

EUROPEAN LANGUAGE PORTFOLIO PILOT PROJECT PHASE 1998 - 2000

FINAL REPORT

Rolf Schärer, General Rapporteur







October 2000

DGIV/EDU/LANG (2000) 31rev

COUNCIL FOR CULTURAL COOPERATION

EDUCATION COMMITTEE

Language policies for a multilingual and multicultural Europe

FINAL REPORT

A EUROPEAN LANGUAGE PORTFOLIO

PILOT PROJECT PHASE 1998 – 2000

Rolf Schärer General Rapporteur

Rolf Schärer 28 12 00 Rapporteur General

FINAL REPORT A EUROPEAN LANGUAGE PORTFOLIO PILOT PROJECT PHASE 1998 – 2000

The European Language Portfolio is a personal tool for all Europeans to develop into pluri-lingual and inter-culturally competent Citizens.

The practical potential, feasibility and effects of a European Language Portfolio have been explored during a pilot phase 1998 – 2000 with different learner groups, in 15 member states and 3 ONGs, in a variety of educational settings and under widely differing conditions.

The insights and experience gained during the pilot phase and the products developed are reported in three distinct sections:

- I. Executive summary for opinion leaders and decision makers
- II. Results related to principles, goals and objectives
- III. Summaries of the national and institutional pilot projects

There are three main reasons for this structure:

- A. The wealth of information and their relative value for distinct tasks or interest groups;
- B. The variety in values, traditions and priorities reflected in the pilot projects;
- C. The requirement to make insights and experience gained transparent for the benefit of further development and implementation.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

for opinion leaders and decision makers

	1					
The global view	Recommendation No. R (82) 18 of the Committee of Ministers to Member States considered:					
	that the rich heritage of diverse languages and cultures in Europe is a valuable common resource to be protected and developed;					
	that a major educational effort is needed to convert that diversity from a barrier to communication into a source of mutual enrichment and understanding;					
	that only through a better knowledge of European modern languages will it be possible to facilitate communication and interaction among Europeans of different mother tongues in order to promote European mobility, mutual understanding and co-operation, and overcome prejudice and discrimination.					
	The Conference "Language learning for a new Europe" in 1991 recognised the enormous changes which have occurred in Europe, the challenges such changes are presenting and the central role of language teaching and learning in facing and overcoming these challenges.					
	Recommendation No. R (98) 6 of the Committee of Ministers to Member States					
	recognising the progress which member states have made since its Recommendation No. R (82) 18 concerning modern languages, whose principles are today more important than ever;					
	having noted the final report drawn up by the Modern Languages Project Group on its project "Learning for European Citizenship" and the results of the conference entitled "Language learning for a new Europe "					
	recommends among other measures the development and use by learners of a personal document (European Language Portfolio) to record their qualifications and other significant linguistic and cultural experiences in an internationally transparent manner as part of an effort to extend and diversify language learning at all levels in a lifelong perspective.					
Aims	The aims the European Language Portfolio seeks to promote, reflect those of the Council of Europe itself. These include:					
	 the deepening of mutual understanding and respect among citizens in Europe; 					
	➢ the protection and promotion of linguistic and cultural diversity;					
	the development of learner responsibility and learner autonomy;					
	the promotion of life-long language and inter-cultural learning aiming for competent pluri-lingual and self-confident European Citizens;					
	the clear and transparent description of competences and qualifications to facilitate mobility and personal growth.					

Principles	All competence is valued, regardless whether gained inside or outside of formal education.						
	The European Language Portfolio is the property of the learner.						
	It is based on the Common European Framework of reference.						
	A set of common principles and guidelines are applicable.						
The pilot project(s)	RATIONAL Implementing a European Language Portfolio widely throughout Europe is a far-reaching decision.						
	Before such an action can be recommended, the European Language Portfolio has to have withstood practical tests. Its feasibility, the potential and effectiveness have to be proven beyond any reasonable doubt. The demand on resources, the effects and the expected pay-off need to be clear.						
	OBJECTIVES To gather relevant information on which to base decisions, a number of pilot projects have been conducted with the following main objectives:						
	 a) to design and evaluate European Language Portfolio models; b) to explore methods and procedures favourable for implementation and to clarify resources and conditions needed; c) to explore the impact on the quality of the learning and teaching process as well as on the learners and teachers; d) to verify the compatibility between common European objectives and national and institutional goals, traditions and requirements; e) to clarify the common European core and to identify needs for flexibility and variations; f) to test the acceptance of a European Language Portfolio by the learners, teachers, learning institutions, parents and employers; g) to test the possible market value and political acceptance; h) to lay a base for further development and wide implementation. 						
	SCOPEPilot projects have been carried out with different learner groups, in a wide variety of educational settings, under widely differing conditions.The national and institutional project leaders set the agenda and fixed the methods and procedures for their specific projects. Also the ELP models used in piloting were elaborated on the specific project level.All pilot projects were based on the Council of Europe documents:						
	- A European Language Portfolio, Proposals for Development, CC-Lang (97) 1						

 Modern Languages: Learning, Teaching, Assessment. A Common European Framework of Reference, CC-Lang (95) rev IV

COUNTRIES and ONGs participating	JUNIOR AGE 6 - 10+	SEC I AGE 11 - 16	SEC II AGE 15+	Vocational AGE 15+	University AGE 18+	ADULT AGE 16+	TEACHER initial education in-service	TOTAL
A Austria		100	1200					1300
CH Switzerland		1600	1900	2280	1300	450	490	8020
CZ Czech Rep.	399	614						1013
D Germany NRW		1200	150	500				1850
FC France CAEN			720	1310				2030
F S France CIEP	3000							3000
FIN Finland		175	150	35				360
GB UK CILT	600			200				800
H Hungary	50	330	200					580
I Italy UMBRIA	270	600					120	990
IRL Ireland				20	531	60		611
NL Holland	1952	1935	300	300				4487
P Portugal	475	572	800					1847
RU Russia	160	196	648		105		181	1290
S Sweden				90		45		135
SLO Slovenia	177	353			104			634
CE CERCLES					180			180
EA EAQUALS						700		700
ELC European Language Council					1000			1000
ICC Int Cert. Conference								
TOTAL	7083	7675	6068	4735	3220	1255	791	30827

NUMBERS OF LEARNERS INVOLVED

VARIETY: A KEY ELEMENT IN THE ELP PILOT PROJECTS

Conditions and variables in experimentation and piloting should ideally be controlled tightly to achieve reliable and valid results.

Reflecting the diversity in cultural values, traditions, educational priorities and material conditions the individual pilot projects and hence the project as a whole have been marked by a specific dynamic and a wide variety of interests, philosophies and approaches.

While the ELP has proven itself a valid pedagogic tool under the many different pilot conditions it needs to be noted that positive and negative feedback relates generally to very specific circumstances. Care needs to be taken in generalising and when transferring results to

different circumstances.

Such cautious interpretation is also needed when looking at the feedback to the common anchor questions given in this report.

Feedback structure Over the last 24 months the ELP, in a variety of forms, has proven itself a valid and innovative pedagogic tool.

It helped improve both process and outcome of foreign language learning under widely differing pilot conditions.

Some 30000 learners and 1800 teachers used an ELP.

Feedback has in general been positive. See the overviews of the learner and teacher anchor questions (Appendix I a and I b)

There are important variations:

a) from project to project (includes different ELP models) e.g.



b) from educational sector to sector (includes age factor) e.g.









feedback structure

d) in learner and teacher perception e.g.

(in some cases views changed considerably over the project period)



QUANTITATIVE FEEDBACK

The quantitative feedback gathered through learner, teacher and co-ordinator questionnaires indicates that the ELPs were generally well received and that they worked satisfactorily under pilot conditions.

The ratio of feedback looks low in relation to all learners involved, but at not all participants received questionnaires mainly for technical and time reasons.

Further information and a more specific detailed interpretation is needed to arrive at a relevant picture in particular cases – much as the pulse and blood pressure of a person is only a starting point for a fuller diagnosis.

The quantitative data gathered provides, however, a reasonable base to pinpoint areas for further experimentation, research and development.

QUALITATIVE FEEDBACK

Feedback was also gathered

- through structured, formal and informal class and learner observation;
- through structured and unstructured interviews with learners, teachers, parents, administrators, employers and project leaders;
- in teacher and administrator training and co-ordination work shops;
- by asking professionals, learners and teachers to react to open questions.

Here questions and answers could be clarified and put into their context. Hence reasons for positive reactions and for reservations were explained. In this sense this feedback has a qualitative character. It is, however, still very situational, mainly based on impressions and not easy to generalise.

The feedback will be discussed in more detail in section II of this report by looking at results in relation to specific aims, concepts and objectives.

Qualitative feedback deriving from scientifically controlled research in relation to the key issues of the ELP is so far not available. The pilot phase was too short and the field of experimentation too new. Such research will, however, be needed in the future.

Feedback summary FEEDBACK ON THE PRODUCT

There is agreement that the ELP basically consists of three parts:

- the Passport: to provide an overview of an individual's competence in different languages at a given point in time;
- the Biography: to facilitate the learner's reflection and involvement in planning the learning process, progress and achievement in and outside of formal education;
- the Dossier: to document and illustrate the personal learning process and achievement as well linguistic and inter-cultural experience gained.

ELP models seem to be better understandable, attractive, user-friendly and manageable provided

- they are adapted to the needs of specific age groups (young learners, early school age, upper school age, higher education, adulthood);
- they are clearly linked to the national or institutional curricula;
- the information and instructions are provided in the learners' language.

A common European core, however, is essential. Learners value the European dimensions of the ELP strongly. Furthermore such a core is a pre-requisite for the international reporting function to become feasible.

While such a common core is a fixed and essential part of any ELP model national or institutional variations are necessary and desirable. The relationship between common core and variations can been illustrated



The experience gained during pilot projects motivated some co-ordinators to improve their ELP models and to modify their experimentation. Such changes affected the time frames of their projects. Feedback received is in general based on the old models and arrangements.

11

	FEEDBACK ON THE FUNCTIONS
General	 Under the pilot conditions the pedagogic potential and functions and of the ELP were more satisfactorily explored than the reporting functions, because: the project phase was too short to explore the impact of an ELP over a full individual learning cycle; the pilot projects taken together cover all educational sectors but none alone covers a sufficient range to study a range of transfer effects; the objectives in most projects related to the pedagogic functions and to the ELP models in regard to the effects on motivation and learning.
	In general, the use of the ELP led to reflection by learners and teachers on the reasons for learning languages, the process and how to define success. 68 % of learners felt the time spent on keeping an ELP was time well-spent. 70 % of teachers find the ELP is a useful tool for the learners. 78 % of teachers find the ELP is a useful tool for the teachers.
Self- assessment	 Learner self-assessment is considered an important innovative strategy. Learners find it motivating to self-assess their own competence on the background of a European scheme and level system. 70 % of learners find the ELP helps them to assess their own competence and the same proportion of learners finds it useful to compare the teacher's assessment with their own. 62 % of teachers think their learners are able to self-assess their language competence.
	The concept and practice of learner self-assessment, however, triggered also considerable discussions, reflection and in some cases controversy. This seems partly due to the fact that it is an innovative and new approach both for the learners and the teachers and that methods and tools still need to be developed, explored and validated. It is also the aspect of the ELP which conflicts in parts with traditions and which seems to challenge some of the established ways of measuring and reporting progress and achievement. In addition there is the suspicion that learners might have a tendency, in high stake situation even an interest, to overstate (or understate) their competence. While 65 % of teachers reported that they agreed with the self-assessment of their learners only 53 % of learners reported that the teacher agreed with their self-assessment. An interesting difference in perception.
Reporting	Learners and teachers would like the status of the ELP clarified – how will self-assessment be used in the final evaluation, what is the relationship of the portfolio and traditional exams, will self-assessment be accepted as a valid form of assessment by employers.
	Learners and teachers also asked for calibrated and professionally validated evaluation tools, examinations and transparent links to national exams and diplomas – to cross check their own assessment.
Training need	 There is common agreement that both learner and teacher training is vital for an effective use of the ELP as a pedagogic and reporting tool; to encourage and develop the competence of self-assessment; to facilitate and develop learner autonomy.

