#### SECRETARIAT / SECRÉTARIAT







### SECRETARIAT OF THE COMMITTEE OF MINISTERS SECRÉTARIAT DU COMITÉ DES MINISTRES

Contact: John Darcy Tel: 03 88 41 31 56

Date: 28/10/2019

### DH-DD(2019)1223

Documents distributed at the request of a Representative shall be under the sole responsibility of the said Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.

Meeting: 1362<sup>nd</sup> meeting (December 2019) (DH)

Item reference: Updated Action plan (24/10/2019)

Communication from the Russian Federation concerning the KLYAKHIN group of cases v. Russian Federation (Application No. 46082/99)

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Les documents distribués à la demande d'un/e Représentant/e le sont sous la seule responsabilité dudit/de ladite Représentant/e, sans préjuger de la position juridique ou politique du Comité des Ministres.

Réunion: 1362e réunion (décembre 2019) (DH)

Référence du point : Plan d'action mis à jour

Communication de la Fédération de Russie concernant le groupe d'affaires KLYAKHIN c. Fédération de Russie (requête n° 46082/99) *(anglais uniquement)* 



### МИНИСТЕРСТВО ЮСТИЦИИ РОССИЙСКОЙ ФЕДЕРАЦИИ (МИНЮСТ РОССИИ)

Житная ул., д. 14, Москва, 119991 тел. (495) 955-59-99, факс (495) 955-57-79 E-mail: info@minjust.ru

17.10. d019 № 10-2908-19
Ha № ot

DGI
24 OCT. 2019

SERVICE DE L'EXECUTION
DES ARRETS DE LA CEDH

DEPARTMENT FOR THE EXECUTION OF JUDGMENTS OF THE EUROPEAN COURT

OF HUMAN RIGHTS

Mr. Fredrik Sundberg

Dear Sir,

Please find enclosed the Updated Action Plan of the Russian authorities with regard to execution of the Klyakhin group of cases.

Yours faithfully,

Head of the Office of Representative of the Russian Federation at the European Court of Human Rights

Andrey Fedorov

Enc: on 53 pages.

DGI
24 OCT. 2019
SERVICE DE L'EXECUTION
DES ARRETS DE LA CEDH

Prepared for the 1362nd DH meeting of the CMCE (3-5 December 2019)

### UPDATED ACTION PLAN

on the execution of judgments of the European Court of Human Rights in which violations of Article 5 of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms were found (Klyakhin group of cases)

#### Introduction

The European Court of Human Rights delivered a number of judgments in applications against Russia regarding violation of Article 5 of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, on account of unlawful choosing or excessively long application of the measure of restraint in the form of detention, violation of the applicants' right to have the lawfulness of his or her detention decided speedily by a court and release ordered if the detention is recognised by a court as unlawful.

The Russian authorities took a set of coordinated measures to eliminate the relevant violations. This work was carried out with due regard to the legal positions of the ECtHR and the recommendations of the CMCE.

Information on the general and individual measures taken and planned was sent to the CMCE in 2008 (under old procedures), and later in the Action Plans of 5 November 2015 (document DH-DD(2015)1171), of 23 February 2017 (document DH-DD(2017)345), of 22 August 2017 (document DH-DD(2017)880), of 29 August 2017 (document DH-DD(2017)936), as well as in the Action Plans on the execution of the "pilot" judgment *Ananyev and Others v. Russia* in special sections (documents: DH-DD(2012)1009 of 10 October 2012 - section II, DH-DD(2014)580 of 30 April 2014 - section II.II, DH-DD(2017)467 of 26 April 2017 - section II.IV, DH-DD(2019)473 of 29 April 2019 Γ. – section II.IV).

In addition, Action Plans and Reports of the Russian authorities on specific cases included in the *Klyakhin* group of cases which reflect information on both individual and general measures in relation to the relevant cases were sent to the CMCE.

On 14 May 2018, another Action Plan (document DH-DD(2018)47) was sent to the CMCE. Based on the results of its examination, 128 Russian cases were closed.

We hereby present the updated Action Plan on the measures taken to implement the ECHR judgments falling under the *Klyakhin* group of cases, including the judgments delivered within the reporting period.

### **Individual Measures:**

## 1. Judgment in application no. 44786/11+ Bekuzarov and others v. Russia (judgment of 6 October 2016, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with unreasonably long detention of the applicants, as well as Article 5 § 4 of the Convention — due to improper examination by the court of R.S. Naguchev's complaint about the detention order.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	
1	R.S.Naguchev 1884/12		EUR 1,800		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 28.12.2016 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 467290 for RUB 114,711.30).
2.	A.O.Kim 32631/12		EUR 2,500		The amount was fully paid on 17.05.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 789766 for RUB 154,695.12). In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative A.A.Kim. Default interest was not paid due to the late submission of the necessary documents for payment (5 April 2017). At the same time, the applicant and his authorized representative did not ask for the payment of interest.
3	T.V.Sukhareva 37353/15		EUR 1,000		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 28.12.2016 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 467286 for RUB 63,728.50).
4.	Yu.V.Abramov 43931/15		EUR 4,200		The amount was fully paid on 02.03.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 597822 for RUB 257,830.86). In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative -T.A. Abramova. Default interest was not paid due to the late submission of the necessary documents for payment (17 February 2017). At the same time, the applicant and his authorized representative did not ask for the payment of interest.
5	A.I.Safin 9612/14		EUR 5,300		The amount was fully paid on 13.07.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 46848 for RUB 366,763.71). In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative -A.I.Safin. Default interest was not paid due to the late submission of the necessary documents for payment (7 June 2017). At the same time, the applicant and his authorized representative did not ask for the payment of interest.

6	T.I.Bekuzarov 44786/11	EUR 1,800	The amount was fully paid on 12.10.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 387711 for RUB 123,040.26). Default interest was not paid due to the late submission of the necessary documents for payment (11 September 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
7.	M.R.Fakhrutdinov 37187/13	EUR 1,000	The amount was fully paid on 28.09.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 525118 for RUB 77 040.70). Default interest was not paid due to the late submission of the necessary documents for payment (20.09.2018). At the same time, the applicant (his representatives) did not ask for payment of interest.
8.	Sh.A.Shchadlinskiy 28543/15	EUR 1,900	The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by the ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 17.10.2019), failed to provide his bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.
9.	R.A.Muslimov 9837/12	EUR 1,000	The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by the ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 17.10.2019), failed to provide his bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.

1.2. Upon submissions of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in view of the newly discovered circumstances in respect of T.I.Bekuzarov, A.O.Kim, Sh.A.Shchadlinskiy, T.V.Sukhareva, R.A.Muslimov, Yu.V.Abramov, R.S.Naguchev, M.R.Fakhrutdinov, A.I.Safin due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of all the applicants above were declared unlawful and quashed.

1.3. Applicants Sh.A.Shchadlinskiy, R.S.Naguchev, T.V.Sukhareva are at large.

Applicants T.O.Bekuzarov, M.R.Fakhrutdinov, A.I.Safin, R.A.Muslimov, Yu.V.Abramov are not currently detained on remand and are serving their sentences imposed by respective judgments in correctional facilities.

Applicant A.O. Kim was released from detention. However, at present he is brought to criminal responsibility in connection with the charges of committing another crime (this criminal case was not the subject of examination by the ECHR), and a preventive measure in the form of detention was chosen in his relation subject

to all circumstances. Consequently, this issue is outside the scope of CMCE's supervisory authority.

1.4. The Court awarded just satisfaction to R.S.Naguchev in connection with the established violation of Article 5 § 4 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

### 2. Judgment in application no. 3400/06+ Klepikov and others v. Russia (judgment of 24 November 2016, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with the unreasonably long detention of the applicants, as well as Article 5 § 4 of the Convention – due to improper examination by the court of A.A. Poplavskiy's complaint about the detention order.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1	O.A.Klepikov 3400/06		EUR 1,000		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 20.02.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 577291 for RUB 60,654).
2.	A.A.Kolesnikov 1454/14		EUR 2,700		The amount was fully paid on 05.04.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 684079 for RUB 161,717.31). Default interest was not paid due to the late submission by the applicant of the bank account details (14 March 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
3	S.V.Danilenko 43335/14		EUR 4,100		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 22.02.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 585447 for RUB 252,964.67).
4.	S.S.Moskvitin 43527/14		EUR 1,800		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 22.02.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 585455 for RUB 111,057.66).
5	A.M.Levin 36550/15		EUR 1,000		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 17.02.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 573267 for RUB 60,024.90).
6	A.A.Poplavskiy 41633/15		EUR 2,700		The amount was fully paid on 30.03.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 669712 for RUB 166,887.54). Default interest was not paid due to the late submission by the applicant of the bank account details (2 March 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.

DH-DD(2019)1223: Communication from the Russian Federation.

Documents distributed at the request of a Representative shall be under the sole responsibility of the said Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.

5

7	B.N.Zhukov 1134/12	EUR 1,000	The amount was fully paid on 06.07.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 31528 for RUB 67,675.40). Default interest was not paid due to the late submission by the applicant of the bank account details (6 March 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
8	L.D.Matsukov 15155/13	EUR 1,000	The amount was fully paid on 15.12.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 596052 for RUB 69,465.30). Default interest was not paid due to the late submission by the applicant of the bank account details (14 November 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
9	I.A.Naydenov 68060/14	EUR 3,300	The amount was fully paid on 15.12.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 525118 for RUB 229,035.84). In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative - V. I. Naydenova. Default interest was not paid due to the late submission by the applicant of the bank account details (15 November 2017). At the same time, the applicant and his authorized representative did not ask for the payment of interest.
10	Ye.M. Zotova 27903/12	EUR 1,000	The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by ECHR and Office of the Representative (04.05.2018), failed to provide her bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.
11	E.G.Paladyan 60371/14	EUR 3,700	The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by ECHR and Office of the Representative (04.05.2018), failed to provide her bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.
12	A.K.Sayadov 39181/15	EUR 1,000	The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by the ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 17.10.2019), failed to provide his bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.
13	S.A.Ananikyan	EUR 2,000	The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by

51162/15	the ECHR and Office of the Representative
	(on 17.10.2019), failed to provide his bank
	accounts details. The payment therefore was
	delayed through no fault of the authorities.
	The authorities did their best to comply with
	their obligations.
	However, if the applicant provides the bank
	details the payment of compensation will be
	effected in due course.

2.2. Upon submissions of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of A.M. Levin, S.S. Moskvitin, O.A. Klepikov, B.N. Zhukov, Ye.M. Zotova, L.D. Matsukov, A.A. Kolesnikov, A.K. Sayadov, A.A. Poplavskiy, S.A. Ananikyan, S.V. Danilenko E.G.Paladyan, I.A.Naydenov due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of all the applicants above were declared unlawful and quashed.

2.3. Applicants O.A. Klepikov, Ye.M. Zotova, A.A. Kolesnikov, S.V. Danilenko, I.A. Naydenov, A.K. Sayadov, S.A. Ananikyan are at large.

Applicants B.N. Zhukov, L.D. Matsukov, A.M. Levin, E.G.Paladyan, S.S.Moskvitin are not currently detained on remand and are serving their sentences imposed by respective judgments in correctional facilities.

Applicant A.A. Poplavskiy is not detained in view of the change of the measure of restraint to house arrest.

