



COUNCIL OF EUROPE CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

Strasbourg, 23 August 2013
[pa07e_2013.doc]

T-PVS/PA (2013) 07

CONVENTION ON THE CONSERVATION OF EUROPEAN WILDLIFE
AND NATURAL HABITATS

**Group of Experts on
Protected Areas and Ecological Networks**

5th meeting
18-19 September 2013
Council of Europe, Strasbourg, France

**DRAFT GUIDELINES ON THE MANAGEMENT
OF EMERALD SITES,
INCLUDING CLIMATE CHANGE
ADAPTATION AND MITIGATION**

SECOND DRAFT

*Document established
by the Directorate for Democratic Governance,
Lyudmila Dimitrova (Eko-Innovation)
and Dobromira Dimova (Vitosha Nature Park)*

*This document will not be distributed at the meeting. Please bring this copy.
Ce document ne sera plus distribué en réunion. Prière de vous munir de cet exemplaire*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|--------|
| 1. Introduction | - 3 - |
| 2. Management planning steps of emerald sites..... | - 4 - |
| Step 1: Pre-planning: selection of the site subject of planning | |
| Step 2: Data gathering | |
| Step 3: Assessment of the major climate change aspects and their effect and impact on biodiversity | |
| Step 4: Identification of key elements (habitats and species) within the site subject of planning | |
| Step 5: Adaptive Management Plan | |
| 3. Funding for the identified measures..... | - 17 - |
| 4. Main conclusions and recommendations | - 18 - |
| 5. References | - 21 - |

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this document is to provide practical guidance (step-by-step approach) to protected areas' practitioners and policy makers at national level, during the process of designation of the Emerald sites and the planning of their management measures.

The setting-up of the Emerald Network was envisaged as early as 1989 and was given practical form in 1996 by the Standing Committee to the Bern Convention with a view to supplementing the Natura 2000 Network, on a similar basis, in non-Community countries, based on the highest possible methodological synergy. Work on the setting-up of the Emerald Network has been speeding-up in the last years, with the implementation of dedicated projects taking place in several countries (Central and Eastern Europe, the Russian Federation, South Caucasus, Norway, Switzerland and the West Balkans).

According to the three Phases of the constitution process of the Emerald Network¹, once the identification of potential sites is finished (Phase I), a new phase begins, consisting of the scientific assessment of the sufficiency of these sites to ensure the long-term survival of threatened species and habitats (Phase II). At a third and last stage (Phase III), the countries are supposed to designate the sites at national level, through national legislation or administrative measure and start implementing management measures.

The present guidelines take into account all Bern Convention Recommendations and Resolutions relevant to the management of Emerald sites², including the ones resulting from the work of the Group of Experts on Climate Change and Biodiversity³, as the long-term effectiveness of the Emerald Network in achieving its objectives also depends on its capacity to mitigate climate change effects on the Emerald sites biodiversity.

Climate change impacts on protected areas require to be addressed at the planning stage of the management and involve that adaptation is fully integrated in the priorities and objectives of the management measures. In this relation, the present document provides guidance for taking integrated action to plan the management measures necessary for each Emerald site, while addressing climate change mitigation and adaptation. The management measures will therefore have two objectives:

- i. *Increasing available habitat* - to increase the available habitat for species and ensure the existence of suitable pathways for species dispersal; to underline the benefits from Emerald Network sites for mitigating the impacts of climate change, reducing vulnerability and increasing resilience for species and habitats;
- ii. *Enhancing diversity and resilience of the protected-area network* - biodiversity depends on the protection and management of designated sites: these sites are central for ensuring that biodiversity is able to adapt to a changing environment.

The guidelines, through their step-by-step approach and the two checklists in appendix, aim to encompass a wide-ranging set of actions necessary to ensure the management planning is as exhaustive as possible. However, the use of the guidelines can and should be adapted to the reality of each Contracting Party and each Emerald site.

¹ Criteria for assessing the National Lists of proposed ASCIs at biogeographical level and procedure for examining and approving Emerald candidate sites, Council of Europe (2010)

² Emerald Network Reference Portal : http://www.coe.int/t/dg4/cultureheritage/nature/econetworks/portal_en.asp

³ Group of Experts on Climate Change and Biodiversity under the Bern Convention: http://www.coe.int/t/dg4/cultureheritage/nature/bern/ClimateChange/default_en.asp

2. MANAGEMENT PLANNING STEPS FOR EMERALD SITES

The management planning for each Emerald network site can encompass the following steps:

Step 1: Pre-planning: selection of the site subject to planning

Step 2: Data gathering

Step 3: Assessment of major climate change aspects and their impact on biodiversity

Step 4: Identification of key elements (habitats and species) within the site

Step 5: Adaptive Management Plan

STEP 1: PRE-PLANNING – SELECTION OF THE SITE SUBJECT OF PLANNING

All Emerald sites are subject to the implementation of management measures⁴. A management planning therefore has to be implemented for each of the officially adopted Emerald sites by the Standing Committee to the Bern Convention, preferably at the same time as the national designation of the Emerald site. One planning document can concern several sites, especially if the sites are part of one common green infrastructure or corridor.

If and where necessary, the planning document and relevant conservation measures can cover a bigger area than the Emerald site, for example to prevent external threats or to provide and guarantee sites connectivity.

STEP 2: DATA GATHERING

All adopted Emerald sites, adopted by the Standing Committee to the Bern Convention and subject to the present Guidelines, are already thoroughly described through the Emerald Standard Data Form. This information should be used and completed with the various data described below, compiled and used during the planning of the management measures.

2.1 General information

Location and borders

The physical-geographic location of the site should be described and the borders of the site should be defined on the basis of the designation paper. The location and borders should be shown on maps illustrating:

- The location of the site in relation to Europe and to the borders of the country in a suitable scale
- The site's borders, the adjacent settlements, the main existing tourist sites, the road network around and in the site, the main water courses etc., in a suitable scale.

Legal status

The legal framework for the site's management should be given. This implies:

⁴ Resolution No. 8 (2012) of the Standing Committee, adopted on 30 November 2012 on the national designation of adopted Emerald sites and the implementation of management, monitoring and reporting measures (adopted by the Standing Committee on 30 November 2012)

- Development and legal status of the site
- Legal frames of the site's management
- Status of the sites according to international legislation, agreements and standards

A number of international conventions pay special attention to biodiversity problems and the need for its conservation and restoration. These Conventions are the reference points in defining the main goals and management measures.

Ownership and relevant stakeholders

The available information concerning the ownership on the sites, i.e. existing buildings, equipment, infrastructure, should be updated. Thus, it is critical from the outset of the management measures that the ownership issue is addressed in a comprehensive manner. In addition, the various stakeholders have to be identified and considered and consulted in the planning process for the management measures.

Management structure

The management body is of crucial importance for the implementation of the management measures. The management structure (administrations, institutions, consultative forums, scientific bodies, NGOs etc.), which will be responsible for the management of the site and for the implementation of the measures, should be clearly described. Information of the funding sources – state budget, the national funds for environmental protection and others- should be specified.

