

SECRETARIAT / SECRÉTARIAT

SECRETARIAT OF THE COMMITTEE OF MINISTERS
SECRÉTARIAT DU COMITÉ DES MINISTRES

COMMITTEE
OF MINISTERS
COMITÉ
DES MINISTRES



Contact: Ireneusz Kondak
Tel: 03.90.21.59.86

Date: 16/10/2026

DH-DD(2026)1315

Documents distributed at the request of a Representative shall be under the sole responsibility of the said Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.

Meeting: 1577th meeting (December 2026) (DH)

Item reference: Action Report (02/10/2026)

Communication from Ukraine concerning the group of cases of Ignatov v. Ukraine (Application No. 40583/15)

Les documents distribués à la demande d'un/e Représentant/e le sont sous la seule responsabilité dudit/de ladite Représentant/e, sans préjuger de la position juridique ou politique du Comité des Ministres.

Réunion : 1577^e réunion (décembre 2026) (DH)

Référence du point : Bilan d'action (02/10/2026)

Communication de l'Ukraine concernant le groupe d'affaires Ignatov c. Ukraine (Requête n° 40583/15)
[anglais uniquement]

02 OCT. 2026

SERVICE DE L'EXECUTION
DES ARRETS DE LA CEDH**Execution of Judgements of the European Court of Human Rights****Action Report****on measures to be taken for implementation of the European Court's judgements****in the *Ignatov* group of cases**

(application no. 40583/15, final on 15/03/2017)

CASE SUMMARY

The cases of the *Ignatov group* mainly concern problems in the application of the 2012 Code of Criminal Procedure (the “CCP of Ukraine”) and other legislation relating to arrest and pre-trial detention.

The main problems identified in these cases are:

(a) unlawful arrest and detention (including of a minor in the *Korneykova* case), notably unregistered detention, delays in the drawing up of arrest reports; arrest without a court order in the absence of the grounds set forth by law; detention without judicial decision; continued detention by re-arrests despite court decisions ordering release; failure to state the relevant grounds when authorising detention on remand or to set a time-limit for such detention; pre-trial detention in excess of the maximum time-limit established by law (Article 5 § 1);

(b) failure to inform the individual promptly of the reasons for arrest (Article 5 § 2);

(c) failure to bring the arrested person promptly before a judge; failure to advance relevant and sufficient grounds for extending detention on remand and/or 24-hour house arrest or to consider any alternative preventive measure; failure to provide relevant and sufficient justification for the decisions setting bail and its amount (Article 5 § 3);

(d) lack of speedy and thorough review of the lawfulness of detention on remand; absence of detainees or their representatives from detention hearings, attributable to the authorities (Article 5 § 4); and

(e) lack of a remedy capable of providing compensation for the above breaches in situations where a violation of Article 5 was found by the Court and where there was no domestic judicial decision establishing the unlawfulness of the detention; as well as insufficient compensation for unlawful detention (Article 5 § 5).

INDIVIDUAL MEASURES

The Government consider that no additional individual measures are necessary in the following cases: Grinkov v. Ukraine (app. no. 15485/25), Pyvovarchuk v. Ukraine (app. no. 1129/25), Strogush and Kropachev v. Ukraine (app. no. 9673/25), Nedybalyuk v. Ukraine (app. no. 17279/25), Mudrak and Raskin v. Ukraine (app. no. 29911/24 and 30238/24), Nikolayev v. Ukraine (app. no. 54309/20), Byche and Shatokhin v. Ukraine (app. no. 26947/24 and 31147/24), Berezhna v. Ukraine (app. no. 40424/23), Tymoshenko v. Ukraine (app. no. 26951/23) and Saygozyyev and Goloshchapov v. Ukraine (app. no. 39693/19). The detailed information is provided in the table below.

Nº	Applicant's names	Just satisfaction	Information as regards criminal proceedings/detention
----	-------------------	-------------------	---

