

## 1531<sup>st</sup> meeting, 10-12 June 2025 (DH)

### H46-4 Gafgaz Mammadov group v. Azerbaijan (Application No. 60259/11)

Supervision of the execution of the European Court's judgments

Reference document  
CM/Notes/1531/H46-4

#### *Decisions*

#### The Deputies

1. recalled that the present cases mainly concern numerous breaches of the applicants' freedom of assembly through the dispersal of unauthorised peaceful demonstrations not posing any threat to public order and their ensuing arrest, unfair administrative convictions and ensuing detention, in violation of Articles 11, 5 and 6 of the Convention;
2. reiterated the obligation of Azerbaijan, under the terms of Article 46, paragraph 1, of the Convention, to abide fully, effectively, and promptly by the final judgments of the European Court of Human Rights in any case to which they are a party;
3. as regards individual measures, reiterated that information is awaited in the cases of *Majidli and Others* (No. 56317/11) and *Majidli* (No. 7218/13); and invited the authorities to provide also information on the payment of just satisfaction in new cases in the group;
4. as regards general measures, noted with the profound concern that no information has been provided by the authorities with regard to the measures taken to resolve the long-standing problems, notably those of legislative nature, revealed in the present group;
5. reiterated their call on the authorities to take all the required measures and inform the Committee accordingly: measures with regard to putting in place sufficient safeguards against the unfettered powers of local executive authorities to disproportionately restrict freedom of assembly; ensuring that practical interpretation and application of national law by the local executive authorities and domestic courts affords protection against arbitrary interference; improving practice concerning lawfulness of administrative arrests, detentions and fairness of administrative proceedings, in particular through possible guidelines to be elaborated by the Supreme Court;
6. reiterated further their call on the authorities to provide information on the impact of the measures taken, in particular relevant statistical information on the holding of demonstrations in recent years and a detailed and comprehensive analysis on current application of relevant legislation in practice;
7. urged the authorities to resume a constructive and results-oriented dialogue with the Secretariat to set up a roadmap to fully achieve the general measures required in these cases;
8. decided to resume examination of this group of cases at their DH meeting in June 2026; invited the authorities to submit a consolidated and comprehensive action plan before that meeting; and expressed their readiness to consider further action in the absence of concrete progress.