Feedback summary FEEDBACK ON ORGANISATIONAL NEEDS

The individual pilot projects differed considerably in size and hence in the way support could be provided and direct influence exercised. This fact resulted in insights concerning to the large variety of organisational needs individual learners or teachers, classes, schools, regional, national or inter-national educational authorities have.

To exploit the potential of the ELP and for the users to benefit fully a combination of favourable conditions seems desirable:

The ELP has to be attractive and possess face-validity for the different groups of stake-holders.

The ELP makes more sense to the learner the more the document is valued and accepted by teachers, parents, employers etc.

Learners and teachers have to believe in the ELP - the functions and the benefits to be gained in learning and reporting have to be attractive and explicit.

Using an ELP effectively needs reflection, training and time.

During piloting some negative reactions were prompted by perceived or real conflicts of traditions and of objectives and by the experimental character of the ELPs models and project arrangements.

Users want to be sure that the ELP has currency – where it is accepted, by whom and under what circumstances.

Learners expect clear answers from teachers and educational authorities how and how much value learner self-assessment will be given in relation to established forms of marks, exams and diplomas.

Teachers need to know how the ELP, its philosophy and the objectives relate to the traditional curricula, how it should be embedded in the daily work and how much support authorities are willing to provide.

Learners are aware that formal exams will also in future play an important role, particularly in high stake situations.

The participation of national and external examination boards in the pilot projects has been perceived as very valuable by learners and teachers.

The ELP was mainly piloted by volunteers. Feedback seems particularly positive from smaller sized groups where there was strong leadership. The larger the project, the more complex monitoring arrangements and the weaker the sense of voluntary participation the more misunderstandings and negative reactions occurred.

This statement seems a platitude at first sight but needs to be kept in mind when implementation on a large scale is envisaged.

The pilot projects also showed that innovation in education needs time and commitment.

To succeed the relationship between aspirations and resources has to be positive and the will to sustain an effort over time present.

Feedback summary	FEEDBACK IN REGARD TO THE FEASIBILITY
	The results of the pilot projects undertaken show that the ELP will under the right conditions fulfil the aims envisaged.
	The ELP has also to be seen in the context of the wider needs of society and education.
	Some of the pilot projects were affected by external developments due to a shift in policies or priorities. The allocation of resources and/or the power of decisions impacted on the project.
	The European character and dimension of the ELP was perceived and highly valued by a large proportion of learners and teachers in the pilot projects.
	Three other key elements of the ELP were highly valued as desirable innovations: - learner self-assessment;
	- the development of self-directed learning and learner autonomy in a life- long perspective;
	- taking account in a positive way of all learning regardless of whether gained in or outside of formal education.
	There is some evidence that the ELP will have a positive effect on learning in general.
	The direct costs of the pilot projects have been judged tolerable, but it has to be noted that no strict cost control was exercised – most work has been done by teachers and co-ordinators on a voluntary basis as part of their professional commitment without extra financial compensation.
Conclusions	The quantitative and qualitative feedback gathered from the different pilot projects seems to be sufficient to draw the following general conclusions:
	The ELP as learning tool is feasible from a pedagogic point of view.
	The ELP does address key educational issues.
	The ELP does foster the declared aims of the Council of Europe.
	The ELP as reporting tool still needs to stand its test in the real world and over an extended period. A valid answer can, however, only emerge once the ELP has been implemented on a large scale.
	The political feasibility depends on the value decision-making authorities place on the ELP in relation to other demands, priorities or options.
	International co-operation in the development and piloting of an ELP was essential and has paid off. Taking together the results and insights from the individual pilot projects provide a substantial base for essential decisions in relation to dissemination and implementation of the ELP.
	Wide implementation throughout Europe seems desirable to maintain and promote linguistic and cultural diversity.
	The CDCC Education Committee of the Council of Europe considered these questions during their March meeting in Strasbourg and recommend to the Education Ministers to create conditions for a wide implementation of the ELP in the member states, starting in 2001, the year of languages.

Outlook	Since September 2000 when this report was first presented a number of important developments have taken place: The Standing Conference of the Ministers of Education of the Council of Europe adopted in October 2000 a Resolution on the European Language Portfolio recommending the implementation and wide use of the ELP.
	Under the authority of the Education Committee – CC-ED a European Validation Committee responsible for the accreditation of ELP models has been set up. Principles and Guidelines, document DGIV/EDU/LANG (2000) 33 and Rules on Accreditation of ELP models, document DGIV/EDU/LANG (2000) 26 rev. have been published. Five ELP models have been validated and accredited up to the end of the year 2000. Further models are in the process of being validated.
	Several member states will introduce an ELPs into sections of their educational system in 2001, the European Year of Languages. A number of member states so far not involved in the project intend to take part in the further development, piloting and dissemination of the ELP.
	The pilot group, at its final meeting of the first pilot phase, considered how to respond to the challenges posed by the recommendation to implement and use the ELP widely. It took stock of what has been achieved and what needs to be done over the next twelve months. Seminar report DGIV/EDU/LANG (2000) 40.
Thanks	The Language Portfolio project group would like to thank all learners, teachers, administrators and everyone else involved in the ELP pilot scheme for a unique and enriching experience. The task was not easy and conditions often difficult but we feel the results achieved together are so rich and promising that the efforts seemed well worthwhile.

EUROPEAN LANGUAGE PORTFOLIO - FINAL REPORT 08 00

LEARNER ANCHOR QUESTIONS

Set 1: ideally within a few weeks after the introduction and the first self-assessment		Set 2: towards the end of the school year 98/99		Set 3: towards the end of the pilot project in the first part of the year 2000		
7650 questionnaires returned	yes %	2080 questionnaires returned	yes %	5370 questionnaires returned	yes %	
A 1L Does the elp allow you to show what you can do in foreign languages?	74	A 2L Does the elp allow you to show what you can do in foreign languages?	83	A 3L Does the elp allow you to show what you can do in foreign languages?	79	
B 1L Does the elp help you understand the learning objectives?	56	B 2L Has the elp helped you to see progress in learning?	68	B 3L Does the elp help you see progress in learning?	69	
C 1L Does the elp help you assess your language skills?	74	C 2L Did the elp help you to self-assess your competence?	72	C 3L Does the elp help you assess your competence?	69	
D 1L Do you find it useful to compare the teacher's assessment of your language competence with your own assessment?	70	D 2L Did your teacher(s) agree with your self- assessment?	53	D 3L Does the elp stimulate you to participate more fully in the language learning process?	42	
E 1L Should building up a elp be part of regular class work?	52	E 2L Should the elp be part of regular class work?	57	E 3L Do you feel the elp puts more responsibility on you as learner?	46	
F 1L Do you like having a elp?	71	F 2L Do you like your elp?	78	F 3L Do you like added responsibility for your own learning?	55	
		G 2L Has the Portfolio helped you to learn better?	46	G 3L Do you think the time spent on keeping your elp was time well spent?	68	
		H 2L What do you like best about your elp?		H 3L Do you think all learners should be encouraged to keep a elp?	55	
		H 2L What do you like least about your elp?		I 3L What do you like best about your elp?		
				K 3L What do you like least about your elp?		

ELP final report learner anchor questions 1 to 3

EUROPEAN LANGUAGE PORTFOLIO FINAL REPORT 08 00

TEACHER ANCHOR QUESTIONS

Set 1: ideally within a few weeks after the		Set 2: towards the end of the school year		Set 3: towards the end of the pilot project in the		
introduction and the first self-a	ssessment	98/99		first part of the year 2000		
300 questionnaires returned	yes %	200 questionnaires returned	yes %	370 questionnaires returned	yes %	
A 1T Is the elp useful in assessing the language competence of your	86	A 2T Does the elp help you involve learners actively in class?	90	A 3T Is the elp useful in assessing the language competence of your learners?	85	
learners? B 1T Does the elp help you make the		B 2T Does the elp help you make learning objectives clear?	87	B 3T Is the elp useful in clarifying learning objectives with you learners?	76	
learning objectives clear to your learners?	74	C 2T Does the elp help you understand your learners potential?	90	C 3T Does the elp help you understand your learners' potential?	62	
C 1T Does the elp help you involve your learners actively in the learning process?	68	D 2T Does the elp help develop self-reliant language learners?	86	D 3T Is the elp useful in developing learner autonomy?	75	
D 1T Are the learners able to assess	67	E 2T Are learners able to handle the elp?	85	E 3T Are learners able to handle the elp?	68	
themselves with the help of the elp?		F 2T Are learners able to self-assess their language competence?	76	F 3T Are learners able to self-assess their language competence?	63	
E 1T Does the elp help develop sensitivity concerning the diversity of Europe?	40	G 2T Did you in general agree with their self- assessment?	82	G 3T Did you in general agree with their self- assessment?	65	
F 1T Do other teachers in your school also use a elp?	66	H 2T Did you find it worthwhile to work with the elp?	94	H 3T Do you find the elp is a useful tool for the learners?	70	
		I 2T Do your colleagues also use the elp?	63	I 3T Do you find the elp is a useful tool for you as teacher?	78	
				J 3T Do you feel the elp should be widely introduced in schools?	56	
		J 2T How much time did you spend on the elp?				
		K 2T What do you like best about the elp?		K 3T What do you like best about the elp?		
		L 2T What do you like least about the elp?		L 3T What do you like least about the elp?		

ELP final report TEACHER anchor questions 1 to 3

EUROPEAN LANGUAGE PORTFOLIO

PILOT PHASE 1998 - 2000

SEMINARS OF THE PILOT PROJECT GROUP

Seminars held	Issues and topics treat	ted	Γ
ASCONA Jan 98 CH TAMPERE May 98	Development issues Work in progress Planned projects Code of Practice ELP young learners	Experimentation issues Project objectives Experimentation and research methodology Guidelines/Principles of experimentation Stock taking	Implementation issues
FIN SOEST Nov 98 D	Mission statement Guidelines ELP for different educational sectors Common standards Coherence criteria	Key issues in piloting Evaluation of piloting Stock taking Case studies NL IRL H F Common project evaluation standards Anchor questions 1	Perspectives 2001?
ENSCHEDE Apr 99 NL	How to self-assess? How to reconcile national/institutional requirements with the ELP?	Stock taking Key issues in the Dutch project Learner and teacher preparation Anchor questions 2	The ELP and major educational innovations How to net-work? PR actions Information materials
BUDAPEST Oct 99 H	Pilot project results Assessment criteria Relevance Generalisation	Pilot results leading to process and product improvements Providing support for self-assessment	Code of Practice Structure - final report? - recommendations? Guides
RADLOVJICA May 00 SLOV	Standardised Passport Intercultural competence Learner development Guide for teachers and trainers Guide for developers	What we have learnt from the pilot projects Case studies Invisible outcomes Anchor questions 3	Validation Committee - Principles/Guidelines - Membership Year of Languages Flyer Closing event(s)?
SÈVRES OCT 00 F	Dissemination and implementation tools - Guides - Studies - Net-works - Samples of good practice - further evaluation of results	How to pass results and experience on to new pilot groups? - need for a core group - sector specific groups - regional groups Final report Further development?	Dissemination and implementation - options, strategies, requests Web site Information pool Year of Languages Open issues?

These seminars of the pilot project group provided a much needed platform to discuss key issues arising during piloting, to find common ground and to pool know-how and experience gained through experimentation.