Existing projects

Projects related to the use of resources and other activities on the site's territory, such as urban projects, municipal development plans, etc., should be presented in order to generate and realise greater synergies and integrated collaborative approaches. Policies and strategic projects, related to climate change management should be reviewed. In terms of adaptation of biodiversity to climate change the following policies are relevant:

- Review of existing biodiversity strategies for relocation of species and habitats;
- Review of existing policies for combating invasive species and diseases;
- Incorporating green infrastructure concepts in spatial planning policies;
- Review of existing fire prevention, management plans and policies.

2.2 Abiotic information

The basic available information should be used for the description of the abiotic features of the site, as follow:

Climate

The local climatic zone and the typical for the site climate conditions should be described, including the specific climate changes affecting local climate, as well as how local relief, aspect and other landscape elements influence climatic conditions.

Geology and geomorphology

The present tectonic status of the territory should be described, including the rising, sinking, seismic activity (seismic area – assessment and forecast) and the deep erosion intensity ratio. The geomorphology of the relief should also be presented, including the forms of the present relief and characteristic of relief-changing processes such as:

- River erosion: river terraces, meanders, and other typical river structures;

- Denudation erosion: traces, ditches, valleys and etc.;
- Calcareous: caves, caverns and etc.;
- Anthropological: fasted erosion; quarries, road-constriction etc.;
- Assessment and forecast of the development of the contemporary relief;
- Others

Special attention should be paid to processes crucial for habitats creation and maintaining, such as: eolic processes for dunes, erosion & sedimentation for river habitats, etc.

Hydrology and hydro-biology

The basic hydrologic and hydro-graphic characteristics should also be presented. The hydrographical network can be illustrated with a map, showing the natural hydrological regime and its artificial modifications, if any. Analysis of the quality of the surface waters should equally be done.

Soils

The distribution and characteristics of the soils types and the soil processes like the erosion processes (kind, degree), should be checked and described. The places of newly emerged erosion processes (kind, degree) should be studied and described.

2.3 Biological information

2.3.1 Information on natural habitats in the site

The inventory should include all natural habitats presented in the site, belonging to the revised Annex I of Resolution 4 (1996) of the Bern Convention on endangered natural habitat types, which uses the EUNIS Habitat Classification. Other habitats, important for the site subject of planning sue to their conservation, ecological or landscape value, can also be described.

Mapping of natural habitats

The mapping of natural habitats should be made by carrying out field researches for collecting the data necessary for the identification of the habitats and their spatial boundaries, as well as their area of distribution.

Different methods can be used, according to the Emerald site specificities and the expertise available. Below, two approaches are proposed as examples for determining the type and range of habitats:

1. The first approach is to establish the habitats with clear physiognomy, landscape and ecological differentiation. Here fall the majority of the rocky habitats and caves, some waterlogged moors, mires and bogs, and some coastal and halophytic habitats. The identification and mapping are based on the detection and delineation of their boundaries;

2. The second approach applies to all other habitat types that can be identified mainly through plant communities as their major components. Using the indicator features of vegetation, the boundaries of habitat are determined by the boundaries of phytocenoses, which are typical for the habitat. There are cases where the plant continuum exists and plant communities have no clear spatial, temporal and syntaxonomic borders. Furthermore, within a habitat various combinations of fragments of more plant communities can be represented. In that case, mapping is (to some extent) conditional.

In most cases, these approaches are applied in combination, with predominant weight on a case by case. The boundaries of the natural habitats should be plotted on a map base. For relatively small sites, it is recommended that an inventory and mapping is done after a thorough research and study across the whole territory of the site.

For relatively large sites and in the presence of additional information (descriptions taxonomy, GIS), it is recommended to explore all the key (typical) areas and to achieve the mapping of the habitats through interpolation and extrapolation, after analysis of the available information. Photointerpretation techniques may be extremely useful for habitat mapping, using free common services as Google Earth & Maps. If the area of natural habitat (or complexes) is small for real mapping at an appropriate scale, they can be represented as points.

Assessment of the conservation status of habitats identified in the site

The criteria for assessing the conservation status of habitats are the following:

- (1) Size distribution of natural habitat;
- (2) Its structure and specific functions;
- (3) Status of its typical species

The evaluation should be done for each habitat type for each of the criteria. The first criterion estimates the area of the habitat in the mapped areas. For the evaluation of criteria such as fragmentation, changes in area should be used at the discretion of the responsible team. The naturally occupied area of different habitats is characterised by inequality in quantitative terms. The area is commensurate with the areal-minimum of the range-expression of plant communities that are based on natural habitats. For example, the area of karst springs is very small, quite another and very large for steppes, oak and pine forests. For some habitats (e.g. caves), this criterion is not applicable.

As the second criterion is concerned, the habitat structure may be affected by quantitative or qualitative factors such as species composition, vegetation floors, reaction of the environment. Natural processes creating and maintaining the habitat, or responsible for maintaining related biodiversity, should be considered here as part of the habitat "structure and function", i.e. eolic processes for dune complexes, natural forest dynamics and deadwood creating for forests; natural river hydromorphology, etc. Possible changes include, for example, the replacement of local species with invasive ones, the eutrophication of water bodies and the alternation of the age structure of populations of diagnostic or habitat-specific taxa.

Generally it can be considered that the changes affecting 20% of the qualitative and quantitative characteristics of the habitat could be described as minor; between 20 and 70% as significant, and over 70% as drastical. For example, in riparian willow-poplar forests, the autochthonous grass and shrub species are equal to or less than the number of invasive species. The shrub floor is practically only composed of invasive species (*Amorpha fruticosa*). In this case the changes should be characterised as drastic. The relevant activities for the habitat restoration are planned according to the assessment.

To define the third criterion, the presence of the species characteristic for the corresponding habitat should be explored, together with their population. It is very important to see the development trends of the population and – given it is below the critical minimum – define the reasons for that and plan the necessary measures.

2.3.2 Determination of plant and animal species in the site subject of planning

The inventory of plant and animal species should include the species belonging to Resolution No. 6 (1996) of the Bern Convention and possibly Annexes I, II and III of the Bern Convention. It is advisable to perform inventory of all other important species of conservation value.

Mapping of species

1. Mapping of plant species

The mapping of plant species should be made together with the mapping of natural habitats. Species are marked as point objects and/or polygons and entered into the GIS database. Furthermore, species of nature conservation value under national laws and international legislation can be included, at the discretion of the team.

2. Mapping of invertebrates

When beetles are concerned, the habitat is the place where the imago is regularly found. The place where the “food” plant of the larvae (caterpillars) is present can be considered as the butterflies’ habitat in most cases (not only the locality where the adult insect is observed). As dragonflies’ habitats are concerned, the water bodies where larvae develop and the surrounding area to 500 m from the pond should be mapped. When mapping water forms of molluscs, the suitable habitats that are most often associated with specific characteristics of the pond bottom (sandy, silt, clay, etc.). The flow speed should be considered as well. In terrestrial forms of shellfish, habitat means the place where their shells are regularly presented. The habitats should again be mapped as point objects or polygons.

