



COUNCIL OF EUROPE CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

Strasbourg, 12 February 2008
cdpc-bu/docs 2008/cdpc-bu (2008) 07 - e

CDPC-BU (2008) 07

EUROPEAN COMMITTEE ON CRIME PROBLEMS
(CDPC)

Bureau
(CDPC-BU)

Strasbourg, 16-18 January 2008

Summary Meeting Report

The following abbreviations are used in referring to the CDPC and its subordinate bodies as well as other Council of Europe Committees

CDPC	EUROPEAN COMMITTEE ON CRIME PROBLEMS
CCJE	CONSULTATIVE COUNCIL OF EUROPEAN JUDGES
CDAP	CONFERENCE OF DIRECTORS OF PRISON ADMINISTRATIONS
CDCJ	EUROPEAN COMMITTEE ON LEGAL CO-OPERATION
CDDH	STEERING COMMITTEE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS
CDEG	STEERING COMMITTEE FOR EQUALITY BETWEEN WOMEN AND MEN
EG-TFV	COUNCIL OF EUROPE TASK FORCE TO COMBAT VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN, INCLUDING DOMESTIC VIOLENCE
PACE	PARLIAMENTARY ASSEMBLY OF THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE
PC-CP	COUNCIL FOR PENOLOGICAL CO-OPERATION
PC-OC	COMMITTEE OF EXPERTS ON THE OPERATION OF EUROPEAN CONVENTIONS ON CO-OPERATION IN CRIMINAL MATTERS
PC-S-CP	GROUP OF SPECIALISTS ON COUNTERFEIT PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The CDPC Bureau invited the CDPC to note that it had, in particular:

Follow-up to the 28th Conference of European Ministers of Justice

- entrusted to the PC-OC the examination of the relationship between asylum procedures and extradition procedures, as required by paragraph 16c of Resolution No. 1;
- instructed the Secretariat to appoint a consultant to prepare a report on criminal aspects relating to Resolution No.2 on child-friendly justice;

Draft European Rules for Juvenile Offenders

- provided detailed comments on the draft rules;
- instructed the Secretariat to send the current version of the draft rules, accompanied by an explanatory note, to all CDPC delegations for written comments (deadline: 15 March 2008);
- instructed the PC-CP to complete the draft text in the light of these comments and submit it for adoption by the CDPC plenary in June 2008;
- instructed the Secretariat to submit a document on the age of criminal responsibility for examination at one of the next Bureau meetings;

Conclusions of the 14th Conference of Directors of Prison Administration (CDAP)

- instructed the Secretariat to keep the CDPC informed of the organisation of future CDAP meetings and to prepare a document concerning the workload of the PC-CP and its opinion on the follow up to be given to the conclusions of the Conference;

Committee of Experts on the operation of European conventions on co-operation in criminal matters (PC-OC): simplified extradition

- indicated to the PC-OC that it could include time limit provisions in the draft instrument on simplified extradition, provided that it gives adequate justification;
- instructed the PC-OC to present a progress report on the negotiations concerning the draft text on simplified extradition to the CDPC plenary in June 2008;

Pharmaceutical crime: Committee PC-S-CP

- provided feedback to the PC-S-CP, to be reflected in the Group's Activity Report, which should be presented to the CDPC plenary in June 2008;
- proposed that, if a convention against counterfeit pharmaceutical products were to be drafted, the Group should be authorised to prepare a preliminary draft convention;

Working methods of the CDPC and its Bureau

- approved the revised document on the working methods of the CDPC and its Bureau and requested the Secretariat to send it to all CDPC delegations for approval by written consultation;

Recommendations of the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE) for information and possible comments by the CDPC

- adopted draft opinions on Recommendations 1815 (2007) and 1817 (2007) of the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE), to be approved using the written consultation procedure;

Violence against women, including domestic violence

- instructed the Secretariat to prepare a letter from the Chair of the CDPC addressed to the Chairs of the EG-TFV and the Steering Committee for Equality between Women and Men (CDEG);
- decided to ensure that the CDPC Bureau is represented at the next meetings of the Task Force and the CDEG, if possible;
- proposed that the CDPC plenary hold a specific discussion on the scope of the future convention; taking into account the need for co-ordination with other relevant bodies and the final report of the Task Force;

Opinion of the CCPE on "Ways of improving international co-operation in the criminal justice field"

- decided to send this opinion to the PC-OC for information;
- instructed the Secretariat to prepare a draft document containing the CDPC's comments on this opinion, to be adopted at the next Bureau meeting, prior to the transmission of the two documents to the Committee of Ministers;
- instructed the Secretariat to inform the CCPE of the above;
- decided to hold its next meeting on 13-14 May 2008.