Rolf Schärer 28 12 00 Rapporteur General

II. Section RESULTS RELATED TO PRINCIPLES, GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The ELP and its European Dimension	page	18-23
All learning and all competence is valued	page	24-25
The ELP is the property of the learner	page	26
A common set of Principles and Guidelines	page	27
Results related to pilot project objectives	page	28-29

	THE ELP HAS A EUROPEAN DIMENSION
The principle	This principle includes:
	- the ELP is based on the
	COMMON EUROPEAN FRAMEWORK OF REFERENCE for
	LANGUAGES: LEARNING, TEACHING, ASSESSMENT;
	- ELP models (national, regional, institutional) are anchored in this
	common European framework – the link(s) are made transparent;
	- Governments of member States, in harmony with their education
	policies, create conditions favourable for the implementation and wide
	use of the ELP according to common <i>Principles and Guidelines</i> ;
	- An ELP will be considered a valid record of competence regardless
	of its country, region, sector or institution of origin.
Feedback summary	GENERAL ACCEPTANCE
·	The European character of the ELP is the feature most often positively mentioned by learners and teachers in response to the question "what do you like best about your ELP".

Asked "what do you like least" these comments ranked highly: the lack of a clear status of the ELP and doubts about its acceptance and value in other European countries as well as by employers. 66 % of learners like to compare their language competence on a European scale.

Yet there are considerable differences form country to country - 100 % and 80 % yes in Italy and Russia, 28 % only in Holland.



It would be useful to get reactions to this question also from the other pilot projects conducted.

The work with the ELP raises expectations. Opening a dialogue between learners, teachers, administrators, parents, employers created the need to make learning objectives and the process of learning more transparent.

DIVERSITY AND COMMON CORE

Experimentation showed: The ELP yields the best benefits when it is well adapted and in reasonable harmony with the characteristics of a learner group, the educational setting, cultural traditions and perceived priorities.

Yet, in the interest of quality, validity and credibility as a pedagogic and reporting tool in the European context a strong common core, principles and guidelines have proved necessary.

The co-ordination group of the pilot phase 1998-2000 worked out the following models in an attempt to resolve these competing demands: A) To take account of the learners' age and the related specific educational priorities, goals and the desirable shifts of ELP functions three basic groups of ELP models are suggested:

A junior model for the age group 6 to 11;

A school model for the age group 11 to 16:

An adult model for the age group 15 plus.

B) As the ELP evolves over time – shifts in functions are desirable



C) To respond to the diversity in national, regional and institutional educational policies and priorities, language and cultural traditions and in curricula and programmes, guidelines establishing a common core and desirable variations have been worked out.

Hence different national, regional and institutional ELP models within the three basic groups (junior, school, adult) are possible yet they all have to have the compulsory common core. E.g.







Junior model A

Junior model B

Junior model C

Etc.

ANCHORING AND LINKING

The term *anchoring* (design and product oriented) is used for measures relating individual ELP models to the common European framework of reference.

The term *linking* (process oriented) is used for actions taken and methods employed relating ELPs in use to the common European framework. Anchoring ELP models to the *COMMON REFERENCE LEVELS* of

the Common European Framework of Reference:

The following broad strategies are represented in the ELP models designed and used during the pilot phase:

- a) The six common reference levels are used directly and form the basis for the descriptors and check-lists provided (mainly upper secondary and adult models);
- b) The six common reference levels are subdivided adding one or two intermediate levels. Related descriptors and check-lists are added (mainly upper secondary models used in specific educational settings);
- c) Levels, descriptors and check-lists are explicitly related to a curricula and/or annual programmes. Anchoring to the common framework is attempted in the passport section and in user-guides(mainly primary and lower secondary models);
- d) Descriptors and check-lists relating to a set of specific tasks are provided typically for selected levels only the language, presentation and design are specifically adapted to young learners (mainly junior models).

Learner feedback to the questions "Does the ELP allow you to show what



you can do in foreign languages" provides some indication as to whether the strategy chosen to describe levels was effective for specific contexts.

Learners and teachers need guidance on how to interpret the common levels. Descriptors and check-lists were the main instruments provided to make the level statements transparent and meaningful.

The level descriptors and check-list developed by a Swiss group for learners of the age group 15 plus were used as starting point in the development of several other ELP models.

The main categories of adaptations, modifications, additions made and/or suggested were:

- a) Adaptation to the learner's age and general educational background. Feedback from lower secondary education in Switzerland, a younger age group than the target group the descriptors and check-list were designed for, clearly also confirms this need;
- b) Adaptation to the cultural and educational environment, e.g. in remote Russian regions descriptors did not match with learners experience;
- c) Additions of descriptors and check-list for specific learner groups, e.g. vocationally related descriptors and check-list in the Swedish model, descriptors and check-lists related to specific university studies (ELC);
- d) Additions of descriptors, check-lists and lists of recommended tasks to include and/or focus cultural and inter-cultural objectives;
- d) Modifications in the wording to accommodate established national and/or institutional educational or cultural conventions;
- e) Modifications in regard to the purpose e.g. formal testing
- Here is some relevant teacher feedback:





Linking ELP work to the *COMMON REFERENCE LEVELS* of the Common European Framework of Reference:

Linking and integrating the ELP into the learning and reporting process depends on a continued effort on behalf of learners and teachers, the study of which has only started during piloting.

Experience shows, however, that special skills are needed to break down quite general descriptors into specific language exponents and learning tasks. Most teachers involved in piloting would have wished for specific training Here is some relevant teacher feedback:





Requests from learners for more detailed level descriptions, descriptors and check-list are frequent from learners with reserved or negative reactions to the ELP.

AN ELP WILL BE CONSIDERED A VALID RECORD OF COMPETENCE REGARDLESS OF ITS COUNTRY, REGION, SECTOR OR INSTITUION OF ORIGIN

70% of learners think the ELP helps them assess their competence; 63% of teachers believe their learners to be able to self-assess their competence,

82% of them agreed in general with their learners self-assessment. The pilot phase was too short to investigate whether teachers at the next higher level of education agreed with the previous self-assessment of their new learners.

In isolated situations only (e.g. in the Irish project, immigrant learners presenting themselves for further education or employment) was the acceptance of individual ELPs tested with other stakeholder groups, with career advisors and employers. The effects were encouraging.

The degree of validity and reliability of self-assessment compared to teacher, outside formative or summative evaluation, to final exams and standardised tests has been raised again and again. No easy or generally acceptable answer has so far emerged.

There seems wide agreement that self-evaluation is useful as a pedagogic strategy, but experience confirmed, that learners would like to be certain on how their self-assessment is taken into account during education and in their careers.

Learner and teacher training in self-assessment seems essential.

While space is provided in the passport and biography sections to record self-assessment alongside teacher assessment, exams, certificates and diploma in ELP models at the upper secondary, vocational and adult sector learners and teachers involved in piloting would have liked clear guidance on how to handle this complex relationship.

Parallel to the ELP piloting ALTE, DIALANG and other examination boards worked out their systems of descriptors anchored to the common European reference levels. They have started to gear their exams to the Common European Framework of Reference. These steps are recognised as major contributions to the qualitative development of the ELP.

While all these developments and insights from piloting are important there remains the questions of the CRITICAL MASS.

A majority of learners and teachers accept the pedagogic value of the ELP but consider the presentation and reporting value of the document as weak for as long as ELPs have not been introduced widely.

Piloting showed that for the general acceptability and credibility of the ELP to be developed, clarification of its status and a wide dissemination are essential.

ALL LEARNING, ALL COMPETENCE IS VALUED

The principle	 This principle includes: that all learning and competence regardless whether gained in or outside formal education is valuable; that achievement and competence is expressed in positive terms. The principle is essential in addressing the educational challenges of modern society and is central to the concept of the ELP. 1997 the European Ministers of Education at their meeting in Kristiansand, Norway reaffirmed the essential role of education in (among others):
	 helping young people and adults to become active and autonomous citizens committed to the basic values of the rule of law and human rights, and to the rejection of intolerance and violence; enabling all individuals to realise their potential to the full throughout their lives and to meet rapid social and technological change, new patters of employment and the challenges of the Knowledge and Information Society with confidence, responsibility and imagination.
Feedback summary	CLARIFYING LEARNING OBJECTIVES
	75% of teachers found the ELP useful in clarifying learning objectives with their learners.Most learners appreciated their involvement in the process of reflection on learning goals and objectives.
	At the end of school year 98/99 180 out of 200 teachers (90%) reported that the ELP help them understand their learners potential. At the end of school year 99/00 230 out of 370 teachers (62%) only were of this opinion. Whether this change is due to a more realistic view by the teachers or whether learners out-passed their teachers' expectations remains an open question. Several pilot project co-ordinators reported that joint learner-teacher reflection on objectives led to a qualitative effect on the learning.
	RECOGNISING ACHIEVEMENT AND COMPETENCE
	69% of learners felt the ELP helped them see progress and assess their competence.
	Learners particularly appreciated to be asked to reflect and self-assess their language competence. Learners and teachers found learner self-assessment difficult but worth- while. A need for training, support and more self-assessment tools was expressed time and time again.
	Minority language groups and migrants appreciated the value given to their languages, in most cases not taught in their schools.
	Learners in general liked and responded to the concept of taking account of out-of-school learning and experience in the ELP.

Learners and teachers repeatedly asked for a clarification of the status of the ELP.

Teachers want to know how to relate the concepts and objectives of the common European framework and learner self-assessment to the national and institutional objectives, standards and curricula demands.

Learners want to be clear how their efforts are honoured in the educational process but also later by employers.

Here is some of the relevant quantitative feedback:





THE ELP IS THE PROPERTY OF THE LEARNER

The principle	 This principle includes: the ELP is a personal document under the control of the owner, it is maintained and used at the owner's discretion; the role of teachers and educational institutions is to encourage and enable learners to use the ELP effectively; the ELP is not to be used as a institutional control instrument.
	Language and inter-cultural learning in a life-long perspective takes on increasing importance in creating a common Europe;
	The individual learner has to be motivated and enabled to develop the communicative and cultural competence needed to participate fully in the modern European society.
	The ELP is a tool to stimulate and support a learning process through school and beyond – from child to adult hood.
Feedback summary	 OWNERSHIP Most learners like having an ELP and in particular its private character. Learners reacted best to the ELP where its use was voluntary – where it was perceived as a must, reaction of a considerable minority was somewhat reserved. Many learners take real pride in their ELP and spared no time and effort in building it up and maintaining it. A majority appreciates the ELP as a useful tool worthwhile keeping as long as it yields clear and/or immediate benefits. A minority (except in the NL project) reject the ELP and refuse to use it outright. Teachers had to accommodate all these reactions. On the whole this ownership rule was perceived as positive but it did create tensions in isolated instances.
	SHIFT TOWARDS LEARNER RESPONSIBILITY Learners need guidance and support to develop into confident, responsible and self-reliant citizens. Developing learner autonomy and competence in self-assessment is a long and complex process. Teacher guidance and support seems essential but it ought to diminish over time:
	Teacher support Learner autonomy

Time: life-long learning process

The principle	 COMMON SET OF PRINCIPLES AND GUIDELINES Authorities, ELP developers and users will use their best endeavours to ensure: the quality and credibility of the ELP as a pedagogic and reporting tool; the quality, validity and transparency of individual ELPs in a European context.
Feedback summary	RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE EDUCATION COMMITTEE
	<i>Principles and Guidelines</i> for designers, promoters and users of the ELP are attached to the Recommendations of the Education Committee of the CDCC of the Council of Europe (document CC-ED (2000)20).
	The Guidelines establish the Common Core for ELP models and the minimum common standards to be observed in the use of ELPs.
	COMMON CORE
	 Authorities which produce ELPs undertake (among others): to develop the ELP model in conformity with the aims and principles of the CoE and with the Common European Framework of Reference; to respect the division of the ELP into three parts: Passport, Biography and Dossier; adhere to terminological conventions, standard headings and rubrics; make the ELP and related documents available in the national language(s) and as far as relevant and practically feasible in the target languages and in the languages of the learners; take into account the diversity of learners' needs according to age, learning purposes and contexts, and background; respect the European character of the ELP so as to promote mutual
	 recognition across Europe and educational systems. submit the ELP model for approval to the Validation Committee; Authorities and educational institutions using an ELP should among others observe the following standards: assist learners and teachers in the use of the ELP through training and support; make it possible for learners who so wish to obtain and use an ELP.
	Experience shows that the prevailing variety of educational, institutional and personal needs can be accommodated within the established common Principles and Guidelines.
	STANDARD EUROPEAN LANGUAGE PASSPORT FOR ADULTS
	The international pilot project group produced a standard language pass- port for adults and the CoE will promote the use of this standard model.

