



COMMITTEE OF EXPERTS ON THE
EVALUATION OF ANTI-MONEY
LAUNDERING MEASURES AND THE
FINANCING OF TERRORISM
(MONEYVAL)

MONEYVAL(2011)19

Russian Federation

Progress report¹ and written analysis by the
Secretariat of Core Recommendations

28 September 2011

¹ Second 3rd Round Written Progress Report Submitted to MONEYVAL

Russia is a member of MONEYVAL. This progress report was adopted at MONEYVAL's 36th Plenary meeting (Strasbourg, 26-30 September 2011). For further information on the examination and adoption of this report, please refer to the Meeting Report (ref. MONEYVAL(2011)25 at <http://www.coe.int/moneyval>)

© [2011] Committee of experts on the evaluation of anti-money laundering measures and the financing of terrorism (MONEYVAL)

All rights reserved. Reproduction is authorised, provided the source is acknowledged, save where otherwise stated. For any use for commercial purposes, no part of this publication may be translated, reproduced or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic (CD-Rom, Internet, etc) or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or any information storage or retrieval system without prior permission in writing from the MONEYVAL Secretariat, Directorate General of Human Rights and Rule of Law, Council of Europe (F-67075 Strasbourg or dghl.moneyval@coe.int).

Table of Contents

1. Written analysis of progress made in respect of the FATF Core Recommendations.....	4
1.1. Introduction.....	4
1.2. Detailed review of measures taken by the Russian Federation in relation to the Core Recommendations	5
1.3. Main conclusions.....	18
2. Information submitted by the Russian Federation for the 2nd progress report..	21
2.1. General overview of the current situation and the developments since the last evaluation relevant in the AML/CFT field	21
2.2. Core recommendations.....	25
2.3. Other Recommendations	54
2.4. Specific Questions	134
2.5. Questions related to the Third Directive (2005/60/EC) and the Implementation Directive (2006/70/EC).....	148
2.6. Statistics	154
3. Appendices.....	169
3.1. APPENDIX I - Recommended Action Plan to Improve the AML / CFT System	169
3.2. APPENDIX II – Relevent EU texts.....	180

This is the second 3rd Round written progress report submitted to MONEYVAL by the country. This document includes a written analysis by the MONEYVAL Secretariat of the information provided by the Russian Federation on the Core Recommendations (1, 5, 10, 13, SR.II and SR.IV), in accordance with the decision taken at MONEYVAL's 32nd plenary in respect of progress reports.

Russian Federation

Second 3rd Round Written Progress Report Submitted to MONEYVAL

1. *Written analysis of progress made in respect of the FATF Core Recommendations*

1.1. *Introduction*

1. The purpose of this paper is to introduce the Russian Federation's second report back to the Plenary concerning the progress that it has made to remedy the deficiencies identified in the 3rd round mutual evaluation report (MER) on selected Recommendations.
2. As the Russian Federation is now a member of 3 AML/CFT assessment bodies, it was the subject of a joint evaluation by the FATF, MONEYVAL and the Eurasian Group (EAG) in the 3rd round of MONEYVAL evaluations. Previously the Russian Federation had been evaluated by MONEYVAL alone in MONEYVAL's 1st and 2nd rounds.
3. The Russian Federation was visited twice by the assessment team under the 3rd evaluation round from 24 September to 2 October 2007 and again from 12-23 November 2007. The mutual evaluation report (MER) was examined and adopted by MONEYVAL at its 27th Plenary meeting (7-11 July 2008). According to MONEYVAL procedures, the Russian Federation submitted its first year progress report to the Plenary in September 2009, which was adopted. The Russian Federation is also subject to the follow up procedures of the FATF and the EAG.
4. This paper is based on the Rules of Procedure as revised in March 2010, which require a Secretariat written analysis of progress against the core Recommendations¹. The full progress report is subject to peer review by the Plenary, assisted by the Rapporteur Country and the Secretariat (Rules 38-40). The procedure requires the Plenary to be satisfied with the information provided and the progress undertaken in order to proceed with the adoption of the progress report, as submitted by the country, and the Secretariat written analysis, with both documents being subject to subsequent publication.
5. The Russian Federation has provided the Secretariat and Plenary with a full report on its progress, including supporting material, according to the established progress report template. The Secretariat has drafted the present report to describe and analyse the progress made for each of the core Recommendations.
6. The Russian Federation received the following ratings on the core Recommendations:

R.1 – Money laundering offence (LC)
SR.II – Criminalisation of terrorist financing (LC)
R.5 – Customer due diligence (PC)
R.10 – Record Keeping (LC)

¹ The core Recommendations as defined in the FATF procedures are R.1, R.5, R.10, R.13, SR.II and SR.IV.

R.13 – Suspicious transaction reporting (LC)
SR.IV – Suspicious transaction reporting related to terrorism (PC)

7. This paper provides a review and analysis of the measures taken by the Russian Federation to address the deficiencies in relation to the core Recommendations (Section II) together with a summary of the main conclusions of this review (Section II). This paper should be read in conjunction with the progress report and annexes submitted by the Russian Federation.
8. It is important to be noted that the present analysis focuses only on the core Recommendations and thus only a part of the Anti-Money Laundering/Combating the Financing of Terrorism (AML/CFT) system is assessed. Furthermore, when assessing progress made, effectiveness was taken into account, to the extent possible in a paper based desk review, on the basis of the information and statistics provided by the country, and, as such, the assessment made does not confirm full effectiveness.

1.2. Detailed review of measures taken by the Russian Federation in relation to the Core Recommendations

A. Main changes since the adoption of the MER

9. Since the adoption of the MER and the First Progress Report, the Russian Federation has taken a number of measures with a view to addressing the deficiencies identified in respect of the core Recommendations, including:
 - further amendments in 2010 of the AML/CFT Law aimed at strengthening customer identification procedures, including new definitions of ‘beneficiary’ and ‘identification’, and adding to the list of information to be ascertained for identification purposes – the date of birth of a customer, his representative, and beneficiary.
 - further amendments aimed at strengthening the identification of beneficial owners generally and in respect of legal persons.
 - amendments aimed at ensuring the Russian Federation completely criminalises money laundering in respect of all the FATF’s designated categories of offences by introducing revised criminal provisions on market manipulation and new provisions on misuse of insider information.
 - further amendments to ensure that the criminal offence of financing of terrorism covers all terrorist offences contemplated by the conventions set out in the Annex to the 1990 Convention on the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism, including Illegal Actions with Nuclear Materials.
10. The Russian Federation has also taken additional measures to address deficiencies identified in respect of the key and other Recommendations, as indicated in the progress report. However these fall outside of the scope of the present report and are thus not reflected in the text of the analysis beneath. Nonetheless, it is worth broadly recording in the context of the core preventive Recommendations reviewed here that the Russian Federation advise in their replies that administrative sanctions for breaches of the AML/CFT Act have been further strengthened since the first progress report, and that such sanctions can now be taken directly by the supervisory bodies themselves against the organisations (and their executive officers).