1. OPENING OF THE MEETING

1. The Bureau of the CDPC held its meeting in Strasbourg from 16 to 18 January 2008 with Mr Branislav BOHACIK (Slovakia) in the Chair.
2. The Committee took note of information provided by the Director of Standard Setting of the Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs (DG-HL), Mr Jan Kleijssen, notably on the follow-up to be given to the conclusions of the 28th Conference of European Ministers of Justice held in Lanzarote in October 2007. He also announced that in 2008 the Council of Europe will launch a “mini-campaign” to promote the Convention on the Protection of Children against Sexual Exploitation and Sexual Abuse and that the CDPC will be involved in the implementation of this activity. In addition to that, Mr Kleijssen stated that the CDPC’s main objectives for 2008 should be :
 - the completion of the European rules for juvenile offenders;
 - the elaboration of a final report on pharmaceutical crime;
 - the work of the PC-OC on simplified extradition;
 - the launching of a multidisciplinary activity for the drafting of a comprehensive convention on domestic violence.

2. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

3. The Agenda was adopted, as it appears in Appendix I to this report. Appendix II contains the list of participants.

3. FOLLOW-UP OF THE 28TH CONFERENCE OF EUROPEAN MINISTERS OF JUSTICE (25-26 OCTOBER 2007, LANZAROTE)

4. The Bureau examined the three resolutions adopted at the 28th Conference of the European Ministers of Justice, subject to their approval by the Committee of Ministers.
5. As regards Resolution No. 1 on access to justice for migrants and asylum seekers, and in particular its paragraph 16c in which the Committee of Ministers is invited to entrust the European Committee on Crime Problems (CDPC) to “examine the relationship between asylum procedures and extradition procedures”, the Bureau decided to submit this part of the resolution to the PC-OC for consideration. As this is a highly sensitive area, the Bureau instructed the PC-OC to take stock of the situation in different member States and to reflect on possible responses to common challenges. The Bureau welcomed the proposal of the Chair to prepare, in collaboration with Mr Roland Miklau (Austria), an explanatory document on the issues.
6. The Bureau examined Resolution No. 2 on child-friendly justice, in particular paragraph 23e in which the Committee of Ministers is invited to entrust the European Committee on Crime Problems (CDPC), the European Committee on Legal Co-operation (CDCJ), the Steering Committee for Human Rights (CDDH) as well as the European Commission for the efficiency of justice (CEPEJ) in co-operation with other competent bodies of the Council of Europe, “to (...) prepare elements for European guidelines for child-friendly justice”.
7. The Bureau considered a proposal by the Secretariat for the CDCJ, CDPC, CDDH and CEPEJ to proceed with the implementation of this part of Resolution No. 2 by requesting each of the committees to prepare a report in its relevant field of competence reviewing provisions in existing instruments and highlighting lacunae and areas which required particular attention. The Bureau instructed the Secretariat to appoint a consultant to prepare a report on criminal aspects for the CDPC, compiling information regarding existing provisions at the national and international level. The consultant should bear in mind that the Council of Europe Convention on the protection of children against sexual exploitation and sexual abuse already contains extensive provisions on child-friendly investigative and court procedures for victims of sexual exploitation and sexual abuse, and that the European Rules for juvenile offenders are being drafted in respect of child perpetrators of crime.