RESULTS RELATED TO PILOT PROJECT OBJECTIVES

Objectives of piloting The key objectives of the pilot project were:

- a) To design and pilot ELP models;
- b) To explore how those models work in daily educational life;
- c) To explore the pedagogic functions of the ELP;
- d) To explore the recording and reporting functions of the ELP;
- e) To study the conditions and resources needed for implementation;
 - f) To study benefits in relation to effort and cost.

Feedback summary A common core, principles and guidelines have been established. Most ELP models produced stood their test in daily educational life. Second versions were produced and tested in some cases during the pilot project phase, in others revisions are planned or under way. The potential and challenges of the pedagogic functions were satisfactorily explored in individual pilot projects during the pilot phase.

> The individual projects taken together covered all educational sectors but not one alone covered a sufficient range to allow a deeper study of transfer effects.

For the same reasons the impact on the coherence of whole learning systems and language learning provisions was not systematically explored. The pilot phase was also too short to explore the effects of the ELP over the entire formal learning process of individual learners from kindergarten to adulthood.

Under these pilot conditions the pedagogic potential was more satisfactorily explored than the reporting function.

To study the impact of the reporting function fully the status of the ELP in the educational systems and across Europe has to be more clearly defined and a critical mass has to be achieved through wider implementation.

CONDITIONS AND RESOURCES NEEDED FOR IMPLEMENTATION

Experience shows that learner and teacher education and training is needed to implement the concepts and objectives of the ELP successfully.

The ELP seems to be all the more effective the better it is embedded in the prevailing educational reality and the more it is in harmony with objectives and priorities in a given situation.

Transparency and coherence of objectives (national, regional, institutional) in relation to the common framework is desirable.

A sustained effort, support for teachers and learners beyond the initial launching phase are essential. The ELP needs time to be fully implemented.

The ELP is a tool which needs co-operation between learners and teachers, between different educational institutions, specialist from different disciplines and in the European context.

Will, time and space is needed to benefit fully from such co-operation.

Feedback mechanisms, and regular surveys of the effects of the ELP seem desirable.

Feedback summary related to objectives

Learner feedback anchor questions set 3 - 5370 questionnaires returned Do you think the time spent on keeping your ELP was time well spent 100% 80% don't know 60% 🗖 no 40% 🗖 yes 20% 0% CH CZ FC FIN 1 IRL NL Р RU SLO ELC

Teacher feedback anchor questions set 3 - 370 questionnaires returned Do you feel the elp should be widely introduced in schools 100% 80% DONT K 60% ■NO 40% YES 20% 0% СН CZ FC FIN NL RU SLO ELC



Here is some relevant feedback:

Financial resources used for developing and designing ELP models and for piloting have not been systematically recorded. In most cases they were absorbed into general budgets and were not judged to be high.

Even though the unit cost for producing ELPs are not high it was reported that implementation, considering the large numbers involved, would need to be financed through outside sources and/or commercial publishers.

III. Section

SUMMARIES OF THE NATIONAL AND INSTITUTIONAL PROJECTS

А	Austria	page 31
CH	Switzerland	page 32
CZ	Czech Republic	page 34
D	Germany (Northrhine-Westfalia)	page 37
F	France Académie de Caen	page 40
F	France CIEP Sèvres	page 42
FIN	Finland	page 43
GB	UK CILT	page 45
Η	Hungary	page 47
Ι	Italy (Umbria)	page 48
IRL	Ireland	page 50
NL	Holland	page 51
Р	Portugal	page 53
RU	Russia	page 54
S	Sweden	page 57
SLO	Slovenia	page 58

ONGs

CERCLES	page 60
EAQUALS	page 61
ELC – European Language Council	page 62

A – AUSTRIA

Project organisation	Number of learners involved: - secondary level I (age 11-16) - secondary level II – Vocational education (age 16+)	1300 100 1200		
	Number of teachers involved: - at secondary I level - at secondary II level Number of schools involved (2 sec I, 15 sec II)	57 7 50 17		
	Co-ordination Centre für International Qualifikationen, Pädagogisches Insti Bundes, Grenacherstrasse 18, A-1100 Wien Wernfried Krieger, e-mail: <u>w.Krieger@schule.at</u>	erstrasse 18, A-1100 Wien		
	ELP model used in the pilot project Version 1.0 published 1999 by: Stadtschulrat für Wien, Abteilung für Berufsbildende Schuler Document in A5 format, 20 pages, in German + English intro Passport, Biography and Dossier designed to include several languages Internet: http://www.pib-wien.ac.at/bserver/lehrlern/spportfolio/index.	duction target		
Feedback summary	 The ELP has yielded some highly useful insight for those involved in its development and use and has had considerable impact on the learning and teaching process in the pilot groups as well as on the curricula, assessment, teacher training and the school leaving exam. The acceptance of the ELP by teachers and students involved in the project was generally good. 65 % of the learners think the ELP helps them to show what they can do in foreign languages and 75% of the learners think the ELP helps them assess their language skills. 75% of the teachers find the ELP useful in assessing the language competence of their learners. 95 % of the teachers think the ELP helps them to make learning objectives clear to their learners, yet only 46% of the learners think that the ELP helps them understand the learning objectives – a considerable difference in judgement. 			
Transferable results	The ELP model used is compact, relatively easy to use, inexp to produce and distribute. It is designed to provide valuable support to the users at the tr point from formal education into the world of employment ar A user guide is being developed and samples of good practice the pilot phase are available.	ransfer 1d work.		

CH - SWITZERLAND

Project organisation CH project	Number of learners involved:7000 to 10'000(the exact number is virtually impossible to determine as anybody could order, photocopy, or download the ELP model in total or in part from the net in 4 language versions - French, German, Italian or English. 	
	Number of classes involved:	465
	- lower secondary level	85
	- upper secondary level (general)	118
	- upper secondary level (vocational – commercial)	130
	- higher education	82
	- adult education	47
	Co-ordination The Swiss pilot project(s) was run under the auspice Swiss Conference of Cantonal Ministers of Educati Zähringerstrasse 25, CH 3001 Bern	
	The practical co-ordination was assumed by an ad-l	noc co-ordination

group "Language Portfolio" with the following members:

- Christoph Flügel, national co-ordinator and also representing the Italian speaking regions;
- Ida Bertschy, representing the northeastern region;
- Hans Ulrich Bosshard, representing the eastern region;
- Monika Mettler, representing the central region;
- Irène Schwob, representing the French speaking region
- Rolf Schärer, link to the other CoE projects
- Peter Lenz, University of Fibourg, project evaluation
- Cornelia Oertle, responsible for the project in the Bern office
- Christine Bersier, secretariat in the Bern, e-mail: international@edk.unibe.ch

ELP model used in the pilot project (targeted at young people 15+ and adults) Folder in A4 format in four sections and copy able forms (some 80 pages) Published 1999 by the Portfolio working group, pilot project of the Swiss Conference of Cantonal Ministers of Education.

Authors: Günter Schneider, Brian North, Christoph Flügel, Leo Koch in co-operation with the working group "Language Portfolio" of the Council of Europe.

The self-assessment grid and check-lists are directly based on the six levels of the Common European Framework of Reference.

There are four language versions available: French, German, Italian, English. The model is based on an earlier versions which had been developed and researched over a considerable period starting just after the CoE symposium in 1991 in Rüschlikon. E-mail: www.unifr.ch/ids/Portfolio

Feedback has been gathered

- through two sets of specifically designed Swiss questionnaires with the European anchor questions imbedded;
- through telephone interviews and group discussions.

Feedback summary CH project	The teachers having piloted the ELP overall believe that: - the ELP is an important innovation; - piloting the ELP was a worthwhile experience.
	 The most positive aspects of the ELP most frequently mentioned by teachers and learners are: the central role of self-assessment and the instruments provided for that purpose; the transnational dimension of the project providing Europe-wide transparency and comparability.
	The most negative aspect mentioned is the size and bulkiness of the ELP file.
	While the checklist were judged positively overall, they were also criticised for various reasons.
Transferable results CH project	 While the majority of teachers indicate that their (mostly positive) attitude towards the ELP has not changed, 19 out of 96 write that their attitude has become more negative in the course of piloting. The reasons given are de-motivating experiences in class and lack of time. Teachers in the different educational sectors evaluated the Swiss ELP model used in regard to its suitability for their specific group of learners: not surprisingly, a majority of the teachers on the lower secondary level indicate that the model does not entirely suit their target group (the model was designed for learners age 15+) it remained unclear why many of the teachers of older learners felt the ELP was incompatible with their learner's state of development. Possibly, teachers did not refer so much to the state of development as to conflicting objectives. The Swiss project(s) resulted in a number of products and specific insights of interest and relevance in the broad European context. The Swiss ELP model is comprehensive and directly derived from the Common European Framework of Reference. It contains well researched lists of descriptors and check-lists. Their rationale and the research work undertaken is well documented.
	Several other national and institutional ELPs are based on the Swiss model. Experience in adapting and linking models to the framework has built up.
	The Swiss ELP pilot project covered all educational sectors, a majority of cantons and all linguistic regions. It was run in a decentralised structure in a country with four national languages and regional cultures and a considerable foreign population with their own languages and cultures. The information and feedback gathered is well documented in detailed national reports (available at: e-mail: www.unifr.ch/ids/Portfolio).
	The ELP and the CoE levels are playing an important part in the development of a global concept for language learning in the Swiss schools.

CZ – CZECH REPUBLIC

Project organisation	Number of learners involved	at	nd	900
		1 st year	2 nd year	
	- at junior level (age 6-10) - at secondary I level (age 11-16)	399 503	279 614	
	Number of teachers involved at junior level	25	17	53
	at secondary I level	28	35	20
	Number of schools involved (state and private)39Co-ordinationRadka PerclováCharles University Prague, Faculty of Education, English DepartmentCeletna 13, CZ-Prague 1, 110 00 e-mail: Radka.Perclova@pedf.cuni.cz			partment
	ELP model used in the pilot project Folder in A4 format, 25 pages. Published 1999 by the Czech Ministry of Education. Learning objectives and design adapted for learners aged 8–15. Overview of the Council of Europe Common Framework levels. Passport, Biography, Dossier in 4 languages: Czech, English, French, German.			
	 The following methods were used to a questionnaires for teachers and lead project group, the third one widened intentions; class observations and interviews were retrospection after school visits and estudy of documentation – in specification – in specification	earners deve ed to explore with teachers I teacher ser	loped by the e beliefs and s; ninars;	
Feedback summary	The overall results of the pilot prop 94 % of teachers agreed that the ELF and teachers; 77 % of learners confirmed that the te time well spent; 79 % of learners thought that the EL they do not find school marks suffice 82 % of learners thought that all lear their ELP. (Nevertheless, the Pygmalion effect embarked on the project voluntarily	P is a useful time spent o P adds a pos ient; ners should should be ka	tool both for n keeping the sitive aspect to be encourage ept in mind, a	learners eir ELP was to learning, ed to keep as teachers
	The ELP fulfilled the intended peo	lagogical go	oals:	
Feedback summary CZ project	An open dialogue with learners was classes. The teachers discovered a ne openness and respect for their learne	ew dimensio		
The scheme triggered teachers' reflection and encouraged their seeking of new ways of teaching.

Teachers and learners (!) repeatedly confirmed that various new ideas, methods, techniques and projects had been implemented which would not have happened without the ELP.