2.4. The Court awarded just satisfaction to A.A.Poplavskiy in connection with the established violation of Article 5 § 4 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

## 3. Judgment in application no. 611/15+ Sarbakhtin and Others v. Russia (judgment of 4 May 2017, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with unreasonably long detention of the applicants.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1	N.A.Sarbakhtin		EUR 1,500		The amount was fully paid on 23.08.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of
	611/15		2010 1,500		settlement (payment order no. 216639 for RUB 104,304.71 including default interest).
2.	S.A.Blinkov 30621/15		EUR 2,400		The amount was fully paid on 17.08.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 189487 for RUB 169,057.69 including default interest). In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative - D.S. Egle.

D.M.Meleshin  3 45035/15  EUR 6,400  EUR 6,400  The amount was fully established period on 14.0 the rate applicable at the (payment order no. 51722)  The amount was fully payment order no. 51722  The amount was fully payment order at the rate applicable at the rate applicabl	or 2017 in roubles at e date of settlement for RUB 440,408.96). aid on 25.10.2017 in cable at the date of
3 45035/15 EUR 6,400 the rate applicable at the (payment order no. 51722 The amount was fully payment order at the rate applicable at the payment order.  V.V.Sychev Settlement (payment order at the payment order at the rate applicable at the payment order no. 51722	e date of settlement for RUB 440,408.96). aid on 25.10.2017 in cable at the date of
the rate applicable at the (payment order no. 51722)  The amount was fully payment order at the rate applicable at	for RUB 440,408.96). aid on 25.10.2017 in cable at the date of
The amount was fully paroubles at the rate appli  V.V.Sychev  Settlement (payment ord  RUB 263 973 84) Default	nid on 25.10.2017 in cable at the date of
v.V.Sychev roubles at the rate appli settlement (payment ord RIJB 263 973 84). Default	cable at the date of
V.V.Sychev settlement (payment ord	cable at the date of
RIB 263 973 84) Default	
4. EUR 3,900 due to the lete submission	interest was not paid
SU22/16   Sue to the late submission	1 by the applicant of
the bank account details	4 October 2017). At
the same time, the appli	cant did not ask for
payment of interest.	
The amount was fully page.	
roubles at the rate appli	
V.F.Andronov settlement (payment ord	ler no. 395498 for
EUR 5,100 RUB 349,072.05). Default	interest was not paid
9385/15	n by the applicant of
the bank account details	25 September 2017).
At the same time, the app	licant did not ask for
payment of interest.	
T.S.Puzanov The amount was fully p	
roubles at the rate appl	cable at the date of
6 35376/15 EUR 1,000 settlement (payment order	
76,187.00 including defau	lt interest).
D.B.Melash The amount was fully p	
roubles at the rate appli	
7 39351/15 EUR 1,000 settlement (payment order	no. 380235 for RUB
73,585.68 including defau	lt interest).

3.2. Upon submissions of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of N.A. Sarbakhtin, S.A. Blinkov, D.M. Meleshnin, V.F.Andronov, T.S.Puzanov, D.B.Melash, V.V.Sychev.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicants above were declared unlawful and quashed.

3.3. Applicant N.A. Sarbakhtin is at large.

Applicants V.F. Andronov, S.A. Blinkov, T.S. Puzanov, D.B. Melash, V.V. Sychev, D.M. Meleshnin are not currently detained on remand and are serving their sentences imposed by respective judgments in correctional facilities.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

### 4. Judgment in application no. 77153/13+ Khasanov and Others v. Russia (judgment of 16 February 2017, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with the unreasonably long detention of the applicants, as well as Article 5 § 4 of the Convention – due to improper examination by the court of the A.A. Rastopchin's, I.S. Kostyunin's, S.G. Maslyukov's complaints about the detention orders and in view of the absence of opportunity for A.A. Rastopchin to receive compensation for unreasonably long detention.

#### 4.1. Just satisfaction.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1	A.A.Rastopchin 26448/15		EUR 8,200		The amount was fully paid on 14.04.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 713436 for RUB 494,157.42).
2.	I.S.Kostyunin 7219/16		EUR 1,400		The amount was fully paid on 18.05.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 795389 for RUB 86,853.48). Default interest was not paid in view of the fact, that delay in payment was insignificant. At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
3	S.V.Seleznev 13928/16		EUR 6,500		The amount was fully paid on 18.05.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 795390 for RUB 403,248.30). Default interest was not paid in view of the fact, that delay in payment was insignificant. At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
4.	S.G.Maslyukov 11760/16		EUR 2,900		The amount was fully paid on 10.08.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 112361 for RUB 205,437.45). In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative -A.A. Maslyukova. Default interest was not paid due to the late submission of the necessary documents for payment (20 July 2017). At the same time, the applicant and his authorized representative did not ask for the payment of interest.
5	R.R.Khasanov 77153/13		EUR 5,200		The amount was fully paid on 29.09.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 349548 for RUB 356,614.39, including default interest). In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative - A.V.Khasanova.

**4.2.** Upon submissions of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of R.R. Khasanov, S.G. Maslyukov, I.S. Kostyunin, A.A. Rastopchin, S.V. Seleznev due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicants above were declared unlawful and quashed

- **4.3.** Applicants R.R. Khasanov, A.A. Rastopchin, I.S. Kostyunin, S.G. Maslyukov, S.V. Seleznev are not currently detained on remand and are serving their sentences imposed by respective judgments in correctional facilities.
- **4.4.** The Court awarded just satisfaction to A.A. Rastopchin, I.S. Kostyunin, S.G. Maslyukov in connection with the established violation of Article 5 § 4 and § 5 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

### 5 Judgment in application no. 51311/12 Makhmud v. Russia (judgment of 20 September 2016, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with unreasonably long detention of the applicant, as well as Article 5 § 4 of the Convention – due to improper examination by the court of the applicant's complaint about the detention order.

5.1. Just satisfaction.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment	
1	S.M.Makhmud 51311/12		EUR 3,000	EUR 500	The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by the ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 17.10.2019) failed to provide his bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.	

**5.2.** Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its ruling resumed criminal proceedings in respect of S.M. Makhmud due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicant were declared unlawful and quashed.

- **5.3.** Applicant S.M.Makhmud is at large.
- 5.4. The Court awarded just satisfaction to S.M.Makhmud in connection with the established violation of Article 5 § 4 of the Convention for the damage caused; it will be paid in full if the applicants provides bank account details.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

### 6. Judgment in application no. 2199/05+Chernov and others v. Russia (judgment of 16 February 2017, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with the unreasonably long detention of four applicants, of Article 3 of the Convention in connection with the failure to provide for the applicants adequate detention conditions in temporary

detention centres, remand prisons and correctional facilities, adequate transportation conditions.

In addition, the following violations were established:

- in M.S.Chernov's case:
- of Article 5 § 4 of the Convention in connection with excessive long examination of the applicant's complaint about the detention order;
- of Article 6 §§ 1 and 3 (c) of the Convention in connection with the failure to secure the applicant's participation in the proceedings for examination of his civil case:
- of Article 34 of the Convention in connection with violation of M.S.Chrenov's right to lodge an application with the European Court and his placement into a disciplinary ward after communication of his case to the Russian authorities.
  - in M.B. Yermilov's case:
- of Article 34 of the Convention in connection with violation of the applicant's right to lodge an individual application in view of refusal to the lawyer to visit the applicant and refusal of access to his criminal case-file.
  - in A.I.Bakhmutskiy's case:
- violation of Article 6 § 1 of the Convention in connection with the failure to secure the applicant's participation in the proceedings for examination of his civil case;
- Article 34 of the Convention in connection with censoring the applicant's written communications in 2009 by the correctional facility administration.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1	M.S.Chernov 2199/05		EUR 25,00 0		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 14.04.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 713422 for RUB 1,506,577).
2.	M.B.Yermilov 15456/05		EUR 6,950		The payment was made in full on 17.05.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 789784 in the amount of RUB 429,822.06) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details (on 17 April 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
3	I.V.Kamayev 29127/06		EUR 17,600		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 29.03.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 666216 for RUB 1,090,522.40).
4.	N.N.Gontarev 25894/09		EUR 14,300		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 29.03.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 666215 for RUB 886,049.45).

5	A.S.Denisov 13451/07	EUR 25,00 0	The amount was fully paid on 17.10.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 789781 in the amount of RUB 1,546,122.50) to the bank account of T.A. Strua without default interest due to the late submission of the bank account details. At the same time, the applicant (his representative) did not ask for payment of interest.
6	M.G.Aleshin 41440/09	EUR 11,300	The amount was fully paid on 28.02.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 792164 for RUB 387,928.44) to the bank account of O.A.Mikhnenko.
7	A.I.Bakhmutskiy 41687/09	EUR 17,900	The amount was fully paid on 17.05.2017 in roubles according to the exchange rate as of the date of the payment (payment order no. 789787 in the amount of RUB 1,107,023.71) without default interest due to the late submission of the bank account details by the applicant. At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
8	A.M.Babayev 62796/09	EUR 5,000	The amount was fully paid on 30.03.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 669705 in the amount of RUB 309,051) without default interest due to the late submission of the bank account details by the applicant. At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.

6.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of M.S. Chernov, M.B. Yermilov, I.V. Kamayev, N.N. Gontarev due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicant were declared unlawful and quashed

- **6.3.** Applicants M.S. Chernov, M.B. Yermilov, I.V. Kamayev, A.S.Denisov, A.I.Bakhmutskiy, A.M.Babayev are at large. The measure of restraint to applicant N.N. Gontarev was changed for undertaking not to leave the place of residence. M.G.Aleshin died.
- 6.4. The Court awarded just satisfaction to M.S.Chernov in connection with the established violation of Article 4 § 5 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.
- 6.5. In connection with the violation of Article 6 of the Convention, established in respect of A.I.Bakhmutskiy and M.S.Chernov, it is noted that resumption of the civil proceedings in connection with the violations established by the European Court, under Articles 393, 394 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the Russian Federation shall be effected based on applications of persons involved in the proceedings.

At that, according to the available information, the applicants did not lodge any respective applications with courts.

6.6. In connection with the established violation of Article 34 of the Convention in respect of A.I.Bakhmutskiy, M.S.Chernov and M.B.Yermilov it is

noted that the applicants are at large and they have no obstacles to contact with lawyers or appeal to the ECHR.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

## 7. Judgment in application no. 30454/08+ Brazhnikov and others v. Russia (judgment of 30 June 2016, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with unreasonably long detention of the applicants.

#### 7.1. Just satisfaction.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	
1	S.A.Brazhnikov 30454/08		EUR 1,000		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 22.09.2016 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 219890 for RUB 72,527.90).
2.	I.S.Yuzumbayev 19871/10		EUR 1,000		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 26.07.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 77810 for RUB 69,700.50). Default interest was not paid due to the late submission by the applicant of the necessary documents for payment (11 July 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
3	V.L.Zyabkin 11655/10		EUR 1,000		The amount was fully paid on 06.08.2018 in roubles according to the exchange rate as of the date of the payment (payment order no. 376558 in the amount of RUB 110,535.62) including the default interest.

7.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of the applicants due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions extending the terms of detention in respect of S.A. Brazhnikov, V.L. Zyabkin, I.S. Yuzumbayev, examined by the ECHR, were declared unlawful and quashed

7.3. Applicants S.A. Brazhnikov, V.L. Zyabkin, I.S Yuzumbayev are at large.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

## 8. Judgment in application no. 69863/13 Davlyashova v. Russia (judgment of 18 October 2016, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with the unreasonably long detention of the applicant.