1	Grinkov v. Ukraine (app. No. 15485/25, judgment final on 16/04/2026)		
	Oleg Yuriyovych Grinkov	The just satisfaction in the amount of EUR 2 590 (UAH 131 781.53) was transferred to the applicant's bank account under payment order no. 264 of 25 June 2026.	By a decision of the Kyiv District Court of Kharkiv dated 14 January 2026, a preventive measure in the form of a bail in the amount of UAH 499 200 was imposed on the applicant. On 16 January 2026, the applicant was released from custody after posting bail.
2	Pyvovarchuk v. Ukraine (app. No. 1129/25, judgment final on 02/04/2026)		
	Yevgeniy Viktorovych Pyvovarchuk	The just satisfaction in the amount of EUR 2 590 (UAH 133 163.30) was transferred to the applicant's bank account under payment order no. 256 of 23 June 2026.	By a decision of the Pecherskiy District Court of Kyiv dated 06 March 2024, a preventive measure in the form of house arrest was granted to the applicant. By a decision of the Pecherskiy District Court of Kyiv dated 25 June 2024, a pre-trial measure in the form of pre-trial detention was granted to the applicant, which was extended by decisions dated 20 August 2024, and 17 September 2024. By a decision of the Pecherskiy District Court of Kyiv dated 10 October 2024, the judge declined the prosecutor's request to grant the applicant's pre-trial detention and imposed a pre-trial measure in the form of house arrest until 10 December 2024. After the expiration of the decision of the Pecherskiy District Court of Kyiv dated 10 October 2024, the preventive measure in the form of house arrest was not renewed, and no other preventive measure was applied.
3	Strogush and Kropachev v. Ukraine (app. No. 9673/25 and 11144/25, judgment final on 26/02/2026)		
	Roman Ivanovych	The just satisfaction in the	By a decision of the Pecherskiy

	Strogush	amount of EUR 2 650 (UAH 136 363.70) was transferred to the applicant's bank account under payment order no. 204 of 05 May 2026.	District Court of Kyiv dated 29 July 2026, a preventive measure in the form of house arrest during certain hours of the day, specifically, from 10 p.m. to 6 a.m., with the exception of seeking shelter in a civil defense facility during an air raid alert was imposed on the applicant. Preventive measure was extended until 29 September 2026.
	Vitaliy Valeriyovych Kropachev	The just satisfaction in the amount of EUR 1 250 (UAH 64 322.50) was transferred to the applicant's bank account under payment order no. 205 of 05 May 2026.	By a decision of the Shevchenkivskyi District Court of Kyiv dated 19 November 2025 the applicant's pre-trial detention was continued and the amount of bail was established. On 20 November 2025, the applicant was released from custody after posting bail.
4	Nedybalyuk v. Ukraine (app. No. 17279/25, judgment final on 08/01/2026)		
	Roman Vitaliyovych Nedybalyuk	The just satisfaction in the amount of EUR 500 (UAH 25 351.60) was transferred to the applicant's bank account under payment order no. 274 of 23 March 2026.	The applicant was released from custody on 30 April 2026, after posting bail in the amount of UAH 815 360.
5	Mudrak and Raskin v. Ukraine (app. No. 29911/24 and 30238/24, judgment final on 27/11/2025)		
	Volodymyr Yuriyovych Mudrak	The just satisfaction in the amount of EUR 3 250 (UAH 163 360.93) was transferred to the bank account of the legal representative of the applicant - Rybiy Serhiy Mykolayovych under payment order no. 6 of 15 January 2026.	By a decision of Zhovtnevyi District Court of Kharkiv dated 12 October 2020, the applicant was found guilty and sentenced to 15 years of imprisonment with confiscation of property. On 23 April 2026, the Volyn Court of Appeal overturned the first-instance decision and sentenced the applicant to life imprisonment with confiscation of property. The decision of the Volyn Court of Appeal is final.

	Yevgen Oleksandrovykh Raskin	The just satisfaction in the amount of EUR 3 250 (UAH 163 360.93) was transferred to the bank account of the legal representative of the applicant - Rybiy Serhiy Mykolayovych under payment order no. 7 of 15 January 2026.	Since 14 July 2024, no preventive measure has been applied to the applicant.
6	Nikolayev v. Ukraine (app. No. 54309/20, judgment final on 06/11/2025)		
	Gennadiy Vasylyovych Nikolayev	The just satisfaction in the amount of EUR 500 (UAH 24 498.05) was transferred to the applicant's bank account under payment order no. 693 of 08 December 2025.	By a decision of the Odesa Court of Appeal dated 12 August 2021, a preventive measure in the form of 24-hour house arrest was granted to the applicant until 11 September 2021. After the expiration of the decision of the Odesa Court of Appeal dated 12 August 2021, no preventive measure was applied to the applicant.
7	Byche and Shatokhin v. Ukraine (app. No. 26947/24 and 31147/24, judgment final on 09/10/2025)		
	Ivan Ivanovych Byche	The just satisfaction in the amount of EUR 2 050 (UAH 100 344.02) was transferred to the applicant's bank account under payment order no. 663 of 26 November 2025.	During the pre-trial investigation, by a decision of the Tyachivskyi District Court of Zakarpattia Region dated 06 May 2024, the applicant was granted a preventive measure in the form of 24-hour house arrest. During the court proceedings, by a decision of the Tyachivskyi District Court of Zakarpattia Region dated 26 June 2024, the applicant's preventive measure was changed to house arrest during night-time, which remained in effect until 01 July 2024. Since July 2024, no preventive measures have been imposed on the applicant.
	Roman Ivanovych Shatokhin	The applicant provided information of his bank account on 13 January 2026.	By a decision of the Volyn Court of Appeal dated 16 September 2024, a preventive measure in the