Teacher reflection was immensely fostered by their attempts to tackle learner self-assessment, which they considered the most burning issue of the project.

81 % of teachers considered the ELP a useful tool for the development of learner autonomy.

On the other hand, learners did not appreciate this role of the ELP so clearly. Only 42% of them agreed that the ELP puts more responsibility on them.

The project shifted the focus of language learning in some pilot classes from a strict structural syllabus to communicative objectives and seeking enjoyment in language learning.

Collaboration of teachers of different languages working in various regions was supported. Informal teacher networks were formed during the common seminars.

A simplified version of the common European framework descriptors was used during the pilot phase.

It helped teachers to see their former objectives form different perspectives and to reflect on their teaching aims more deeply.

90 % of teachers confirmed that the ELP is useful in clarifying learning objectives with their learners.

The pilot phase was, however, too short and the sample too small for any general conclusions related to standardisation of school achievements to be drawn.

The issue of motivation deserves further exploration. Children confirmed that the ELP encourages reflection on their learning and 85 % of them feel it enhances their motivation.

Yet only 33% of them thought the ELP stimulates them to participate more fully in the language learning process.

The best results have been achieved by teachers who were willing and able to encourage learners' reflection, to listen to their ideas attentively and to accept their opinions.

Due to a constructive dialogue, some teachers were able to answer the tricky question of how often to fill in the Portfolio by agreement with the learners. The learner decided when to bring the ELP to class and as and when they felt a need.

44 % of teachers were dubious about broader implementation of the ELP as they were concerned that a seemingly compulsory element would undermine some of the positive values of the ELP.

Transferable results CZ project	The Czech project resulted in a number of products and specific insights of interest and relevance in the broad European context:
	The Czech ELP model is well adapted and designed for a younger age group;
	The project was well designed and yielded interesting practical results underpinned by clear objectives and theory;
	the way teachers were prepared and supported may serve as an example of good practice;
	 Expertise available includes: Staff and students of the English Department of the Faculty of Education in Prague (mainly Radka Perclová, Lenka Lanska and Bernie Higgins); Jaroslava Delisova, a foreign language learning co-ordinator of the Ministry of Education; 10 teachers who have completed their one-year training and who plan to become trainers of teachers in the project; 3 trainers from three Faculties of Education in the Czech Republic who aim to develop an ELP for upper-secondary schools. 2 students from the English Department of the Faculty of Education in Prague are researching some aspects of the ELP in their final dissertation research.
	The co-ordinator of the pilot project intends to carry out research at doctorate level on the ELP.
	The co-ordinator of the pilot project, Radka Perclová, is co-author of a

The co-ordinator of the pilot project, Radka Perclová, is co-author of a teacher's training guide in preparation at European level.

D-GERMANY Northrhine-Westfalia

Project organisation	Number of learners involved - secondary I level (age 11 – 16) - secondary II level (age 16+) - vocational training (age 16+)	1850 1200 150 500
	Numbers of teachers involved - at secondary I level - at secondary II level - in vocational training	80 50 10 20
	Number of schools involved (different types)	26

Additional ELP pilot projects are being undertaken in the states of Hamburg, Hessen, Sachsen-Anhalt and Thüringen. These are, however, not part of this report.

A joint pilot project has been conducted in the German/Dutch border regions. Seven schools explored the potential of the ELP for schools with established partnerships (reported under the Dutch project).

Co-ordination

Landesinstitut für Schule und Weiterbildung of Nordrhein-Westfalia Paradieserweg 64, D-59494 Soest Mr Eike Thürmann e-mail: <u>Ethuermann@compuserve.de</u> Mrs Ursula Gerling Tel: +49 2921 683 335 Fax: +49 2921 683 228

By invitation from the German Kultusministerkonferenz the NRW Landesinstitut für Schule und Weiterbildung acts also as co-ordinator for ELP developments in other German states.

ELP models used in the pilot project

Published 1997 by: NRW Landesinstitut für Schule und Weiterbildung File in A4 format, in German Biography for Primary and Secondary I sector, 14 pages

Biography for Secondary II sector, 7 pages

Logbook age 11-12 with adapted objectives for class level 5/6, 5 pages Logbook age 13-14 with adapted objectives for class level 7/8, 6 pages Logbook age 15-16 with adapted objectives for class level 9/10, 6 pages Dossier part.

Published 1999 by: NRW Landesinstitut für Schule und Weiterbildung Language Pass for secondary level, age 10-16 – A5, 10 pages, German Language Pass for vocational colleges and schools, A5 format, 10 pages, in German.

Feedback has been gathered:

 through questionnaires specifically designed for the German project. As the first phase of the German project was ahead of the general European project the common anchor questions were only partially applied. The project co-ordinators also felt other forms of feedback might be more effective taking into account the specific objectives of their project.

- through observation, structured and unstructured interviews, discussions and regular feedback sessions.

Feedback summary	From the first project phase – school year 1998/1999
D - project	Teachers and pupils are highly motivated to work with our "old" biography section once or twice. Then they lose interest and cannot see any further added value;
	Pupils from migrant families enjoy working with the ELP most because they can demonstrate their competence in several languages and the experience that their family language is recognised and valued.
	Teachers almost unanimously want L1 (German) to be included into the ELP although they are well aware that the Common Framework categories were not developed for that purpose.
	Teachers and learners complain about additional workload. The ELP does not seem to be sufficiently integrated into the curriculum.
	Pupils and teachers are used to grades and written achievement tests – but they are not used to learners' self-assessment.
	It makes little sense to hand out the passport at the beginning of lower secondary education.
	 Changes to be envisaged: emphasise dossier work; make work with the biography section more attractive, include suggestions for projects and activities; facilitate communication between learners and teacher about class work; build up self-assessment skills systematically; make functional links between dossier, biography and passport explicit.
	Reflection and advice at the end of the pilot project to colleagues and people who want to start with an ELP:
	The first experimentation with an ELP should start with a small group of teachers, pupils and schools. They should be well informed and trained;
	The ELP should be introduced "bottom-up" and not "top-down". Teachers and pupils have to be convinced and enthusiastic.
	Support, advice and encouragement from the authorities is needed. They should provide constructive feedback, time and opportunities to those who want to work with the ELP.
	Designing and experimenting with an ELP is itself a process $-$ in a second phase all experiences gained has to be brought in and the initiated teachers and pupils used as multipliers.
	Contact between schools and teachers participating in the project is vital and productive. Networking is a mode of organisation which offers the professionals involved the possibility to raise and discuss issues as and when they arrive and to explore together how to respond to challenges.

Transferable results D – project	The German pilot project addresses a number of issues which are of interest and relevance in the broad European context:
	 There is the constant reminder that the ELP has only a future provided it is attractive to use; learners and teachers understand and accept the purpose; it is seen by all to add value.
	There is a conscious attempt to clarify and communicate the links between the requirements of the state school system and those of the broader European scheme. Linking level descriptors to the class curricula for specific age groups is a good example.
	Networking is developed as a strategy to disseminate the concepts and to build up common know-how and experience. This strategy mirrors for the teachers the concept of learner autonomy.
	The way the initial ELP model is further developed in a dynamic process.
	Options and strategies for implementation are explored consciously .

$F-FRANCE \quad \text{académie de caen} \\$

Project organisation	Numbers of learners involved - secondary level II (age group 15+) - vocational training (age group 15+)	2030 750 1310
	Numbers of teachers involved - at secondary II level - in vocational training	81 58 23
	Numbers of establishments involved - at secondary II level - in vocational training	27 12 15

The ELP has been piloted by the Académie de Caen for the Ministère de l'Education National.

In 1999 – 2000 four additional French academies were piloting the ELP involving around 1000 additional learners. These projects are not included in this report.

Co-ordination

Francis Goullier, 107 Rue de Grenelle, 75005 PARIS Tel: (33) 1 55 55 31 45, mail: <u>francis.goullier@education.gouv.fr</u>

ELP models used in the pilot project

1st version

Published October 1998 by the Ministère de l'Education National, the Académie de Caen and the Conseil Regional Basse-Normandie. Brochure A4 format, 25 pages, in French, with a Pedagogic user guide and a pamphlet explaining the pilot project and the purpose of the ELP.

2nd version Published October 1999 Intermediate level A2.1, A2.2, A2.3 and B1.1, B1.2, B1 3 added; Observable descriptors linked to training situations added.

Grilles d'auto-évaluation des competences linguistiques Published October 1999, brochure A5 format, 31 pages, in French.

Feedback was gathered

- through CoE questionnaires Set 1 and an extended questionnaire Set 3;

- through discussions, interviews and observation;

- during an evaluation forum involving learners, teachers and employers.

For technical and time reasons feedback was at times only collected or compiled for part of the whole group involved in the project.

Feedback summary Learner feedback tended to be favourable in general but rather mixed. The reactions seem to be directly related to the importance placed on languages in different classes or sections.

> To maintain interest among the learners and support from the teachers any problem or questions arising had to be dealt with fast.

The acceptance and the results improved considerable with the use of the second ELP model.

F Caen - project	Self-assessment posed particular problems in the first phase of the project. Introducing intermediate levels in the 2^{nd} version improved the situation but the competence of learner self-assessment needs to be further developed.		
	 The promotion of pluri-lingualism is a declared key objective of the French ELP project. The 270 learners in vocational training who took part in the final evaluation used their portfolios as follows: 60 students for one language (30 of them have some competence in one or two other languages not recorded in the ELP) 156 students for two languages (22 of them have some competence in one or two additional languages not recorded in the ELP) 20 students for three languages; 8 students for four languages. 		
	The status of the ELP in the educational system needs to be clarified and communicated – learners and teachers need to know how new forms of assessment relate to established forms and demands.		
	For learners in vocational training, the acceptance of the ELP by employers is a key preoccupation. Hence they tend to focus their attention mainly on the self-assessment grids and passport and less on the pedagogic effects the ELP might have.		
	A somewhat reserved attitude towards the ELP by a some students might be explained by a fear that weaker learners might be disadvantaged through the ELP in the job market.		
	The assistance and support teachers can and are willing to give is very important even at this level.		
	The reaction of employers present at the evaluation forum was surprisingly constructive. Parts of the proceedings have been video-taped.		
Transferable results	The initial reactions to the ELP were mixed – learners already convinced of the usefulness of language learning reacted positively – while weaker learners in general responded with reservation or negatively. The acceptance and the effects were greatly improved by reacting promptly to learner and teacher feedback.		
	Learner motivation for languages in vocational training is traditionally not very high. The ELP was looked on as a tool to improve that motivation. This challenge was faced not only through pedagogic measures but also by opening a dialogue between the learners, teachers and employers.		

