SECRETARIAT / SECRÉTARIAT

SECRETARIAT OF THE COMMITTEE OF MINISTERS
SECRÉTARIAT DU COMITÉ DES MINISTRES

COMMITTEE OF MINISTERS
COMITÉ DES MINISTRES

COUNCIL OF EUROPE
CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

Contact: Ireneusz Kondak
Tel: 03.90.21.59.86

Date: 19/11/2026

DH-DD(2026)1566

Document distributed under the sole responsibility of its author, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.

Meeting: 1577th meeting (December 2026) (DH)

Communication from the applicant (16/11/2026) in the case of Krasyukov and Others v. Ukraine (Application No. 64181/09) (Ignatov group) - *appendices in Ukrainian are available at the Secretariat upon request*

Information made available under Rule 9.1 of the Rules of the Committee of Ministers for the supervision of the execution of judgments and of the terms of friendly settlements.

* * * * * * * * * * *

Document distribué sous la seule responsabilité de son auteur, sans préjuger de la position juridique ou politique du Comité des Ministres.

Réunion : 1577e réunion (décembre 2026) (DH)

Communication du requérant (16/11/2026) relative à l'affaire Krasyukov et autres c. Ukraine (Requête n° 64181/09) (Groupe Ignatov) ***[anglais uniquement]*** - *des annexes en ukrainien sont disponibles auprès du Secrétariat sur demande*

Informations mises à disposition en vertu de la Règle 9.1 des Règles du Comité des Ministres pour la surveillance de l'exécution des arrêts et des termes des règlements amiables.

DH-DD(2026)1566: Rule 9.1 Communication from the applicant in Krasyukov and Others v. Ukraine
Document distributed under the sole responsibility of its author, without prejudice
to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.

DGI
16 NOV. 2026
SERVICE DE L'EXECUTION
DES ARRETS DE LA CEDH

Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe
Department for the Execution of Judgments
F-67075 Strasbourg Cedex, France
DGI-Execution@coe.int

Applicant: Maksym Viktorovych Drozdenko
Application no. 66440/11

COMMUNICATION CONCERNING EXECUTION
Krasyukov and Others v. Ukraine, judgment of 3 May 2018

1. Subject of the communication

I am the applicant in Krasyukov and Others v. Ukraine, application no. 66440/11 (lead application no. 64181/09), final judgment of 3 May 2018. The Court established excessive pre-trial detention contrary to Article 5 § 3 for the period from 1 July 2010 to 26 November 2013 (3 years, 4 months and 26 days), and unlawful deprivation of liberty contrary to Article 5 § 1 from 1 April to 14 April 2011, when the previous detention order had expired and detention continued without a judicial order until the first court hearing (Annex 1).

The Court awarded EUR 5,900. In the addendum to its action plan on the Ignatov group dated 17 April 2019, circulated on 18 April 2019 as DH-DD(2019)131-add, Ukraine reported that EUR 5,900 (UAH 180,205.73) had been transferred to my bank account on 20 July 2018 (Annex I, entry 12, PDF p. 12; https://rm.coe.int/090000168094051f). The communication concerns the adequacy of the other individual measures. I request an assessment under Rule 9.1 if supervision remains open and clarification of the current status of my application within the Ignatov group.

2. Subsequent domestic developments and outstanding individual measures

My criminal case no. 1-1048/11 remains unresolved. In 2026 I applied for exceptional review of earlier decisions relating to my detention. Three rulings of the Grand Chamber are particularly relevant:

(a) On 1 July 2026, proceedings no. 13-56zvo26 (case no. 1-364/2011), it refused review of the ruling of 14 April 2011 which scheduled the case for trial and left detention unchanged. The ruling relates directly to the end of the unlawful detention interval identified by the European Court. The Grand Chamber considered the procedural ruling exhausted and declined to reopen it (Annex 7).

(b) On 9 September 2026, proceedings no. 13-80zvo26 (case no. 4-72/2010), it refused review of the appellate detention-extension ruling of 3 December 2010, within the period identified under Article 5 § 3. It referred to the exhausted effect of the old ruling and the monetary compensation awarded by the European Court (Annex 8).

(c) On 1 July 2026, proceedings no. 13-60zvo26 (case no. 1-1048/11), it refused review of the detention-extension ruling of 19 October 2012. The Grand Chamber reasoned that the ruling had exhausted its effect, that annulment could not restore my former legal position and that the Strasbourg judgments did not expressly prescribe further individual measures beyond compensation (Annex 2, §§ 13–19).