8.1. Just satisfaction.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	
1	A.R.Davlyashova 69863/13		EUR 3,100	EUR 850	The amount was fully paid on 07.06.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 850683 for RUB 252,053.45). Default interest was not paid due to the late submission by the applicant of the necessary documents for payment (5 May 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.

8.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of A.R. Davlyashova due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of A.R. Davlyashova were declared unlawful and quashed

8.3. Applicant A.R. Davlyashova is at large.

Applicant and her representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

## 9. Judgment in application no. 68433/10+ Solovyev and others v. Russia (judgment of 24 November 2016, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with the unreasonably long detention of the applicant, as well as Article 5 § 4 of the Convention – due to improper examination by the court of the Ye.N. Solovyov's complaint about the detention order.

n	0.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
	1	Ye.N.Solovyev 68433/10		EUR 7,000		The amount was fully paid on 30.11.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 538584 for RUB 486,453.80). Default interest was not paid due to the late submission by the applicant of the necessary documents for payment (03 November 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.

	S.A.Suvorov		The amount was fully paid within the
2.	55250/13	EUR 1,300	established period on 16.02.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement
			(payment order no. 567900 for RUB 79,684.15).
	V.L.Zhdanov		The amount was fully paid on 15.06.2017 in
3	44979/14	EUR 4,500	roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 869595 for RUB 287,105.60 including default interest).

9.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of the applicants due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of Ye.N. Solovyev, S.A. Suvorov, V.L. Zhdanov were declared unlawful and quashed.

- 9.3. The applicants are at large.
- 9.4. The Court awarded just satisfaction to Ye.N.Solovyev in connection with the established violation of Article 5 § 4 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

### 10. Judgment in application no. 5403/07+ Mayevskiy and others v. Russia (judgment of 24 November 2016, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with unreasonably long detention of the applicants.

The violation of Article 5 § 1 of the Convention in connection with S.G. Yakunin's unlawful detention (the applicant kept in the police over 48 hours without appropriate registration of the arrest) and Article 6 §1 of the Convention in view of excessive length of the criminal proceedings in S.Yu. Mayevskiy's case was established.

**10.1.** Just satisfaction.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	
1	S.Yu. Mayevskiy 5403/07		EUR 5,200		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 22.02.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 585467 for RUB 320,833.24).
2.	S.G.Yakunin 12097/09		EUR 7,500		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 17.02.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 573279 for RUB 450,186.75).
3	S.N.Bondar		EUR 4,700		The payment was made in full on 31.03.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of

settlement (payment order no. 673152 is amount of RUB 289,213.09) without dinterest due to the applicant's late submiss bank account details - on 7 March 2017). Is same time, the applicant did not as payment of interest.  The payment was made in full on 18.05.20 roubles at the rate applicable at the das settlement (payment order no. 795396 is amount of RUB 241,948.98) without dinterest due to the applicant's late submiss bank account details - on 7 April 2017). Is same time, the applicant did not as payment of interest.  The payment was made in full on 26.06.20 roubles at the rate applicable at the day applicable at the day applicant of interest.	efault
interest due to the applicant's late submiss bank account details - on 7 March 2017). A same time, the applicant did not as payment of interest.  The payment was made in full on 18.05.20 roubles at the rate applicable at the day settlement (payment order no. 795396 in amount of RUB 241,948.98) without do interest due to the applicant's late submiss bank account details - on 7 April 2017). A same time, the applicant did not as payment of interest.  The payment was made in full on 26.06.20 roubles at the rate applicable at the day and the rate applicable at the rate	
bank account details - on 7 March 2017). A same time, the applicant did not as payment of interest.  The payment was made in full on 18.05.20 roubles at the rate applicable at the day settlement (payment order no. 795396 is amount of RUB 241,948.98) without do interest due to the applicant's late submiss bank account details - on 7 April 2017). A same time, the applicant did not as payment of interest.  The payment was made in full on 26.06.20 roubles at the rate applicable at the day and the rate applicable at the rate applicable at the day and the rate applicable at the day and the rate applicable at the r	
same time, the applicant did not as payment of interest.  The payment was made in full on 18.05.20 roubles at the rate applicable at the day settlement (payment order no. 795396 amount of RUB 241,948.98) without do interest due to the applicant's late submiss bank account details - on 7 April 2017). It is a payment of interest.  The payment was made in full on 26.06.20 roubles at the rate applicable at the day and the rate applicable at the rate appli	
M.A.Popova  4. 54286/13  EUR 3,900  EUR 3,90	
M.A.Popova  4. 54286/13  EUR 3,900  EUR 3,900  EUR 3,900  The payment was made in full on 18.05.20 roubles at the rate applicable at the da settlement (payment order no. 795396 interest due to the applicant's late submiss bank account details - on 7 April 2017). same time, the applicant did not as payment of interest.  The payment was made in full on 26.06.20 roubles at the rate applicable at the day.	for
M.A.Popova  4. 54286/13  EUR 3,900  FOR EVER BUR 3,900  EUR 3,900	
M.A.Popova  4. 54286/13  EUR 3,900  EUR 3,900  EUR 3,900  Settlement (payment order no. 795396 is amount of RUB 241,948.98) without dinterest due to the applicant's late submiss bank account details - on 7 April 2017). Is same time, the applicant did not as payment of interest.  The payment was made in full on 26.06.20 roubles at the rate applicable at the day.	
4. 54286/13  EUR 3,900  amount of RUB 241,948.98) without dinterest due to the applicant's late submiss bank account details - on 7 April 2017). same time, the applicant did not as payment of interest.  The payment was made in full on 26.06.20 roubles at the rate applicable at the details - on 7 April 2017).	te of
4. 54286/13  EUR 3,900  amount of RUB 241,948.98) without dinterest due to the applicant's late submiss bank account details - on 7 April 2017). same time, the applicant did not as payment of interest.  The payment was made in full on 26.06.20 roubles at the rate applicable at the day.	n the
bank account details - on 7 April 2017). A same time, the applicant did not as payment of interest.  The payment was made in full on 26.06.20 roubles at the rate applicable at the day.	efault
same time, the applicant did not as payment of interest.  The payment was made in full on 26.06.20 roubles at the rate applicable at the day to the control of the control	ion of
payment of interest.  The payment was made in full on 26.06.20 roubles at the rate applicable at the day.	At the
payment of interest.  The payment was made in full on 26.06.20 roubles at the rate applicable at the day.	c for
roubles at the rate applicable at the da	
	)19 in
	ite of
G.Ye.Kolpikov settlement (payment order no. 495928	n the
5   EUR 4,100   amount of RUB 292,052.43) without of	efault
interest due to the applicant's late submiss	ion of
bank account details At the same tim	
applicant did not ask for payment of intere	st.
The payment was made in full on 07.03.2	
roubles at the rate applicable at the d	ite of
A.A.Tonkikh settlement (payment order no. 811281	
EUR 1 900 amount of RUB 132,490,23) without of	
6503/14 interest due to the applicant's late submiss	
bank account details At the same tim	
applicant did not ask for payment of intere	

10.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its ruling resumed criminal proceedings in respect of S.Yu. Mayevskiy, S.G. Yakunin, S.N. Bondar, M.A. Popova, G.Ye. Kolpikov, A.A. Tonkikh due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicants were declared unlawful and quashed.

- 10.3. Applicants S.Yu. Mayevskiy, S.G. Yakunin, S.N. Bondar, M.A. Popova, G.Ye. Kolpikov, A.A. Tonkikh are at large.
- 10.4. In connection with the established violation of Article 6 § 1 of the Convention it is noted that the criminal proceedings in relation to S.Yu. Mayevskiy are completed, the sentence was delivered.
- 10.5. The Court awarded just satisfaction to S.G.Yakunin in connection with the established violation of Article 1 § 5 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

## 11. Judgment in application no. 28484/06+Kirilchuk and others v. Russia (judgment of 3 November 2016, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in relation to the excessive length of the

applicant's detention; Article 5 § 4 of the Convention – due to improper examination by the court of the D.V. Arshinov's complaint about the detention order.

### 11.1. Just satisfaction.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1	V.P.Kirilchuk 28484/06		EUR 1,000		The amount was fully paid on 17.02.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 573216 for RUB 60,089.13 including default interest).
2.	V.A.Syasko 4613/09		EUR 2,300		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 16.12.2016 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 422452 for RUB 181,312.04).
3	D.V.Arshinov 29899/09		EUR 1,300		The amount was fully paid on 17.02.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 573236 for RUB 78,115.80 including default interest).
4.	V.A. Anisimov 20064/13		EUR 3,300		The payment was made in full on 22.03.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 645727 in the amount of RUB 203,631.88) without default interest due to the applicant's incorrect submission of bank account details). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
5	A.K.Vanyarkha 23850/13		EUR 5,100		The amount was fully paid on 22.03.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 645718 for RUB 314,703.70 including default interest). In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative - Ye.V. Yakovleva.
6	A.Yu.Nasledkov 69323/13		EUR 4,100		The amount was fully paid on 17.02.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 573239 for RUB 246,365 including default interest).
7	N.N. Mukhametvaliyev 76881/13		EUR 4,800		The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by the ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 17.10.2019), failed to provide his bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.

11.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its ruling resumed criminal proceedings in respect of D.V. Arshinov, V.A. Syasko, V.P. Kirilchuk, V.A. Anisimov, A.K. Vanyarkha, A.Yu. Nasledkov, N.N. Mukhametvaliyev due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicants were declared unlawful and quashed.

11.3. Applicants V.P. Kirilchuk, V.A. Syasko, D.V. Arshinov, Yu. Nasledkov, N.N. Mukhametvaliyev are at large.

Applicants V.A. Anisimov, A.K. Vanyarkha are not currently detained in remand and are serving their sentences imposed by respective judgments in correctional facilities.

11.4. The Court awarded just satisfaction to D.V.Arshinov in connection with the established violation of Article 5 § 4 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

### 12. Judgment in application no. 24967/06+ Devyatov and others v. Russia (judgment of 20 December 2016, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in relation to the excessive length of the applicant's detention; and of Article 5 § 4 of the Convention – due to improper examination by the court of S. G. Devyatov's, A.V. Zakurdayev's, O.V. Drozdov's complaints about the detention orders, and Article 6 § 1 of the Convention in view of the excessive length of the criminal proceedings in relation to S.G. Devyatov.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	
1	S.G.Devyatov. 24967/06		EUR 6,500		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 03.03.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 601898 for RUB 400,021.05).
2.	A.V.Zakurdayev 13708/08		EUR 2,000		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 01.03.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 595034 for RUB 122,513.80).
3	D.I.Konstantinov 68255/14		EUR 2,700		The payment was made in full on 22.03.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 645711 in the amount of RUB 166,578,12) without default interest due to the applicant's submission of incorrect bank account details. At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.  In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative - M.V. Ionova.
4.	O.I. Kovach 77966/14		EUR 3,000		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 22.02.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 585459 for RUB 185,096.10).

5	S.V.Kornev 43584/11	EUR 1,500	The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by the ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 17.10.2019), failed to provide his bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.
6	V.V.Selivanov 2906/14	EUR 1,000	The amount was fully paid on 05.06.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 213236 for the amount of RUB 72,580.38 including default interest).
7	O.V.Drozdov 72879/14	EUR 3,900	The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by the ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 17.10.2019), failed to provide his bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.