B. Review of measures taken in relation to the Core Recommendations

Recommendation 1 - Money laundering offence (rated LC in the MER)

11. In the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation, money laundering is criminalised by 3 separate offences: A.174 (described as money laundering – which covers laundering by 3rd parties); A.174.1² (which covers self laundering) and A.175 (acquisition of property obtained by crime – which is similar to a handling / receiving offence). The physical elements of the money laundering offences were found by the evaluators to be broadly in line with the Vienna and Palermo Conventions. The Russian authorities have provided translations annexed to this review of A.174 and 174.1 in their current formulations.
12. The physical elements of A.174 remain basically unchanged since the last evaluation. The most recent translation of A.174 shows that ‘illegal means’ has been substituted for ‘criminal ways’ and that there have been changes to the threshold for the penalties where money laundering is committed in ‘large amount’. Large amount/large scale previously related to transactions and deals/financial operations exceeding 1 million roubles. This threshold has been raised to financial operations exceeding 6 million roubles. Sanctions for money laundering are covered by R.2, which is not a core Recommendation, and thus outside the scope of this review.
13. The offence in A.174.1 (self laundering) at the time of the evaluation was without a financial threshold. By virtue of the Note to A.174 and the language of A.174.1, a financial threshold has now been placed on self laundering (by amendments made in 2010), rendering self laundering a criminal offence only when committed with monetary funds or other property to the amount exceeding six million roubles.
14. The reintroduction of a financial threshold, albeit only in respect of the A.174.1 offence, has been motivated in part by the need to concentrate on 3rd party laundering. That said, a threshold is not within the FATF Recommendations. It is strongly advised that the threshold for criminalisation of self laundering should be reconsidered and removed in a timely fashion, particularly as this amendment was described (by Russian interlocutors) as a temporary measure.
15. Deficiency No.1 *Russia should establish offences of insider trading and stock market manipulation.* The Russian Federation follows an ‘‘all crimes’’ approach to money laundering and the 3rd round report found 19 of the 20 designated categories of offences required by the FATF to be covered for money laundering purposes. Only offences dealing with insider trading and stock market manipulation were not covered. At the time of the adoption of the first 3 round progress report legislation to remedy these deficiencies was in draft.
16. A wide-ranging Federal Law No 224-FZ ‘‘on countering the illegal use of insider information and market manipulation and on amending certain legislative Acts of the Russian Federation’’ was adopted by the Russian Parliament on 2 July 2010 and signed by the President on 27 July 2010. Market manipulation and misuse of insider information have been classified as criminal offences. Actions constituting market manipulation are defined in detail in A.5 of the Act, and they appear to correspond with internationally recognised understanding of this concept. Article 21(3) of the Act amends the Criminal Code to create an offence under A.185.3CC of market manipulation carrying up to 4 years imprisonment or a fine from 300,000 to 500,000 roubles (or a fine based

² See Annex I for A.174 and 174.1

on the income of the defendant) in its basic form, with higher penalties where there are aggravating factors, such as large scale losses or the involvement of an organised crime group. Article A185.3 CC has been brought into force.

17. Likewise, A.3 Federal Law 224-FZ creates the concept of 'inside information' by reference to lists of information to be created by the federal body in charge of financial markets and defined information within the Bank of Russia. While the reviewer has not seen the lists of inside information created by the federal body in charge of financial markets, Federal Law 224-FZ appears broadly in line with the internationally understood definition of inside information. A.21(4) of Federal Law 224-FZ amends A.185.6 of the Criminal Code to add a criminal offence covering the deliberate use of insider information for the purpose of carrying out transactions and also (which is assumed to be an alternative form of the offence) the deliberate use of insider information by giving recommendations to third persons to purchase or sell financial instruments etc if such use causes large scale losses to citizens, organisations or the State or is associated with the receiving of income or the avoidance of large scale losses. The penalties range from fines from 300,000 – 500,000 roubles, or imprisonment for 2-4 years with a fine of 50,000 roubles with substantially higher penalties when the offence is committed in an aggravated form. A.185.6 has not yet been brought into force. It will be brought into force in 2 years time, as its implementation requires the amendment of a large amount of by-laws. Currently, therefore, only administrative liability for misuse of insider information is available under A.12.21 of the Administrative Offence Code.
18. Thus the structural deficiency identified in the MER so far as money laundering criminalisation is concerned has been partially remedied which is very welcome, and will be completely remedied when the criminal offence of use of insider information is brought into force.
19. Turning to the effectiveness of implementation of R.1, the 3rd round report found that Russia had progressively improved its effectiveness in implementing the money laundering offence, though commented that the overall number of convictions for money laundering (as opposed to self laundering) was "somewhat low". Russia was encouraged to make progress in the use of its money laundering offence. In the period under review, an impressive 28,398 cases of money laundering were investigated. That said, 27,081 relate to self laundering. The number of cases sent to court rose in the period under review to 7,263, of which 7,021 were also self laundering. The cases sent to court declined in 2010 to 1,502 (of which 1,450 were also self laundering). Convictions peaked in 2008 at 839 (of which 755 were self laundering). The stand alone convictions for money laundering set out at p.147 show 102 convictions in the years under review, of which only 39 received immediate sentences of imprisonment. The replies to the additional questions indicate that the most detected predicate offences are:
 - fraud (61%);
 - unlawful entrepreneurship (11.4%);
 - misappropriation or embezzlement (6%);
 - smuggling (3.8%);
 - illegal banking activity (3.2%);
 - misuse of official powers (2.4%).
20. While, as noted earlier, it is difficult to draw conclusions on a desk based review about overall effectiveness, the number of stand-alone money laundering convictions appears to remain low and the sentences imposed for them appear, on the face of it, to be modest. The Russian authorities advised that there have been a number of convictions in the North West of the country

against organisers and active participants in the “shadow exchange” sphere, carrying out their business, such as unlawful banking related to money encashment, withdrawal abroad and subsequent laundering. Similarly, they advised that money laundering is frequently carried out by shell companies using gatekeepers for incorporation. One such auditor in the far eastern region extended his services to money laundering and was sentenced to 12 years imprisonment (presumably in the round for money laundering and other offences including aiding and abetting tax avoidance). Otherwise it is unclear from the information provided in the replies to the questionnaire what success the Russian Federation is having in achieving major convictions for third party laundering on behalf of organised crime or the laundering of the proceeds of major corruption offences. These are issues which will doubtless be addressed in MONEYVAL’s forthcoming follow up assessment of the Russian Federation