3. *Mapping of fishes*

It is recommended to determine the research stations which cover the representative areas of the water body, contain all characteristic habitats and can provide representative information on the species composition. Individual stations should be mapped with GPS. If possible, crucial habitats of fishes should be mapped, as spawning grounds.

4. *Mapping of amphibians and reptiles*

Most species are easily detectable and easily identifiable. All life-phase habitats for the species should be mapped, including reproduction places, winter shelters and rest-of-the-year habitats. It is desirable that the mapping is done with GPS.

5. *Mapping of birds*

When mapping the different groups of birds it is advisable to use maps with UTM grid of 1x1 km, 5x5 km or 10x10 km. Depending on the size of the research area different sizes and the UTM grids can be used. Where possible a thorough mapping with GPS of breeding pairs of the rare species should be done. For some bird species, nests mapping is possible and extremely useful, together with the mapping of habitats (appropriate nesting habitats and feeding grounds).

6. *Mapping of mammals (excluding bats)*

When mapping the different groups of mammals, it is recommended to use maps with UTM grid of 1x1 km, 5x5 km or 10x10 km. Depending on the size of the research area, different sizes of UTM grid can be used. It is recommended to map the established localities of the species with GPS. Mapping of the “functional points” as dens, hollows, burrows, local corridors used, should be recommended, including the mapping of appropriate habitats.

7. *Mapping of bats*

The bats are usually mapped on their specific roosts (caves, galleries, hollows, etc.). Localities are detected by GPS or plotted on maps of appropriate scale. They represent the food habitat or habitat corridor for local migrations. When ultrasonic detectors are used, an approximate polygon around the observer of the size of the microphone sensitivity should be used. For each location, all species and their absolute or relative numbers should be recorded.

Assessment of the conservation status of species identified in the site subject of planning

The assessment should be made for each species/species group and against each of the criteria, which are the following:

- (1) Population dynamics of species and number of species;
- (2) Size of the natural range of the species;
- (3) Size and quality of the habitat that ensures the survival of the species populations;
- (4) Sex and age structure of the species populations.

The assessment on the first criteria - **the number of species** – should be done by regular monitoring, according to the biology and physiology of the species and coverage of data in standard forms, in order to have comparable information and track trends in population dynamics.

For the assessment on the second criteria - **the size distribution /area/ of any kind of species** – a systematic mapping of each of the localities of the species within the territory of the site is necessary.

Mapping needs to be done every 10 years and compare with any previous mapping to determine the trend in the range of species – expansion, stability or narrowing.

Regarding the third criterion - **size and quality of the habitat for each species** –, it is necessary to determine the status of the habitat areas. The following parameters of the habitat should be determined - area (enough or not enough to maintain viable populations), stability/ instability, vulnerability, specific structures and features presence, quality of the feeding base, human pressure, existing and future threats, the presence of changes in habitat through natural succession and other processes. The state of the habitats is equally essential to maintain concentrations of migratory species.

Regarding the fourth criterion - **sex and age structure for each species** -, is necessary to monitor the population for evidence of significant trends in the numbers. Depending on the species (species group), a different monitoring period will be required. Certain deviations from the normal sex ratio and age, most often caused by anthropogenic factors, are usually an indication of future negative trends in the population.

***STEP 3: ASSESSMENT OF THE MAJOR CLIMATE
CHANGE ASPECTS AND THEIR IMPACT ON
BIODIVERSITY***

The assessment of the major climate change aspects and their effects on biodiversity should be based on the impact on Emerald species and habitats resulting from: (1) sea level rise; (2) overall temperature increase; (3) changing precipitation patterns (changing atmospheric circulation regime, which may be followed by changes in thermal, precipitation and evapotranspiration regime); and (4) increase of extreme events.

Vulnerability of species

In order to assess the vulnerability of species, the following features should be considered:

- Increased population fluctuations and local extinctions;
- Altitudinal and longitudinal movement of species;
- Changes in species relationships (mutualism, predator-prey, parasite-host, new pathogens and invasives);
- Loss of habitat;
- Increased physiological stress;
- Change in ability reproduce leading decreasing population or change in sex ratios;
- Changes in competitive ability.

Vulnerability of habitats

In order to assess the vulnerability of habitats, the following features should be considered:

- Erosion;
- Submersion;
- Salinity;
- Drought;
- Acidification;
- Nutrient balance / eutrophication;
- Higher groundwater tables waterlogging;

- Increased frequency and severity of fire, flooding, storms.

Possible influence of the areas surrounding the Emerald sites:

It is important that the landscape surrounding the Emerald site is included in the assessment of the vulnerability of species and habitats to climate change.

Prioritisation of the impacts:

After their identification and the assessment of their impact level, the threats should be prioritised according of their impact. In order to facilitate the process, it is advisable to make a matrix, which can be used by each expert involved during the evaluation of the corresponding threat on a given habitat/species.

***STEP 4: IDENTIFICATION OF KEY ELEMENTS
(HABITATS AND SPECIES) WITHIN THE SITE***

For the purposes of the selection of the key elements the following steps should be followed:

1. Prepare a list of all habitats and species of flora and fauna on the site, belonging to Resolution No. 6 (1996) and Annexes I, II and III of the Bern Convention. Other habitats and species, considered as important for the territory of the site, can be included as well, on the discretion of the team.

It is recommended to include both the habitats and/or the species of flora and fauna that existed in the past and may be recovered, and those that can be pre-located.

2. Add to the list the ecological processes and other aspects (significant for the protection or recovery of the species or habitats), which can be considered as a key element of the site territory.
3. Remove from the list the elements that are not important to the site and for which it is not necessary to formulate management objectives.

The elements that form the list should be considered as key for the management and are the purpose for formulating management regimes and norms.

STEP 5: ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT PLAN

Improving management strategies with respect to climate change should begin with a site-specific integrated management approach, addressed by adaptive management planning. Adaptive management is a dynamic process where people of many talents and disciplines come together to make the right decision in the best interests of the resources.

Adaptive management must be a social as well as scientific process. It must focus on the development of new institutions and institutional strategies just as much as it must focus upon scientific hypotheses and experimental frameworks. Adaptive management attempts to use a scientific approach, accompanied by collegial hypotheses testing to build understanding, but this process also aims to enhance institutional flexibility and encourage the formation of the new institutions that are required to use this understanding on a day-to-day basis.

