

the violation found by the European Court and no other individual measure is required in this case.

III. General measures

The judgment *Ciorhan v. Romania* has been disseminated to the Superior Council of Magistracy and to all Courts of Appeal of Romania.

The Government consider that the present case does not raise issues with regard to existing legislation applicable in the area of freedom of expression. More so, national courts directly apply Article 10 of the Convention and Romanian magistrates are increasingly aware of the Conventional requirements in the area of freedom of expression and therefore have made great improvements in the time elapsed since the civil proceedings that made the object of application no. 49379/13 (which took place between 2012-2013).

The Government is aware that that certain questions remain open, notably as regards the proportionality test undertaken by the domestic courts in this area and the quantum of damages awarded in civil case files dealing with defamation/insult allegations. It considers that these questions can be pursued in the framework of the leading case of *Ghiulfer Predescu v. Romania*.

IV. Conclusions

As the individual measures have been resolved in this case and the general measures still required are followed by the Committee of Ministers in the framework of the case of *Ghiulfer Predescu v. Romania* (No. 29751/09), the Government invites the Committee to close its supervision of the present case.