

Strasbourg, 23 September 2013 pc-cp\docs 2013\pc-cp(2013)13E

PC-CP (2013) 13

# EUROPEAN COMMITTEE ON CRIME PROBLEMS (CDPC)

Council for Penological Co-operation (PC-CP)

## SURVEY OF ELECTRONIC MONITORING (EM) IN EUROPE: ANALYSIS OF QUESTIONNAIRES 2013

By Mike Nellis
Professor of Criminal and Community Justice
University of Strathclyde, School of Law
Glasgow, United Kingdom

#### Introduction

One of the continuing aims of the CEP EM conferences has been to survey member countries use of EM, to document the nature and scale of their programmes, and identify new developments. The CEP EM questionnaire was adapted by the PC-CP in 2013 and sent to designated individuals responsible for EM in all the member countries of the Council of Europe. With promptings from the Secretariat, the response rate was respectable, but by no means complete: 23 countries responded, some to inform us that they did not do EM. However, some countries which have been doing EM for some time did not respond. The resulting tables are thus only a partial picture of the scale and nature of EM in Europe. They are grouped around three areas:

Table 1 Organisational Arrangements, Costs and Numbers

Table 2 Monitoring Processes

Table 3 Supplementary Issues

This questionnaire asked - in a way that original CEP version never did - whether any countries had decided against using EM, and while some mentioned pilots that had been run and not pursued or mainstreamed for a range of reasons, usually to do with perceived cost effectiveness, no country seems to have rejected the use of EM in principle, or seen it as a penal measure that they would never use, other things been equal.

Many of the answers on the questionnaires do not permit exact comparisons: people answered the same question in different ways, with different emphases. Any judgements about exactly what is happening in a given country should be made with care.

### **Table 1 - Organisational Arrangements, Costs and Numbers**

Countries are evenly balanced between those who have multiple EM schemes, and those who have only one or two.

EM remains predominantly RF, but GPS is increasing in significance. Except where GPS is used, offenders on RF EM tend to be those who have committed less serious offenders

In most countries state agencies are responsible for administering EM, but often in conjunction with a local company who may do installations and provide technical back up, as well as buying or renting equipment from recognised suppliers e.g. G4S or 3MEM (formerly ElmoTech). The use of a private company to deliver a full monitoring service is rare.

Numbers of people on EM remain relatively low. There is nothing to suggest EM is significantly displacing other forms of community supervision, where it exists, but this too warrants further investigation

Costs per day still vary - but this data in particular should be read with caution. Even though countries have explained what the daily costs take into account (typically costs of equipment, installation of the devices in people's homes and monitoring them from a monitoring centre the precise forms of accounting remain opaque.

Country Type of scheme	Type of Tech	Service delivery by	Daily Cost (and components of cost)	Daily Numbers on 31.12.2012
Albania  pre-trial bail. court order. condition of court order. execution of prison sent. early release parole.	(all schemes - regional pilots -	Probation Service and a contracted private company, GPS Global	Not available	Not available (because the scheme is so new)
Austria court order. condition of court order. execution of prison sentence		Probation Service (NEUSTART)	22 Euros cost of social work and equipment	3 on condition of court order, 142 on execution of prison sentence, 50 on early release,

Country Type of scheme	Type of Tech	Service delivery by	Daily Cost (and components of cost)	Daily Numbers on 31.12.2012
execution of prison	voice verification for under 8 months, RF for 8months-3 years	Prison Service and a contracted private company (3MEM) for the equipment only	29 Euros cost of equipment and probation and monitoring staff.	924 on execution of prison sentence, 371 on early release
Cyprus	RF nationwide pilot	Prison service and G4S	no information	no information
Czech Republic court order early release	RF nationwide pilot scheme between 1.8.12 and 30/11/12	Probation and Mediation Service and a contracted private company, unnamed	165 Czech Crown cost of equipment and installation	41 on court order, 2 on early release (at end of pilot)
	Mobile telephone frequency tagging	Probation Service and a contracted private company, 3MEM	56 Euros  cost of equipment and supervision programmes for inmates	317 on execution of a prison sentence
Germany - Hessen pre-trial bail; condition of a court order; execution of a prison sentence; early-release post-release		Probation Service and a contracted private company, using 3MEM equipment	30.03 Euros for all schemes equipment, installation and monitoring costs	50 people on pre- trial bail; 41 in all on the condition of court order, execution of prison sentence and early release; 1 on post release
Germany - Baden- Wurttemberg execution of prison sentence	pilot 10.2011 to 3/2012.	Probation Service and a contracted private company, Total Walther, using 3MEM equipment	27 Euros equipment, installation and monitoring costs	0 - the pilot was over at this point