Special Recommendation II - Criminalisation of terrorist financing (rated LC in the MER)

21. Deficiency 1 identified in the MER (*Russia should establish the offence of theft of nuclear material and expand the terrorist financing offence to include this new offence*). At the time of the 3rd evaluation, the Russian TF offence criminalised the financing of offences that are listed in the annex to the Terrorist Financing Convention with the exception of the theft of nuclear material. At the time of the adoption of the first 3rd round progress report, the relevant draft law to remedy this deficiency had been submitted to the State Duma for approval. By virtue of Federal Law No 197-FZ of 27 July 2010, the financing of crimes provided for in A.220 (Trafficking in Nuclear Materials or Radioactive Substances) and A.221 (Theft or extortion of Nuclear Materials or Radioactive Substances) are classified as terrorist financing. Thus this deficiency has also been addressed.
22. Deficiency 2 identified in the MER (*The Russian authorities should reconsider their position concerning the criminal liability of legal persons*). In the 3rd evaluation the Russian authorities maintained that the fundamental principles of their domestic law, as contained in section 2 of the Russian Constitution, as well as in the Criminal Code and in the Code of Criminal Procedure, means that moral blameworthiness cannot be extended to legal entities. The MER found that the Russian position concerning legal persons was “clear, but not convincing”, given that many countries in Europe, with Constitutional guarantees similar to those in the Russian constitution, have established criminal liability for legal persons. For their part, the Russian authorities emphasise in their replies to the progress report that the level of liability in the Russian legal system is similar to criminal liability of legal persons applied in other legal frameworks, and is severe.
23. They emphasise that the possibility of criminal liability for legal persons has been discussed on several occasions at the highest levels in the Russian Federation. As they set out in their replies, the liability of legal persons is included in the Code of Administrative Offences and the Civil Code of the Russian Federation. Administrative fines for terrorist financing can range from 30,000 – 50,000 roubles, or disqualification for a period between 1 and 3 years for officials and 500,000 to 1,000,000 roubles fine, or administrative suspension of activity for up to 90 days for legal entities. Equally they emphasise that the legal entity can be liquidated by a court decision with confiscation of its property. The latest procedures set out under A.15.27 part 5 of the Code of Administrative Offences, providing administrative liability for violation of AML/CFT legislation only came into force on 24 January 2011, and law enforcement practice on administrative sanctions for money laundering or terrorist financing is said to be still quite modest. Statistical information on ML/TF cases, which may have been subject to administrative procedures, was not available.

24. This review takes note of the Russian position on corporate criminal liability but the fact remains that the evaluators appear to have been unpersuaded that there is a fundamental principle of Russian Law, which in practice would prevent full criminal liability. The reviewer was not part of the 2008 evaluation mission and thus has not had the opportunity of hearing all the views expressed onsite. Thus a definitive opinion on this issue is beyond the scope of this desk review. The arguments on this issue will be revisited in the next MONEYVAL onsite visit in its follow up round. The present position therefore is that Russia appears to remain not in full compliance with this criterion. Technically of course, the issue has been reconsidered, as the Action Plan requires. However, as the MER notes, other countries with similar legal backgrounds in MONEYVAL have moved in this direction. The Russian authorities are encouraged to examine the precedents in those European countries with a similar legal background which have taken this step, and to review their position prior to the next MONEYVAL onsite mission.
25. The figures at table 3 indicate that there have been 63 investigations into terrorist financing offences in the years under review, with 19 cases sent to court and 10 convictions. It has not proved to be possible to disaggregate these figures into cases of financing of ‘domestic terrorism’ as opposed to ‘international terrorism’; nonetheless, it has been pointed out that the FMS, in the period under review, has conducted 53 financial investigations with an international component. In any event, on a desk review, the TF offence appears to be being used effectively.

Recommendation 5 - Customer due diligence (rated PC in the MER)

26. Deficiency 1 identified in the MER (*Russia should ensure that the following issues are covered by law or regulation: (i) a specific prohibition on maintaining existing accounts under fictitious names, (ii) a requirement to carry out CDD where there is a suspicion of money laundering, regardless of any exemptions, (iii) performance of CDD where there are doubts about the veracity of previously obtained customer identification data, (iv) a requirement to identify beneficial owners and in particular to establish the ultimate natural owner/controller and (v) requirements for conducting ongoing due diligence*). Some measures have been taken since the last progress report with the adoption of Federal Law No. 176 of 23 July 2010 on amending the Federal Law on Countering the Legalisation of Incomes Received through Crime and the Financing of Terrorism and the Code of Administrative Offences of the Russian Federation, covering some of the identified deficiencies set out beneath, all of which need to be in Law or Regulation.
- (i) A specific prohibition on maintaining existing accounts under fictitious names
27. No further measures have been taken. The Russian Federation considers it has sufficient legal provisions that prohibit the opening of or maintaining of existing accounts in fictitious names. The replies to the previous progress report cited A.7(1) of the AML Law, which has not been materially changed in the context of this recommendation. Clearly mandatory identification (through names, date of birth etc) of persons receiving (financial) services, or of customers generally, means that accounts in fictitious names should not be opened. However the report’s concern was in respect of the maintenance of accounts in fictitious names. The Russian authorities consider that all such accounts have been identified (the issue was considered to relate only to one or two banks) and thus that such accounts cannot now exist. Whether all existing accounts have been identified is not possible to verify on a desk-based review. While there is no reason to doubt the views of the Russian Federation on this point, the follow up evaluation will seek to confirm this. The fact remains, however, that no specific prohibition has been put into place in law or regulation, as recommended.

(ii) A requirement to carry out CDD where there is a suspicion of money laundering, regardless of any exemptions

28. This CDD requirement is generally covered as ongoing monitoring is now required to revise the risk level which the financial institutions should establish at the start of the business relationship and the information should be subject to a regular system of upgrading. Information is required to be automatically upgraded if a customer, beneficiary (see beneath) or transaction raises suspicions of money laundering or terrorist financing (see Article 7 clause 1.1 and Decree no 967 of June 2010). The 3rd round report described some transactions falling below the threshold, which, even in the case of a suspicion of money laundering or terrorist financing, were, exceptionally, exempt from this requirement. These exemptions appeared in A.7 clause 1.1 at the time of the last evaluation. They have been abrogated by the amending legislation. This deficiency has therefore been remedied.