8. The Bureau took note of the fact that the reports of the four bodies would be prepared and collated into a single report. It decided that the report on criminal aspects would be examined by the CDPC at its next plenary meeting. On the basis of this report, the CDPC will co-ordinate its contribution to the drafting of European guidelines for child-friendly justice with other Council of Europe competent bodies.
9. The Bureau took note that the 29th Conference of European Ministers of Justice would take place in Norway in June 2009 and decided to start discussing its organisation at its next meeting.

4. COUNCIL FOR PENOLOGICAL CO-OPERATION (PC-CP)

Draft European Rules for juvenile offenders

10. The Bureau examined the draft European Rules for juvenile offenders. It took advantage of the presence of the Chair of the PC-CP, Ms Sonia Snacken (Belgium), to ask for clarifications concerning several provisions of the draft text as well as the PC-CP's general approach. Ms Snacken informed the Bureau in particular that the high level of detail in the draft text and the reproduction of provisions already contained in the European Prison Rules was due to the fact that there are significant differences between member States regarding the legal and institutional framework for dealing with juvenile offenders and that, even within individual member States, many different agencies were involved, who may not be familiar with the European Prison Rules. She also stated that the draft text sought to be comprehensive by bringing together a number of very diverse areas which have been dealt with separately as regards adults.
11. The Bureau provided detailed comments on the draft text and its individual provisions. In particular, it pointed out that the relationship of the text with the European Prison Rules needed to be further clarified, and instructed the PC-CP to analyse this relationship with a view to explicitly justifying the legal technique adopted in the final draft rules. The Bureau was also of the view that the text's scope of application, in particular regarding the institutions to which the draft rules would apply, needed to be more explicit. It observed that the draft rules were too long or detailed at times and noted that in the interest of concision and simplification, provisions dealing with similar issues could be grouped together or merged and that certain details could be transferred to the explanatory report. The Bureau also felt that some provisions reproduced very general principles regulated elsewhere (for example, by the ECHR). Finally, in order to facilitate further discussions by rendering the PC-CP's drafting choices more transparent and the origin of the various provisions more traceable, the Bureau requested the PC-CP to provide a table containing the sources of individual provisions of the draft text.
12. Regarding the timeline for the adoption of the draft text, some members of the Bureau pointed out that due to the decentralised nature of their institutional structure for dealing with juvenile offenders, the draft rules had to be circulated internally well in advance of the CDPC plenary meeting in June 2008. Accordingly, the Bureau instructed the Secretariat to send, as soon as possible, the draft rules in their current version to all CDPC delegations for written comments. The Bureau's comments on individual provisions should be reflected in footnotes in the text. The draft rules should be accompanied by an explanatory note prepared by the Secretariat in collaboration with the Chair of the PC-CP, explaining that the work is still in progress and setting out the overall philosophy of the draft text, as well as a table of sources. The Bureau requested all CDPC delegations to send their written comments on the draft text to the Secretariat by 15 March 2008 at the latest.
13. The Bureau instructed the PC-CP to complete the draft European Rules for juvenile offenders, in the light of its own comments and the written comments from CDPC delegations, in order for the Rules to be adopted by the CDPC plenary in June 2008.
14. As a connected issue, the Secretariat proposed the age of criminal responsibility as a possible subject for further CDPC work. The Bureau welcomed this suggestion and instructed the Secretariat to submit a document on the age of criminal responsibility, as well as connected matters (e.g. the different types of measures which may be taken according to the age of persons who are not adults, prevention, reasons for the tendency of increasing underage criminality, etc.), possibly on the basis of existing comparative studies in the field. It instructed the Secretariat to submit this document for examination at one of the next Bureau meetings.

