
Head of Training
Danish Court Administration

Excused/excusée

ESTONIA / ESTONIE

Mr Tanel KASK
Director
Estonian Law Centre Foundation

FINLAND / FINLANDE

Mr Jorma HIRVONEN
Head of Training Unit
Ministry of Justice

Mr Mauri SUO
Special adviser Training Unit

Excused / excusé

Ministry of Justice

FRANCE

M. Philippe JEANJEAN

Magistrat
Chargé de formation au département international
Ecole Nationale de la Magistrature

M. Samuel VUELTA-SIMON

Directeur adjoint
Directeur de la formation continue
Département International
Ecole Nationale de la Magistrature

Excused/excuseé

GEORGIA / GEORGIE

Mr Shota RUKHADZE

Deputy Director
The High School of Justice of Georgia

Ms Rusudan MIKHELIDZE

Head of the analytical Unit
Office of the Prosecutor General of Georgia

GERMANY / ALLEMAGNE

Mr Christian SCHERNITZKY

Public Prosecutor
Federal Ministry of Justice

GREECE / GRECE

Mr Dimitrios VANDOROS

Judge
Council of State

Mme Stavroula KTISTAKI

Maître des requêtes
Conseil d'Etat Hellénique

HUNGARY / HONGRIE

Ms Gyöngyi Istvánné KÖRMENDY

Prosecutor – Head of Training Division
Department for Personnel and Training
Office of the Prosecutor General of Hungary

Ms Judit CSISZÁR

Deputy Head of Department
Office of the National Council of Justice

ICELAND / ISLANDE

Ms Anna KARLSDOTTIR

Excused/excuseé

Judge
Reykjavik Municipal Court

IRELAND / IRLANDE

Ms Elizabeth DUNNE or Mr Paul GILLIGAN

Excused/excusés

High Court judge
Judicial Studies Institute

ITALY / ITALIE

Ms Giovanna ICHINO

Delegate of the Superior Council of Milan
Judge in the Criminal Court of Appeal of Milan
High Council of the Magistracy

Mme Letizia VACCA

Vice - Présidente de la 9ème Commission
Consiglio Superiore della Magistratura

Mr Maurizio ARCURI

Magistrate
Consiglio Superiore della Magistratura

LATVIA / LETTONIE

Ms Asnate KALNINA

Excused/excusée

Legal adviser
Division of Judiciary Policy
Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Latvia

LITHUANIA / LITUANIE

Ms Vilma JAKSTIENE

Chief Expert
Ministry of Justice of Lithuania

LUXEMBOURG

M. Marc MATHEKOWITSCH

Excused/excusé

Premier conseiller de Gouvernement
Ministère de la Justice

Mme Jeannine DENNEWALD

Excused/excusée

Attachée au Ministre de la Justice
Ministère de la Justice

MALTA / MALTE

Mr Justice Joseph D. Camilleri

Chairman
The Judicial Studies Committee
Courts of Justice

MOLDOVA**Ms Eugenia FISTICAN**

Director of the National Institute of Justice

Ms Irina LUPUSOR

Deputy-head of Governmental Agent Division
International relations and European Integration Directorate
Ministry of Justice

MONTENEGRO**Ms Maja MILOSEVIC**

Advisor
Judicial Training Centre of the Republic of Montenegro

NETHERLANDS / PAYS – BAS**Ms Rosa JANSEN**

President Board Training and Study Centre for the Judiciary (SSR)

NORWAY / NORVEGE**Mr Stein MJØEN**

Senior Adviser
The National Courts Administration of Norway

POLAND / POLOGNE**Mr Wojciech POSTULSKI**

Judge
The National Training Centre for the Officials of the
Common Courts of Law and the Public Prosecutor's Office

PORTUGAL**Mr Luis PEREIRA**

Senior Public Prosecutor and Coordinator of the
International Department of the
Judicial Studies Centre

ROMANIA / ROUMANIE**M. Constantin BRANZAN**

Directeur de l'Institut National de la Magistrature (INM)

Ms Ruxandra ANA

Head of Training of Trainers Department
National Institute of Magistracy

RUSSIAN FEDERATION / FEDERATION DE RUSSIE**Mr Alexander ZENIN**

Deputy Head of the Chair of European Humanitarian Law
Russian Academy of Justice

Mr Igor ZVECHAROVSKIY
Rector
Academy of Prosecutor General's Office

Ms Ekaterina ARKHIPOVA
Researcher
Academy of Prosecutor General's Office

SAN MARINO / SAINT- MARIN

Mme Federica BIGI Excused/excusée
Conseiller d'Ambassade
Ministère des Affaires étrangères