5.1 Regulatory recommendations for the implementation of management measures***5.1.1 Management zones***

The zoning is a key element in current protected areas management practices. If the territory of the selected Emerald site needs different regimes for different parts, the zoning approach is suitable for its management. The functional zoning of the site, as well as the range of operational management decisions and constraints, related to the access, construction or use of resources in each of the zones should be decided. Any decision on the management zones should:

- Ensure the analytical information and evaluations made in Step 2 are thoroughly considered, in a justified and logical way;
- Ensure or lead to (1) the achievement of the goals and (2) overcoming or limiting the impact of the threats identified in Steps 3 and 4;
- Guarantee as much as possible (1) the space to maintain of natural processes and the creation of habitats and (2) the space for species, i.e. - if and where appropriate - non-intervention zones, wilderness and roadless zones;
- Allow flexibility in the management decisions in case of potential or unexpected changes in the situation, e.g. calamities, etc.

5.1.2 Regimes and norms

The regimes and recommendations on the management of the habitats and species, (1) for the whole site territory, or for (2) part of its zones should be developed, taking into account the following features:

- Common management regimes should be established for the site, aiming to maintain or improve the conservation status of key habitats and species identified in Step 4;
- For each of the zones defined in Step 5 (point 5.1.1), the general and/or specific management regimes should be determined. Measures to prevent disturbance and to endanger species subject to protection should also be established;
- Specific restoration measures should be defined for each habitat, species and habitats of species assessed as being in bad conservation status under Step 3;
- Concrete measures for the maintenance of the habitats, species and habitats of species estimated as being in good conservation status under Step 3,;
- Concrete steps to recover habitats, species and habitats of species that have existed in the past within the zone and could be restored.

5.2 Measures focused on managing climate change adaptation and mitigation at the level of the Emerald Network sites

Managers of Emerald sites need to take a long-term vision (20 to 50 years) when planning the actions to promote the adaptation of species to climate changes. The exact period of planning depends on the speed with which ecosystem changes are expected. This long-term vision is important for ensuring the continuity of the Emerald Network management. The measures decided should be bound with the defined long- and short-term objectives and should contain a number of tasks, which are realistic in time and in respect of budget.

The long-term vision could be developed in the form of a “**Management road map**” which:

- Furthers local, national and international conservation principles and standards;
- Clarifies exactly what is to be conserved and shows how the status and qualities of the site will be safeguarded and improved;
- Is socially, economically and environmentally acceptable to all who use the area;
- Functionally links rather than isolates the property with its surrounding landscapes or seascapes;
- Shows how the site will contribute to the improved biodiversity.

This long-term vision should:

- Include a set of the strategic management principles which are unlikely to change;
- Explains what the desired condition of the area should be in the future;
- Identify the main changes that need to take place to improve and protect the site in terms of adaptation of species to climate changes.

The relation between the impacts of climate change as described in Step 3 and the main measures proposed has to be identified: i.e. which measures are applicable at the site level depends on the habitat types and species as well as the local context of the site.

1. Reduce existing pressures:

Measures aiming to contribute reducing existing pressures, which can be considered for implementation within or around the site, could be:

- Restoration activities;
- Increase the size of the protected area to minimise negative influences;
- Development of buffer zones around protected area, including in view of reducing internal and external human pressure;
- Control of invasive (alien) species and diseases;
- Development of ecological corridors, ecotunnels or greenbridges between the protected areas;
- Reduction or eradication of external pollution sources.

2. Enhance ecosystems and species resilience:

The objective being to enhance biodiversity in and around the Emerald sites, some of the possible measures for eliminating or reducing the impact of the factors leading to the instability of the habitats or species are:

- Maintenance and/or restoration of ecosystems;
- Establishment of zones with strict nature conservation regime;
- Limiting access to the localities of species of conservation significance and allowing natural processes as much as possible;
- Protection of species in a critical status;
- Relocation of species as an adaptive strategy - re-introduction and/or translocation and/or conservation or assisted migrations;
- Maintenance of genetic diversity;
- Monitoring of the process in and around the Emerald site.

Possible measures aimed at accommodating the natural landscape forming processes could be based on:

- The implementation of management actions in the forests, keeping dead wood in;
- The conservation of the gene pool of native tree species;
- Meandering of rivers and freshwater-salt water gradients and maintaining and restoring natural hydromorphological processes and natural hydrological regimes;
- Maintenance of optimal open habitats for birds;
- Meadows and pasture habitats protection;
- Improving forestry practices;
- Integrated protection of the biodiversity in the agriculture;

- Sedimentation;
- Marshland development.

It should equally be considered whether the size of the sites can ensure the necessary prerequisites for achieving their conservation purposes, as well as their management objectives, or if there is a need to establish new areas. The measures to remedy to eventual need for additional areas identification could be:

- Justifying the need of changes of boundaries of the site for protection of the habitats stands;
- Declare suitable territorial corridors if there are areas of high specific diversity outside the site.

The identification of existing and potential possibilities for species movement underlies the analysis of the need to form an ecological corridor, which will facilitate species migration.

3. Ensure required abiotic conditions

The following measures could be considered:

- Increasing water retention within the site - for example, adapting the existing drainage system, restoring meanders of rivers and streams or reforestation, restoring peatbogs and alluvial grounds;
- Maintenance of the passability of rivers and gallery riverine forests - for example, increase in the total area of floodplain forests of native species;
- Restoration of the former hydrological network and wetland areas - for example, surface water inundation can be re-introduced to a site by allowing a river or lake to naturally flood the land;
- Ensuring water drainage from the site during excessive periods of rainfalls;
- Periodic mowing of vegetation or removal of the topsoil to avoid nutrient enrichment of the site.

4. Management of extreme events

In relation to the protection and conservation of biodiversity there is always a risk of anthropogenic disasters e.g. major pollution spill, fire, point source pollution in the site and adjoining areas. To a lesser extent, there is the risk of natural disasters e.g. catastrophic windblown, pests and disease, pests and flooding. Possible measures are described below:

- Identifying the main risks (natural and anthropogenic);
- Collaborating with local authorities and other relevant bodies in identifying and combating risks and in co-ordination of resources;
- Ensuring procedures are developed to manage and monitor all known risks in the site and adjoining areas; It should be stressed that prevention measures may also be destructive for ecosystems and biodiversity and their effects should be carefully considered.
- Ensuring safety is an integral component in undertaking works programmes and capital developments within the protected area;
- Providing information to local population and visitors that highlights potentially hazardous areas and activities, as well as appropriate preventative actions and emergency procedures;
- Preparing Contingency Plans for each of the main identified risks including as a minimum contingency plan for (a) pollution, (b) major flooding, (c) fire, (d) storms;
- Putting in place any necessary equipment and facilities needed for implementing a Contingency Plan.
- Providing any necessary training for the implementation of the Contingency Plans.

- Minimising the occurrence or the impact of uncontrolled fires. Nevertheless, in the case the disaster took place, it should be considered to give the area to restoration by natural processes/natural succession.