12.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its ruling resumed criminal proceedings in respect of O.V. Drozdov, S.G. Devyatov, A.V. Zakurdayev, S.V. Kornev, V.V. Selivanov, D.I. Konstantinov, O.I. Kovach, due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicants were declared unlawful and quashed.

12.3. Applicants S.G. Devyatov, A.V. Zakurdayev, D.I. Konstantinov are at large.

Applicants S.V. Kornev, V.V. Selivanov, O.V. Drozdov, O.I. Kovach are not currently detained on remand and serve their sentences imposed by respective judgments in correctional facilities.

- 12.4. In connection with the established violation of Article 6 § 1 of the Convention it is noted that the criminal proceedings in relation to S.G.Devyatov are completed, the sentence was delivered.
- 12.5. The Court awarded just satisfaction to S.G. Devyatov, A.V. Zakurdayev, O.V.Drozdov in connection to the established violation of Article 4 § 5 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid them in full.

The just satisfaction amount will be paid in full to O.V.Drozdov if the applicant provides bank account details.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

## 13. Judgment in application no. 72986/10+ Khamzin and others v. Russia (judgment of 6 October 2016, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in relation to the excessive length of the applicant's detention; Article 5 § 4 and § 5 of the Convention — due to improper examination by the court of I.I. Karakozov's, L.L. Nikolayev's complaints about the detention orders and in view of the absence of opportunity for one applicant to receive compensation for unreasonably long detention.

### 13.1. Just satisfaction.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1	I.I.Karakozov 5441/11		EUR 3,000		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 12.12.2016 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 407149 for RUB 204,737.40).
2.	L.L.Nikolayev (S.M.Nikolayeva) 21051/11		EUR 1,300		The payment was made in full on 14.04.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 713425 in the amount of RUB 78,342.03) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details - on 28 March 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
3	R.Kh.Abdrakhmanov 40987/14		EUR 5,800.		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 16.12.2016 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 422445 for RUB 375,574.94).
4.	D.I.Khamzin 72986/10		EUR 1,000		The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by the ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 17.10.2019), failed to provide his bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.
5	A.A. Vasilyeva 32021/14		EUR 2,400		The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 17.10.2019), failed to provide her bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.

13.2 Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of

I.I. Karakozov, L.L. Nikolayev, R. Kh. Abdrakhmanov, D.I. Khamzin, A.A. Vasilyeva due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicants were declared unlawful and quashed.

- 13.3. The measure of restraint to D.I. Khamzin was changed for undertaking not to leave the place of residence. I.I. Karakozov is at large. R.Kh.Abdrakhmanov, A.A. Vasilyeva are not currently detained on remand and are serving their sentences imposed by respective judgments in correctional facilities. L.L. Nikolayev died during examination of the case in the ECHR.
- 13.4. The Court awarded just satisfaction to I.I.Karakozov, L.L.Nikolayev in connection with violation of Article 5 § 4 and § 5 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

## 14. Judgment in application no. 39399/08+ Kotov and others v. Russia (judgment of 16 February 2017, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in relation to the excessive length of the applicant's detention; and of Article 5 § 4 of the Convention – due to improper examination by the court of the A.M. Kotov's, A.G. Kudryavtsev's complaints about the detention orders.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1	A.M. Kotov 39399/08		EUR 7,500		The payment was made in full on 09.06.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 857803 in the amount of RUB 477,884.25) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details - on 23 May 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
2.	V.S.Tsarev 21744/10		EUR 7,100		The payment was made in full on 14.07.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 51728 in the amount of RUB 488,578.69) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details - on 19 June 2017). At the same time, the applicant and his authorized representative did not ask for the payment of interest. In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative - S.V. Loki.

3	A.A.Gantimurov 39554/08	EUR 10,600	The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by the ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 26.08.2019), failed to provide his bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.
4.	R.V.Kakotkin 45510/09	EUR 1,000	The payment was effected in full on 05/06/2018 in roubles at the exchange rate applicable as of the payment date (payment order no. 213258 for the amount of 72,590.38 roubles including default interest).
5	A.G.Kudryavtsev 23272/16	EUR 3,300	The payment was made in full on 27.12.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 667471 in the amount of RUB 226,189.26) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details - on 12 December 2017. At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.

14.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of A.M. Kotov, V.S. Tsarev, A.A. Gantimurov, R.V. Kakotkin, A.G. Kudryavtsev due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicants were declared unlawful and quashed.

14.3. A.A. Gantimurov, V.S. Tsarev (Osychenko) are at large.

Applicants R.V. Kakotkin, A.G. Kudryavtsev, A.M. Kotov are not currently detained on remand and are serving their sentences imposed by respective judgments in correctional facilities.

14.4. The Court awarded just satisfaction to A.M.Kotov, A.G.Kudryavtsev in connection with the established violation of Article 5 § 4 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

## 15. Judgment in application no. 50483/07+ Fedorov and others v. Russia (judgment of 16 February 2017, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with unreasonably long detention of the applicants, as well as Article 5 § 4 of the Convention – due to improper examination by the court of the V.Yu. Bobkov's complaint about the detention order, as well as Article 13 of the Convention in view of the absence for D.V. Morozov of effective remedies from relevant violations.

#### 15.1. Just satisfaction.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	
1	P.V. Fedorov 50483/07		EUR 3,100		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 20.04.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 727773 for RUB 184,798.44).
2.	A.M.Sharafutdinov 21974/09		EUR 4,000		The amount was fully paid on 07.06.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 850698 for RUB 255,698.33 including default interest).
3	D.V.Morozov 66061/13		EUR 2,200		The payment was made in full on 02.08.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 92527 in the amount of RUB 155,012.66) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details - on 4 July 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
4.	V.Yu.Bobkov 8351/15		EUR 1,300		The payment was made in full on 12.10.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 387708 in the amount of RUB 88,862.41) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details - on 20 September 2017 At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
5	I.I.Figel 53102/10		EUR 1,000		The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by the ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 20.06.2018), failed to provide his bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.

15.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of P.V. Fedorov, D.V. Morozov, A.M. Sharafutdinov, V.Yu. Bobkov, I.I. Figel due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicants were declared unlawful and quashed.

15.3. A.M. Sharafutdinov, I.I. Figel are at large.

Applicants D.V. Morozov, V.Yu. Bobkov, P.V. Fedorov are not currently detained on remand and are serving their sentences imposed by respective judgments in correctional facilities.

15.4. The Court awarded just satisfaction to V.Yu.Bobkov in connection with the established violation of Article 4 § 5 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

## 16. Judgment in application no. 6116/10+ Nosenko and others v. Russia (judgment of 6 April 2017, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with unreasonably long detention of the applicants.

16.1. Just satisfaction.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	
1	A.V. Nosenko 6116/10		EUR 2,500		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 06.07.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 31521 for RUB 169,188.50).
2.	G.V.Shubin 10164/15		EUR 2,600		The amount was fully paid on 12.07.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 44298 for RUB 178,875.58 including default interest).
3	S.V.Kashenkov 42708/15		EUR 1,000		The amount was fully paid on 21.07.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 67557 for RUB 68,355.40 including default interest).
4.	A.V.Ivanov 53833/10		EUR 1,400		The amount was fully paid on 19.12.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 609199 for RUB 96,749.71 including default interest).
5	I.E.Romanov I 164/15		EUR 3,400		The payment was made in full on 30.11.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 538571 in the amount of RUB 236,277.56) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details- on 8 November 2017. At the same time, the applicant and his authorized representative did not ask for the payment of interest. In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative - O.A. Miryasova.
6	A.V.Cherepanov 1405/15		EUR 4,100		The amount was fully paid on 27.04.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 127388 for RUB 312,565.37 including default interest).

16.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of A.V. Nosenko, G.V. Shubin, S.V. Kashenkov, A.V. Ivanov, I.E. Romanov, A.V. Cherepanov due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicants were declared unlawful and quashed.

16.3. Applicants A.V. Nosenko, A.V. Ivanov, I.E. Romanov, A.V. Cherepanov, G.V. Shubin, S.V. Kashenkov are not currently detained on remand and are serving their sentences imposed by respective judgments in correctional facilities.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

## 17. Judgment in application no. 32357/05+ Vikharev and others v. Russia (judgment of 16 February 2017, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with unreasonably long detention of the applicants, Article 5 § 4 of the Convention – due to improper examination by the court of the A.A. Fedonin's complaints about the detention order, as well as Article 6 § 1 of the Convention in view of the excessive length of the criminal proceedings in relation to E.T. Memetov and failure to ensure participation of V.A. Vikharev at the hearing on civil case.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1	A.A.Fedonin 1827/16		EUR 5,300		The payment was made in full on 14.07.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 51735 in the amount of RUB 364,713.67) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details - on 7 June 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
2.	E.T.Memetov 8773/16		EUR 8,500		The amount was fully paid on 07.06.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 850692 for RUB 543,359.60 including default interest).
3	V.A.Vikharev 32357/05		EUR 1,300		The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by the ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 20.06.2018), failed to provide his bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations. However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.
4.	V.V.Vovchenko 49012/13		EUR 3,800		The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by the ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 20.06.2018), failed to provide his bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.

			However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.
5	A.V.Chernitenko 42110/15	EUR 1,800	The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by the ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 20.06.2018), failed to provide his bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.
	V.A.Kamenev		The amount was fully paid on 06.08.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of
6	16417/16	EUR 3,000	settlement (payment order no. 376557 for RUB 221,345.30 including default interest).

17.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of E.T. Memetov, V.A. Kamenev, V.V. Vovchenko, A.V. Chernitenko, A.A. Fedonin, V.A. Vikharev.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicant were declared unlawful and quashed

17.3. Applicants V.A. Vikharev, V.V. Vovchenko are at large.

Applicants A.V. Chernitenko, A.A. Fedonin, E.T. Memetov, V.A.Kamenev are not currently detained on remand and are serving their sentences imposed by respective judgments in correctional facilities.

- 17.4. The Court awarded just satisfaction to A.A.Fedonin in connection with the established violation of Article 4 § 5 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.
- 17.5. In connection with the established violation of Article 6 § 1 of the Convention it is noted that the criminal proceedings in relation to E.T.Memetov are completed, the sentence was delivered.
- 17.6. In connection with the established violation of Article 6 in respect to V.A. Vikharev it is noted that in accordance with Article 393 and Article 394 of the Code of Civil Procedure the reopening of civil proceedings in connection with the violations established by the European Court is carried out on the basis of the claims of participants of the case.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

## 18. Judgment in application no. 43611/02 Belozorov v. Russia and Ukraine (judgment of 15 October 2015, final on 15 January 2016)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of of Article 5 §§ 3 and 4 of the Convention in connection with the

unreasonably long detention of the applicant due to improper examination by the court of the applicant's complaint about the extension of the detention.

18.1. Just satisfaction.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1	A.F.Belozorov 43611/02		EUR 5,000		The payment was made in full on 18.05.2016 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 851420 in the amount of RUB 367,274.50) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details - on 26 April 2016) At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.

18.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of A.F. Belozorov due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicant were declared unlawful and quashed

- 18.3. A.F.Belozorov is at large.
- 18.4. The Court awarded just satisfaction to A.F.Belozorov in connection with the established violation of Article 4 § 5 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

Applicant and his representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

### 19. Judgment in application no. 41090/05 Sergeyev v. Russia (judgment of 6 October 2015, final on 6 January 2016)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with the unreasonably long detention of the applicant, as well as Article 3 of the Convention – in connection with failure to provide proper conditions of his detention.