Country Type of scheme	Type of Tech	Service delivery by	Daily Cost (and components of cost)	Daily Numbers on 31.12.2012
Germany - Mecklenberg - Westerm Pomerania post-release		Securitas, with	109.76 Euros equipment, installation and monitoring costs	5 people
Germany - Federal GPS Scheme. post-release		Joint Monitoring Centre of the Federal States, using a private company and 3MEM as suppliers of equipment	cost data not available	28 people
early release,		Sanctions Agency and unnamed private company	and 67 Euros for GPS	18 on execution of prison sentence; 150 on early release; inmate numbers not available
Ireland early release	scheme running nationwide	Prison Service and a contracted private company, Chubb Ireland (using Buddi technology, for the equipment only		none on 31/12/12; 30 people monitored since start of scheme in March 2013
Lithuania Post-release	nationwide	, , ,	13.52 Lt (approx.) rental of EM equipment	5 on post - release

Country Type of scheme	Type of Tech	Service delivery by	Daily Cost (and components of cost)	Daily Numbers on 31.12.2012
	execution of a prison sentence, which is RF only	Agencies, with	75 Euros for all schemes except Execution of a prison sentence, which costs 45 Euro.	94 on pre-trial bail, 23 on condition of court order, 132 on early release
Norway execution of a prison sentence early release	RF and GPS	Probation Service and a contracted private company, G4S	100 Euro for each scheme costs of equipment, installation, monitoring and travel.	109 on execution of a prison sentence, 33 on early release
Portugal  pre-trial bail; execution of a prison sentence; post-release: and a Domestic Violence tracking scheme	RF and GPS	Probation Service and a contracted private company, SVEP (using 3MEM equipment)	14.2 Euros for each scheme, except the GPS domestic violence scheme which is 21.12 Euros cost includes equipment, installation, staff and operations	495 on pre-trial bail, 100 on execution of a prison sentence; 15 on post release and 105 on GPS domestic violence scheme.
Scotland  court order, condition of court order; early release; post-release. juvenile scheme	RF and GPS	Contracted private company G4S	data not available	241 on court order, 7 in condition of court order; 398 on early release; 17 on post release; 2 juveniles
Serbia execution of a prison sentence	RF	Prison Administration (using 3MEM technology)	data not yet available	284 on execution of a prison sentence

Country Type of scheme	Type of Tech	Service delivery by	Daily Cost (and components of cost)	Daily Numbers on 31.12.2012
Spain early release	verification and GPS	Prison Service using CLECE to install and 3MEM equipment	5.5 Euros costs include savings on prison, equipment and monitoring	1746 on early release
Sweden execution of prison sentence; early release, inmate monitoring of open prisons		Probation Service using 3MEM equipment	3.5 Euros  cost of equipment, installation monitoring	386 on execution of a prison sentence; no figure for early release; 381 for inmate monitoring
Switzerland (Basel city) Execution of a Prison Sentence		Prison Service and a contracted private company (3MEM) for the equipment only	65 Euros per day costs of equipment, installation and monitoring	17 on execution of prison sentence, 5 on early release

### **Table 2 - Monitoring Processes**

Some from of assessment, by one or more agencies, does take place before people are placed on EM - but exactly how this is done and what it entails - the assumptions made about the good that EM might do - warrants more attention.

Even where the law allows the electronic monitoring of juveniles as young as 14, the majority of offenders on it are adults.

Consent is the norm, both for the offender and his/her fellow occupants in a house - but in those countries who do not require consent - often, but not always, GPS schemes for higher risk offenders - consent may not be required of other householders either

Most, but interestingly not all, countries, have specified minimum and maximum periods in EM sentences and licences. Only where GPS is used with higher risk offenders do periods on EM extend beyond a year - three -four moths seems to be the norm, although there is considerable variation

Periods of full 24 hour lockdown are not uncommon, but this may disguise the fact that offenders are allowed time away from home, at the discretion of the authorities. This should not be a case of complacency, however- the longer period of daily confinement the less tenable is the idea of using EM for rehabilitation in the community

Revocation may be done by an executive agency as well as a judicial authority. Revocation rates - where, for whatever reason, the EM-order is not completed - are mostly low, suggesting that

offenders selected for EM are well assessed for their likely compliance, or are offenders with low risks of reoffending.

A range of offenders are placed on RF EM, including some violent offenders (actual bodily harm rather than anything more serious) - but in the main they are non-violent . Sexual and violent offenders are the main exclusions from general EM schemes, but some GPS schemes are focussed specifically on them.