(iii) Where there are doubts about the veracity of previously obtained customer identification data

29. At the time of the last evaluation there was a general requirement to ‘regularly’ update information on customers (AML/CFT Law A.7 clause 1, sub 3). There was no explicit requirement in law or regulation to carry out CDD where there were doubts about the veracity of previous identification. The substance of this deficiency has been addressed by Rosfinmonitoring in paragraph 2.10 Order 59 of Rosfinmonitoring of 17 February 2011. It is welcome that progress has been made on the issue but it appears that this has not been achieved through Law or Regulation, as the Methodology requires, but by ‘other enforceable means’. Thus the deficiency is only partially addressed.

(iv) A requirement to identify beneficial owners and in particular to establish the ultimate natural owner / controller

30. The English text of the Russian legislation uses the term ‘beneficiary’ and not ‘beneficial owner’, although these terms are used interchangeably in the replies to the progress report questionnaire. At the time of the evaluation (and today) there is no explicit definition in Law or Regulation of “beneficiary” which fully matches the FATF definition of “beneficial owner”, though there was some guidance in other documents considered in the evaluation which the evaluators found to be “other enforceable means”. That guidance has now been given statutory form in Article 3 of the AML Law, which defines basic terms used throughout the legislation.

31. “Beneficiary” (not beneficial owner) is defined as “a person whose benefit the customer acts for, in particular under a brokerage, agency, commission and grant agreement, when carrying out transactions with funds or other assets”. The 3rd evaluation team found that the majority of financial institutions met interpreted this requirement (then found in other documents), as imposing the need to identify those acting on behalf of another person and not those persons exercising ultimate effective control over a legal person or arrangement. The Russian authorities consider that their definition covers the full FATF definition. While it is helpful that the pre-existing guidance is now in Law or Regulation, it appears that the full concept of beneficial owner is still not explicitly covered in the legislation, however the financial institutions interpret it. The Russian authorities advised during the discussions on the progress report that a definition of beneficial owner more congruent with the FATF definition is included in a draft revision to the Civil Code. This would be a very welcome clarification, as currently it is unclear whether the full identification and verification of the “beneficial owner”, as the term is defined by FATF, is

being achieved in practice. As things stand, this can only be established in the next onsite visit. On a desk review the issue here appears to have been only partially addressed at best.

(v) Requirements for conducting ongoing due diligence

32. The following documents now constitute the legal framework for Ongoing Due Diligence: Article 7(1)(3) AML Law, which requires organisations on a regular basis to update information on clients and beneficiaries; Decree of the Russian Federation Government No. 967-R, dated 10 June 2010, which substituted the previous Russian Federation Government Decree 983-R (in place at the time of the evaluation and which was considered not to be ‘Law or Regulation’, but “other enforceable means”); and Rosfinmonitoring Informative letter No.2, and Order No 59, dated 17 February 2011. The Decree is described as “proposed recommendations” in the text, though it is understood that these recommendations have to be incorporated into the internal control rules of all credit and financial institutions. Paragraph 16 of the Decree requires institutions to assess the risk level and to further monitor changes in the risk level by performing ongoing monitoring of customers’ transactions as they are carried out. It appears therefore that the substantive requirement for ongoing monitoring is in place, though this is in “other enforceable means” rather than Law or Regulation, as the Methodology requires. At the last evaluation, no instrument below the AML/CFT Law was found to constitute “Law or Regulation” within the AML/CF Methodology.
33. Deficiency 2 identified in the MER (*The following matters should be set out in law, regulation or other enforceable means: (i) requirement for non-CIs to understand the ownership or control structure of a legal person, (ii) requirement to ascertain the purpose and intended nature of the business relationship, (iii) requirements for the timing of verification of identification, and (iv) consequences of a failure to conduct CDD*).
- (i) Ownership and control structure of a legal person
34. Decree 967 –R of 10 June 2010 is addressed to all institutions with AML/CFT obligations (and not just credit institutions). Paragraph 11 of the Decree requires special attention to be paid to:
- a) the list of the legal entity’s founders (shareholders);*
 - b) the structure of the legal entity’s management bodies and their powers;*
 - c) the size of the registered and authorised (share) capital or size of authorised funds and the value of assets of a legal entity.”*
35. It is assumed that the use of the term ‘pay special attention’ is intended to transpose the FATF requirement to take reasonable measures to understand the ownership and control structure, though the two concepts are not necessarily the same. The Russian authorities confirmed that this is the intention. The Russian authorities also drew attention to further requirements in the licensing of non-credit institutions and organisations operating in the securities market, the details of which include requirements for information to be provided on the ownership structure of such organisations, including the persons or groups who own directly or indirectly at least 5% of the registered share capital. The broad requirements are set out in Clause 2.1.10 of FSFM Order No. 10-49/PZ-N of 20 July 2010, supplemented and developed in an FSFM Information Letter published on the FSFM website on 14 October 2010. As well as providing this information to the licensing authority, it should be provided to Rosfinmonitoring. Thus this deficiency appears to have been broadly addressed by “other enforceable means”, which is acceptable under the Methodology.

(ii) Purpose and intended nature of the business relationship

36. Para 7 of Decree No 967-R dated 10 June 2010 advises that in the development of a customer identification programme, it is “expedient” to use a questionnaire to draw up a dossier, which includes the customer’s activities. This is supplemented by paragraph 19 in relation to the mandatory control regime for transactions, which requires a procedure for examining the background and purpose of transactions subject to obligatory control. The absence of this information in the dossier (or other relevant documents) is sanctionable. It appears therefore that there has been satisfactory progress on this issue.

(iii) Timing of verification of identification

37. At the time of the evaluation the AML/CFT law was silent on the timing of verification and this remains the situation. The formal position that identification (including verification) should be before opening of accounts is specified in Rosfinmonitoring’s Order No. 59 of 17 February 2011. Regulation 262-P for credit institutions stated at the time of the evaluation that ‘identification’ may take place within seven working days after the business relationship has commenced or an occasional transaction has taken place if identification and verification are not immediately possible. This regulation remains in force. Most credit institutions spoken to by the evaluation team appeared to complete verification of identification before opening accounts. It was reported in the first progress report that Rosfinmonitoring Information Letter No. 2 provides for a possibility to complete identification of the beneficiary within a timeframe not exceeding 7 days from the date of the transaction or deal if the nature of the transaction or of the deal makes it impossible to carry out CDD before completing the transaction or deal. Thus the timing of verification has been addressed now with a degree of consistency by documents which would be categorised as ‘other enforceable means’.