19.1. Just satisfaction.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1	M.R.Sergeyev 41090/05		EUR 5,500	EUR 60	The non-pecuniary damage was fully paid on 11.03.2016 in roubles according to the exchange rate at the date of the payment (payment order no. 1555872 in the amount of RUB 879,082.00).  The amount of costs and expenses was fully paid on 30.05.2016 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 877227 for RUB 4,370.51)

19.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of M.R. Sergeyev.due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicant were declared unlawful and quashed

19.3. Applicant M.R. Sergeyev is at large.

Applicant and his representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

### 20. Judgment in application no. 12436/11 *Ilkin v. Russia* (judgment of 22 September 2015, final on 22 December 2015)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with the unreasonably long detention of the applicant, as well as Article 5 § 4 of the Convention – due to improper examination by the court of the applicant's complaints about the detention order, as well as Article 3 of the Convention in view of the failure to provide proper conditions of transportation.

#### 20.1. Just satisfaction.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1	A.K.Ilkin 12436/11		EUR 5,000	EUR 120	The amount was fully paid within the established period on 11.03.2016 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 700618 for RUB 406,777.86).

20.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of A.K. Ilkin due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicant were declared unlawful and quashed.

- 20.3. Applicant A.K.Ilkin is at large.
- 20.4. The Court awarded just satisfaction to A.K.Ilkin in connection with the established violation of Article 4 § 5 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

Applicant and his representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

## 21. Judgment in application no. 2763/13 Khayletdinov v. Russia (judgment of 12 January 2016, final on 6 June 2016)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with the unreasonably long detention of the applicant, Articles 3 and 13 of the Convention – due to the failure to provide the applicant with proper medical assistance within the period of his detention and in view of the absence for the applicant of the effective remedies from the relevant violations.

### 21.1. Just satisfaction.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1	I.F.Khayletdinov		EUD 7 000	EUR 280.	The amount was fully paid within the established period on 29.06.2016 in roubles at
	2763/13.		EUR 7,000		the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 42025 for RUB 523,737.76).

21.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of I.F. Khayletdinov due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicant were declared unlawful and quashed

21.3. Applicant I.F. Khayletdinov is not currently held in detention on remand and is serving his sentence imposed by respective judgment in correctional facility where adequate medical assistance is provided to him in accordance with international standards. I.F. Khayletdinov's state of health is regarded as stable, the applicant is under constant observation of specialists. Providing medical assistance in a correctional facility was not assessed by the ECHR, consequently, this issue is outside the scope of CMCE's authority.

Applicant and his representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

## 22. Judgment in application no. 34180/08 Gontmakher v. Russia (judgment of 27 September 2016, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 §§ 1, 3, 4 of the Convention in connection with unlawful and unreasonably long detention of the applicant, improper examination by the court of the applicant's complaints about the detention orders.

applicant, case no.   damage   damage   and expenses	no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
--	-----	--------------------------------------	---------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	---------

1.	A.A.Gontmakher 34180/08	EUR 26,0 0	EUR 3,000	The payment was effected in full on 24 May 2017 in Euro (payment order no. 8 for EUR 29,015.49 including default interest). In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative D.P. Kholiner.
----	----------------------------	---------------	-----------	---

22.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of A.A.Gontmakher due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicant were declared unlawful and quashed

- 22.3. The applicant is at large.
- 22.4. The Court awarded just satisfaction to A.A.Gontmakher in connection with the established violation of Article 5 § 1 and 4 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

Applicant and his representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

## 23. Judgment in application no. 2750/07 Grabovoy and others v. Russia (judgment of 22 September 2016, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with unreasonably long detention of the applicants.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1.	A.A.Turukalov 10831/09		EUR 2,200		The payment was made in full on 12.12.2016 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 407134 in the amount of RUB 150,140.76) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details - on 25 November 2016. At the same time, the applicant and his authorized representative did not ask for the payment of interest. In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative N.A.Novak.
2.	G.P.Grabovoy 2750/07		EUR 2,400		The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by the ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 17.10.2019), failed to provide his bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.

3	L.S.Sergushkina 17113/10	EUR 1,000	The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by the ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 17.10.2019), failed to provide his bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.
4.	V.V. Pavlov 65613/12	EUR 1,800	The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by the ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 17.10.2019), failed to provide his bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.

23.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings due to new circumstances.in respect of L.S.Sergushkina, A.A. Turukalov, G.P. Grabovoy, V.V. Payloy.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicants were declared unlawful and quashed.

### 23.3. The applicants are at large.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

## 24. Judgment in application no. 22727/08 *Plotnikov v. Russia* (judgment of 11 October 2016, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with the unreasonably long detention of the applicant.

24.1. Just satisfaction

	24.1. Just sati	Staction			
no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1	A.N.Plotnikov 22727/08		EUR 1,000		The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by the ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 17.10.2019), failed to provide his bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.

24.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of A.N. Plotnikov due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicant were declared unlawful and quashed

24.3. The applicant is at large.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

## 25. Judgment in application no. 5969/09+ Ryzhikov and others v. Russia (judgment of 11 October 2016, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with unreasonably long detention of the applicants.

### 25.1. Just satisfaction

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1.	A.V.Ryzhikov 5969/09		EUR 2,700		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 16.12.2016 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 422453 for RUB 174,836.61).
2.	S.B.Abdurakhmonov 38785/13		EUR 1,200		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 28.12.2016 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 467272 for RUB 76,474.20).

Just satisfaction was not awarded to applicant V.N.Pronoza (case no. 51884/10).

25.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings due to new circumstances in respect of S.B. Abdurakhmonov, V.N. Pronoza., A.V.Ryzhikov.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicants were declared unlawful and quashed.

25.3. Applicants V.N. Pronoza, A.V. Ryzhikov are at large.

Applicant S.B. Abdurakhmonov is not currently held in detention on remand and is serving his sentence imposed by respective judgment in correctional facility.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

# 26. Judgment in application no. 7723/07+ Semenov and Bachurina v. Russia (judgment of 11 October 2016, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 4 of the Convention – due to improper examination by the court of the applicants' complaints about detention orders.

#### **26.1.** Just satisfaction

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1.	Ye.A.Semenov		EUR 2,500		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 18.11.2016 in roubles at
	7723/07				the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 349128 for RUB 173, 097).

Just satisfaction was not awarded to applicant O.V.Bachurina (case no. 56124/07).

- **26.2.** The applicants are at large.
- 26.3. The Court awarded just satisfaction to Ye.A.Semenov in connection with the established violation of Article 4 § 5 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

## 27. Judgment in application no. 25697/13+ Zaushkin and others v. Russia (judgment of 18 October 2016, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 1 of the Convention in connection with unreasonably long detention of the applicants.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1.	A.V.Zaushkin 25697/13		EUR 7,500		The payment was made in full on 17.02.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 573250 in the amount of RUB 450,226.97) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details (on 18 January 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest. In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative L.N.Zaushkina
2.	S.V.Butenko 62442/13		EUR 7,500		The amount was fully paid within the established period on 28.12.2016 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 467233 for RUB 477,963.75). In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative K.A.Butenko.

1	O.I.Kornev	EUR 7.500	The amount was fully paid on 27.04.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of
٥.	48185/13.	EUR 7,300	settlement (payment order no. 127365 for RUB 571,765.81 including default interest).

### 27.2. Applicants S.V. Butenko, O.I. Kornev are at large.

Applicant A.V. Zaushkin is not currently held in detention on remand and is serving his sentence imposed by respective judgment in correctional facility.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

### 28. Judgment in application no. 18496/16 Eskerkhanov and others v. Russia (Judgment of 25 July 2017, final on 25 October 2017)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 3 of the Convention in connection with the improper conditions of T.D. Eskerkhanov's detention and Article 5 § 4 - due to improper examination by the court of the applicant's complaints about the extension of the detention.

28 1	Innat	antic	·f~	ation
/X	11101	Catic	:T9(	ממוזי

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1.	T.D.Eskerkhanov 18496/16		EUR 6,000		The payment was made in full on 21.12.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 6222250 in the amount of RUB 417,019.8) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details (on 27 January 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest. In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative L.A.Balyuk.

- **28.2.** Applicant T.D.Eskerkhanov is not currently held in detention on remand and is serving his sentence imposed by respective judgment in correctional facility.
- 28.3. The Court awarded just satisfaction to T.D.Eskerkhanov in connection with the established violation of Article 4 § 5 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

Neither the applicant nor his representatives brought any complaints before the court in connection with the entry into force of the Court's judgment.

## 29. Judgment in application no. 25102/07 Sokolova and others v. Russia (judgment of 12 October 2017, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 and 4 of the Convention in connection with the unreasonably long detention of the applicants, as well as due to improper examination by the courts of the applicant's complaints about the detention orders.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1.	V.K.Baranov 34919/08		EUR 1,000		The amount was fully paid on 15.12.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 597292 for RUB 69,404.8).
2.	S.V.Shiropatin 3442/17		EUR 1,700		The payment was made in full on 15.02.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 760341 in the amount of RUB 121,452.09) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details (on 09 January 2018). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
3.	D.A.Dezhin 45443/15		EUR 1,300		The payment was made in full on 16.03.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 830275 in the amount of RUB 91,669.63) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details (on 26 February 2018). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
4.	R.A.Khalafov 34667/16		EUR 3,300		The amount was fully paid on 20.02.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 772266 for RUB 230,731.84 including default interest). According to the applicant's request the payment was effected to the account of the applicant's representative O.Aliyev.
5	A.A.Dmitriyev 65065/13		EUR 1,300		The payment was made in full on 07.11.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 630841 in the amount of RUB 97,910.54) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest. According to the applicant's request the payment was effected to the account of the applicant's representative L.V.Ulybina.
6	P.V.Landysh 17102/16		EUR 3,100		The amount was fully paid on 27.06.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 268114 for RUB 227,911.26 including default interest).
7	A.Yu.Lazarev i 139/17		EUR 1,600		The payment was made in full on 27.12.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 829645 in the amount of RUB 125,637.92) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details. At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
8	O.S.Sokolova 25102/07		EUR 1,900		The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 17.10.2019), failed to provide her bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank

		1	details the payment of compensation effected in due course.	will be
1	1 1			

29.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of the applicants due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions extending the terms of detention (examined by the ECHR) in respect of V.K.Baranov, D.A.Dezhin, S.V.Shiropatin, A.A.Dmitriev, P.V.Landysh, A.Yu.Lazarev, O.S.Sokolova were found unlawful and quashed.

R.A.Khalafov, after choosing in his respect the measure of restraint in the form of house arrest absconded and was put on wanted list, i.e. his intention to abscond during the investigation or trial (which earlier had served as the grounds for his remand in custody) was confirmed by the facts. In view of the foregoing the Supreme Court did not find any grounds for quashing the judicial acts in the applicant's case.

29.3. Applicants V.K.Baranov, A.Yu.Lazarev, O.S.Sokolova are at large.

Applicants D.A.Dezhin, A.A.Dmitriyev, P.V.Landysh are not currently detained on remand and are serving their sentences imposed by respective judgments in correctional facilities. S.V.Shiropatin died.

29.4. The European Court awarded just satisfaction to V.K.Baranov, D.A.Dezhin, A.A.Dmitriyev in view of the established violation of Article 4 § 5 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

### 30. Judgment in application no. 30532/07 Shirokikh and others v. Russia (judgment of 6 July 2017, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with the unreasonably long detention of the applicant, as well as Article 6 § 1 of the Convention - due to the excessive length of the criminal proceedings in relation to D.S. Shirokikh.

