

SECRETARIAT / SECRÉTARIAT

SECRETARIAT OF THE COMMITTEE OF MINISTERS
SECRÉTARIAT DU COMITÉ DES MINISTRES

COMMITTEE
OF MINISTERS
COMITÉ
DES MINISTRES



Contact: Ireneusz Kondak
Tel: 03.90.21.59.86

Date: 19/03/2026

DH-DD(2026)377

Documents distributed at the request of a Representative shall be under the sole responsibility of the said Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.

Meeting: 1563rd meeting (June 2026) (DH)

Item reference: Action Report (18/03/2026)

Communication from Bulgaria concerning the case of Ugrinova and Sakazova v. Bulgaria (Application No. 50626/11)

* * * * *

Les documents distribués à la demande d'un/e Représentant/e le sont sous la seule responsabilité dudit/de ladite Représentant/e, sans préjuger de la position juridique ou politique du Comité des Ministres.

Réunion : 1563^e réunion (juin 2026) (DH)

Référence du point : Bilan d'action (18/03/2026)

Communication de la Bulgarie concernant l'affaire Ugrinova et Sakazova c. Bulgarie (requête n° 50626/11)
(anglais uniquement)

DGI

18 MARS 2026

ACTION REPORT

SERVICE DE L'EXECUTION
DES ARRETS DE LA CEDH

Case Ugrinova and Sakazova v. Bulgaria

Application No 50626/11

Judgment of 11 June 2020

Final on 11 December 2020

1. Convention violation found

The case concerns a prolonged non-enforcement of a final judgment of the Supreme Court of Cassation issued on 5 June 2002 in favor of the applicants against a private third party. Due to the inability of the bailiff to take adequate and sufficient measures to ensure the enforcement of the judgment, the applicants, and subsequently their heirs, were unable to use their property (violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 of the Convention).

2. Individual measures

a) The compensation awarded has been transferred into the accounts of the applicants' heirs on 3 September 2020.

The Government present current information from the relevant authorities concerning the actual situation about the enforcement of the judgement of the Supreme Court of Cassation issued on 5 June 2002 given in the applicants' favor.

On 31 May 2011, a proposal was received in the Enforcement Service at the Varna District Court from the debtor to purchase the property in question in the enforcement case no. 4258/2002, which has been sent to the creditors (the applicants). Following this date, there were no requests from them to take actions for compulsory execution of the judgement of the Supreme Court of Cassation issued on 5 June 2002 given in the applicants' favor.

The enforcement proceedings were terminated by a decree of **4 March 2016** on the basis of Art. 433, para. 1, item 8 of the Civil Procedure Code, namely when the creditor does not request enforcement actions for two years.

On 16 August 2021, the mayor of the Primorsky District - Varna Municipality issued an order to remove the illegal construction. The debtor P.Ts. appealed the order through court and by a final judicial act (decision No. 9667/01.11.2022 in administrative case No. 4959/17 of the Supreme Administrative Court), his appeal was rejected. The mayor's order entered into legal force on 1 November 2022 and an invitation for voluntary compliance was sent to the addressee of the order. As of 3 February 2023, following an inspection by municipal employees, it was established that the order was not voluntarily complied with within the specified period.

By a Protocol dated 9 February 2023, municipal employees and employees of the municipal enterprise "Investment Policy" prepared a preliminary quantitative and value account, which determined the amount of funds necessary to remove the illegal construction. Varna Municipality took further actions on the forced execution of the order - assigns procedures for

the selection of a contractor and concludes public procurement contracts for the removal of illegal construction.

Meanwhile, Varna Municipality has received information that the debtor P.C. has died on 18 February 2023, after the expiration of the term for voluntary execution. Among his legal heirs, his wife and two daughters, on 3 August 2023, solely his wife declared a waiver of inheritance.

On 20 September 2023, a notarial deed for the purchase and sale of real estate was registered in the Registry Office - Varna, by which the ownership of the property in question was transferred from the heirs of the applicants - Mimi Ugrinova and Radka Sakyzova to "Stroitelna kompaniya Accent" OOD.

In 2025, during an on-site inspection by employees of the Varna Municipality, upon an application dated 21 January 2025, in connection with the implementation of control upon discovery of a construction site on the plot in question, a report was drawn up stating that the illegal construction on the property had been removed.

b) No further individual measures seem to be appropriate in the case.

3. General measures

a) Publication and dissemination of the judgment

The translation of the judgment is available on the Ministry of Justice website at <http://www.justice.government.bg/>

The translation of the judgment has been disseminated to the competent national authorities with a circular letter underlining the main conclusions of the ECHR.

b) Other measures

This case is of an isolated nature. The violation established by the Court resulted from the state bailiff's failure to act in order to ensure enforcement against a private individual (failure to pursue any steps towards ensuring that the debtor demolish the unlawfully built construction, failure to use competences to fine repeatedly the non-complying debtor and to seek the involvement of the police). The awareness raising measures will prevent any future similar violations from occurring.

No other measures seem to be necessary for execution of the judgment.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, Bulgaria has implemented all necessary individual measures related to the judgement "Ugrinova and Sakazova v. Bulgaria". The judgement of the Supreme Court of Cassation issued on 5 June 2002 given in the applicants' favor has been enforced in 2025, as the illegal construction on the applicants' (ex) property had been removed.

Therefore and in view of all the above, we suggest to the Committee of Ministers to take a decision to close the supervision of the execution of the judgment.