F - FRANCE CIEP SÈVRES Junior ELP

Project organisation	Number of learners involved - all at primary level - mainly in age groups 6-7 and 9-10 - in France, Hungary, Italy, Luxembourg and Portugal	ca. 3000
	Co-ordinationFrancis Debysere-mail: francis.debyser@wanadoo	<u>fr</u>
	Christine Tagliante e-mail: <u>tagliante@ciep.fr</u> CIEP Centre International d'Etudes Pédagogiques 1, Av Léon Journault, F-92311 Sèvres Cedex	
	ELP model used in the pilot projects Pliant in the form of a game: "Mon premier portfolio de Published 1998 by CIEP and the Ministère de l'Educati Recherche et de la Technologie ELP in three sections: Linguistic and inter-cultural biog Dossier in form of a file; Passport in a reduced form Based on the Common European Framework, levels A1 The descriptors stated in linguistic tasks – adapted to the One self-assessment lists for each descriptor. The original publications are in French, there is also an	on national de la raphy; , A2 and B1. e age of pupils.
	Experimental user guide, 1998 and 1999 versions, in Fr Pamphlet explaining rational and objectives of the projectives of the projective projectives of the projective projectives of the projective project	
Feedback summary	The ELP has been well accepted by pupils and learners. to be noted that participation in the pilot projects was very attitudes were positive from the out-set.	
	The reporting function for young learners is somewhat ones foreseen for the ELP in general. Main addressee of the parents – more than half of the pupils showed their l	f information are
	The inter-cultural dimension was particularly appreciate of foreign origin did appreciated the value given to their	
	"What do you like best in your ELP" pupils answered: 3% nothing, 43 % everything, 29 % the intercultural se linguistic section, 3 % the dossier.	ection, 22% the
Transferable results	The ELP used was developed and designed by CIEP as for young learners on the invitation of the CoE project g Other models for young learners have also been develop All the feedback gathered provides possibly a basis for of harmonisation.	group. ped and piloted.
	The process and the concepts used to link the young lead Common European Framework serves as an example of The original <i>can do statements</i> have been transformed in learners at this age understand and to which they can read	f good practice. nto statements

FIN – FINLAND

Project organisation	Number of learners involved - secondary I level, compulsory education (age 11-16) - secondary II level, upper secondary education (age 16+) - vocational education	360 175 150 35
	Number of teachers involved - at secondary I level - at secondary II level - in vocational education	22 12 7 3

Numbers of schools involved: 4 sec I, 2 Sec II, 3 vocational

The Finnish project is designed to run over a period of three full school years 1998-2001 and to track progress of the same cohort of some 440 students. The findings are therefore not final at this stage.

Co-ordination

Viljo Kohonen, Professor, University of Tampere, e-mail: <u>kohonen@uta.fi</u> Ulla Pajukanta, Senior lecturer, Nokian lukio, Nokia e-mail: <u>ulla.pajukanta@nokiankaupunki.fi</u>

ELP models used in the pilot project

1. Lower secondary education Document A4 format, passport, biography, dossier – 15 pages Descriptors at the levels A1, A2 (A2+), B 1

2. Upper secondary and vocational education Document A4 format, passport, biography, dossier – 15 pages Descriptors at the levels A1, A2 (A2+), B 1 (B1+), B 2 In Finnish and headings in addition in English

Brochures in Finnish for teachers and parents in piloting schools Handbook and framework translated into English, used by teachers Background information for stakeholders also in English Web site on the Internet: http://www.uta.fi/laitokset/okl/tokl

Methods used to gather feedback:

- qualitative data collection. CoE questionnaires with open-ended learner and teacher answers to each question;
- learner portfolios and reflection notebooks;
- interviews with 24 students from each educational sector;
- teacher field notes, piloting diaries, yearly report by each teacher to the co-ordinators;
- collegial discussions and project evaluation;
- monthly in-service days.

Feedback summary FIN - project	Provided teachers are committed to the philosophy and know what they are doing with the ELP the students are motivated to learn. They reflect, set aims for the work and assess their learning process and outcomes.	
	Teachers need training and support – in-service education is vital – the support needs to be made explicit.	
	Learner training, tutoring, guidance and feedback are essential for the progress of negotiated reflective learning and self-assessment.	
	The change from teacher-directed learning to socially responsible self- directed learning needs to be supported and facilitated with explicit, concrete learning tools and regular tutoring.	
	Major pedagogical changes, such as the ELP, take time and require sustained commitment.	
	It is possible that no pedagogy will reach all participants in an optimal way, yet the opportunity to portfolio work should be offered to all learners.	
	It is necessary to aim at a regular use of the ELP in language learning, integrating the work with the language curricula.	
	Making the distinction between (a) the learning dossier and (b) the reporting dossier has helped to concentrate on introducing the dossier as a tool for reflective language learning.	
	Emphasising the dossier as a learning tool in the first place promotes the pedagogical function of the ELP.	
	A national planning group for the dissemination has started to work out strategies and structures for a possible wide implementation of an ELP.	
Transferable results	The project focused on qualitative issues related to the enhancement of learner autonomy and self-assessment in language education. The project objectives, approach, organisation and methods all reflect this focus and are in their rigor special among the pilot projects in other European countries.	
	While this strict focus and rigor during the pilot phase at times seem to lead in very different directions to ideas and findings in other projects it is now clear, that the Finnish project addressed key issues of the ELP in an innovative, creative way relevant to all models.	
	It is also one of the few projects which systematically tracks the same cohort of learners over a prolonged period of three years.	

$GB-UK\ {\rm CILT}$

Project organisation	Number of learners involved junior level (age 6-10) adult	800 600 200
	Number of teachers involved at junior level in adult education	29 19 10
	Number of schools involved 22 primary schools + mother tongue supplementary classes 6 centres further/tertiary/adult education	
	Co-ordination Junior level: CILT Centre for information on Language Teaching and Rese Patricia McLagan, e-mail: <u>pat.mclagan@cilt.org.uk</u>	arch
	Adult education: Languages National Training Organisation, CILT John Thorogood, e-mail: john.thorogood@languagesnto.org.uk	
	ELP models used in the pilot projects	
	 Junior ELP model for pre-school to age 11 Documents in A4 format, photo-copiable, in English Part 1: Languages I know: experiences and learning in and ou school Part 2: What I know and can do in languages Part 3: Dossier Pupils self-assessment sheets in 4 skill areas, levels A1, A2, B Teachers guide, 16 pages 	
	Adult model – vocational training Document A4 format, 20 pages, apart from initial headings in Passport, Biography, Dossier Comparative chart of qualifications: broad guidelines on the le range of UK qualifications compared to the Global Scale.	-
Feedback summary	Junior level: Pupils enjoy completing the portfolio and having it as a record	1.
	Teacher and co-ordinator responses are more critical, though t expressed enthusiasm for the project and its future success.	hey have
	The majority of teachers felt it helped to make learning object and helped learners assess themselves.	ives clear
	It is a useful tool to improve continuity and progression.	

The most frequent criticism were that the language used was a little too complicated, self-assessment was unreliable and that the layout should be improved.

Feedback summary GB	Adult level, vocationally oriented The response through co-ordinators was largely positive, with an emphasis on the involvement of the learner in the language learning. Teachers felt that the ELP facilitated their explanations to learners of what was required in language learning.	
	Learners thought that it helped them assess their own language skills, and was an effective way of describing what they could do. They liked having the ELP and thought it should play a regular part in class work.	
	The ELP was thought to have much to offer, for example, to members of ethnic minority language groups whose natural linguistic skills deserve to be recognised and valued.	
	The qualification section, if to be used in seeking employment, needs to be endorsed in some way and safeguarded against fraudulent use.	
	The adoption of the ELP by companies in which language training has been identified as an important personal development issue needs to be encouraged.	
Transferable results	The CILT ELP junior model showed encouraging results in the multi- lingual and multi-cultural classes and environment it was used. The model provides a desirable degree of flexibility for adaptation to specific situations and needs. The CILT model offers an alternative to the junior model produced and piloted by CIEP in France. The feedback gathered for both models provides an interesting basis for further joint development.	
	The conscious attempt to relate the adult ELP model to the world of work and employment is of wide interest in the international context. The comparative chart of UK qualifications to the Global Scale might serve as an example to develop a comparative chart of European qualifications.	

H - HUNGARY

Project organisation	Number of learners involved: junior level (age 6-10) secondary I (age 11-16) secondary II (age 16+)	580 50 330 200	
	Number of teachers involved: at junior level at secondary I level at secondary II level	25 1 17 7	
	Number of schools involved: 1 primary, 4 lyceums for 8 years, 2 for 4 years)	rs, 2 for 6	
	Co-ordination Centre National de l'Education Publique, Budapest Zsuzsa Darabos, e-mail: <u>mail@okszi.hu</u>	· ·	
	ELP models used Published 1998 A4 folder, for learners age 12 to 16, in Hungarian, French ar Passport, Biography, Dossier with sections for several langu Global self-assessment grid in Hungarian – 6 levels from the Slightly adapted self-assessment check-lists based on the CH	ages Framework	
	Hungarian Junior ELP based on the CIEP model		
Feedback summary	The learners appreciate the ELP as a tool which helps them to follow their learning process and progress. They like the European dimension. Minority groups appreciate that their language gets valued. Learners in the boarder regions think the ELP will increase their professional chances (tourism, local trade, etc.).		
	Teachers find the ELP is motivating, it opens new perspective reflection on the own contribution to the learning process. It clear objectives and motivates learners to be active in the learning It allows to record progress also for learners who do not mark exams – to some self-assessment seems more important than	helps in setting earning process. age to pass	
	Using the ELP takes considerable learner and teacher time – prove critical in wide implementation.	this might	
Transferable results	There are a number of elements in the Hungarian approach t to piloting which are of general interest:	o the ELP and	
	The ELP has been embedded into a broader educational contast a learning tool in global language development process. An implementation plan covering the period up to the year 2 worked out and proposed to the ministry of education.	-	
	Parents, regional and local authorities and employers have be as partners and stakeholders in making the ELP valuable for the school context.	-	

I – ITALY UMBRIA

Project organisation	Number of learners involved: junior (age 6-10) secondary I (age 11-16) teacher in-service training	990 270 600 120	
	Number of teachers involved: at junior level at secondary I level	120 40 80	
	Number of schools involved: 10 primary, 20 secondary I in addition 5 forms in kindergarten (50 children, age 4/5 a	and 5 teachers)	
	Additional pilot projects were undertaken: - at the University of Calabria, reported under CERCLES	iversity of Calabria, reported under CERCLES on page emont using the Junior ELP model of the CIEP, Sèveres d into Italian. This pilot project is included in the F CIEP	
	- in the Piemont using the Junior ELP model of the CIEP, translated into Italian. This pilot project is included in th report on page 12.		
	Co-ordination Ministerio della Pubblica Istruzione, Direzione Generale S Via Ippolito Nievo, 35 – I-00186 Roma Flora Palamidesi Cesaretti, Tel: +39 6 58 49 58 89 / 58 5		
	ELP model used in the pilot project Published 1999 by: the Ministerio Pubblica Istruzione – Direzione Generale S Provveditorato agli Studi di Terni (Decreto Rocchi, 19.1.9 Experimental version for learners age 9-15 A4 format, 16 pages, in Italian some parts also in English Three parts: 1. Language self-portrait, 2. Language Passpo	98)	
	Comprehensive teachers guide, published February 99 Comunicazione, lingua e didattica – the rational, pedagog links between the common European descriptors and the requirements 70 pages mainly in Italian with some English sections.		
Feedback summary	All teachers have attended an in-service training course of "Use of the ELP in a learning to learn approach in L1 and		
	The pupils in kindergarden worked exclusively on buildin dossier which included drawings, rhymes, words related t	U I I	
	At primary school level 40% of the pupils filled the biogr success – the rest felt uneasy, they were not yet able to re- learnt through languages.		
	At the lower secondary level both dossier and passport we The biography was considered difficult, because one has one learnt. The check lists are used but often in a sort of n Teachers and pupils have to work hard on the learning to	to note down what nechanical way.	
	At the upper secondary level the passport is very popular; helps learners to understand how they learn and can use la dossier is a file to collect the best of one's products.		

The learners often still ask for help with their biography and dossier and Feedback summary they have become much more critical in their self-assessment. Ι Teachers reported that the process of developing learner autonomy is still on the way, but that they as teachers and the learners are gradually becoming more confident with the use of the ELP. Teachers also reconfirmed the need for further reflection and training on how to use the ELP coherently and how to explore its full potential. **Transferable results** The Italian pilot project is of particular interest because of the underlying conviction that teachers need a specific theoretical base in order to use the ELP effectively with their learners. Through the comprehensive Italian teachers guide developed and through systematic in-service teacher training related to the ELP the necessary basis was created. Furthermore, data on learner language competence and preferences was collected in the initial stages of the project which might turn out to be useful for further research.