Information provided by the Secretariat

15. The Bureau took note:
- of the 56th and 57th PC-CP summary meeting reports: the Bureau instructed the PC-CP to focus on its main priorities, and in particular the finalisation of the Draft Rules on juvenile offenders;
 - that the terms of office of three PC-CP members, namely Mr Xavier Ronsin (France), Ms Anna-Katrina Grönholm (Finland) and Mr André Vallotton (Switzerland), would expire in 2008 and that consequently elections needed to be held at the CDPC plenary in June 2008 in order to renew their terms of office or to elect new members. The attention of the Bureau was drawn to the fact that in view of the forthcoming work of the PC-CP, candidates with specific experience in the field of probation and aftercare should be put forward;
 - of the work started by the PC-CP on a draft recommendation concerning probation and aftercare in Europe;
 - of the publication in November 2007 of a Compendium of conventions, recommendations and resolutions relating to penitentiary questions;
 - of the publication of SPACE 2006: the Bureau was informed that no budgetary allocation had been made for a similar publication in 2007.
16. Regarding PC-CP in general, some delegations underlined the importance of this Committee which has a very high workload and pointed to the need of reinforcing it, by changing its composition or the number of meetings it holds per year. The Bureau decided to examine this question on the basis of the Secretariat document to be prepared for the next Bureau meeting (see paragraph 19 below).

Conclusions of the 14th Conference of Directors of Prison Administration (CDAP)

17. The Secretariat provided background information on the Conference of Directors of Prison Administration, which is organised since 1971, as a rule on a biennial basis. The organisation of the Conference is included in the terms of reference of the PC-CP and financed from a separate budget line than that of the CDPC. Conclusions were adopted for the first time at the 14th Conference.
18. The Bureau examined the conclusions adopted at the 14th Conference. It took note of an e-mail sent by the UK delegation to the Secretariat regarding the procedure followed for the adoption of these conclusions by the CDAP and instructed the Secretariat to respond to this e-mail. The Bureau further instructed the Secretariat to keep it closely informed of the organisation of future CDAP meetings.
19. Considering the existing workload of the PC-CP and the priority given to the finalisation of the draft Rules for juvenile offenders, the Bureau decided to assess the need for further PC-CP action for the follow up of these conclusions at a later stage. For this purpose, the Bureau instructed the Secretariat to prepare a document setting out the priorities of the PC-CP, its current and future activities, as well as the PC-CP's opinion on the follow up to be given to the conclusions of the CDAP. On the basis of this overview, the Bureau will prepare discussions concerning the activities of the PC-CP for the plenary meeting in June 2008.

5. COMMITTEE OF EXPERTS ON THE OPERATION OF EUROPEAN CONVENTIONS ON CO-OPERATION IN CRIMINAL MATTERS (PC-OC)**Simplified extradition**

20. The Bureau examined the preliminary draft text relating to simplified extradition prepared by the PC-OC and provided comments. It noted that the text is at a preliminary stage and that a thorough revision will be necessary.
21. With regard to the time-limits issue in particular, the Bureau responded to the question of the PC-OC whether time limit provisions could be included in a draft instrument on simplified extradition. The Bureau considered that the PC-OC should have a high degree of flexibility in drafting the future instrument. The Bureau also considered that the mandate of the CDPC did not specifically address the issue of time-limits in the simplified procedure. It agreed therefore on indicating to the PC-OC that it could include time limit provisions in the draft instrument on simplified extradition, if the PC-OC considers such provisions to be necessary and provided that it gives adequate and clear justification

for their inclusion. As regards deadlines for surrender, some delegations stated that this was a general question, which is already dealt with by the European Convention on Extraditions, and that it should therefore be set aside.

22. Concerning procedural aspects, the Bureau considered that it would be unrealistic to expect the draft instrument to be adopted by the CDPC plenary in June 2008. It requested the PC-OC to present a progress report on the negotiations concerning the draft text on simplified extradition for discussion at that plenary meeting. This would allow the CDPC to give further instruction to the PC-OC, if necessary, with a view to the finalisation of the text by the latter for adoption by the CDPC plenary in 2009.