The FAO's handbook on Forest Fire Protection provides a large number of technical measures to reduce the occurrence and impact of fires:

- *Storms* - These measures are relevant for coastal habitats and forests:
 - Ecological enhancement of existing forests - improve the proportion and range of native species in existing forests while enhancing forest structure and age class distribution;
 - Individual approach of forest management in regions with reported protected species;
- *Flood-control* - These measures are more technical, taken from the perspective of overall flood protection of the area:
 - Allowing a river or lake to naturally flood the land. This can be achieved by removing the flood defence embankments. If this is not appropriate then surface water can be routed from rivers, ditches or lakes via pipes and drains. Water control structures such as dams, sluices or weirs can be used to control the input and output of water to mimic the natural water level fluctuations within the area;
 - Restoration of riverine forests;
 - Maintenance of the passability of rivers and gallery riverine forests.

5. Control of invasive alien species

Invasive alien species (IAS) are species whose introduction and/or spread outside their natural past or present distribution threaten biological diversity. IAS occurs in all taxonomic groups, including animals, plants, fungi and microorganisms, and can affect all types of ecosystems. For a species to become invasive, it must successfully out-compete native organisms, spread through its new environment, increase in population density and harm ecosystems in its introduced range.

Possible measures for control include:

- Determination of the distribution and abundance of known invasive species within the site and surround areas;
- Assessment of which invasive species have the potential to impact on overall site management goals;
- Detection, prevention and eradication of new alien species;
- Enhancement of knowledge and capacity to deal with invasive species;
- Evaluation of the effects of management measures on targeted plant species and the ecosystems that they have invaded;
- Determination of the status and trends of species invasions over time and space and develop predictive capabilities to better guide future monitoring and management efforts.

Species expanding their range as a natural response to climate change should not be considered as alien species. Acceptance of new species compositions and a good consideration for the need of species specific measures are part of climate change adaptation.

The Bern Convention, with the technical support of the IUCN SSC Invasive Species Specialist Group, has developed a series of voluntary instruments (codes of conduct and guidelines) covering a number of industries, activities or contexts potentially responsible for the introduction of alien species (horticulture, hunting, pets industry, botanical gardens, zoological garden and aquaria, protected areas). Separate Guidelines on Protected Areas and IAS have also prepared during 2012-2013. These instruments can be consulted on the webpage of the Bern Convention Group of Experts on IAS: http://www.coe.int/t/dg4/cultureheritage/nature/bern/IAS/default_en.asp

5.3 Measures at the network level

If the site is large and connected to others then there is an opportunity for successful network management. On the contrary, small and isolated sites present a difficulty for the successful implementation of the Network measures.

5.3.1 Selection of priority habitats that would help species movement

On the basis of the results from the evaluation of biodiversity in the sites, as well as the possibilities and limitations for species movement, natural habitats of key importance for securing species migration in and between the protected territories should be identified. The connection between the different habitats and their specific role for species migration has to be defined in order to establish better conditions for the free movement of species between protected sites.

5.3.2 Improving connectivity by developing stepping-stones and corridors.

Network managers can help by managing connections between the sites, as follows:

- Creating “stepping stones” for particular species;
- Land use planning and prevention of urbanisation in ecological corridors;
- Maintenance of pastures – habitats of small mammals, part of the food chain of predatory birds and orchids;
- Restoration and maintenance of wet meadows and water bodies – habitats of amphibians, food base of water-loving birds; habitats for hydrophilous and hygrophilous plant species, etc.;
- Maintenance of the passability of rivers and gallery riverine forests;
- Individual approach of forest management in regions with reported protected species;
- Implementation of management actions in the forests, keeping old trees and leaving dead wood;
- Declare some forest stands for “Old growth Forest”

5.3.3 Implementation of appropriate management of the wider landscape and development of a green infrastructure.

With the purpose of building a green infrastructure between the protected sites, possibilities to establish species migration corridors should be analysed. Recommendations for the protection of habitats and landscapes should be made, in consultation and agreement with local municipalities, in order to ensure, among others, a place for the management of the sites in the regional economic development and planning. The analysis, justification and planning should include the Emerald sites, as well as the possibilities for their natural connections.

The Network management requires the implementation of the following major tasks:

- Analysis and evaluation of the biodiversity of Emerald sites in the selected territory, in the context of species movement;
- Identification of the opportunities and limitations (threats) to species movement in and between the Emerald sites;
- Selection of priority conservation natural habitats that would help species movement;
- Elaboration of a model management plan of an ecological corridor and monitoring of processes.

5.4 Implementation of measures

5.4.1 Spatial planning

Spatial planning has an important role in mitigation and adaptation to climate change for many sectors (Wilson & Piper 2010). Spatial planners at all levels need to adapt their existing plans to

climate change. From the point of view of mitigation and adaptation to climate change, it is very important to see planning and management in the context of an integrated relationship between ecological processes and the needs and perceptions of local population. Biodiversity protection, economic development, social development and the enhancement of public involvement are the basic prerequisites for sustainable development underlying the planning and management of the green infrastructure. The planning and management of the green infrastructure appear in the context of the spatial planning at four levels – *international, national, regional and local*. Effective planning at all these levels requires modern forms of institutional mutual help and social support in conformity with the following principles:

- 1) Treating each Emerald site as an *integrated system* of abiotic factors (land, air, water), biodiversity and human activity;
- 2) Taking into consideration that each system is affected by larger or smaller ecological, economic, social or political systems;
- 3) Accepting people as a central element of the system and assessing the social, economic, technical and political factors that will influence the way natural resources are being used;
- 4) Connecting, in a balanced way, the economic policy and the environmental opportunities;
- 5) Stimulating technologies that will help people use the resources more effectively.

5.4.2 *Cross-border corridors*

Networks' management requires the identification of international climate adaptation zones (Vos et al., 2010) and the establishment of cross-border corridors. Climate adaptation zones are defined as key zones for adaptation measures on the ecological network level. A cross-border ecological corridor is a '*geographical space containing a combination of ecosystems characterized by relief forms, plantation cover, determined on managerial and scientific basis, which are of importance for the protection of biodiversity and landscape*'. The cross-border ecological corridor must be sufficiently large to secure the preservation of habitats and valuable species. On the other hand, the corridor must be sufficiently small to be considered a 'home' by local population. The cross-border ecological corridor does not necessarily coincide with administrative boundaries and may be expanded beyond these, which is of great importance for its functioning.

5.4.3 *Public involvement*

It is recommended to organise better targeted campaigns regarding the goals, activities and expected results from Emerald Network, as well as the possibilities to participate and contribute to the Network and its objectives. The representatives at the discussions are the important connection between the protected territories and the municipalities located within the territory. Appropriate measures are:

- Training and expanding public involvement regarding the protection of endangered species in the Emerald site territories;
- Introducing the public to the recommended activities such as organic farming and other ecologically sustainable economic activities;
- Training and expanding public involvement regarding the alternative models for regional development.

In order to facilitate the practical implementation of the measures at the site, around the site and at the network level, two matrices are elaborated as a part of these guidelines - Management Measures Matrix and Time Management Matrix. These are to be found as Annex 1 and Annex 2 to the present document.

