Restorative Justice in prisons
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1. Personal introduction

- Professor of Law at the University of Hull, UK
- Research field is the social and philosophical study of processes of criminalization and punishment
- Seeks:
  - to understand the meaning of changes in our penal attitudes and practices; and
  - to evaluate these changes by reference to a range of ethical values
Key books

- Medical Concepts and Penal Policy (1996)
- Law and Crime (with Tony Ward, 2010)
Some current work

- Director: MA in Restorative Justice (online)
- Book review editor: *Restorative justice: an International Journal*
- Research partner: ‘Building Bridges’
  - EU action grant funded project
  - implementing victim–offender mediation with the Sycamore Tree Project methodology in prisons in Europe (Feb. 2014–2016)
2. The Restorative Justice Movement (RJM)

- A global social movement which seeks to transform the way societies view and respond to crime and other forms of wrongdoing
- Emerged in 1970s
- Has grown rapidly and become increasingly influential since mid-1990s
Key goals

- Change our *priorities* in the aftermath of a crime
- Change the processes by which we make ‘penal’ decisions
- Align the field of ‘penal’ interventions with the more noble values of our societies
Priorities

- Two concerns in the aftermath of crime: justice and security
- Usual method: punishment of wrongdoer
- RJ suggests we focus on:
  - repair of harm
    - Material, emotional and symbolic harm to direct and indirect victims
  - community censure and support
processes

- We delegate delivery of justice and security to professionals and formal institutions
- RJ:
  - Stakeholders (offenders, victims, members of their communities) can do justice and create security through informal encounters
  - Professionals as facilitators
Values

Penal systems guided by:
- retributive values (vengeance tamed by law)
- Exclusionary/segregation values
- military values

Realign penal systems around values of:
- Healing and Repair
- Inclusion/connection
- Peacemaking
3. The idea of Restorative Justice in prisons – an overview

- The RJM tends to regard imprisonment as difficult to justify
- Reflects retributive/exclusionary values
- Many in RJM are abolitionist or reductionist
Counter (emerging) view

- the practice of imprisonment will continue in modern societies
- a necessary social practice:
  - performs important instrumental and symbolic functions.
- introduce restorative justice schemes within prisons
- transform prisons so that they are more aligned with the goals and values of restorative justice
Levels of Restorative justice in prison (Edgar & Newell)

1. Community-based victim/offender mediation projects extending to prisoners
   ◦ Victims of serious crimes encounter offenders

2. Partnerships between single establishment and outside body
   ◦ e.g. a mediation service works with staff of a prison
   ◦ Time-limited, clearly defined projects
3. Projects that work with a number of establishment to facilitate restorative approaches
   ◦ e.g. Sycamore Tree Project
   ◦ Supports imprisoned offenders in acknowledging harm they have caused

4. A package of restorative approaches developed jointly with prison management
5. Initiatives driven and owned by prison staff to influence running of prison

Use of RJ to deal with
- complaints
- Staff grievances
- Personnel management
6. Whole prison commitment to incorporate restorative justice into its mission

- Model: HMP Grendon’s therapeutic community experiment

- Implications of prison policy at national (and European?) levels
Operational principles of a restorative prison

1. Forge a new relationship between a prison and its community
2. Provide prisoners with opportunity to work for the benefit of others
3. Help prisoners understand the effects of their crimes on others (moral awareness – cf. other models of rehabilitation)
4. Use RJ to deal with conflict within prison
Reasons to be cautious

- Imprisonment works on inmates in the complete opposite direction of restorative justice.
- Difficult, given the pains of imprisonment, to get prisoners to focus on the pain and needs of others.
- Negotiated forms of conflict resolution in prison?
- Professionals will have difficulty in yielding control – especially in prison.
- Restorative approaches co-opted to serve non-restorative goals of the prison.
- ‘Positive’ initiatives help legitimate penal institutions.
The way ahead

- Restorative justice taps into hope and positive aspirations
  - Benefits for prison staff

- Aspirational statements need to be supplemented by realistic accounts of what restorative justice can achieve in prisons

- Need for a variety of practices and models
  - Early standardisation problematic
Need for caution (but not cynicism)
- Limits and dangers of restorative justice in prisons

Sensitive and sophisticated approach to evaluation

Connect with other areas of crime policy
Homework!

- Prison Cell
  - [http://www.restorativejustice.org/prison](http://www.restorativejustice.org/prison)