Information provided by the Secretariat

23. The Bureau noted that following the High Level conference of Ministries of Justice and of the Interior on “improving European co-operation in the criminal justice field” the PC-OC examined a proposal to draft a Second Additional Protocol to the European Convention on the Transfer of Sentenced Persons (ETS 112). The Greek delegation reiterated the information provided to the PC-OC plenary that the proposal in question was a proposal of principle, and not a final text, and that the problem should be addressed in the widest possible context. The Bureau noted that the issue of foreign prisoners should be further considered (also in relation to the future work of the PC-CP). The Chair noted that the problem of foreign prisoners merits attention from several angles, such as prison rules, Human Rights aspects and transfer, and could be one of the priorities for the CDPC in 2009.
 24. The Bureau considered the activities of the PC-OC to implement its mandate concerning normative measures relating to extradition and practical measures to improve the operation of relevant conventions. The Bureau noted in particular that the practical measures, which include the development of a network of national single points of contact and a database on information on national procedures on judicial co-operation in the criminal field, would have no budgetary implications.
 25. The Bureau noted that the terms of reference of the PC-OC expire on 31 December 2008. It agreed that this item should be examined at the plenary meeting in June 2008, in order to allow the CDPC to propose the prolongation of the PC-OC’s terms of reference to the Committee of Ministers on time.
- 6. PHARMACEUTICAL CRIME: COMMITTEE PC-S-CP**
26. The Bureau took note of the oral information provided by the Chair of the PC-S-CP, Mr Claude Debrulle (Belgium), and welcomed the progress achieved by the Group after its first two meetings. The Bureau examined the preliminary draft report prepared by the PC-S-CP and provided a few preliminary comments for the Group to consider at its next meeting in March 2008.
 27. The Bureau considered the multidisciplinary character of the topic and stressed the need to continue co-ordinating the work of the PC-S-CP with other relevant bodies of the Council of Europe. It instructed the PC-S-CP to take into account the existing conventions of the Council of Europe that are relevant to combating counterfeiting of pharmaceutical products (Convention on Cybercrime, relevant Conventions on cooperation in criminal matters, Trafficking Convention etc.) and to focus on those aspects that specifically require the introduction of new provisions in a future convention. The Chair advised the PC-S-CP to bear in mind that the issue of conflicts of jurisdiction is a complex matter and recalled that it is addressed in the Convention on the Transfer of Criminal Proceedings.
 28. The Bureau emphasised the need for the Group to reflect existing gaps in the national legislations of member States in its final report. In this context, it took note of the information provided by the German delegation concerning a questionnaire being currently circulated among the G8 member states on counterfeit medical products.
 29. Some members of the Bureau highlighted the importance of defining the scope of a future convention precisely. The Bureau agreed that, even though a future convention should focus on counterfeiting, it should be understood in a broad sense and should target counterfeiting of pharmaceutical products as well as other related offences that endanger public health and the health of individuals. One member of the Bureau expressed the view that a convention should not seek to criminalise counterfeiting of medical products when these products do not threaten public health and the health of individuals.

30. The Bureau instructed the PC-S-CP to present its Activity Report to the CDPC plenary in June 2008. If the decision is taken to draft a convention against counterfeit pharmaceutical products, the Bureau proposed that the Group should be entrusted with the preparation of a preliminary draft convention before the end of 2008, given that its current terms of reference are valid until 31 December 2008. This text should then be negotiated by a multidisciplinary committee of experts with the full participation of all Council of Europe member States.
31. The Bureau instructed the Secretariat to provide information to the CDPC plenary in June 2008 concerning budgetary implications of preparing a convention on the basis of the proposals above.
- 7. INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE CHAIRMAN OF THE CDPC: ARTICLE 23 OF THE CONVENTION ON THE TRANSFER OF SENTENCED PERSONS (CETS N° 112)**
32. The Bureau took note of the information provided by the Chair on the state of play in a case relating to the requested transfer of a foreign national serving a prison sentence in another State.
- 8. INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE SECRETARIAT**
33. The Bureau took note of the information provided by the Secretariat on the activities of the PC-CP (see paragraphs 15-16 above) and the PC-OC (see paragraphs 23-24 above).
- 9. WORKING METHODS OF THE CDPC AND ITS BUREAU**
34. The Bureau approved the revised document “Working methods of the CDPC and its Bureau” (doc. CDPC-BU (2007) 02 rev3) and requested the Secretariat to send it to all CDPC delegations for approval using the written procedure.
- 10. APPOINTMENT OF A CDPC REPRESENTATIVE TO THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION FOR THE EFFICIENCY OF JUSTICE (CEPEJ) AND TO THE CONSULTATIVE COUNCIL OF EUROPEAN JUDGES (CCJE)**
35. The Bureau took note of the information provided by the Secretariat concerning the dates of the next plenary meetings of the CEPEJ and the CCJE (2-3 July 2008 and 12-14 November 2008 respectively). It instructed the Secretariat to invite the heads of delegations to propose candidates for these positions and proposed that a CDPC representative to the CEPEJ and the CCJE be appointed by the CDPC plenary in June 2008.
- 11. RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PARLIAMENTARY ASSEMBLY OF THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE (PACE) FOR INFORMATION AND POSSIBLE COMMENTS BY THE CDPC**
36. The Bureau adopted draft opinions on Parliamentary Assembly Recommendation 1815 (2007) on “Prostitution – which stance to take?” and on Parliamentary Assembly Recommendation 1817 (2007) on “Parliaments united in combating domestic violence against women” with amendments and decided to send them to all CDPC delegations for approval using the written consultation procedure by 25 January 2008.
37. The Bureau took note of Parliamentary Assembly Recommendation 1814 (2007) “Towards decriminalisation of defamation” and agreed that a CDPC response to this Recommendation was not necessary.
- 12. VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN, INCLUDING DOMESTIC VIOLENCE**
38. The Bureau took note that, in accordance with its instructions given to the Secretariat at its last meeting in September 2007, the Feasibility Study for a convention on domestic violence, prepared by an external consultant and presented to the CDPC at its plenary meeting in June 2007, had been transmitted to the Task Force to Combat Violence against Women, including Domestic Violence (EG-TFV) and to the Steering Committee for Equality between Women and Men (CDEG) for opinion.