**30.1.** Just satisfaction

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1.	M.B Olendarev		EUR 2,000		The amount was fully paid on 19.10.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 409241 for RUB
	36187/10				135,051.42 including default interest).
2.	V.A. Muchkov 28308/16		EUR 3,300		The payment was made in full on 15.12.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 596079 in the amount of RUB 229,235.49) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details (on 1 November 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.

3.	G.V.Chernov 50682/16	EUR 3,700	The payment was made in full on 26.12.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 652885 in the amount of RUB 255,851.9) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details (on 23 November 2017). At the same time, the applicant and his authorized representative did not ask for the payment of interest. In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative - Ya.V.Kovaleva.
4.	D.S.Shirokikh 30532/07	EUR 6,000	The payment was made in full on 29.03.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 860377 in the amount of RUB 428,003.40) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details. At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
5.	I.Ye.Pukhachev 50480/16	EUR 3,700	The amount was fully paid on 11.10.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 384700 for RUB 253,096.27 including default interest).
6.	A. A. Fedorov 50777/16	EUR 3,700	The payment was made in full on 21.12.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 622271 in the amount of RUB 257,162.21) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details - on 1 December 2017. At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
7	Ye.A.Kamenev 11743/16	EUR 3,300	The payment was made in full on 22.08.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 420422 in the amount of RUB 255,252.03) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details. At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest. In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative - A.A.Kameneva.

30.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings due to new circumstances in respect of M.B.Olendarev, D.S. Shirokikh, Ye.A. Kamenev, V.A. Muchkov, I.Ye.Pukhachev, G.V.Chernov, A.A.Fedorov.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicants were declared unlawful and quashed.

30.3. Applicants D.S.Shirokikh, M.B.Olendarev are at large.

Applicants V.A. Muchkov, I.Ye. Pukhachev, G.V. Chernov, A.A. Fedorov, V.A.Kamenev are not currently detained on remand and are serving their sentences imposed by respective judgments in correctional facilities.

**30.4.** In connection with the established violation of Article 6 § 1 of the Convention it is noted that the criminal proceedings in relation to D.S.Shirokikh are completed, the sentence was delivered.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

# 31. Judgment in application no. 9070/14 Memetov and Others v. Russia (judgment of 06 July 2017, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with unreasonably long detention of the applicants.

In case of E.T.Memetov, A.A.Morkovkin, R.S.Norov, A.I.Pavlyuchkevich and A.S.Posokhin the ECHR also established violation of Article 5 §§ 1(c) and 5 of the Convention due to unlawful detention of the applicants beyond the deadline for the purposes of their familiarization with their criminal case-files.

### 31.1. Just satisfaction

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1.	E.T.Memetov 9070/14		EUR 1,300		The amount was fully paid on 17.08.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 189484 for RUB 91,483.34).
2.	A.A.Morkovin 12347/14		EUR 9,100		The amount was fully paid on 14.10.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 360688 for RUB 617,959.16).
3.	R.S.Norov 13214/14		EUR 8,500		The amount was fully paid on 05.10.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 366458 for RUB 577,863.15).
4.	A.I.Pavlyukevich		EUR 7,900		The amount was fully paid on 27.09.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 340374 for RUB 541,586.87).
5.	A.S.Posokhin 18426/14		EUR 7,900		The amount was fully paid on 27.09.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 340390 for RUB 541,586.87).
6.	T.I.Baskayeva 18466/14		EUR 1,000		The payment was made in full on 08.09.2017 in roubles at the exchange rate at the date of payment (payment order no. 260050 for the amount of RUB 68,243.60). In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative F.K.Basiyeva.
7.	O.B. Fedorov 60029/15		EUR 4,000		The amount was fully paid on 11.10.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 384708 for RUB 273,617.57 including default interest).
8.	A.V. Zakharchenko 19133/16		EUR 7,100		The amount was fully paid on 27.09.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 340388 for RUB 486,742.63).

31.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of A.S. Posokhin, R.S. Norov, A.I. Pavlyukevich, A.V. Zakharchenko, T.I. Baskayeva, O.B. Fedorov, A.A. Morkovin, E.T. Memetov due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicants were declared unlawful and quashed.

31.3. Applicants A.A. Morkovin, R.S. Norov, T.I.Baskayeva, O.B.Fedorov, A.V.Zakharchenko are at large.

Applicants E.T. Memetov, A.I. Pavlyukevich, A.S. Posokhin are not currently detained on remand and are serving their sentences imposed by respective judgments in correctional facilities.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

### 32. Judgment in application no. 24904/07 Badalov and others v. Russia (judgment of 06 July 2017, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in relation to the excessive length of the applicant's detention; and of Article 5 § 4 of the Convention due to improper examination by the court of the B.N. Badalov's, S.M. Kondratyev's, E.V. Nekipelov's complaints about the detention orders.

32.1. Just satisfaction

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1.	B.N.Badalov 24904/07		EUR 4,000		The amount was fully paid on 07.09.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 256684 for RUB 273,666.00).
2.	Kh.S.Razhapov 22083/16		EUR 2,800		The amount was fully paid on 07.09.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 256695 for RUB 191,566.20).
3.	N.A.Sukhov 11858/11		EUR 1,000		The payment was made in full on 15.12.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 597309 in the amount of RUB 69,404.80) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details (on 21 November 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
4.	E.V.Nekipelov 22656/14		EUR 3,400		The amount was fully paid on 04.05.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 140246 for RUB 259,035.80 including default interest).
5.	R.N.Makarov 14672/16		EUR 1,000		The amount was fully paid on 20.02.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 772270 for RUB 69,918.97 including default interest).

6.	A.A.Koryunov 22309/16	EUR 1,700	The payment was made in full on 15.03.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 827337 in the amount of RUB 119,907.46) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details (on 16 February 2018). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest. According to the applicant's request the payment was effected to the account of Ye.V.Koryunova.
7	S.M.Kondratyev 66983/09	EUR 1,300	The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 17.10.2019), failed to provide her bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.

**32.2.** Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its ruling resumed criminal proceedings in respect of R.N.Makarov, Kh.S. Razhapov, B.N. Badalov, N.A. Sukhov, E.V. Nekipelov, A.A.Koryunov, S.M.Kondratyev due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicants were declared unlawful and quashed.

**32.3.** Applicants B.N. Badalov, S.M. Kondratyev, N.A. Sukhov, R.N. Makarov, Kh.S. Razhapov are at large.

Applicants A.A. Koryunov, E.V. Nekipelov are not currently detained on remand and are serving their sentences imposed by respective judgments in correctional facilities.

**32.4.** The Court awarded just satisfaction to B.N.Badalov, E.V.Nekipelov, S.M.Kondratyev in connection with the established violation of Article 4 § 5 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

The just satisfaction amount will be paid in full to S.M.Kondratyev if the applicant provides bank account details.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

# 33. Judgment in application no. 55477/10 Kavalerov and others v. Russia (judgment of 4 May 2017, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with the unreasonably long detention of the Yu.Yu. Kavalerov, Ya.K. Smulskaya, as well as Article 5 § 4 of the Convention - due to improper examination by the court of the Yu.Yu. Kavalerov's complaints about the detention order.

The violation of Article 3 and 13 was also established in view of the failure to provide the applicant with proper conditions of transfer between the detention facility and the courts and in view of the absence for the applicants of the effective remedies from the relevant violations.

### 33.1. Just satisfaction

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
<del></del>	аррисані, саѕе по.	uamage	damage	and expenses	The payment was made in full on 05.10.2017 in
1.	Yu.Yu.Kavalerov 55477/10		EUR 6,500		roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 366459 in the amount of RUB 441,895.35) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details (on 05 September 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
2.	T.SM.Idalov 3420/14		EUR 6,500		The amount was fully paid on 09.06.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 857819 for RUB 414,166.35).
	A.V.Kuznetsov				The amount was fully paid on 19.07.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of
3.	60833/14		EUR 5,000		settlement (payment order no. 59359 for RUB 338,092.00).
4.	A.N.Lozinskaya 64767/14		EUR 5,000		The payment was made in full on 03.11.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 459086 in the amount of RUB 338,385.00) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details (on 03 November 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
5.	V.Yu.Tokarev 65467/14		EUR 5,000		The payment was made in full on 29.03.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 860382 in the amount of RUB 386,669.50) without default interest due to the applicant's late submission of bank account details (on 15 March 2018). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
6.	Ya.K.Smulskaya 62920/10		EUR 6,500		The amount was fully paid on 14.08.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 398500 for RUB 504,872.46 including default interest).
7.	V.B. Kotov 15017/12		EUR 5,000		The compensation was not paid, because the applicant, despite the clarifications given by the ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 20.06.2018), failed to provide his bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations.  However, if the applicant provides the bank details the payment of compensation will be effected in due course.
8.	A.Yu.Balashov 61841/14		EUR 5,000		The amount was fully paid on 13.08.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 395914 for RUB 383,789.45 including default interest).

33.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of Yu.Yu.Kavalerov and Ya.K.Smulskaya due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicants were declared unlawful and quashed.

33.3. Applicants Yu.Yu. Kavalerov, Ya.K. Smulskaya, T.S.-M. Idalov, A.V. Kuznetsov, A.Yu. Balashov, A.N. Lozinskaya are at large.

Applicants V.B. Kotov, V.Yu. Tokarev are not currently detained on remand and are serving their sentences imposed by respective judgments in correctional facilities.

33.4. The Court awarded just satisfaction to Yu.Yu.Kavalerov in connection with the established violation of Article 4 § 5 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

# 34. Judgment in application no. 35919/05+ Birulev and Shishkin v. Russia (judgment of 14 June 2016, final on 14 June 2016)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 1 of the Convention in connection with unlawful arrest of the applicants by police officers and untimely delivering of the detention order by the court in relation to M.M. Shishkin.

34.1. Just satisfaction

	<b>34.1.</b> Just saus	Staction			
no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1.	A.V.Birulev 35919/05		EUR 5,000		The amount EUR 3,000 was fully paid on 20.02.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 772272 for RUB 209,756.22 including default interest).  The amount EUR 2,000 was fully paid on 09.08.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 385420 for RUB 149,916.63 including default interest).
2.	M.M.Shishkin 3346/06		EUR 6,415 (according to declaration)		The amount was fully paid on 17.02.2017 in roubles according to the exchange rate as of the date of the payment (payment order no. 573262 for RUB 385,093.95 including default interest).  Payment of compensation for legal costs and expenses was fully made to the legal firm's account on 17.02,2017 in roubles according to the exchange rate as of the date of the payment with default interests (payment order no. 573257 in the amount of RUB 180,090.91).

34.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of M.M. Shishkin due to new circumstances.

Upon the results, taking into account the findings of the ECHR, the decisions on the applicant's detention were upheld.

34.3. The applicants are at large.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

# 35. Judgment in application no. 57215/09 Burykin v. Russia (judgment of 11 October 2016, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with unreasonably long detention of the applicant, as well as Article 5 § 4 of the Convention – due to improper examination by the court of the applicant's complaints about detention orders.

#### **35.1.** Just satisfaction

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
	V.V.Burykin		EUR 6,500	EUR 850	The amount was fully paid on 16.02.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of
1.	57215/09				settlement (payment order no. 567910 for RUB 451,885.75 including default interest).