IRL - IRELAND

Project organisation	 Number of learners involved: vocational education (adult pre-vocational refugee learners) university (one group integrated language module, one group optional language modules) adult education (refugee learners in a private school) 	611 20 531
	 - adult education (refugee learners in a private school) Co-ordination University of Dublin, Trinity College Centre for Language and Communication Studies David Little, e-mail: <u>dlittle@tcd.ie</u> Barbara Lazenby Simpson, e-mail: <u>bsimpson@tcd.ie</u>	60
	ELP model used in the pilot project Published 1999 by: The Centre for Language and Communicat Studies, Trinity College, Dublin Folder A4 formate, 20 pages, in English Passport, Biography with sections on linguistic and intercultur experiences and heritage languages, Dossier Self-assessment grid from the CoE Common Framework of Re	al
Feedback summary		
	The more focused the preparation, both for teachers and learners, an the more firmly-based the pedagogical framework into which the EL was integrated, the greater the success.	
	 75 % of learners in a survey of 204 university students who focused primarily on students' perception of the self-assessment activities confirmed that as a result of self-monitoring using the ELP throughout an academic year, they could now identify with accuracy their personal learning objectives in the target language. In terms of transfer of learning skills, 40% of these students indicated that they would now be prepared to carry out similar self-monitoring activities in other parts of their degree course. The learning skills which they identified as a result of the centrality of the ELP in their language module courses included the establishment of learning goals, the timetabling of study, increasing motivation, a deeper understanding of the learning process and the growing ability to be reflective. 	
	The reporting function of the ELP was particularly evident in t the refugee learners. As they had to attend interviews both for courses and for employment, the ELP became an invaluable to supporting their cases and their claims to be able to operate the target language. It was not unusual for prospective employers or human resource managers, having examined the contents of the Dossier, to con they would not have expected the individuals to be capable of in English	training ool in ough the ce oment that
Transferable results	This project is a useful example of good practice. The objectiv been laid out clearly and were monitored throughout the project The conditions underpinning the success were made transparent	et.

NL - HOLLAND

Project organization	Number of learners involved:	4487
Project organisation	junior (age 6-12)	1952
	secondary I (age 12-15)	1935
	secondary II (age 15+)	300
	vocational (age 15+)	300
	Number of teachers involved	118
	at junior level at secondary I level	53 44
	at secondary II level	44 9
	in vocational education	12
	Number of schools: 55 including Dutch/German cross-border project	
	Co-ordination SLO, Institute for Curriculum Development, Enschede Gé Stoks, e-mail: g.stoks@slo.nl	
	ELP models used in the pilot project three basic ELP models were developed:	
	one for primary schools, 9+	
	one for junior secondary education, 12+ (including a Germa one for upper secondary education, 15+	n version)
	Published in February 1999, A5 format, mainly in Dutch wi French, English and German	th titles also
	The descriptors are adapted to the NL curricula for the spec group and anchored against the CoE Common Framework of	-
	The initial primary model was completely revised after the piloting.	first year of
	The models for junior and upper secondary education were revised after the first piloting year. The model for upper secondary education was also used as a	
	model for vocational education, but in the latter vocational education education but in the latter vocational education education but in the latter vocation education educatio	elements for
Feedback summary	The project is being evaluated by IVLOS, the Educational F Institute of Utrecht University.	Research
	The main finding so far is that the success and acceptance of the learners depends very much on the teachers' attitude tow In the absence of teacher support it will not be easy to keep interested over a longer period of time.	vards it. learners
	The dossier part has been neglected so far and not enough a been paid to the self-assessment part. Teachers are not very the notion of self-assessment and complain that learners do themselves adequately. In view of large scale implementation attention will have to teacher education.	familiar with not assess
	Some of the feedback gathered has been positive but overall not overwhelming. The feedback and the results of the Dutc contrast considerably with those of the other pilot projects u	h projects

Transferable resultsIt will be useful and necessary to analyse the reasons for the critical
reception of the ELP in Holland during the pilot phase taking also into
account the results and the experience gained in the broader European
context.

Why is it that the ELP as pedagogical and reporting tool works less well in Holland than in other countries?

Is it that traditions, needs and priorities differ?

Is it that there were too many competing demands, reforms etc.?

Is it that the decentralised organisational structure of the educational system influenced the outcome?

(Schools have wide discretion in the way they arrange their programmes and control their own budgets).

Were there any other reasons?

P - PORTUGAL

Project organisation	Number of learners involved: junior (age 6-10) secondary I (age 11-16) secondary II (age 15+)	1847 475 572 800
	Number of teachers involved: at junior level at secondary I level at secondary II level	103 21 29 53
	Number of schools involved: 15 junior, 15 secondary from all the 7 Portuguese regions	I,13 secondary II
	Co-ordination Ministério da Educacao, Départamento da Educacao Gloria Fischer, e-mail: <u>gloria.fischer@deb.min-edu.</u>	
	Ministère de l'Education, Dép. De l'enseignement Se Maria Helena Correia, e-mail: <u>mailto:Helena.Correia</u>	
	ELP models used in the pilot project 1999 first experimental version,A4 format, in Portuge sample self-assessment grids in French and English rational explained also in German, French, English	uese
	 Published February 2000 Junior model (1st cycle), A4 format, 25 pages, in Po Design, level and check-lists adapted to the pupils a Secondary I model (2nd and 3rd cycle), A4 format, 2 Descriptors for levels A1, A2, B1, B2 Learner self-assessment grid for 6 languages includi addition a parallel section for the teacher's assessment Secondary II model (secundaria), A4 format, 24 page Learner self-assessment grid and descriptors for the 	ge 2 pages, in Portuguese ing Portuguese and in ent. ges, in Portuguese
Feedback summary	The Portuguese pilot project started well behind all o mainly devoted to the development of the Portuguese the preparation of the teachers for the ELP pilot proje	ELP models and for
	Piloting the models in schools started in Spring of the there was little time to explore the potential and the c real life situations.	•
	Initial reactions are overwhelmingly positive both by	learners and teachers.
	The ELP seems to fit in well with ongoing education	al reforms.
Transferable results	The Portuguese pilot project is of particular interest in context because it started late. The project leaders we experience gained in other pilot projects and to mode existing products. Hence, this project enables the study of transfer mech dissemination and implementation of the ELP into ad contexts and different educational situations.	ere able to draw from I their versions on nanism for wider

RU - RUSSIA

Project organisation	Number of learners involved:	1290
	- junior (age 6-10)	160
	- secondary I (age 11-16)	196
	- secondary II (age 15+)	648
	- university	105
	- teacher education (151 initial, 30 in-service education)	181
	Number of teachers involved:	120
	at junior level	12
	at secondary I level	21
	at secondary II level	58
	in universities	13
	in teacher initial and in-service education	16
	Number of schools involved: 4 primary, 41 sec I, 58 sec II,	6 universities

Number of schools involved: 4 primary, 41 sec I, 58 sec II, 6 universities, 7 universities and instates in teacher education

All together 41 experimental sites in 12 Russian regions.

The Russian ELP has been piloted in line with a letter from the Ministry of Comprehensive and Professional Education dated 17.03.98.

Co-ordination

Mikhael Abovian, Vice-Rector, Moscow State Linguistic University e-mail: abovian@linguanet.ru

Portfolio models used in the pilot project

Published 1998, mainly for the secondary II and adult sectors Brochure A4 format, 48 pages, in carton envelope, in Russian Passport, Biography, Dossier based on and adapted from the CH model Self-assessment grid, 6 levels from the Common European Framework Descriptors and self-assessment check-lists related to the 6 global levels including reading and writing competence

Information brochures:

- Correlation of the European levels/standards with the linguistic education in the Russian Federation
- Russian version of the ELP: the pilot project and implementation program
- Learner guide on how to use the ELP
- Teacher guide on how to use the ELP
- Guide on feedback procedures

Published in 2000 by the Moscow Linguistic University

Junior ELP age group 6-10

Brochure A4 format, 30 pages, in Russian

Design, level and descriptors adapted to the age group

Space for several languages including minority languages and in and out of school learning and experience

Document explaining the rational and the underlying theory, in Russian

Available in a pilot version since 2000

ELP model for philologist, 51 pages, in Russian

Includes descriptors specific for translators, interpreters and language teachers these descriptors are so far only available in Russian

Feedback summary RU	The ELP does help maintain and promote language and cultural diversity and plurilingualism in Russia.
	The conception of the Russian ELP focusing on learners' personality, their intercultural and communicative experience based on native and non-native languages makes it possible to realize a new paradigm in Russian linguistic education.
	The ELP provides support for self-expression and self-realization of learners of various ethnic and language groups living in the same territories.
	The didactic and methodological concepts underlying the ELP are in harmony with the Russian psychological-pedagogical school.
	The need to work out a theoretical basis and to approve standard assessment procedures which take into account both the European requirements and the Russian traditions was strongly felt.
	Learner feedback from all educational sectors and all the different types of schools was overwhelmingly positive. The ELP seems to fulfil both the pedagogic and the reporting functions. Learners like to compare their language competence to common European standards. Learners wish that the status of the ELP gets more clearly defined and that reliable and objective self-assessment instruments are developed.
	Teachers reported that their initial reservations towards the ELP have given way to a positive attitude in the course of piloting. The majority of teachers consider the ELP to be an efficient pedagogical tool, an effective instrument for assessing communicative competence, an important help to define educational objectives and tasks and a valuable cognitive instrument for learners.
	Learning psychologists' report that the work with the ELP forms a positive (cognitive and creative) attitude to the process of learning. 94% of learners consider the independence of thinking and autonomy to be of great importance. On the other hand they believe it is necessary to compare self-assessment with the teachers' assessment.
	Initial feedback from piloting the Junior ELP is encouraging. The ELP seems clear, logical and informative. The pupils had no difficulties filling it in and in evaluating their skills. They started to reflect on their competence in languages and to set targets.
	 Feedback from the pilot project with the ELP model for philologist is very positive. The analyses, together with the participants, of the experience gained and the data collected using the ELP led to the following conclusions: the introduction of the ELP into the learning process requires thorough preparation of the students; the most important part of the ELP (for philologists) are the questions raised by self-assessment and the assessment of one's own level; the ELP contributes to the development of professionalism.

Transferable results RU	The Russian pilot project combined field experimentation with the search and description of a coherent theory.
	The project explored the potential, benefits and challenges of the ELP - for the individual learner and teachers, - for educational institutions and

- for the Russian multilingual and multicultural society.

The linguistic, cultural and structural diversity as well as the immense distances and the large number of learners, teachers and learning institutions in Russia posed a considerable challenge for the organisation and the monitoring of the project.

This different factors combined produced solutions and results which are of interest well beyond Russia.