The detention orders of December 2010 and October 2012 fall within the Article 5 § 3 period, whereas the Article 5 § 1 finding specifically concerns the gap in April 2011. These decisions are material to the assessment of what domestic avenues have actually been available to address the established violations. They do not establish that annulment of any individual order was required

DH-DD(2026)1566: Rule 9.1 Communication from the applicant in Krasyukov and Others v. Ukraine
Document distributed under the sole responsibility of its author, without prejudice
to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.

by the European Court. The relevant question is whether the release and payment reported by the authorities sufficiently addressed the consequences in my individual circumstances.

On 2 April 2026, in Alengoz and Others v. Ukraine, application no. 23310/25, the European Court separately found violations of Article 6 § 1 and Article 13 concerning the still-pending criminal proceedings (Annex 3). The district court's ruling of 12 November 2026 confirms that the criminal case continues; it refused to open review on newly discovered circumstances relating to the ruling of 25 December 2024 (Annex 9). This is not a claim that my detention itself continues; it is evidence of the ongoing procedural context in which the 2026 requests for redress have been rejected.

On 6 October 2026 the Shevchenkivskyi District Court of Kyiv opened civil case no. 333/7002/26 concerning compensation, including claims related to detention and prolonged prosecution. I filed a reply to the Treasury's defence on 2 November. On 13 November the Regional Prosecutor's Office sought participation by video link in the hearing scheduled for 16 November (Annexes 4–6). These documents show that the domestic compensation proceedings were still pending as of 13 November; their final outcome has not been established.

3. Relevance to the Committee's supervision

The 2019 Government addendum establishes that the judgment was reported in the framework of the Ignatov group (lead application no. 40583/15). In its decision of 9 June 2021 on this group, CM/Del/Dec(2021)1406/H46-36, § 2, the Committee closed 12 cases after noting that the applicants were no longer in pre-trial detention, criminal proceedings had concluded and just satisfaction had been paid; in § 3 it requested further individual-measure information in other cases. That decision does not determine my individual status, but the ongoing criminal proceedings and the 2026 rulings warrant a case-specific assessment. See https://hudoc.exec.coe.int/eng?i=CM%2FDel%2FDec%282021%291406%2FH46-36E.

Under Article 46 of the Convention the Committee assesses whether the measures actually taken are sufficient in light of the violations established by the Court. My request concerns the consequences of the specific violations of Article 5 §§ 1 and 3, in particular the legal gap from 1 to 14 April 2011 and the excessive duration of detention. The separate Alengoz communication concerns the reasonable-time violation.

4. Requests

I respectfully request the Committee of Ministers to:

1. Identify the current supervision status of application no. 66440/11 and the applicable group; if examination has been closed, provide the reference and date of the relevant final resolution.

2. If supervision remains pending, register this communication under Rule 9.1 and request an updated, case-specific account of the individual measures addressing both violations of Article 5. In particular, assess whether the 2026 domestic rulings and the pending compensation proceedings leave any consequences of the violations requiring further redress.

3. If examination has been closed, clarify whether and in what manner the subsequent domestic decisions may be brought to the attention of the Committee in the framework of ongoing relevant supervision proceedings.

4. Under Rule 8, I request that private personal information contained in the annexes be protected from public disclosure and that the annexes not be published where necessary for that purpose. The copies contain redactions of identified private information; originals can be supplied on request.

DH-DD(2026)1566: Rule 9.1 Communication from the applicant in Krasyukov and Others v. Ukraine
Document distributed under the sole responsibility of its author, without prejudice
to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.

Annexes

1. Krasyukov judgment, 3 May 2018 (copy, without personal covering letter). PDF pp. 1–8.
2. Grand Chamber ruling of 1 July 2026, no. 13-60zvo26. PDF pp. 9–14.
3. Alengoz judgment, 2 April 2026 (original English text). PDF pp. 15–21.
4. Civil court ruling opening case no. 333/7002/26, 6 October 2026. PDF pp. 22–24.
5. Reply to Treasury defence of 2 November 2026 (Word copy converted to PDF). PDF pp. 25–29.
6. Zaporizhzhia Regional Prosecutor’s Office application of 13 November 2026 in civil case no. 333/7002/26. PDF pp. 30–33.
7. Grand Chamber ruling of 1 July 2026 concerning the ruling of 14 April 2011, no. 13-56zvo26. PDF pp. 34–36.
8. Grand Chamber ruling of 9 September 2026 concerning detention extension of 3 December 2010, no. 13-80zvo26. PDF pp. 37–40.
9. Criminal court ruling of 12 November 2026 (e-court HTML converted to PDF). PDF pp. 41–45.

16 November 2026 Maksym Drozdenko _____