39. The Bureau was informed that the Task Force had held preliminary discussions on the Feasibility Study at its meeting on 29-31 October 2007 and would continue the discussions at its next meeting at the end of February 2008. It also noted that the CDEG had held an exchange of views on the Feasibility Study with the Chair of the Task Force at its meeting on 28-30 November 2007 and that the CDEG and the Task Force intended to provide an opinion to the CDPC by June 2008.
40. The Bureau took note of the information provided by the Secretariat about the developments concerning this issue. As regards the scope of a future comprehensive convention, the Bureau decided to resume discussions on this important aspect at its next meeting. It proposed that the CDPC plenary hold a specific discussion on this issue and in particular on the scope – more comprehensive than just violence against women – of the future convention, taking into account the final report of the Task Force and the need for co-ordination with other relevant bodies. In the interest of improving co-ordination, the Bureau decided that it should be represented, if possible, at the next meetings of the Task Force and the CDEG.
41. As regards timing, the Bureau underlined the need to inform all Council of Europe bodies involved of the time constraints faced by the CDPC, and notably of the fact that it has only a plenary per year. To that end, it instructed the Secretariat to prepare a letter from the Chair of the CDPC addressed to the Chairs of the Task Force and the CDEG, requesting that they provide all the available relevant documents to the CDPC in due time for it to prepare its work on this important issue at its next plenary meeting on 2-6 June 2008.

13. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

Opinion of the Consultative Council of European Prosecutors (CCPE)

42. The Bureau examined the opinion of the Consultative Council of European Prosecutors (CCPE) on "Ways of improving international co-operation in the criminal justice field". It noted that despite the Chair's contact with the CCPE, the content of the document in question was partly overlapping with the work of the PC-OC. On the other hand, the Bureau expressed a positive view concerning the fact that most of the proposals put forward by the CCPE were already being dealt with by the CDPC and its subordinate bodies, in particular PC-OC, in substance.
43. The Bureau decided to send this opinion to the PC-OC for information. It instructed the Secretariat to prepare a draft document containing the CDPC's comments on this opinion and detailing all its activities in progress already implementing the substance of the CCPE's recommendations. This document should be adopted at the next Bureau meeting, prior to being transmitted to the Committee of Ministers together with the opinion of the CCPE.
44. The Bureau instructed the Secretariat to inform the CCPE of the above.
45. The Bureau took note of the information provided by Mr Branislav Boháčik (Slovakia) regarding a case involving the extradition by Slovakia to Algeria of a suspected member of a terrorist organisation, which raises certain questions concerning diplomatic guarantees, and of Mr Boháčik's request for an exchange of views on this issue.