5.5 Monitoring and review of the implementation of measures

5.5.1 Monitoring guidelines and requirements

Long-term biodiversity conservation monitoring should be carried out on three inter-related levels:

Baseline monitoring of key biodiversity indicator elements

This involves assessments of the status of important habitats and species according to protocols developed by the relevant national management body. The protocols will specify the methods to be used, frequency of survey, data to be collected, analyses to be applied, and reporting format. The results from the monitoring is used to determine whether or not existing management tools have to be changed (and if so in what ways) as part of the periodic review process of the Management process (so-called adaptive management).

Monitoring of management interventions

This involves evaluating the actual results of specific management actions against the expected outcomes for them. The actions may be specified in a Management Plan (for example, restoration of floodplain forests and wetlands) or arise from the results of baseline monitoring described above (e.g. translocating a species or removing a new threat), or from an event (see below). The monitoring may be carried out by Management body or external specialists as required.

Routine and Event Monitoring

This involves the systematic reporting and logging of casual observations made by responsible management body and others, for example the occurrence of a rare migratory bird, unusual behaviour of an animal, or early flowering of a plant. For this purpose, a data form and special GIS layer will be developed.

The other aspect of the monitoring level is to report incidents that may have management consequences, for example floods, fires, storm damage in forests, dumping of waste and outbreaks of diseases.

5.5.2 Indicators for the effectiveness of the measures and their effects

The adaptive management includes reviewing the effect of management measures taken. Harley & Van Minnen (2010) propose which kind of indicators can be used for the monitoring process to show whether the adaption measures are effective to reduce vulnerability of habitats and species. The review of the goals and measures achievement should consider the following:

- To what extent the goals and the expected results have been achieved;
- Which constraints and threats have been removed or their impact on the achievement of the goals has been decreased;
- Are the methods for measures implementation appropriate;
- Is it necessary to include new measures;

Conservation targets need to be regularly reviewed to ensure resources are directed towards conservation priorities as some species increase, others decline and habitats change in character as a result from climatic changes (Smithers *et al.*, 2008).

3. FUNDING FOR THE MEASURES IDENTIFIED

Funding for the implementation of the management measures can come from a variety of sources according to the aspect covered: national government, local authority, university/institute, NGO or internal resources. For each of the identified measures, it will be useful to identify the relevant stakeholders that may be involved in the process of implementation. Very important for the stakeholder involvement is to stress the ecosystem services that will mitigate climate change or

address impacts of climate change for other sectors and the wider society. Also, it is necessary to find out what (human) resources are needed for the different measures.

Pro-Biodiversity Business Opportunities

One method of addressing this issue is to identify and promote appropriate forms of financing for businesses that can either increase the positive ecological impact of small and medium enterprises (SMEs), or help to mitigate / reduce the negative impacts of their operations on biodiversity. In fact, the best way of addressing these issues is to encourage the establishment of intrinsically biodiversity-related investments.

It is of crucial importance to the whole of Europe that these biodiversity resources are managed in a wise and sustainable way. This is especially relevant now that emphasis in biodiversity management is moving towards care of biodiversity outside the traditional domain of protected areas, such as through the establishment of ecological networks in general and the Pan-European Ecological Network in particular.

The first step will be to collate and analyse existing knowledge about the challenges of ecologically responsible entrepreneurs (who are also most likely to be farmers, foresters, fish pond owners, tourist service providers, or shop keepers/craftsmen) that are engaging in biodiversity-related investment. This can take place through interviews with government officials who are knowledgeable about biodiversity priorities and also with entrepreneurs who are already operating in environmentally sensitive areas; there could also be interviews to assess the awareness of the financial sector (banking and social investment funds) regarding the potential for bio-diversity related investments to be profitable, and to learn about their reasons for not supporting such types of investments.

Compensation mechanism

An alternative approach is to engage landowners in conservation action. Incentives may be positive (payments for positive conservation actions, e.g., the agri-environmental measures) or negative (taxes or other fees imposed on actions that negatively affect biodiversity, e.g., fees paid for killing or destroying species or habitats of European concern). Positive incentives can provide full compensation for conservation actions, or they can provide partial compensation, leveraging the willingness of landowners to engage in conservation for other reasons (Doremus 2003).

In Europe, agri-environmental schemes are a well-known mechanism for rewarding farmers for farming in an environmentally sensitive way. While not created specifically for climate change adaptation, they contribute to softening intensive production landscapes and thus can play a significant role in reducing biodiversity impacts from climate change (Donald and Evans 2006, Nillesen and van Ireland 2006).

BIOBANKING SCHEME'S METHODOLOGY (DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE NSW 2008B)

The BioBanking Scheme is established under Part 7A of the *Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995* (TSC Act). A key element of the BioBanking Scheme is the establishment of the BioBanking Assessment. Methodology under section 127B of the TSC Act The methodology assesses the biodiversity values currently at development sites and biobank sites, and describes the process for measuring the loss of biodiversity values that results from removing native vegetation, threatened species habitat and threatened species on a development site, and the gain in biodiversity values from management actions on a biobank site: .

4. MAIN CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Ecological networks can positively influence the conditions for the survival of species populations in the fragmented natural areas and human dominated landscapes in Europe. In addition, they allow a suitable and sustainable use of natural resources through the interconnectivity of their physical

elements with the landscape and existing social/institutional structures. Contracting Parties to the Bern Convention can be recommended to:

- Prepare a long term vision for the management of their candidate and adopted Emerald sites, which takes into account climate change impacts and proposes actions and measures to adapt and mitigate these impacts;
- Ensure that the vision for the management of the Emerald Network sites is coherent with their national biodiversity strategies and is embedded across other sectors of local development and taken into account when formulating strategies for those sectors;
- Ensure that the designation of the Emerald sites on their territory and their management measures functionally link the sites with their surrounding landscapes in order to improve their permeability;
- Take the necessary steps to ensure the effective involvement of stakeholders into the creation, management planning and implementation for the Emerald sites;
- Take steps towards improving cooperation with their neighbouring countries for strengthening the transboundary effectiveness of their national Emerald sites.

In order to facilitate the implementation of measures planned for the management of the Emerald sites, including managing climate change and its impacts, the following are recommended:

1. Policy and Regulatory Aspects

- Legal acknowledgment of Emerald sites is an important step towards simplifying the process of policy and regulatory development for Emerald network;
- It is essential to integrate policies and planning tools for Emerald network sites into mainstream planning practices and policies for local development;
- Effective inter-institutional governance should be assured by the creation of voluntary management partnerships.

2. Management Systems

- An independent management structure is the most effective at coordinating and implementing the Emerald sites;
- A long term, jointly agreed management strategy is a pre-requisite for successful management;
- Management strategies should include a system for monitoring and evaluating site management structures, in the context of continuous learning and improvement.

3. Environmental Aspects

- The Emerald sites must be part of an wider ecological network;
- Knowledge of the site and its surrounding area is a prerequisite for climate change mitigation and/or adaptation. Based on this knowledge, managers can make decisions on environmental priorities and environmental restoration within the site itself;
- The various ecological and land use features of the Emerald sites should be seen as an added value not as a limitation, but it requires significant work in ensuring that activities are orientated towards overall sustainable use and improvement of the environmental and landscape resources (e.g. organic agriculture, hedge reconstruction, planting, breeding and protection of endogenous species, volunteering).