SERBIA / SERBIE

Mr Nenad VUJIC Excused/excusé
Director of the
Judicial Training Center

Mr Vladimir Kozar Excused/excusé
Judge of Commercial Court in Belgrade
President of judicial practice in Commercial Court in Belgrade

SLOVAKIA / SLOVAQUIE

Mr Miroslav Slašťan
Head of european and constitutional law department
Department of European and International Law,
Constitutional Law and Foreign Languages
Judicial Academy of the Slovak Republic

SLOVENIA / SLOVENIE

Mr Silvij ŠINKOVEC Excused/excusé
Supreme State Prosecutor Counselor

SPAIN / ESPAGNE

M. Jorge OBACH MARTINEZ
Judicial School of the
General Council for the Judiciary of Spain

Mr Igor GAYARRE Excused/excusé
Adviser of the General Director
Department of International Relations

Mr Francisco PUIG BLANES
Head of Area in the External and Institutional Relations Department
Judicial School of the General Council for the Judiciary of Spain

SWEDEN / SUEDE

Ms Tora WIGSTRAND Excused / excusée

Legal Adviser
Ministry of Justice
Division for European Union Affairs

SWITZERLAND / SUISSE

Mr Frank SCHÜRMAN
Agent du gouvernement suisse
Département de justice et police
Office fédéral de la justice
Représentation de la Suisse devant la CourEDH

Mr Karl SPÜHLER
Lanter Rechtsanwälte

"THE FORMER YUGOSLAV REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA" / "L'EX-REPUBLIQUE YOUGOSLAVE DE MACEDOINE"

Ms Tatijana TEMELKOSKA-MILENKOVIC
Executive Director of the Academy for
Training of Judges and Prosecutors
of the Republic of Macedonia

TURKEY / TURQUIE

Mr Ramazan CAYLI
Judge
Academy of Justice of Turkey

UKRAINE

Ms Irina VOYTYUK
President of the
Academy of Judges of Ukraine

Ms Tetyana FULEY
Associate Professor
Academy of Judges of Ukraine

Mr Bogdan LYZOGUB
Head of Division for Organization of Work
International and Inter-institutional Relations
Academy of Prosecution of Ukraine

Excused/excusé

UNITED KINGDOM (ENGLAND AND WALES) / ROYAUME UNI (ANGLETERRE ET PAYS DE GALLES)

Judge John PHILLIPS
Director of Studies
Judicial Studies Board

Excused / excusé

UNITED KINGDOM (SCOTLAND) / ROYAUME UNI (ECOSSE)

Sheriff Tom WELSH

Director
Judicial Studies Committee in Scotland

KOSOVO

Mr Lavdim KRASNIQI
Director
Kosovo Judicial Institute

EUROPEAN UNION

European Commission / Commission européenne Excused/excusée

Council of the European Union /
Conseil de l'Union européenne Excused/excusé

OBSERVERS / OBERSERVATEURS

Mr Victor HALL
Secretary General of the European Judicial Training Network

RAPPORTEURS

Mr Mike WICKSTEED
Head of Judicial Communications for England and Wales
Judicial Communications Office

M. Philippe MORANDINI
1^{er} Substitut du Procureur du Roi
Parquet de Dinant

M. Frédéric GRAS
Avocat au Barreau de Paris

Mr Carlos BERBELL
Communication Adviser to the Presidency
General Council for the Judiciary of Spain

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS / COUR EUROPEENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

Mr Mark Villiger, Judge in respect of Liechtenstein of the European Court of Human Rights

COUNCIL OF EUROPE / CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

Mr Stephane Leyenberger, Secretary to the European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ), Deputy chief of the Justice Division, Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs, Council of Europe, Strasbourg

Mrs. Artashes Melikyan, Legal and Human Rights Capacity Building Division, Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs, Council of Europe, Strasbourg

LISBON NETWORK

Ms Ana Rusu, Secretary of the Lisbon Network, Judiciary Division, Directorate of Cooperation, Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs

Assistant: Ms Maia Mamulashvili

INTERPRETERS Meeting

SZYMANSKI Robert

TANNER Julia

VALK William