		The just satisfaction in the amount of EUR 2 050 (UAH 100 891.37) was transferred to the applicant's bank account under payment order no. 54 of 23 January 2026.	form of a bail was imposed on the applicant. The applicant was released from custody on 20 September 2024, after posting bail.
8	Berezhna v. Ukraine (app. No. 40424/23, judgment final on 03/04/2025)		
	Olena Petrivna Berezhna	The just satisfaction in the amount of EUR 2 500 (UAH 119 757.00) was transferred to the applicant's bank account under payment order no. 2803 of 02 July 2025.	By a decision of the Solomyanskyi District Court of Kyiv dated 10 December 2024, the applicant was found guilty and sentenced to 14 years of imprisonment, the period of the preventive measure in the form of detention was extended until the sentence enters into force. The applicant filed an appeal against the decision of the Solomyanskyi District Court of Kyiv of 10 December 2024 to the Kyiv Court of Appeal. The appeal proceedings are still pending. By the decision of the Kyiv Court of Appeal of 20 June 2025, the preventive measure in the form of detention was changed to the alternative preventive measure in the form of bail. The applicant was released from custody on bail on 27 June 2025.
9	Tymoshenko v. Ukraine (app. No. 26951/23, judgment final on 20/03/2025)		
	Pavlo Volodymyrovych Tymoshenko	On 22 April 2025, the applicant's heir provided his bank account information. The just satisfaction in the amount of EUR 500 (UAH 23 538.05) was transferred to the applicant's son's bank account under payment order no. 394 of 29 May 2025.	The applicant died in 2023.
10	Saygozyyev and Goloshchapov v. Ukraine (app. No. 39693/19 and 45218/22, judgment final on 16/05/2024)		
	Makhmadsobir Abdukakhorovych	On 31 October 2024, the just in the amount of EUR 2,050 (UAH	By a decision of Eighth Administrative Court of Appeal

	Saygozyyev	91496.42) was transferred to the special deposit account of the Ministry of Justice of Ukraine. The applicant provided his bank details on 10 December 2025. Thus, on 30 December 2025, the just satisfaction in the amount of EUR 2,050 (UAH 91496.42) was transferred to the applicant's bank account.	dated 16 January 2019 the request of the Mukachevo Border Guard Unit of the Western Regional Directorate of the State Border Guard Service of Ukraine to detain a foreigner was rejected. Thus, on 16 January 2019 the applicant was released from custody.
	Artem Sergiyovych Goloshchapov	On 20 June 2024, the just satisfaction in the amount of EUR 2,050 (UAH 89327.52) was transferred to the applicant's bank account.	By a decision of the Uzhhorodskyi City-District Court of Zakarpattia Region dated 13 April 2022, a preventive measure in the form of a personal commitment was imposed on the applicant. This preventive measure continues to be applied to the applicant.

GENERAL MEASURES

On 31 August 2026, the Updated and Consolidated Action Plan¹ was submitted in the Ignatov group of cases (application no. 40583/15), which provided updated information, inter alia, regarding general measures in this group of cases.

CONCLUSIONS OF THE RESPONDENT GOVERNMENT

The Government consider that no further individual measures are required within the execution of the Court's judgments in the following cases: Grinkov v. Ukraine (app. no. 15485/25), Pyvovarchuk v. Ukraine (app. no. 1129/25), Strogush and Kropachev v. Ukraine (app. no. 9673/25), Nedybalyuk v. Ukraine (app. no. 17279/25), Mudrak and Raskin v. Ukraine (app. no. 29911/24 and 30238/24), Nikolayev v. Ukraine (app. no. 54309/20), Byche and Shatokhin v. Ukraine (app. no. 26947/24 and 31147/24), Berezhna v. Ukraine (app. no. 40424/23), Tymoshenko v. Ukraine (app. no. 26951/23) and Saygozyyev and Goloshchapov v. Ukraine (app. no. 39693/19) and respectfully request the Committee of Ministers to close its supervision on these cases.

The Government believe that they show due diligence in fulfilment of obligations arising from the judgments in this group of cases and will inform the Committee of Ministers about further developments and measures taken in the remaining cases.

¹ [https://hudoc.exec.coe.int/eng?i=DH-DD\(2026\)1092E](https://hudoc.exec.coe.int/eng?i=DH-DD(2026)1092E)