

SECRETARIAT / SECRÉTARIAT

SECRETARIAT OF THE COMMITTEE OF MINISTERS
SECRÉTARIAT DU COMITÉ DES MINISTRES

COMMITTEE
OF MINISTERS
COMITÉ
DES MINISTRES



Contact: Ireneusz Kondak
Tel: 03.90.21.59.86

Date: 27/07/2026

DH-DD(2026)932

Documents distributed at the request of a Representative shall be under the sole responsibility of the said Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.

Meeting: 1569th meeting (September 2026) (DH)

Item reference: Action Report (23/07/2026)

Communication from the Republic of Moldova concerning the case of Razesu and Others v. the Republic of Moldova (Application No. 10435/10). (Business Si Investitii Pentru Toti group)

Les documents distribués à la demande d'un/e Représentant/e le sont sous la seule responsabilité dudit/de ladite Représentant/e, sans préjuger de la position juridique ou politique du Comité des Ministres.

Réunion : 1569^e réunion (septembre 2026) (DH)

Référence du point : Bilan d'action (23/07/2026)

Communication de la République de Moldova concernant l'affaire Razesu et autres c. République de Moldova (Requête n° 10435/10) (Groupe Business Si Investitii Pentru Toti) **[anglais uniquement]**



MINISTRY OF JUSTICE OF THE REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA GOVERNMENT AGENT

No. 06/8243

Chişinău, 23 July 2026

ACTION REPORT

for the execution of the European Court of Human Rights judgment
in the case of *Răzeşu and Others v. the Republic of Moldova* (no. 10435/10),
judgment of 15 January 2026

I. CASE DESCRIPTION

1. The present case concerns a violation of Article 6 § 1 of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (hereinafter “the Convention”), on account of the applicants’ lack of access to a court of law, as their action introduced with the first instance court was unlawfully struck out in 2009.

II. INDIVIDUAL MEASURES

Payment of just satisfaction

2. The just satisfaction awarded to all 14 applicants for non-pecuniary damage and costs and expenses was paid in full and in time, on 13 March 2026.

Reopening of domestic proceedings

3. According to the information submitted by the domestic courts, following the delivery of the Court’s judgment, the applicants did not seek the reopening of the court proceedings previously settled under the final decision of the Economic Court of Appeal of 29 June 2009.

4. However, in the Government’s view, there is no need for such reopening at domestic level, since the applicants eventually lodged a separate court action which has been accepted for examination on the merits. The Court itself noted in its judgment that, following the delivery of the impugned decision of the Economic Court of Appeal – which the Court found to be contrary to domestic law (see § 19 of the judgment), on 30 December 2009 the applicants lodged another court action against company M., which has been accepted for examination by the Chisinau Court, Centru District (see § 6 of the judgment). This case is currently pending before this first-instance court.

5. In light of the above, the Government that no further individual measures are necessary in this case.

III. GENERAL MEASURES

6. The judgment has been translated, published on the Government Agent’s official website¹ and disseminated to the relevant authorities (the Superior Council of

¹ <https://agent.gov.md/wp-content/uploads/2026/06/Razesu-si-altii-v.MDA-traducere-RO.pdf>

Magistracy, the Centru Court of Appeal, the Chisinau Court, the National Institute of Justice). The Superior Council of Magistracy also disseminated the judgment to all judges, for study and consideration.

7. The Government point out that the general measures tackling the issue of effective access to a court of law arising from the domestic courts' failure to examine the applicants' claims or appeals, to involve them as parties to the proceedings, and from the refusal to reopen the proceedings at their request, have been reported in the framework of the *Business și Investiții pentru Toți* group of cases (no. 39391/04). In result, under its Resolution CM/ResDH(2026)116 of 3 June 2026, the Committee of Ministers decided to end the supervision of this group of cases, concluding that all measures required by Article 46 § 1 of the Convention had been undertaken.

8. Since the events concerned in this case occurred before the implementation of the general measures previously reported to the Committee of Ministers, the Government consider that no other general measures are required in this case.

IV. CONCLUSION

9. In light of the elements presented, the Government conclude that all necessary individual and general measures have been carried out by national authorities in order to prevent any similar violations in the future. They therefore submit that the Republic of Moldova has fulfilled its obligations under Article 46 § 1 of the Convention and respectfully invite the Committee of Ministers to end the supervision of this case.



Doina MAIMESCU
Acting Government Agent