Country	Assessment by Min.Age limit Offender Consent	Duration of Order	Daily Monitoring Periods	Revocation by Revocation Rate (RR)	Commonest Offences Exclusions
	Assessment by probation service, only for execution of a prison sentence.  No age limit.  Consent not required	maximum		Prosecution and court. No data on rate	no data available
Austria	centre 14 upwards			RR 14.30% for condition of court order: 5.70% for execution of prison sentence	Crimes against property, assaults, torts of negligence no exclusions, but special care in domestic violence and sexual offences

Country	Assessment by Min.Age limit Offender Consent	Duration of Order	Daily Monitoring Periods	Revocation by Revocation Rate (RR)	Commonest Offences Exclusions
	of Justice of Psychosocial Service in prison minimum of 18, except for juveniles aged 16-18 who are sentenced as adults at the court's discretion  Consent required	prison sentence - minimum: 1 week. Early release: minimum 3	hours, maximum 24 hours, with a 12 hour maximum of unmonitored time	Director or sometimes central government; in early release - a	drug and theft offences for execution of a prison sentence; unknown for early  No exclusions
Cyprus	no data yet available	no data yet available			no data yet available
	and Mediation service 15 upwards Consent is to house or arrest or conditions of	court order; 37- 56 days for early release Average days on court order 50; Average		Mediation Service RR: 9.74 on court order; 0 on early release	For court orders: obstructing arrest; neglect of compulsory maintenance; theft; menace due to intoxication in cases of early release: Obstructing arrest and actual bodily harm  No exclusions
	service .	min 7 days, maximum 5 months from July 2013 - 6 months)			44% violence, 23% traffic code

Country	Assessment by Min.Age limit Offender Consent	Duration of Order	Daily Monitoring Periods	Revocation by Revocation Rate (RR)	Commonest Offences Exclusions
Finland  Germany -	The Criminal Sanctions Agency does all assessments 15 upwards Consent required		24 hours, but with discretionary periods of absence from home; on early release the offender is expected to stay home between 9pm and 6am		drunk driving, property crime; there are no restrictions offences eligible for early release exclusions include aggravated violence, domestic violence, or where offending can be done from home, e.g. drug dealing fraud, theft,
Hessen	/courts may ask Probation for report. 14 upwards consent required		of the court		robbery, drug related offences, traffic incidents bodily harm No exclusions
Germany - Baden- Wurttemberg	•	30-180 days 90 days average	collected 24 hours	Director revokes	theft Sexual, drug and violent offenders excluded
Germany - Mecklenberg- Western Pomerania	age 14, but in practice, adults	years (with possibility of indefinite			high risk sexual and violent offenders no exclusions

Country	Assessment by Min.Age limit Offender Consent	Duration of Order	Daily Monitoring Periods	Revocation by Revocation Rate (RR)	Commonest Offences Exclusions
Germany - Federal GPS Scheme	practice, adults	5 year maximum, with exceptional possibility of extension to life, with 2 yearly reviews		RR: no data yet available	sexual and violent crimes offences not punishable by a sentence of under 1 year (unless a sexual offence) are excluded
Ireland	Prison Service assesses 18 upward consent required	variable length no average figures available	inclusion, 24 hours for	revokes	variable offences no exclusions
Lithuania		3-12 months no average figure available	available	Service	no data available on offences or exclusions

Country	Assessment by Min.Age limit Offender Consent	Duration of Order	Daily Monitoring Periods	Revocation by Revocation Rate (RR)	Commonest Offences Exclusions
Netherlands	16 upwards consent required	pre-trial bail; no specified minimum or maximum for condition of a court order, though in practice it's 4 years)  average time on pre-trial and condition of court order - 3-4 months; on execution of prison sentence 27 days, on early release 4	instances	judge in respect of community measures: prosecutor	violent offences, theft and sex offences. no exclusions, except for sex offenders in exclusion from prison sentence
Norway	Probation Service assesses 15 upwards Consent required	months Average time: 33 days	in both schemes - no minimum in practice - people serve 16-21 hours indoors 21 hours maximum	Service in both schemes no data on revocation rate	traffic offences, drink drive and theft for execution of prison sentence; property and drug offences for early release;  Domestic violence is excluded from both
Portugal	Probation service assesses 16 upwards consent required	No specified minimum or maximum.  Average on pre-trial 6-12 months; on execution of prison sentence 9 months; on post release 6 months	full 24 hours	RR: for pre- trial scheme 3.13%; for execution of prison sentence 0.88%; for post-release 0%: for domestic	for pre-trial and post release: property offences and drug trafficking; execution of prison sentence: road crimes, drink driving;