(iv) Consequences of a failure to conduct CDD

38. At the time of the onsite visit, credit institutions were allowed under the law to refuse to open an account when a person or legal entity fails to submit identity documents, or if invalid documents are submitted or if the customer is linked to terrorist activity (Article 7 clause 5.2 AML Law). Credit institutions were also permitted to reject a transaction in the absence of the required documentation or if the customer was linked to terrorist activity. However this did not extend to incoming funds, which the report considered an apparent potentially significant omission (albeit that credit institutions were and are required to submit an STR). Other financial institutions were not able to close accounts on the basis of AML/CFT risk (except for non face to face customers). The lack of rules in this area covering non-credit institutions was said in the MER to appear to be an important omission. Since the evaluation, Rosfinmonitoring Order No. 103 of 8 May 2009 on Endorsing the Recommendations for Elaborating Detection Criteria and for Defining Signs of Unusual Transactions (with amendments and additions of 14 September 2010) has been introduced. Clauses 26 and 28 include as extraordinary transactions subject to internal control ones where there is no obvious link between the type and nature of a customer’s activity and a service such a customer requests, and transactions which are inconsistent with the entity’s activities in the constituent documents. Where there are suspicions of money laundering credit and non-credit institutions would file reports under A.7 paragraph 3 AML Law to Rosfinmonitoring. While this represents a degree of progress, there do not appear to have been any new developments in relation to the issue of rejecting incoming funds where CDD has not been satisfactorily completed, or in relation to the closure of accounts by non-credit institutions on the basis of AML/CFT risk.

39. Deficiency 3 identified in the MER (*Requirements relating to enhanced and simplified due diligence should be clarified, in particular the exemptions from conducting CDD in situations relating to occasional transactions. Further guidance to FIs on dealing with legal arrangements from overseas would be helpful*).

(i) Enhanced and simplified Due Diligence

40. The mutual evaluation found apparent inconsistency in relation to procedures dealing with enhanced and simplified due diligence, and some uncertainty amongst supervised institutions about what measures are strictly required. On enhanced due diligence Regulation 262-P for the credit institutions (2004) sets out very detailed rules, particularly for cases/transactions deemed to be higher risk. The impact of the Rules where a customer was in a high risk category was the need to update information at least once a year and a general requirement to devote “special attention” to deals of an increased level of risk. Rosfinmonitoring Order 104 set out specific criteria for operations that could be considered higher risk for financial institutions. The impact of this document was broadly the same as for credit institutions – information on high risk operations is to be collected more frequently and more attention is to be paid to such operations. Both documents focus more on transaction risk than on categories of higher risk customer *per se*.

41. Since the evaluation report was adopted, as noted above, the Government Decree No. 967-R of June 2010 to all organisations has set out clearly the basis of a risk assessment programme which updates and upgrades identification information on a regular basis. Prior to that, Rosfinmonitoring Information letter No. 2 of 18 March 2009 achieved a greater degree of consistency with Regulation 262-P for credit institutions, by providing more information on different levels and degrees of risk for financial institutions. In particular, the Information Letter clarified that identification information on customers in the high risk category should be updated at least once every six months.

42. The actual CDD measures prescribed to be taken for higher risk customers appear to remain basically the same as at the time of the evaluation – updating information and paying special attention to high risk operations.

43. On simplified due diligence, the report noted that financial institutions are not allowed to apply reduced or simplified measures, except in specific circumstances and that these circumstances are actually exemptions, rather than simplified rules. The exemption from identification has been clarified since the evaluation in A.7 paragraph 1.1 of the AML Law and applies to operations in cash or any other assets if the amount does not exceed 15,000 roubles (approximately 500 US dollars). It is understood also that Rosfinmonitoring has developed a draft Federal Law, which will allow for the performing of simplified identification in minimum/low risk situations, though the details are not known.

(ii) Legal arrangements from overseas

44. No further developments on this have been noted since the last progress report. In that report it was noted that the issue of further guidance on this had been referred to the EAG Working Group on Mutual Evaluations. It is unclear how this has been progressed. In the evaluation, the financial institutions spoken to had no experience in practice of legal arrangements.

45. Deficiency 4 identified in the MER (*A stronger link in the AML/CFT Law should be established between the need to ascertain whether a customer is acting on behalf of another person and the requirement to collect identification data. Further clarification in the AML/CFT Law on the*

meaning of the term “beneficiary” and the measures which financial institutions should take to comply with the measures would be helpful).

(i) Link in AML/CFT law between the need to ascertain whether a customer is acting on behalf of another person and the requirement to collect identification data

46. The AML/CFT law now makes it clear that identification is defined as the entirety of measures whereby the information about clients, their representatives and beneficiaries is established and the reliability of such information is confirmed (or verified). It is implied from this formulation that, as there may be a difference in practical situations as to whether the person presenting himself in a bank (or financial institution) is a client, representative or beneficiary, it is incumbent on the institution to establish whether he is acting for himself or on behalf of another person. Leaving aside whether the term “beneficiary” fully reflects the FATF definition of beneficial owner, the requirement as to what needs to be collected and confirmed is set out at item 1 of Article 7 on the Law. This aspect of the evaluators’ recommendation appears to have been fulfilled.

(ii) Further clarification of the term “beneficiary” and the measures which financial institutions should take to comply with the measures would be helpful

47. As noted, beneficiary is now defined in the law (though on the face of it, it is not fully in line with the FATF definition). The measures to be taken are in any event elaborated.

48. Deficiency 5 identified in the MER (*Russia should develop further guidance for financial institutions to enable appropriate identification of legal formations as the financial sector is expanding and becoming more international*). See the comments at Deficiency 3(ii) regarding legal arrangements. So far as legal persons are concerned, the law now clearly sets out the requirements in Article 7(1) of the AML/CFT Law. Moreover, as noted above, the Decree No. 967-R of 10 June 2010 requires that in the course of identification of legal persons, special attention should be paid to the founders (shareholders), structure and powers of the management bodies, and the size of authorised share capital or size of authorised funds and the value of the assets of a legal entity.

Effectiveness

49. Overall it is clear that the Russian Federation has taken several legislative and regulatory measures to address the identified deficiencies on R.5 and to bring some more consistency to CDD procedures, which is very welcome. In view of the apparent differences between the FATF definition of “beneficial owner” and the Russian use of the term “beneficiary”, the effectiveness of the identification of beneficial owners cannot be determined in a desk review, but will require detailed discussions on practices in this regard with a range of domestic organisations onsite.