35.2. As the European Court found that extending the applicant's detention period was effected based on unsubstantiated court decisions, upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of V.V. Burykin due to new circumstances.

The Supreme Court studied all the materials and noted that with regard to the applicant's situation there shall be taken into consideration not only the gravity of the charges (suspicion of commission of especially grave crimes against personality and public safety) and possibility of his influencing the course of the investigation but also the fact that the applicant had been hiding in the territory of another state, had been apprehended after putting him on international wanted list and in case of his release could again leave the country. In view of the foregoing the Supreme Court did not find any grounds for quashing the judicial acts in the applicant's case.

35.3. The applicant is not currently held in detention on remand and is serving his sentence imposed by respective judgment in correctional facility.

Applicant and his representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

# 36. Judgment in application no. 51182/10+ Dzhabarov and others v. Russia (judgment of 02 February 2017, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in relation to the excessive length of the applicant's detention; and of Article 5 § 4 of the Convention – due to improper examination by the court of S.O.Bibik's complaints about detention orders.

### 36.1. Just satisfaction

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1.	A.G.Isakova 62814/10		EUR 3,200		The amount was fully paid on 05.04.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 684083 for RUB 191,664, 96).
2.	O.Yu.Kolpakov 10342/12		EUR 2,300		The amount was fully paid on 04.10.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 360691 for RUB 156,312.43 including default interest).
3.	S.O.Bibik 59613/14		EUR 2,700		The payment was effected in full on 27/10/2017 in roubles as of the payment date (payment order no. 434023 for the amount of 184,380.3 roubles) without interest in connection with late provision of bank account details (on 19 October 2017). At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
4.	L.A.Kuvayev 34313/11		EUR 2,700		The amount was fully paid on 23.05.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 180292 for RUB 198,331.80 including default interest).
5	M.F.Dzhabarov 51182/10		EUR 1,000		The compensation was not paid, because the applicant's heirs, despite the clarifications given by ECHR and Office of the Representative (on 17.10.2019), failed to provide the bank accounts details. The payment therefore was delayed through no fault of the authorities. The authorities did their best to comply with their obligations. However, if the applicant's heirs provides the relevant bank account details, the payment will be performed in due course.
6	V.V.Sopova 32166/14		EUR 2,400		The amount was fully paid on 16.08.2018 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 407376 for RUB 180,540.72) without default interest due to the late submission of the bank account details by the applicant. At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest. According to the applicant's request the payment was effected to the account of S.I.Voronchikhin

36.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its ruling resumed criminal proceedings in respect of M.F. Dzhabarov, V.V. Sopova (Sorochenko), A.G. Isakova, L.A. Kuvayev, O.Yu. Kolpakov, S.O. Bibik due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicants were declared unlawful and quashed.

36.3. Aplicants O.Yu. Kolpakov, V.V. Sopova are at large.

Aplicants A.G. Isakova, L.A. Kuvayev, S.O.Bibik are not currently detained on remand and are serving their sentences imposed by respective judgments in correctional facilities. M.F.Dzhabarov died.

36.4. The Court awarded just satisfaction to S.O.Bibik in connection with the established violation of Article 4 § 5 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

# 37. Judgment in application no. 41858/08 *Idalov v. Russia* (No. 2) (judgement of 13 December 2016, final on 2 February 2017)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article § 3 of the Convention in connection with the ill-treatment in relation to the applicant and failure to ensure effective investigation into this fact, as well as failure to provide the applicant with proper conditions of detention and transfer.

The violation of Article 5 § 1 and §3 of the Convention was also found in connection with unlawful arrest and subsequent detention of the applicant in the police station, as well as the applicant's unlawful and unreasonably long detention.

~	- 4	T .		~	. •
- 4	, .	Inter	cottc	ירדי	ction
.J.	/ a I a	JUSE	Saus	ומו	SLIVIII

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
	T.SM.Idalov	EUR			The payment was made in full on 05.04.2017 in roubles at the exchange rate at the date of
ı.	41858/08		26,000	EUK 4,000	payment (payment order no. 684075 for the amount of RUB 1,796,859.00).

37.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of T.S.-M.Idalov due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicant were declared unlawful and quashed.

**37.3.** The applicant is at large.

37.4. According to information from the Prosecutor General's Office, on 3 November 2017 the decision of 3 April 2014, delivered by the Odintsovo town investigating division of the Main Investigating Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation for the Moscow Region, refusing to initiate criminal proceedings based on T.S.-M.Idalov's statement about using violence against him, was quashed, and a submission was made demanding to restore the

inquiry materials. On 20 June 2019 the submission was made again, the materials were located, and now conducting an additional inquiry was arranged for. The inquiry is still pending.

The CMCE will be additionally informed on any further developments in the situation.

# 38. Judgment in application no. 9536/10 Fedorin v. Russia (judgment of 15 November 2016, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 3 of the Convention in connection with the failure to provide proper conditions of D.V. Fedorin's in remand prison, Article 5 §§ 1 and 4 in connection with the applicant's unlawful detention without detention report and improper examination by the court of the applicant's complaints about the decisions on extension of the applicant's detention, as well as Article 6 § 1 in connection with failure to provide D.V. Fedorin's participation in the court hearing concerning his complaints on the sentence in his criminal case.

#### 38.1. Just satisfaction

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1.	D.V.Fedorin		EUR 7,500		The amount was fully paid on 28.12.2016 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of
	9536/10				settlement (payment order no. 471475 for RUB 476,797,50).

**38.2.** The applicant is at large.

38.3. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of D.V.Fedorin due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the cassation ruling in the applicant criminal case was declared unlawful and quashed. The case was referred to a new cassation examination.

38.4. The Court awarded just satisfaction to D.V.Fedorin in connection with the established violation Article of Article 4 § 5 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

Applicant and his representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

### 39. Judgment in application no. 59297/09 *Polomkin and others v. Russia* (judgment of 20 July 2017, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Articles 3 and 13 of the Convention in connection with the improper

conditions of detention in penitentiary facilities and the absence for the applicants of effective remedies from the relevant violations.

The violation of Article 6 § 1 of the Convention was established in view of failure to ensure O.V. Polomkin's presence at civil hearings, Article 5 § 3 of the Convention - in view of the S.A. Vishlin's, S.A. Volobayev's unreasonably long detention, as well as Article 5 § 4 of the Convention - due to improper examination by the court of the S.A. Vishlin's complaints on the detention order.

39.1. Just satisfaction

	39.1. Just saus	10000011			
no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	Payment
1.	O.V.Polomkin 59297/09		EUR 1,950		The payment was effected in full on 17/11/2017 in roubles as of the payment date (payment order no. 494374 for the amount of 137,872.80 roubles) without interest in connection with late provision of bank account details. At the same time, the applicant did not ask for payment of interest.
2.	V.Ye. Ponomarev 41524/12		EUR 15,800		The amount was fully paid on 13.10.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 395132 for RUB 1,081,438.90).
3.	S.A.Vishlin 78846/13		EUR 10,000		The amount was fully paid on 22.09.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 327710 for RUB 692,635.00). In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative – V.V. Yeghazaryantz.
4.	V.A.Gasenko 56756/15		EUR 7,200		The amount was fully paid on 07.09.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 256703 for RUB 492,598.80).
5.	S.A.Volobayev 25055/16		EUR 9,000		The amount was fully paid on 08.09.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 260041 for RUB 614,192.40). In accordance with the applicant's requests, payment was made to the bank account of his authorized representative – V.V. Yeghazaryantz.

39.2. Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of S.A. Volobayev, S.A. Vishlin.

Upon the results the decisions (examined by the ECHR) extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicant were declared unlawful and quashed

- 39.3. V. Ye. Ponomarev, V.A. Gasenko are at large.
- O.V. Polomkin, S. A. Vishlin, S.A. Volobayev are not currently detained on remand and are serving their sentences imposed by respective judgments in correctional facilities.
- **39.4.** In case of O.V.Polomkin in accordance with Article 393 and Article 394 of the Code of Civil Procedure the reopening of civil proceedings in connection with the violations established by the European Court is carried out on the basis of the claims of participants of the case.

At that, according to the available information, the applicant did not lodge any respective applications with courts.

39.5. The Court awarded just satisfaction to S.A. Vishlin in connection with the established violation Article of Article 4 § 5 of the Convention and, as noted above, it was paid in full.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

# 40. Judgment in application no. 9668/10 Dayanov and Others v. Russia (judgment of 4 May 2017, final on the same date)

This judgment established a violation by the authorities of the Russian Federation of Article 5 § 3 of the Convention in connection with unreasonably long detention of the applicants.

#### **40.1** Just satisfaction.

no.	Full name of the applicant, case no.	Pecuniary damage	Non- pecuniary damage	Legal costs and expenses	
1	L.F.Dayanov 9668/10		EUR 5,000		The amount was fully paid on 19.07.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 59362 for RUB 338,092.00).
2.	R.R.Abkadyrov 9668/10		EUR 5,000		The amount was fully paid on 19.07.2017 in roubles at the rate applicable at the date of settlement (payment order no. 59357 for RUB 338,092.00).
3	T.M.Ulyamayev 9668/10		EUR 5,000		The payment was made in full on 19.07.2017 in roubles at the exchange rate at the date of payment (payment order no. 59366 for the amount of RUB 338,092.00).

**40.2.** Upon submission of the President of the Supreme Court the Presidium of the Supreme Court by its rulings resumed criminal proceedings in respect of R.R.Abkadyrov, T.M.Ulyamayev, L.F.Dayanov due to new circumstances.

Upon the results the decisions extending the terms of detention in respect of the applicants, examined by the ECHR, were declared unlawful and quashed.

40.3. Applicants R.R. Abkadyrov, T.M. Ulyamayev are at large.

Applicant L.F. Dayanov is not currently held in detention on remand and is serving his sentence imposed by respective judgment in correctional facility.

Applicants and their representatives did not lodge any applications with the national courts in connection with the entry into force of the European Court's judgment.

### General Measures:

41. During the reporting period (after June 2018) all final judgments of the European Court on the issue in question were sent to the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation and the competent state authorities (the Supreme Court of the Russian Federation, the Prosecutor General's Office, the Investigative Committee, the Federal Penitentiary Service, courts of constituent entities of the Russian Federation) for taking into account in practical activity and implementing measures within the jurisdiction to prevent similar violations in the future.

The competent state authorities transmitted the copies of the European Court's judgments to their structural subdivisions and territorial bodies with necessary instructions to take into account the European Court's legal positions in their practice.

In all Russian courts the judgments of the ECHR and the legal positions set forth therein have been the subject of discussion at meetings of judges and training sessions.

Texts of the judgments of the European Court are published on the website of the Ministry of Justice of Russia, the website of the General Prosecutor's Office, the internal website of the Supreme Court (accessible to all courts of general jurisdiction), in the legal reference systems *Consultant Plus* and *Garant*.

- 42. As a more balanced approach to choosing and extending the measure of restraint in the form of detention remains one of the most problematic issues, the Russian authorities implemented a number of the following measures in this regard during the reporting period.
- 43. Thus, on 27 December 2018, amendments were made to Article 109 § 8 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of the Russian Federation<sup>1</sup>, concerning extension of the detention period after expiry of the deadlines for using this measure when the accused are familiarising themselves with the case-file.