Country	Assessment by Min.Age limit Offender Consent	Duration of Order	Daily Monitoring Periods	Revocation by Revocation Rate (RR)	Commonest Offences Exclusions
	social work assesses for court orders: prison service(with input from criminal justice social workers) assesses for early release and post release: childcare social workers assess for juveniles	days only where EM is imposed as a breach condition of a court order; early release 2 weeks - 6 months		both court orders, Prison service for early release, Parole Board for post release, children's hearings for juveniles.	breach of the peace, vandalism theft and assault for court orders; data not available for early and post release there are some exclusions from early release, e.g. sex offenders
	required	I day - 12 months Average: 6 months		Administration RR: 2-3 cases per year	abuses of power

Country	Assessment by Min.Age limit Offender Consent	Duration of Order	Daily Monitoring Periods	Revocation by Revocation Rate (RR)	Commonest Offences Exclusions
	Commission at the Penitentiary Centre	,	8-24 hours	revokes RR - 2%	All offences are eligible, except sexual crimes and domestic violence, which are excluded
	both involved in assessment Adults (with some juveniles) Consent required	months - early release 1-	8-23 hours	Board RR for execution of a prison sentence 10%; for early release 6%	for execution of a prison sentence: drunk driving and assault  all offences on early release and in open prisons  exclusions from executions of prison sentence: those who can offend from home and foreign citizens due for deportation after sentence

### Table 3 - Supplementary Issues

Of these, the question of whether EM is integrated into support and rehabilitation structures. is arguably the most important. In the main, the questionnaires suggest that is - but there is still much room for a more detailed understanding of exactly what kind support is undertaken, and how well EM is actually integrated into it, and used (or not) to contribute to rehabilitative purposes. Unsurprisingly, most countries who did offer support indicated that it was provided by the Probation Service.

Remote alcohol monitoring is little used.

Victim notification of an offender's involvement in EM is not uncommon. Some countries have regulations (victim registers) which make it mandatory in some instances. for others it is

discretionary. The use of "bilateral" EM - where the victim carries a receiver which tells her if the GPS tracked offender comes within proximity of her - is not common.

Most countries seem to understand the importance of embedding EM within data protection practices. Some use existing national legislation on data protection, or existing offender management protocols, or both: some have devised EM-specific forms of data management. Only Germany specified clear periods by which data would need to be erased. More research into this - not wait countries mean by data protection in this context - is warranted.

Country	Remote Alcohol Mon.	Use of Support Programme	Victim Notification	Data Protection
Albania		yes, for execution of a prison sentence; early release and post release, not in pretrial, court order or execution of a prison sentence	yes, conditions of court orders, not in other schemes	yes, in compliance with existing data protection legislation
Austria	yes	yes	only in the case of sexual offences, if the victim has requested prior information	no data available
Belgium	no	yes	no for execution of a prison sentence; in respect of early release victim has right to be heard before the court, and may learn of the decision that way.	yes, "by law and contract"
Cyprus	no data available	no	no data available	no data available
Czech Republic	no	no	no	anonymisation of private data through ID number, confidentiality agreements for staff
Denmark	no	yes	no	use of encrypted data; limited staff access to networks
Germany - Hessen	no	yes	"not necessarily"	data erased after 6 weeks

Country	Remote Alcohol Mon.	Use of Support Programme	Victim Notification	Data Protection
Germany - Baden- Wurttemberg	no	no	no	no
Germany - Mecklenberg Western Pomerania	available, but not in use	yes	discretionary decision: bilateral EM may be used	yes, data will routinely be deleted, staff are security cleared
Germany - Federal GPS scheme	no	yes	"not necessarily"	data erased after 2 months, in accordance with criminal code
Finland	no	yes	no	yes, in compliance with Criminal Sanctions Agency protocols
Ireland	no	no	yes, if victims is registered with the Prison Service	anonymisation of data through ID numbers.
Lithuania	no	yes	no	yes, regulated by general data protection legislation, and standard computer security
Netherlands	no	yes	where a specific victim needs	yes, in compliance with existing data protection legislation, and probation service privacy regulations.
Norway	no	yes	yes, in appropriate cases	yes, in compliance with data protection rules in the correctional services
Portugal	no	yes, even in the pre-trial scheme	victims not notified in the RF schemes, but bilateral monitoring is used in the GPS domestic violence scheme	•

Country	Remote Alcohol Mon.	Use of Support Programme	Victim Notification	Data Protection
Scotland		, ,	registered in a victim	yes, in compliance with general data protection law.
Serbia	yes	yes	no data available	yes
Spain	sometimes	no	yes, with GPS cases, the bilateral EM is not used.	
Sweden	no	yes		yes, in compliance with other offender management protocols
Switzerland - Basel	no	yes	no	no data available