Restorative Justice in prisons For PC-CP

Outline of presentation

- 1. Personal introduction
- 2. Brief guide to the restorative justice movement
- 3. Overview of the idea of restorative justice in prisons
- 4. Reasons to be cautious
- 5. Some ways forward

1. Personal introduction

- Professor of Law at the University of Hull, UK
- Research field is the social and philosophical study of processes of criminalization and punishment
- Seeks :
 - to understand the meaning of changes in our penal attitudes and practices; and
 - to evaluate these changes by reference to a range of ethical values

Key books

- Medical Concepts and Penal Policy (1996)
- Restorative justice: ideas, values, debates (2002, 2nd edn. 2011)
- A Restorative Justice Reader (2003,2nd edn. 2012)
- Handbook of restorative Justice (ed with Daniel Van Ness, 2007)
- Law and Crime (with Tony Ward, 2010)

Some current work

- Director: MA in Restorative Justice (online)
- Book review editor: Restorative justice: an International Journal
- Research partner: 'Building Bridges'
 - EU action grant funded project
 - implementing victim-offender mediation with the Sycamore Tree Project methodology in prisons in Europe (Feb. 2014-2016)

2. The Restorative Justice Movement (RJM)

- A global social movement which seeks to transform the way societies view and respond to crime and other forms of wrongdoing
- Emerged in 1970s
- Has grown rapidly and become increasingly influential since mid-1990s

Key goals

- Change our *priorities* in the aftermath of a crime
- Change the processes by which we make 'penal' decisions
- Align the field of 'penal' interventions with the more noble values of our societies

Priorities

- Two concerns in the aftermath of crime: justice and security
- Usual method: punishment of wrongdoer
- RJ suggests we focus on:
 - repair of harm
 - Material, emotional and symbolic harm to direct and indirect victims
 - community censure and support

processes

- We delegate delivery of justice and security to professionals and formal institutions
- ► RJ:
 - Stakeholders (offenders, victims, members of their communities) can do justice and create security through informal encounters
 - Professionals as facilitators

Values

- Penal systems guided by:
 - retributive values (vengeance tamed by law)
 - Exclusionary/segregation values
 - military values
- Realign penal systems around values of:
 - Healing and Repair
 - Inclusion/connection
 - Peacemaking

3. The idea of Restorative Justice in prisons – an overview

- The RJM tends to regard imprisonment as difficult to justify
- Reflects retributive/exclusionary values
- Many in RJM are abolitionist or reductionist

Counter (emerging) view

- the practice of imprisonment will continue in modern societies
- a necessary social practice:
 - performs important instrumental and symbolic functions.
- introduce restorative justice schemes within prisons
- transform prisons so that they are more aligned with the goals and values of restorative justice

Levels of Restorative justice in prison (Edgar & Newell)

- 1. Community-based victim/offender mediation projects extending to prisoners
 Victims of serious crimes encounter offenders
- 2. Partnerships between single establishment and outside body
 - e.g a mediation service works with staff of a prison
 - Time-limited, clearly defined projects

Levels (cont.)

- 3. Projects that work with a number of establishment to facilitate restorative approaches
 - e.g. Sycamore Tree Project
 - Supports imprisoned offenders in acknowledging harm they have caused
- A package of restorative approaches developed jointly with prison management

Levels (cont.)

5. Initiatives driven and owned by prison staff to influence running of prison

Use of RJ to deal with

- complaints
- Staff grievances
- Personnel management

Levels (cont.)

- 6. Whole prison commitment to incorporate restorative justice into its mission
 - Model: HMP Grendon's therapeutic community experiment
 - Implications of prison policy at national (and European?) levels

Operational principles of a restorative prison

- 1. Forge a new relationship between a prison and its community
- 2. Provide prisoners with opportunity to work for the benefit of others
- Help prisoners understand the effects of their crimes on others (moral awareness – cf. other models of rehabilitation)
- 4. Use RJ to deal with conflict within prison

Reasons to be cautious

- Imprisonment works on inmates in the complete opposite direction of restorative justice.
- Difficult, given the pains of imprisonment, to get prisoners to focus on the pain and needs of others
- Negotiated forms of conflict resolution in prison?
- Professionals will have difficulty in yeilding control especially in prison
- Restorative approaches co-opted to serve nonrestorative goals of the prison
- Positive' initiatives help legitimate penal institutions

The way ahead

- Restorative justice taps into hope and positive aspirations
 - Benefits for prison staff
- Aspirational statements need to be supplemented by realistic accounts of what restorative justice can achieve in prisons
- Need for a variety of practices and models
 Early standardisation problematic

The way ahead (cont.)

- Need for caution (but not cynicism)
 - Limits and dangers of restorative justice in prisons
- Sensitive and sophisticated approach to evaluation
- Connect with other areas of crime policy

Homework!

- Prison Cell
 - <u>http://www.restorativejustice.org/prison</u>
- Edgar, K. and Newell, T. (2006) *Restorative Justice in Prisons: A Guide to Making it Happen* (Winchester: Waterside Press)
- Van Ness, D. (2007) 'Prisons and Restorative Justice', in Johnstone, G. and Van Ness, D. (eds.) *Handbook of Restorative Justice* (Cullompton, Devon: Willan), 312–24.