In particular, this Article is supplemented by the provisions that:

- the respective motion of the investigator on extension of the time period for detention shall contain specific factual circumstances, confirming the need for further use of the measure of restraint in the form of detention;
- a court order issued based on such motions shall contain the date till which the period of the person's detention, with a view of familiarising with the criminal case file, is to be extended; as well as that each time the period of detention may be extended for no more than 3 months.
- 44. On 18 April 2018, Federal Law no. 72-FZ On Amendments to the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation as Regards Choosing and Imposition of Measures of Restraint in the Form of Prohibition of Certain Actions, Bail and House Arrest was adopted. This Law introduced a new measure of restraint prohibition of certain actions. This measure is more lenient as compared to bail, home arrest and detention, and it may be imposed by the court only.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Federal Law no. 543-FZ of 27 December 2018 On Amendments to Article 109 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of the Russian Federation

At the same time, this Law provides for a mechanism for practical implementation of this provision – effecting control over compliance with the respective restrictions by Probation Departments of the territorial agencies of the Federal Penitentiary Service of Russia.

According to the Federal Penitentiary Service, the respective alternative measures are widely used in practice. In 2018 the Probation Departments had on their records over 15 thousand persons in whose respect the measure of restraint in the form of home arrest was chosen, and about 1 thousand persons in whose respect prohibition of certain actions was chosen.

45. Federal Law no. 186-FZ of 3 July 2018 On Amendments to Article 72 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation provides for establishing multiplying ratios when adding time of person's detention before the court sentence becomes final to the time of such person's serving his or her punishment in the form of deprivation of liberty. As a result of the work for re-calculation of the time spent in penal institutions, carried out in 2018, 10 thousand convicts were released from penal institutions, for 81 thousand convicts the time period of their punishment was decreased.

46. An important legal amendment was the introduction in August 2019 of amendments into Article 109 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of the Russian Federation<sup>2</sup>, which have significantly enhanced control over extending of detention periods for suspects, accused and defendants.

In particular, in accordance with the new provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure of the Russian Federation, petitions for extending detention periods must contain data on investigative and other procedural actions taken within the period after choosing the measure of restraint or the last extension of a person's detention, as well as reasons and motives for further extending of detention period. At the same time it is clearly indicated that the period stated in the petition for which the person's detention is extended should be determined based on the volume of investigative actions stated in the petition. If one of the motives for extending this measure of restraint is the need to conduct investigative actions listed in the previous petitions, this petition should list the reasons due to which those actions have not been conducted within the earlier established periods.

It is important that a judge, pursuant to the amendments introduced into the Code of Criminal Procedure of the Russian Federation, shall take a final decision not only based on evaluation of the motives given in the petition but also taking into consideration legal and factual complexity of the criminal case file, the total duration of the pre-trial criminal proceedings, effectiveness of actions of preliminary investigation bodies' officials and timeliness of conducting of investigative and other actions.

The court, pursuant to the amendments made, is provided with a possibility to extend detention for a shorter period than the one stated in the petition, if it believes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> by Federal Law no. 315-FZ of 2 August 2019 On Amendments to Articles 108 and 109 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation

that it is sufficient for performance of the totality of the investigative and other measures stated in such petition.

In case of dismissal of the petition for extending detention period in respect of the accused, the judge, on his or her own initiative, shall be entitled, if there are any grounds therefor, to choose an alternative measure of restraint in respect of the person in the form of prohibition of certain actions, bail or house arrest.

Thus the amendments made create an additional barrier for unfounded initiation and extension by courts of the measure of restraint in the form of detention and will favour a weighted approach to choosing this measure of restraint.

- 47. A number of additional measures were taken by the Supreme Court.
- 47.1. At the present moment, the Supreme Court, by way of fulfillment of the assignment of the President of the Russian Federation based on the results of the special program "Direct Line with Vladimir Putin" on 20 June 2019 (Pr-1180 of 2 July 2019), together with courts of the constituent entities, has organised additional studies of practice of choosing the measure of restraint in the form of detention in respect of persons suspected or charged with commission of business-related crimes.

Based on the results of the conducted research, the Supreme Court planned to prepare additional proposals aimed at elimination of the reasons and conditions for unjustified application of this measure for the said category of persons.

Within the framework of compliance with the said assignment of the President of the Russian Federation, there was also organised studying of the practice of application by courts of the measures of restraint alternative to detention.

Execution period is the second half of 2019.

47.2. The Supreme Court translated into Russian the text of the Summary of the Judgments of the European Court of Human Rights (criminal law aspects containing information on the current practice of the European Court of Human Rights, that was received by this Court from the "Supreme Courts Network" (acting under the auspices of the ECHR).

The text of the said Summary was communicated to judges and employees of the office of the Supreme Court of the Russian Federation, forwarded for information to the lower courts and also published on the internal website of the Supreme Court of the Russian Federation in the "Departmental Contour" Section ("International Law" file) available for lower courts.

47.3. The surveys of judicial practice of the Supreme Court, approved by the Presidium of that Court, have been prepared and disseminated.

In the majority of the prepared surveys (no.2 (2018), no. 3 (2018), no. 4 (2018), no. 1 (2019), no. 2 (2019))<sup>3</sup> considerable attention was paid to the issues of applying the measure of restraint in the form of detention on remand, *inter alia*, with references to legal views of the ECHR and certain judgments of the European Court.

48. According to the approved action plan, the Investigative Committee effected annual analysis of the reasons for extending procedural time-limits in investigative bodies, with further taking organisational measures such as:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Published on the official site of the Supreme Court, in legal reference Consultant Plus and Garant systems.

- controlling by the Investigative Committee's central units of cases in which the time period of investigation has been extended for over 9 months;
- obligatory examination by the Investigative Committee's central office of criminal cases in which the time period of investigation has been extended for over 12 months, with providing specific written instructions and arranging control over fulfillment of such instructions;
- discussion at operational meetings, in the presence of heads of the Investigative Committee, of the progress of investigation of most complicated criminal cases in which the time periods of investigation and detention were repeatedly extended.
- **49.** A number of additional measures was also taken by the Ministry of the Interior of Russia.
- **49.1.** Analysis of the reasons for extending procedural time-limits in 2018, with further taking of necessary organisational measures, was conducted also in the Investigation Department the Ministry of the Interior of Russia.
- 49.2. On 5 April 2019, the Ministry of the Interior of Russia held a meeting with the participation of the Deputy Minister of the Interior, the Representative of the Russian Federation at the European Court and the heads of his office, heads of divisions of the central apparatus of the Ministry of the Interior of Russia and the Main Directorate of the Ministry of the Interior of Russia for Moscow.

Existing problematic issues including those related to providing a more weighted approach to choosing and extending the measure of restraint in the form of detention in cases investigated by the investigating bodies of the system of the Ministry of the Interior of Russia were pointed at and discussed at this meeting there.

At that meeting the participants made decisions aimed at increasing the effectiveness of the relevant work and, currently, they are at the stage of practical implementation.

- 49.3. By order of the Ministry of the Interior of Russia of 28 March 2019 no. 203<sup>4</sup> cooperation between the Ministry of the Interior of Russia with the Representative of the Russian Federation at the European Court of Human Rights Deputy Minister of Justice of the Russian Federation was enhanced. It referred in particular to the spheres of providing to the Representative the necessary information and materials, advanced professional training and increasing awareness of officials of the interior taking into consideration international standards and practices of the ECtHR, including in the sphere of relations under consideration, enhancement of interdepartmental coordination.
- 50. In January 2019, the Deputy Prosecutor General held an operational meeting in the form of videoconferencing with the participation of prosecutors from constituent entities of the Russian Federation to discuss problems related to the overcrowding of pre-trial detention facilities and the placement of suspects, accused

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Order of Ministry of the Interior of Russia no. 203 of 28 March 2019 On Amendments to Order of Ministry of the Interior of Russia no.782 of 12 September 2014 no.. 782 On Enhancement of Effective Cooperation of the Ministry of the Interior of Russia with the Representative of the Russian Federation at the European Court of Human Rights - Deputy Minister of Justice of the Russian Federation.

and convicted persons in them. Based on the results of the meeting, a number of measures were planned and taken with the aim to decrease the number of persons detained in remand prisons. Execution is supervised by Prosecutor General's office.

- 51. At the level of constituent entities of the Russian Federation, taking measures for enhancing inter-departmental coordination of competent state authorities (penal system authorities, courts and other law enforcement agencies), aimed to ensure the balanced approach to using and extension of the measure of restraint in the form of detention, is continued. In particular:
- the regional departments of the Federal Penitentiary Service on a regular basis continue to inform the investigating authorities, inquiry bodies and courts about the actual occupancy in the detention facilities for taking this information into account when initiating and examining the imposition of the measure of restraint in the form of detention;
- the well-proven practice of conducting joint meetings with courts, with participation of representatives of the prosecutor's offices and territorial bodies and institutions of the Federal Penitentiary Service, was continued. At these meetings, domain-specific examination of issues concerning the possibility of decreasing the number of persons detained in remand prisons was continued, taking into account the actual number of persons detained in remand prisons, as well as by ensuring timely receipt of court decisions and prompt transfer of convicts from remand prisons to the places of serving their punishment;
- measures were taken for decreasing the load on overcrowded remand prisons, by transferring of suspects and accused to remand prisons with vacant places.
- According to the data of the Federal Penitentiary Service, as a result of such measures taken in 2018 the number of persons detained in remand prisons of the Republic of Tatarstan, the Arkhangelsk, Kurgan, Rostov, Sverdlov Regions, the city of Saint Petersburg and the Leningrad Region (which had been functioning with overcrowding for a long time) did not exceed the established limit. Along with that, the number of the suspects and accused detained in remand prisons has been decreased: in the Stavropol Territory by 12%, in the Voronezh Region by 13%, in the Moscow Region by 18.6%, in Moscow by 7.2%, etc.
- 52. The efforts made, which are connected to ensuring the balanced approach to using and extension of the measure of restraint in the form of detention, as confirmed by the following statistics.

According to the Judicial Department, in the recent years steady decrease in the number of motions for choosing the measure of restraint in the form of detention, filed with courts, has been observed (in 2016 - 135 thousand, in 2017 - 126 thousand, in 2018 - 114 thousand). This indicates a more balanced approach of the investigators and inquiry officers to the issue of imposition of this measure of restraint.

The number of persons, in whose respect motions for choosing the measure of restraint in the form of detention have been granted, is also decreasing (in 2016 -122 thousand, in 2017 - 113 thousand, in 2018 - 102 thousand).

In the recent 2 years, some decrease in motions for extending the measure of restraint in the form of detention, sent to courts, has been observed (in 2016 - 228 thousand, in 2017 and 2018 – approximately 217 thousand), as well as decrease in similar granted motions (in 2016 - 223 thousand, in 2017 and 2018 – approximately 210 thousand).

Simultaneously, unprecedented decrease of the number of persons detained in remand prisons has been observed. Thus, as of 1 January 2019 about 99.8 thousand persons were detained in remand prisons (as of 1 January 2016 – 117.5 thousand persons) with the maximum number of places for detained persons 129.4 thousand.

53. Adoption of general measures aimed at solving the problems of unlawful election or excessive prolongation of the measure of restraint in the form of detention, non-observance of the right to promptly examine the lawfulness of detention and release if it was found unlawful by the court and prevention of subsequent violations continues in the framework of enforcement of judgments in the *Klyakhin* group of cases and the "pilot' judgment *Ananyev and Others v. Russia*.