50. It is unclear how much of the sanctioning shown in the tables 14-16 relates to Recommendation 5 breaches. Failure to comply with CDD requirements in practice is one of the violations of the AML/CFT legislation which can now be treated as a separate violation (or as a prerequisite for other violations). In future it is anticipated that the Russian Federation will be able to separate out the incidence of such sanctioned violations, but not for the purposes of this report. Notwithstanding this, the developments overall appear to be in the right direction, and should increase effectiveness of implementation generally. This will need to be confirmed in the follow up assessment.

Recommendation 10 - Record Keeping (rated LC in the MER)

51. Deficiency 1 identified in the MER (*Russia should close gaps in its legal system concerning data storage*) The assessment team found that financial institutions were generally complying with record keeping requirements. The main gap was that account files and business correspondence was only kept for 5 years from their creation and not from the termination of the business relationship. As noted above, the Government has issued new and more detailed Internal Control Rules in Decree No. 967-R, dated 10 June 2010. Whether the Decree constitutes Law or Regulation (all criteria are asterisked in R.10 and thus should be in Law or Regulation) would have to be revisited by the next evaluation team. As noted above, similar instruments as this Decree were not accepted as Law or Regulation in the last evaluation. The content of the Decree in Article 34 addresses business correspondence specifically and “other documents related to a customer’s activities”, which appears broadly to cover account files. These have to be kept for at least 5 years following the date of termination of the relationship with the customer. The transaction records that are kept still only relate to transactions subject to STRs and obligatory control.
52. Deficiency 2 identified in the MER (*Russia should revise the AML/CFT Law to include all the requisite requirements for information storage, even if this would duplicate the requirements established in other laws*). While Russian Governmental Decree No. 967-R, as noted above, now addresses the relevant data retention requirements for AML/CFT purposes, it remains unclear if the inconsistencies between it and the other legislation in this area have been addressed.

Effectiveness

53. Overall the evaluators were generally satisfied with the effectiveness of R.10 and did not find that the competent authorities had problems in accessing required information in a timely manner. The latest clarifications should further improve the effectiveness of the implementation of R.10.

Recommendation 13 – Suspicious transaction reporting (rated LC in the MER)

54. Deficiency 1 identified in the MER (*Russia should criminalise insider trading and market manipulation, so as to enable FIs to report STRs based on the suspicion that a transaction might involve funds generated by the required range of criminal offences*). This was marked as a deficiency also under Recommendation 13. As has been noted, this structural deficiency in R.1 has been remedied and therefore it is no longer an issue in terms of R.13.
55. Deficiency 2 identified in the MER (*Russia should finally introduce the obligation to report transaction attempts by one-time customers*). The AML/CFT Law does not explicitly refer to attempted transactions. Article 7 item 3 of the AML/CFT Law sets out the STR obligations. Its language envisages the obligation to report STRs by organisations where their employees form AML/CFT suspicions on the basis of the implementation of the internal control programmes set out in the legislation. Thus the STR obligation covers transactions (and presumably attempted transactions) within an existing business relationship. It does not appear to cover attempted transactions of occasional customers. The Russian authorities point to the fact that under A.7 item 13, credit organisations can refuse to conclude a contract for a bank account or refuse transactions and they should send information about such refusals to the empowered body (the FIU). The non-credit institutions and financial organisations have a similar right to refuse transactions etc, where no appropriate documents are submitted and such transactions also should be treated as suspicious and information about them (and the refusal to conduct them) should be

sent to Rosfinmonitoring. In any event, a refusal to proceed with a transaction or open an account does not cover all aspects of attempts. Occasional transactions can be aborted before the credit (or other financial) institution refuses to proceed. This issue still needs to be clearly addressed in the legislation. The relevant criteria in the Methodology covering attempts is an asterisked one. It may perhaps be simpler to clearly articulate in the AML/CFT Law that all attempted transactions are covered by the reporting obligation.

56. This deficiency has not been addressed. The identical deficiency was a factor underlying the rating for SR.IV as well, and thus it has not been addressed in that context by the Russian authorities either. The analysis of progress on the other aspects of SR.IV is set out below.
57. Deficiency 3 identified in the MER (*Russia should raise the awareness in the non-CI FIs, at a minimum through an enhanced training programme. The training should not only focus on the legal obligations, but also include the reasons for establishing an AML/CFT system, as well as examples, typologies and cases*). The replies to the 2nd progress report indicate that considerable efforts have been put into training generally by Rosfinmonitoring and the International Training and Methodological Centre of Financial Monitoring established by Rosfinmonitoring. For example, in six months during 2009, Rosfinmonitoring contributed to 88 educational events covering 3,000 employees of financial institutions. 21,600 'Post of Russia' employees were trained in the previous year. More recent training reported in the progress report has focused on the AML/CFT professional development of State employees. Decree 967-R of 2010 sets out the broad training requirements for the instruction of personnel by the institutions themselves. The Decree, in line with the recommendation in the report, specifically requires that training includes the study of AML/CFT typologies, as well as the legislation and rules and programmes for the implementation of internal controls. This recommendation appears, on a desk review, to have been treated very seriously and has been well addressed.

Effectiveness

58. The MER found overall that the STR regime was working well with respect to credit organisations, and though STR reports were increasing there was work still to be done in the non-credit institution sector, particularly in raising awareness and knowledge about the AML/CFT regime. STRs generally have risen in the years since the evaluation. In 2006 reports by credit institutions were 3,773,734 and reached 5,489,213 in 2007, though with a slight dip in 2009. By contrast, the STR reports from non-credit institutions, which were low at the time of the evaluation, have increased quite substantially (see Table 10). It is noteworthy that the securities market sent 52,175 STRs in 2010, compared with 2,376 in 2007 at the time of the evaluation.
59. In the securities market, STRs outstripped mandatory reports by a significant number in 2010 and that trend has continued in the first half of 2011. In other parts of the non-credit institution financial sector, however, mandatory control reports still dominate. Nonetheless, on a desk review, there is evidence of more effectiveness in the STR regime in the non-credit institution sector that there was at the time of the MER. The credit institutions are sending significantly more STRs than mandatory reports (see Table 9), which is also an encouraging sign. The figures for all parts of the financial sector appear to indicate that the work on training and awareness-raising is bearing fruit.