14. DATES OF THE NEXT MEETING

46. The CDPC Bureau agreed to hold its next meeting in Strasbourg on 13-14 May 2008.

APPENDIX I

AGENDA

1. **Opening of the meeting**
2. **Adoption of the draft agenda**
Working documents
 Draft agenda CDPC-BU (2008) OJ 1
 Draft annotated agenda CDPC-BU (2008) 03
3. **Follow-up of the 28th Conference of the European Ministers of Justice (25-26 October 2007, Lanzarote)**
Working documents
 Resolution No. 1 on access to justice for migrants and asylum seekers Resolution No. 1
 Resolution No. 2 on child-friendly justice Resolution No. 2
Documents for information
 List of participants MJU-28 LP
 Resolution N°3 Resolution No. 3
4. **Council for Penological Co-operation (PC-CP): draft European Rules for juvenile offenders subject to community sanctions or measures or deprived of their liberty**
Working documents
 Draft European Rules for juvenile offenders PC-CP (2006) 13 rev6
 Explanatory report PC-CP (2007) 05 rev
5. **Committee of Experts on the operation of European conventions on co-operation in criminal matters (PC-OC): simplified extradition**
Working documents
 PC-OC 53rd Summary meeting report PC-OC (2007) 14
 Draft text relating to simplified extradition PC-OC (2007) 11 rev
6. **Pharmaceutical crime: Committee PC-S-CP**
Working documents
 Draft Final Report PC-S-CP (2007) 02
 Terms of reference PC-S-CP (2007) tor
 1st Summary meeting report PC-S-CP (2007) 03
 2nd Summary meeting report PC-S-CP (2007) 04
7. **Information provided by the Chairman of the CDPC : Article 23 of the Convention on the Transfer of Sentenced Persons (CETS N° 112)**
Working documents
 Convention on the Transfer of Sentenced Persons CETS N°112
 Recommendation R (99) 20 concerning the friendly settlement of any R (99) 20
 difficulty that may arise out of the application of the Council of Europe conventions in the penal field
 Information Note - Friendly settlement of disputes relating to the PC-OC (2005) 02
 interpretation or application of the Council of Europe conventions in the criminal-law field
8. **Information provided by the Secretariat**
 - a. Follow-up to the High Level conference of Ministries of Justice and of the Interior on “improving European co-operation in the criminal justice field”: proposal to draft a Second Additional Protocol to the European Convention on the Transfer of Sentenced Persons (CETS 112)
Working documents
 Summary of replies to the questionnaire PC-OC (2007) 08rev

- Replies to the questionnaire on the proposal concerning the drafting of a Second Additional Protocol to the European Convention on the Transfer of Sentenced Persons PC-OC (2007) 07 rev
- b. Practical measures to improve the efficiency of international co-operation in criminal matters: budgetary implications
Working document
Practical measures to improve operation of relevant conventions PC-OC (2007) 05
- c. Council for Penological Co-operation (PC-CP): recent and forthcoming work of the Council of Europe related to penitentiary issues including probation
Working documents
Ad hoc terms of reference for the Council for Penological Co-operation relating to probation and aftercare services in the European criminal justice systems PC-CP (2006) 07rev5
Conclusions of the 14th Conference of Directors of Prison Administration (CDAP) CDAP (2007) conclusions
Compendium of conventions, recommendations and resolutions relating to penitentiary questions Compendium
9. **Working methods of the CDPC and its Bureau**
Working document
Working methods of the CDPC and its Bureau CDPC (2007) 02 rev3
10. **Appointment of a CDPC representative to the European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ)**
11. **Recommendations of the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE) for information and possible comments by the CDPC**
Working documents
Recommendation 1814 (2007) Towards decriminalisation of defamation PACE Rec 1814 (2007)
Recommendation 1815 (2007) on Prostitution – which stance to take? PACE Rec 1815 (2007)
Draft opinion CDPC-BU (2008) 01
Recommendation 1817 (2007) on “Parliaments united in combating domestic violence against women”: mid-term assessment of the Campaign PACE Rec 1817 (2007)
Draft opinion CDPC-BU (2008) 02
12. **Violence against women, including domestic violence**
Working document
Feasibility study for a Convention against domestic violence CDPC (2007) 09rev
13. **Any other business**
Opinion of the Consultative Council of European Prosecutors (CCPE) on “Ways of improving international co-operation in the criminal justice field”
Working document
Opinion N°1 (2007) CCPE (2007) 25
14. **Dates of the next meeting**