4. Social and Communication Aspects

- Involvement of stakeholders is a key part of site creation and management, but one that requires careful evaluation of each groups' different demands;
- The Emerald network is a tool for social promotion, for social capital, for involving social groups and inhabitants and raising levels of responsibility for the public space;
- Level of involvement can vary according to site's and territorial characteristics and to the specific theme in question;
- Periodic monitoring of site users and/or visitors is important, in order to gain feedback on their levels of satisfaction and on their demands and concerns.

5. Economic Aspects

- Emerald sites should highlight their unique ability to add social, environmental and economic value to the surrounding area. Emerald sites need greater financial independence, especially in the current situation of limited public funds;
- Emerald sites have a unique added value which need to be greatly stressed, providing ecosystems services, reducing risks from natural disasters, adapting to and mitigating climate change, health, water and other sectors, at all levels;
- Economic activities should not compromise the internal mission or role of the Emerald sites, particularly in terms of environmental protection.

6. Green infrastructure

- Emerald sites must participate in the design for urban infrastructure, in order to ensure minimum disruption to the site;
- Emerald sites must be part of an integrated green- infrastructure network, within and around the protected areas;
- The management structures can use existing infrastructure, including integration of existing systems to establish buffer zones, corridors and restored landscapes.

5. REFERENCES

- CoE (2006-2011). Resolutions and Recommendations of the Standing Committee to the Bern Convention on the Emerald network of Areas of Special Conservation Interest. Available online at: http://www.coe.int/t/dg4/cultureheritage/nature/Bern/documentation_en.asp
- CoE (2008). Recommendation No. 135 (2008) of the Standing Committee, adopted on 27 November 2008, on addressing the impacts of climate change on biodiversity. Available online at: [https://wcd.coe.int/ViewDoc.jsp?Ref=Rec\(2008\)135&Language=lanEnglish&Ver=original&Site=DG4-Nature&BackColorInternet=a3b811&BackColorIntranet=a3b811&BackColorLogged=EDF4B3](https://wcd.coe.int/ViewDoc.jsp?Ref=Rec(2008)135&Language=lanEnglish&Ver=original&Site=DG4-Nature&BackColorInternet=a3b811&BackColorIntranet=a3b811&BackColorLogged=EDF4B3)
- CoE (2009). Recommendation No. 143 (2009) of the Standing Committee, adopted on 26 November 2009, on further guidance for Parties on biodiversity and climate change. Available online at: <https://wcd.coe.int/ViewDoc.jsp?id=1560549&Site=DG4-Nature&BackColorInternet=DBDCF2&BackColorIntranet=FDC864&BackColorLogged=FDC864>
- EC (2000). Managing Natura 2000 Sites, The provisions of Article 6 of the 'Habitats' Directive 92/43/CEE. Available online at: http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/natura2000/management/docs/art6/provision_of_art6_en.pdf
- CoE (2011). The Emerald Network, a Network of Areas of Special Conservation Interest for Europe - Explanatory document and compilation of relevant texts. Available online at: <https://wcd.coe.int/ViewDoc.jsp?id=1957737&Site=&BackColorInternet=B9BDEE&BackColorIntranet=FFCD4F&BackColorLogged=FFC679>
- CoE (2011). Recommendation No. 157 on the status of Candidate Emerald Sites and Guidelines on the criteria for their nomination. Available online at: <https://wcd.coe.int/ViewDoc.jsp?Ref=T-PVS/PA%282011%2912&Language=lanEnglish&Ver=original&Site=DG4-Nature&BackColorInternet=DBDCF2&BackColorIntranet=FDC864&BackColorLogged=FDC864>
- CoE (2013). Emerald Network Reference Portal. Available online at: http://www.coe.int/t/dg4/cultureheritage/nature/EcoNetworks/portal_en.asp
- Araújo, M. B. (2009). Protected Areas and Climate Change in Europe. Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats, Standing Committee, 29th meeting, Bern, 23-26 November 2009.
- Belev, T., M. Dimitrov, H. Hubenov, S. Beshkov, B. Zlatkov, T. Stefanov, E. Tsankov, P. Shurulinkov, B. Petrov, S. Lazarov, N. Doncheva, D. Dimova. (2007). Guidance for planning activities in forests in Natura 2000 protected sites. Sofia, BER 2004: 70
- Thomas, L. & Middleton J. (2003). Guidelines for Management Planning of Protected Areas. Best Practice Protected Area Guidelines, Series No. 10.
- Harley, M. (2008). Review of existing international and national guidance on adaptation to climate change with a focus on biodiversity issues
- Berry, P. (2008). Climate Change and the Vulnerability of Bern Convention Species and Habitats
- Berry P., J. Paterson, M. Cabeza, A. Dubuis, A. Guisan, L. Jäätelä, I. Kühn, G. Midgley, M. Musche, J. Piper and E. Wilson, (2008). Mitigation measures and adaptation measures and their impacts on Biodiversity - Deliverables 2.2 and 2.3.
- European Environment Agency (2004). Impacts of Europe's changing climate : An indicator-based assessment. EEA, Copenhagen
- Smithers, R, N. Kent, M. Karen, J. van Minnen and M. Harley, (2011). Climate change adaptation indicators for biodiversity, ETC/ACM Technical Paper 2011/14
- Lucius, I., Dan R., Caratas, D., Mey, F., Steinert, J. and Torkler, P., (2011). Green Infrastructure; Sustainable Investments for the Benefit of Both People and Nature WWF

EC (2008). Draft Guidelines on dealing with the impact of climate change on the management of Natura 2000' (ENV B.3./SER/2010/0015r)

UNEP (2009). The Natural Fix? The role of ecosystems in climate mitigation

WWF (2010). Natural solutions; protected areas helping people cope with climate change

EC (2009). White Paper. Adapting to climate change: Towards a European framework for action

Annex 1: GUIDANCE FOR PRACTITIONERS ON CLIMATE CHANGE AND EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT OF ECOLOGICAL NETWORKS, WITH A PARTICULAR FOCUS ON MANAGING CLIMATE CHANGE