Special Recommendation IV– Suspicious transaction reporting related to terrorism (rated PC in the MER)

60. There were three deficiencies which contributed to the rating of PC. Two of them have already been discussed above: the problem relating to attempted suspicious transactions involving financing of terrorism remains; the shortcoming in the criminalisation of terrorist financing which limited the reporting obligation has been covered.
61. (Other) deficiency identified in the MER (*Russia should issue TF guidance to enhance the effectiveness of the system for filing TF STRs*). The evaluators had noted an absence of guidance on terrorist financing.
62. The Russian authorities pointed to Rosfinmonitoring's Order No. 103 of May 2009 on approval of the Recommendations concerning the Development of Criteria for Detecting and Identifying the Indicators of Unusual Transactions, as relevant in this context. In the Order, 69 specific types of transaction are set out as indicators of "extraordinary" transactions (i.e. which may be suspicious) for organisations to take into account in identifying suspicious transactions for both money laundering and terrorist financing. While these are largely generic they do provide some criteria for basic detection of TF STRs, while leaving flexibility for other transactions to be deemed suspicious in this context at the organisation's discretion.
63. The Bank of Russia have continued publishing recommendations for credit institutions concerning identification of transactions possibly related to terrorist financing and money laundering in 6 Letters between 27 February 2009 and 9 March 2011. Recently (2 August 2011) Rosfinmonitoring released an Information Notice No. 17, which included a set of criteria for monitoring and detecting transactions carried out by individuals which may possibly be linked to terrorist financing.

Effectiveness

64. During the period covered by the MER, terrorist financing STRs peaked in 2006 at 24,085. At the beginning of the period reviewed by the examiners – in 2004 – there were only 1,715 STRs related to terrorist financing, though the 2004 figure increased to 2,104 when the mandatory reports, which had elements of terrorist financing in them, were included. Despite the steep rise in STRs between 2004 and 2006, the MER concluded that there was a lack of effectiveness in the TF STR system. Interlocutors were asked about typical situations where financial institutions filed TF STRs. These frequently included references to UNSCR 1267 (which was not apt for SR.IV) or transfer of small amounts of money from a region with supposed terrorist activities. Thus there was little evidence of 'real' TF suspicion.
65. The 2nd progress report in Table 12 shows the figures for STR reports increasing in 2007 to 26,641 but then steadily declining in 2008 and 2009 and falling sharply in 2010 to 1,970 only (around the figure it was in 2004). It is not possible in a desk review to assess whether the quality of the FT STRs has improved. The number of TF investigations containing FIU material is given as 19 in 2008 and 15, and 22 in 2009 and 2010 respectively. It is difficult to draw meaningful conclusions from this on a desk review. Thus there remains a reserve on whether the TF STR reporting regime is effective until the issue can be more fully explored in the next onsite visit.

1.3. Main conclusions

66. The financial threshold in respect of A.174.1 should be reconsidered and it is strongly advised that it should be removed. Beyond this, on Recommendation 1, progress has been made in that the range of designated categories of predicate offence is now complete. An impressive number of cases were investigated in the period under review, though despite the threshold the large majority of convictions remains for self laundering as opposed to laundering by third parties. As at the time of the report, the Russian Federation is encouraged to make more use of the stand-alone money laundering offence, where the evidence permits, in the prosecution of serious laundering in major proceeds-generating cases.
67. The shortcomings in the criminalisation of TF have been partly addressed in that the theft of nuclear material is now covered. While the issue of corporate criminal liability has been revisited, as the Action Plan required, there is no progress on the issue of criminal liability of legal persons. The Russian Federation have no current plans to address this shortcoming as they consider their administrative sanctioning powers can achieve the same results in practice without the introduction of corporate criminal liability. The issue will need to be revisited in MONEYVAL's follow up evaluation. In any event, the offence as it stands appears, on a desk review, to be used effectively.
68. On Recommendation 5 and Customer Due Diligence generally there have been several significant and positive developments in the regulatory measures to address the shortcomings identified in the report. Several issues, while addressed in other normative documents, still have not been covered in Law or Regulation, as is required (and as those terms are interpreted by FATF). The major problem, which cannot be resolved in a desk review, is what is understood to be meant by the term "beneficiary", which is the term used in the English translation of the legislation, rather than "beneficial owner". The definition in the AML Law seems not to be entirely in line with the FATF definition, though the Russian Federation considers that the FATF's definition of "beneficial owner" is how the concept is understood in practice. This will also be fully analysed in the forthcoming follow up onsite visit.
69. The other issue which still needs attention by the Russian Federation is to ensure that all attempted money laundering and terrorist financing STRs are reported to the FIU. Presently there is no legal basis for reporting attempts by those involved in occasional transactions. Overall, though, the STR regime under R.13 appears to be working well in practice.
70. In conclusion, subject to what has been said above, the Russian Federation has responded positively to most of the points on the Action Plan in the last report with respect to the Core Recommendations. Steady progress is being made overall in the implementation of the AML/CFT regime.
71. In conclusion, as a result of the discussions held in the context of the examination of this second progress report, the Plenary was satisfied with the information provided and the progress being undertaken and thus approved the progress report and the analysis of the progress on the core Recommendations. Pursuant to Rule 41 of the Rules of procedure, the progress report will be subject of an update in two years from the adoption of this report unless the 4th onsite visit has taken place before then.

MONEYVAL Secretariat

ANNEX I

Article 174 Legalization (laundering) of monetary funds or other property acquired by other persons by illegal means

1. Carrying out of financial operations and other transactions with monetary funds or other property knowingly acquired by other persons by illegal means (except for the crimes stipulated by Articles 193, 194, 198, 199, 199.1 and 199.2 of this Code) in order to give legal pretence of possession, use and disposition of the above monetary funds or other property,-

- is punishable by a fine in the amount of up to 120 thousand roubles, or in the amount of the labor wage or other income of the convicted person for a period up to 1 year.

2. The same action, performed in large amount,-

- is punishable by a fine in the amount of from 100 thousand to 300 thousand roubles, or in the amount of the labor wage or other income of the convicted person for a period of from 1 year to 2 years, or by imprisonment for a term up to 4 years and with fine in the amount of up to 100 thousand roubles or in the amount of the labor wage or other income of the convicted person for a period of up to 6 months or without such and with restraint of liberty for a term up to 1 year or without such.

3. The actions specified in part two of this Article, performed:

a) by a group of persons by previous concert;

b) by a person with the use of his official position,-

- are punishable by imprisonment for a term of up to 8 years and with a fine in the amount of up to 1 million roubles or in the amount of the labor wage or other income of the convicted person for a period of up to 5 years or without such and with restraint of liberty for a term up to 2 year or without such.