APPENDIX II

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS / LISTE DE PARTICIPANTS

AUSTRIA / AUTRICHE

Mr Roland MIKLAU, Former Director General, Criminal Law, Ministry of Justice, Head of Mission

DENMARK / DANEMARK

Mr Jesper HJORTENBERG, Deputy Director of Public Prosecution, Office of the Director of Public Prosecution

FRANCE

M. Eric RUELLE, Chargé de Mission pour les négociations pénales internationales, Ministère de la Justice

GERMANY / ALLEMAGNE

Mr Hans-Holger HERRNFELD, Regierungsdirektor, Head of International Criminal Law and European and Multilateral Criminal Law Cooperation Division

GREECE / GRECE

Ms Maria GAVOUNELI, Lecturer in International Law, Faculty of Law, University of Athens

ROMANIA / ROUMANIE

M. Florian Razvan RADU, Direction des Relations Internationales et des Droits de l'Homme

RUSSIAN FEDERATION / FEDERATION DE RUSSIE

Mr Alexander ZMEYEVSKIY, Director, Department on New Challenges and Threats, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, *Apologised / Excusé*

SLOVAK REPUBLIC / REPUBLIQUE SLOVAQUE

Mr Branislav BOHÁČIK, Director – Division for Judicial Co-operation in Criminal Matters, Ministry of Justice
Chair of the CDPC / Président du CDPC

SLOVENIA / SLOVENIE

Ms Andreja LANG, Constitutional Court of Republic of Slovenia

* * * * *

COUNCIL FOR PENOLOGICAL CO-OPERATION (PC-CP) / CONSEIL DE COOPERATION PENOLOGIQUE (PC-CP)

Ms Sonja SNACKEN **Chair of the PC-CP / Présidente du PC-CP**
Professor, Department of Criminology, Faculty of Law

GROUP OF SPECIALISTS ON COUNTERFEIT PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS (PC-S-CP) / GROUPE DE SPECIALISTES SUR LES PRODUITS PHARMACEUTIQUES CONTREFAITS (PC-S-CP)

M. Claude DEBRULLE **Chair of the PC-S-CP / Président du PC-S-CP**
Directeur Général honoraire, Direction Générale de la Législation, des Libertés et Droits fondamentaux, Ministère de la Justice

* * * * *

SECRETARIAT OF THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE
SECRETARIAT DU CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs / Direction Générale des droits de l'Homme et des affaires juridiques (DG-HL)

Mr Jan KLEIJSEN, Director of Standard-setting / Directeur des activités normatives

Law Reform Department / Service des réformes législatives

Tel. +33-3-88 41 35 27 / 30 42

Fax +33-3-88 41 27 94

E-mail dgi.cdpc@coe.int

Ms Margaret KILLERBY, Head of the Law Reform Department / Chef du Service des réformes législatives

M. Carlo CHIAROMONTE, Head of the Criminal Law Division / Chef de la Division du droit pénale

Secretary to the CDPC / Secrétaire du CDPC

Mr David DOLIDZE, Administrator / Administrateur

Deputy Secretary to the CDPC / Secrétaire adjoint au CDPC

Mr Hasan BERMEK, Administrator / Administrateur

Ms Iliana TANEVA, Head of the Offenders and Victims of Crime Unit / Chef d'Unité Délinquants et Victimes du crime

Ms Claire ROBINS, Assistant / Assistante

Mme Amandine GALLAIS, Trainee / Stagiaire

* * * * *

INTERPRETERS / INTERPRETES

Mme Martine CARALY – Team leader / chef d'équipe

M. Didier JUNGLING

Mme Isabelle MARCHINI