S - SWEDEN

Project organisation	Number of learners involved: vocational (pre vocational, age 16-18) adult (immigrants)	135 90 45
	Number of teachers involved: in vocational training in adult education	9 5 5
	Co-ordination Uppsala University, In-service Training Department Kurt Stenberg, e-mail: <u>kurt.stenberg@swipnet.se</u>	
	ELP models used in the pilot project Published October 1999, Prototype for vocational educati Document A4 format, 14 pages in Swedish and English	on
	Published May 2000, Vocational Trial Edition Document A4 format, 15 pages in Swedish and English European self-assessment grid, 6 levels from the Common Vocational self-assessment grid, levels A1, A2, B1, B2	n Framework
Feedback summary	Analysing the needs of the user groups of the ELP is bene	eficial.
	Experience in the use of the ELP in vocational classes conneed for adapted ELP models.	firmed the
	A self-assessment grid for vocational language was devel	oped and added.
	The perceived value of the ELP is for vocational learner g influenced by the likely acceptance of the document by en Views of employers towards the ELP were collected. Inde - professionalism and social competence; - competence in building relations with customers; - language skills beyond English and knowledge about of - good general basic skills to facilitate specialising; - flexibility and courage as the work place of the future w loosely structured; - co-operation school and business to get a truer picture of	mployers. ustry looks for: her cultures ill be even more
	The principles of the ELP reflect these demands, hence - it seems advisable to pay stronger attention to the Biogra the pedagogic advantages of the ELP, even though stron fixated classes may be primarily interested in the Passpo - the advantages of the Dossier have to be made clear.	gly employment-
	The use of the ELP with the immigrant classes was comp individual demands for very specific information and sup Resources and space were not sufficient to cope with these evident that a similar approach as was used for the vocation needed to enhance the benefits of the ELP for immigrant	port. e demands. It is onal group is
Transferable results	This project is an example of good practice. The needs an different stakeholder groups are explored and harmonised and the use of it are optimised accordingly.	-

SLO - SLOVENIA

634

Project organisation Number of learners involved:

	junior (age 6-10) secondary I (age 11-16) university	177 353 104
	Number of teachers involved: at junior level at secondary I level at universities	20 5 15
	Number of schools involved: 5 primary, 15 primary/lower sec	ondary
	Co-ordination Ministry of Education of Slovenia, Ljubljana Zdravka Godunc, e-mail: <u>zdravka.godunc@infosol.mss.edus</u>	<u>.si</u>
	University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Education, Unit of Koper Lucija Cok, e-mail: <u>lucija.Cok@ZRS.KP.si</u>	
	ELP models used in the pilot project Published 1998, 1 st versions for primary sector A4 format, duplicated, mainly in Slovene	
	Published Sept 1999: <i>My first language portfolio</i> , age group 1 st printed prototype for one foreign language with space for a another language in nationally mixed regions of Slovenia Passport, Biography, Dossier in folder, visual elements, in Slo Descriptors adapted to the Slovene curricula and the learners' levels A1 and A2 User guide in Slovene and English explaining the rational of the pilot project.	using it for ovene age,
	 Published 2000, ELP for primary school learner (sec I ?) Passport, Biography, Dossier in two parts all in a folder, A4 f In Slovene, list of titles translated into German, English, Fren and Hungarian Self-assessment grid for the 6 CoE levels from the Common I Descriptors and check-lists adapted to the Slovene curricula Suggested activities: 27 sheets as part of the dossier Teachers guide A5, 44 pages, in Slovene 	ch, Italian
	Published 2000, on the initiative of a group of university s Experimental ELP model for non-language specialist universit A4 folder, Passport, Biography, Dossier in Slovene – attractive Self-assessment grid 6 levels from the Common European Fra Descriptors and check-list based on the CH model with adapt	ty students ve design amework
Feedback summary	Learner and teacher feedback is in general very positive.	
	Analysing the data of two questionnaires, a qualitative effect on learning and teaching can be registered. Pupils and students show their interest in using the ELP proto manifest creativity, regain self-esteem in learning and develop language awareness.	types,

Feedback summary SLO	They need time to learn how to use the ELP and were uncertain whether teachers considered their self-assessment.
	Teachers used the ELP as an innovative tool, individualised their approach taking account of intellectual styles of their learners and included parents in monitoring pupils progress and success. Competing reform activities created work overload and there was not enough time to work with the ELP in class.
	The use of different ELP models at the same level can create confusion.
	An ELP model for the upper secondary level is lacking. Teachers involved in Matura projects did not want to introduce an ELP in language teaching.
	Learners and teacher would like the status of the ELP in the Slovene educational system to be clarified. Some learners express doubt about the ELPs validity throughout Europe.
Transferable results	Slovenia is in the process of implementing a curricula reform. The ELP has to be seen in this larger context. While the context is favourable for innovative ideas and experimentation there is also the need to avoid an overload of initiatives.
	The Slovene ELP project is an example on how to successfully navigate in situations of change and competing demands on resources.

CERCLES

Project organisation	Number of learners involved: university sector – non-language specialist	180
	1 st phase March-June 1999: 90 students of I 2 nd phase Nov 99 – June 2000: 90 students	English, French or German
	Number of teachers involved:	4 1^{st} phase, 2 2^{nd} phase
	There was another CERCLES project cond Dublin (the project and its results are report	
	Co-ordination for the University of Calabria: Instituto Universitario di Scienze Motorie, Paola Evangelisti, e-mail: <u>pevang@unical.</u>	
	 ELP model used in the pilot project The ELP model developed by Trinity Colle Modifications: the self-assessment grid adapted to take ad abilities taught at university an expanded intercultural experiences sectors 	ccount of specific academic
Feedback summary	The results after the 1 st phase were gener On the whole the students showed a positiv They consider it an important pedagogical to of their language learning. They also apprece the possibility it offers to overcome cross-c	e attitude towards the ELP. tool towards the improvement ciate its reporting function and
	 From the pedagogical point of view it seem set in motion a sharper awareness of their personal iden an increase in responsible participation to perception of language learning as a self-p a clearer understanding of individual learn made towards them. 	tity as language learners; the learning process and in planned, individual process;
	On the negative side students (30%) found some cases not clear enough. They stressed and for concrete examples of the situation e	the need for an extra levels
	After the 2 nd phase, relative to this year's so of last year's, the preliminary results both a as a learning tool, and about its structure we Time is essential to appreciate and understand	bout the usefulness of the ELP vere confirmed.
	Teachers' confirmed after the June final exa worked with the ELP had developed more a learning their past and future objectives. In acquired ability to reflect on their own learn	awareness about their way of general they showed an
Transferable results	This pilot project with a relatively small nu involved is a good example on how to orga local ELP piloting project effectively. Efforts were sustained over two academic y by the organisers and through the students.	nise, monitor and evaluate a

EAQUALS

Project organisation	Number of learners (adults) involved:	700
	from the following institutions: Academia Lacunza, Spain British Institute of Rome, Italy Prosper ASE Bucharest & International House Timisoara, Ron Bell Prague, Czech Republic Faculty of Economics & Teutonika-Kramer Zagreb, Croatia British School Monza, Italy	mania
	etc.	
	Co-ordination Eaquals, the Bell Language School Saffron Walden Robin Davis, e-mail: <u>robin@author.demon.co.uk</u>	
	ELP models used in the pilot project(s) Passport-size ELP in English and Czech, French, German, Ital Spanish	ian and
	ALTE/EAQUALS passport which has in the meantime develop CoE standard passport version for adults.	oped into the
	A joint Language Biography is under development.	
Feedback summary	Initial feedback was in general positive. Nevertheless an initia and the joint development of the Biography section was enviso	
	The proposed passport model in the meantime developed furth CoE standard adult passport.	ner into the
	The joint development of a Biography section proved difficult of adult language education is extremely diverse – from the p of learner needs, types of courses, modes of delivery, in traditi market and organisational structures in different countries and Consequently the approach is to develop a "pick and choose" materials for the Biography, a range of sample questions etc, a institutions or groups of institutions to assemble their own Lar Biography model from this material.	oint of view ions and regions. package of and for
Transferable results	The adult education sector in its diversity is an important contained endeavour to diversify language learning in a life-long perspective sector.	
	Unlike the compulsory educational sector, language teaching to function in an open market – it has to sell its services.	to adults has
	Adult education builds on earlier learning and acquired competities to satisfy specific needs of identified learner groups. The ELP with its pedagogic and reporting functions might conthe building up of a transparent, coherent and convincing offer opportunities. In the free market, however, clients want to know what value adds in achieving their current objectives.	ntribute to r of learning
	This market dynamic is specific to the adult sector, yet the effective solutions required might be a valuable study-topic for other sector.	

Project organisation	Number of learners involved: University sector	~1000
	Co-ordination Université de Lausanne, Centre de langues Brigitte Forster Vosicki, e-mail: <u>Brigitte.ForsterVosicki@</u>	<u>cdl.unil.ch</u>
	Universities involved and local co-ordinators: Freie Universität Berlin, ZE Sprachlabor Jürgen Steffen, e-mail: jsteffen@mail.zedat.fu-berlin.de	
	Universität Bremen, Germany Fremdsprachenzentrum der Hochschule im Land Bremen Caroline Veltchefff, e-mail: <u>ifranc1@uni-bremen.de</u> Ulrike Brand-Kleider, e-mail: <u>Bremen@goethe.de</u>	
	University Potsdam, Sprachenzentrum Dr Heidrum Klemm, e-mail: <u>klemm@rz.uni-potsdam.de</u>	
	Universiteit Gent, Talencentrum RUG Marleen Coutuer, e-mail: <u>marleen.couture@rug.ac.be</u>	
	Niels Brock, Copenhagen Business College, Faculty of Hig John Cyril Knudsen, e-mail: jkn@brock.dk	gher Education
	Jyväkylän yliopisto, Centre for Applied Language Studies Dr Anne Räsänen, e-mail: <u>rasanen@cc.jyu.fi</u>	
	University of Ulster and Coleraine, Language Resource Un Michael Jones, e-mail: <u>S0546@SPERRIN.ulst.ac.uk</u>	nit
	Université de Caen/France avec l'Universitetet I Oslo/Nor Office franco-norvégien d'échanges et de cooperation Dr Wenche Ottesen, e-mail: <u>ottesen@ofnec.unicaen.fr</u>	way
Lettres	University of Aston, Department of Languages and Europe Dr Sue Wright, e-mail: <u>S.M.Wright@aston.ac.uk</u>	an Studies
	Université Lausanne, Centre de langues, Ecole de français modern, Fac Brigitte Forster Vosicki, e-mail: <u>Brigitte.ForsterVosicki@</u>	
	Université de Genève, Département de langue et de littérature alleman	de, Faculté des
	Dr Erika Diehl, e-mail: <u>erika.diehl@bluewin.ch</u> Dr Thérèse Studer, e-mail: <u>therese.studer@bluewin.ch</u>	
Feedback summary	ELP models used in the project(s) The CH ELP model in English, French and German The CH and to a smaller degree the IRL ELP models were The university of Aston is developing its own ELP model.	
	Most students and teachers involved in piloting considered model extremely interesting, useful and innovative.	the CH ELP
	Experience shows that learners and teachers react in general positively to the ELP regardless of their subject of study (I non-language specialists).	

	The folder and the collection of documents was judged too complex and difficult to manage – simplifications were suggested.	
Feedback summary ELC	To reporting/presenting a reasonably complete and convincing overview. more space needs to be provided in the Passport.	
	Teachers specifically appreciated the pedagogic function of the ELP.	
	Learners' reactions vary from enthusiasm to rejection. Learner groups of different origin or cultural background do not seem to differ in their perception of the ELP.	
	The descriptors of the competence levels and of the check lists were particularly appreciated for their quality, precision and general interest. Suggestions for further improvement have been transmitted to the authors.	
	Additional descriptors related to the academic world were developed, selected and evaluated by a work group consisting of members from six universities.	
	In general the ELP was more positively received by students in non- traditional learning contexts (tandem, self-directed learning, etc.) than by those in standard courses.	
	Feedback suggests that students appreciated the documentation and reporting function more than the role change which increases learner responsibility.	
	The ELP seems to be particularly valuable in the initial language teacher education because the theoretical work is combined with a reflection on the learning and teaching process based on practical self-experimentation. The use of the ELP in this context was, however, not free of emotions.	
	Despite sometimes mixed results, piloting of the ELP at the universities was considered an enriching experience by the majority of participants. It is, however, after such a short period of piloting too early to judge the effects and acceptance of the ELP in a long term perspective.	
	Nevertheless the ground for further development and experimentation is well prepared.	
Transferable results	The ELC pilot project yielded in a short time a surprising wealth of data and insights of wide interest and relevance beyond the university sector.	
	The ELP seems to make sense to language specialist and non-specialist and it seems to work in the free learning environment of universities.	
	Descriptors for university specific functions and for special professional needs were integrated. This made the ELP more relevant for the specific learner group.	
	Experience has been gained in using the ELP in initial language teacher education.	
	The reactions to the ELP were based both on a theoretical and practical considerations.	
	The experience and the results are documented in a rich final report.	

The results of this work are beyond the universities of relevance and importance for educational authorities, teacher educators and language specialists designing and/or implementing an ELP.