Management Measures Matrix

| | TYPE OF MEASURES | On site | Around site | Network level |
|----------|---|---------|-------------|---------------|
| H | 1. Reduce existing pressures: | | | |
| | Restoration activities | x | | |
| A | Increase the size of the protected area to minimise negative influences | | x | |
| B | Development of buffer zones around protected area | | x | |
| I | Development of green infrastructure - ecological corridors, ecotunnels or greenbridges between the protected areas | | | x |
| T | Reduce or eliminate external pollution sources | | x | |
| | | | | |
| | 2. Enhance ecosystems resilience: | | | |
| A | Maintenance and/or restoration of ecosystems | x | | |
| | Establishment of zones with strict nature conservation regime | x | | |
| T | Monitoring of the process in and around Emerald site | x | x | |
| S | Implementation of management actions in the forests, keeping dead wood in | x | | |
| | Meandering of rivers and freshwater-salt water gradients | x | x | x |
| | Maintenance of optimal open habitats for birds | x | | |
| | Meadows and pasture habitats protection | x | | |
| | Improving forestry practices | x | x | |
| | Integrated protection of the biodiversity in the agriculture | x | x | |
| | Sedimentation | x | | |
| | Marshland development | x | x | |
| | Justifying the need of changes of boundaries of the site for protection of the habitats stands | x | x | |
| | Declare suitable territorial corridors if there are areas of high specific diversity outside the site | | x | x |
| | Maintenance of pastures – habitats of small mammals, part of the food chain of predatory birds and orchids; | x | | |
| | Restoration and maintenance of wet meadows and water bodies – habitats of amphibians, food base of water-loving birds; habitats for hydrophilous and hygrophilous plant species, etc. | x | | |
| | | | | |

| | | | | |
|----------|---|---|---|---|
| | 3. <i>Ensure required abiotic conditions</i> | | | |
| | Increasing water retention within the site | X | | |
| | Maintenance of the passability of rivers and gallery riverine forests | X | X | |
| | Restoration of the former hydrological network and wetland areas | X | X | |
| | Ensuring water drainage from the site during excessive periods of rainfalls | X | | |
| | Periodic mowing of vegetation or removal of the topsoil to avoid nutrient enrichment of the site. | X | | |
| | | | | |
| | 4. <i>Management of extreme events</i> | | | |
| | Technical measures, such as the development of dams, or sand suppletion | X | | |
| | Allowing a river or lake to naturally flood the land. | X | X | |
| | Removing the flood defence embankments. | X | | |
| | Route surface water from rivers, ditches or lakes via pipes and drains. | X | X | |
| | Water control structures such as dams, sluices or weirs to control the input and output of water to mimic the natural water level fluctuations within the area. | X | | |
| | Maintenance of the passability of rivers and gallery riverine forests. | X | X | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| S | 1. <i>Reduce existing pressures:</i> | | | |
| | Restoration activities | X | | |
| P | Increase the size of the protected area to minimise negative influences | | X | |
| | Development of buffer zones around protected area | | X | |
| E | Development of ecological corridors, ecotunnels or greenbridges between the protected areas | | | X |
| C | Control of invasive (alien) species and diseases | X | X | |
| | Reduce or eliminate external pollution sources | | X | |
| I | | | | |
| | 2. <i>Enhance species resilience:</i> | | | |
| E | Limiting access to the localities of species of conservation significance | X | | |
| | Protection of species in a critical status | X | | |
| S | Relocation of species as an adaptive strategy - re-introduction and/or translocation and/or conservation or assisted migrations | X | X | |
| | Maintenance of genetic diversity | X | | |
| | Conservation of the gene pool of native tree species | X | | |
| | Creating “stepping stones” for particular species | | | X |
| | Declare the some forest stands for “Old growth Forest” | X | X | X |
| | Maintenance of pastures – habitats of small mammals, part of the food chain of predatory birds and orchids; | X | | |
| | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| 3. Management of extreme events | | | |
| Improve the proportion and range of native species in existing forests while enhancing forest structure and age class distribution | x | | |
| Individual approach of forest management in regions with reported protected species | x | | |
| Restoration of riverain forests | x | x | |
| | | | |
| 4. Control of invasive (alien) species | | | |
| Determination of the distribution and abundance of known invasive species within the site and surround areas. | x | x | |
| Assessment of which invasive species have the potential to impact on overall site management goals. | x | | |
| Detection, prevention and eradication of new alien species. | x | x | |
| Determination of the status and trends of species invasions over time and space | x | | |
| | | | |

This matrix provides an overview of the various measures you might consider. The main categories of measures are:

- Reduce existing pressures
- Increase ecosystem resilience
- Ensure abiotic conditions
- Manage impact of extreme events

If national information of the expected impacts for species and habitats is available, it should certainly be used. Once the main impacts have been determined, we can begin with formulating measures to mitigate or adapt to climate change. A good way to quickly combine all available knowledge is organising a workshop (with stakeholders and experts) to address the impacts of climate change on your site.

As each Emerald site is different, an assessment of the local context is required.

- For extreme events: are refuge areas for species available.
- For altitudinal ('up the mountain') and longitudinal movement of species: is the area embedded in a network of green infrastructure.
- For sea level rise: Are there coastal defences, roads or urban areas in the vicinity or coastal barriers that prevent habitats from moving inland.

Based on the outcomes of the relevant questions a provisional listing of the measures which help to address climate change can be prepared.

Except for the measures mentioned in this matrix, it remains important to think of any additional adaptation measures, which might be relevant based on national, regional and/or local knowledge. For each of the identified measures, it will be useful to identify the relevant stakeholders that we may want to involve in the process of appointing adaptation measures, as certainly some of the stakeholders will gain benefits (ecosystem services) from the adaptation measures and are therefore willing to contribute to set and realize the targets.

Annex 2: GUIDANCE FOR PRACTITIONERS ON CLIMATE CHANGE AND EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT OF ECOLOGICAL NETWORKS, WITH A PARTICULAR FOCUS ON MANAGING CLIMATE CHANGE

Time Management Matrix

European and national information sources, are available that provide spatial scenario of the expected climate changes (e.g. EEA, 2004, Ciscar et al., 2009, Clearing House Mechanism on climate change impacts, vulnerability and adaptation.). This base information of changes in seasonal climatic conditions, will allow to better assessing how the specific Emerald site can contribute to the adaptation and mitigation of some of the expected climate change impacts which will affect other sectors.

Based on the outcomes of the information above an indicative list of measures can be prepared which help to address climate change and a first prioritization can be done. The matrix bellow helps to the protected areas managers to focus on two main ingredients of **Time Management**: importance and urgency. Identifying each measure that have to be implemented the practitioners can see what they really need to be doing and what can be ignored, and what needs to be done first, and what can wait.

| | URGENT | NOT URGENT |
|---------------|---|---|
| IMPORTANT | <p>I Measures, related to climate changes, serious impediment that will be likely to act long time.</p> <p>Measures, related to constraints / threats can be remove by the management of the site and it must take urgent adaptation and mitigation in this regard. Measures, related to potential climate changes within the site have an impact over the whole territory of the site.</p> | <p>II Measures, related to the climate changes with potential impact of the site and its removal is important but can be reduced or controlled over time by the protected area management. Measures, related to potential climate changes within the site have an impact on discrete small areas, habitats and/or species in the site.</p> |
| NOT IMPORTANT | <p>III Measures, related to potential climate changes within the site have an impact, generally or locally, under certain conditions. Measures, related to the removal of potential climate changes impacts not only by the Management body of the site, but it should take the initiative in this respect.</p> | <p>IV Measures, related to climate changes with low potential impact – require additional research and involvement of more institutions and partners in order to undertake the necessary measures.</p> |