4. Actions specified in parts two or three of the present article, if they are performed by an organized group;

- are punishable by imprisonment for a term of up to 10 years and with fine in the amount of up to 1 million roubles or in the amount of the labor wage or other income of the convicted person for a period of up to 5 years or without such and with restraint of liberty for a term up to 2 year or without such.

Note. Financial operations and other transactions with monetary funds or other property performed in large amount, in this Article and in Article 174.1 of the present Code, are deemed to be financial operations and other transactions with monetary funds or other property to the amount exceeding six million roubles.

Article 174.1 Legalization (laundering) of monetary funds or other property acquired by a persons as a result of crime commission.

1. Carrying out of financial operations and other transactions with monetary funds or other property acquired by a person as a result of the crime committed by him/her (except for the crimes stipulated by Articles 193, 194, 198, 199, 199.1 and 199.2 of this Code) in order to give legal pretence of possession, use and disposition of the above monetary funds or other property committed in large amount,-

- is punishable by a fine in the amount of from 100 to 300 thousand roubles, or in the amount of the labor wage or other income of the convicted person for a period from 1 year to 2 years, or by imprisonment for a term up to three years with a fine in the amount of up to 100 thousand roubles or in the amount of the labor wage or other income of the convicted person for a period up to 6 month or without such.

2. The actions specified in part one of this Article, performed:

a) by a group of persons by previous concert;

b) by a person with the use of his official position,-

- are punishable by imprisonment for a term up to 5 years with a fine in the amount of up to 500 thousand roubles, or in the amount of the labor wage or other income of the convicted person for a period of up to 3 years or without such.

3. Actions specified in parts one or two of the present Article, if they are performed by an organized group;

- are punishable by imprisonment for a term of up to 10 years and with fine in the amount of up to 1 million roubles or in the amount of the labor wage or other income of the convicted person for a period of up to 5 years or without such.

2. Information submitted by the Russian Federation for the 2nd progress report

2.1. General overview of the current situation and the developments since the last evaluation relevant in the AML/CFT field

Position at date of first progress report (23 September 2009)

Following the Third Round Evaluation of the Russian AML/CFT system completed in July 2008, FATF/MONEYVAL/EAG experts offered a number of recommendations to improve the existing laws and enforcement measures. Under FATF Regulations, the Russian Federation must take specific measures to ensure continued development of the system and present a progress report at the MONEYVAL Plenary in 2009, EAG Plenary in 2009 and FATF Plenary in 2010.

Implementing such measures Russia expects to achieve maximum compliance of its national AML/CFT system with FATF 40 + 9 Recommendations. This involves ongoing efforts that take into account the system performance, the needs for improvement detected in the course of law enforcement practice, as well as comments and recommendations made by FATF, MONEYVAL and EAG experts.

Immediately following the Third Round Evaluation, in July 2008 Rosfinmonitoring and other competent Russian authorities started developing an Action Plan to improve the Russian AML/CFT system taking into account recommendations contained in the FATF Report on the Russian Federation.

The prepared Action Plan was coordinated with the Council of Europe experts during consultations as part of the AML/CFT Interagency Commission meetings (St. Petersburg, 4-5 September 2008). After final revision, the Action Plan was endorsed by the Prime Minister of the Russian Federation (order of the Russian Government dated 10 November 2008, No. VP-P13-6722). The Russian Government took the implementation of the Action Plan under its special control.

The Action Plan spanned six months – from 1 January 2009 to 30 June 2009. The measures were implemented with the participation of all Russian authorities involved in the AML/CFT system, including the Federal Financial Monitoring Service (Rosfinmonitoring), Bank of Russia (BoR), General Prosecutor's Office (GPO), Ministry of Internal Affairs (MIA), Federal Security Service (FSS), Ministry of Finance (MoF), Ministry of Justice (MoJ), Federal Customs Service (FCS), Federal Service for Financial Markets (FSFM), and others. Representatives of financial institutions (FIs), professional communities, non-financial professions, and research institutions were engaged in the implementation of the Action Plan.

The Action Plan covered all aspects of the AML/CFT system and it included taking specific measures to:

- 1) improve legislation;
- 2) improve the activity of law enforcement agencies and FIU;
- 3) improve supervision over the sectors of FIs, non-financial professions, and non-profit organizations;
- 4) develop the system of personnel education and training for purposes of the AML/CFT purposes.

Furthermore, the Action Plan prescribed the authorities to analyze a number of the most topical AML/CFT issues and submit proposals for their solution to the Russian Government.

As of 30 June 2009, the Action Plan was implemented completely.

In our opinion we managed to achieve a number of important results that contribute to the effectiveness and performance of the overall AML/CFT system as well as raise the level of compliance of its certain elements with the FATF Recommendations.

The results are presented in this progress report.

It is noteworthy that implementation of this Action Plan was one of the main, but far from only, measures taken by the Russian Federation to implement the recommendations of evaluators team.. The results of other measures are also reflected in the progress report.

New developments since the adoption of the first progress report

Since June 2010 the Russian Federation has introduced AML/CFT-related amendments to:

- Federal Law No.115-FZ³ On Combating Legalization (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism (the AML/CFT Law);
- Law of the Russian Federation No.4015-1 On Organization of Insurance Business in the Russian Federation⁴;
- Federal Law No.395-1 On Banks and Banking Activity⁵;
- Federal Law No.244-FZ On State Regulation of Activities Related to Organization and Carrying Out Gambling, and Regarding Introduction of Amendments to Some Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation⁶;
- Russian Federation Code on Administrative Offences;
- Criminal Code of the Russian Federation;

These laws are generally aimed at further harmonization of the Russian legislation and bringing it in line with the FATF Recommendations. Amendments to the laws listed above are reflected in Annex 3 hereto.

The Russian Federation adopted Federal Law No.224-FZ of July 27, 2010 “On Combating the Misuse of Insider Information and Market Manipulation and on Amendments to Certain Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation⁷” which establishes and regulates in detail liability of institutions/organizations and individuals for insider trading.

Recommendation 5: In order to further improve the efficiency of the identification procedures Federal Law No.176-FZ “On Amendments to the Federal Law on Combating Legalization (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism and to the Russian Federation Code on Administrative Offences⁸” dated July 23, 2010 was adopted. The Law introduced the following new definitions: “beneficiary” and “identification”. Besides that, according to this Law the dates of birth of a customer, customer’s representative and beneficiary are added to the list of information to be ascertained for the identification purposes, which allows to avoid confusion in case of names matching.

³ Please see Appendix III

⁴ Please see Appendix III

⁵ Please see Appendix III

⁶ Please see Appendix III

⁷ Please see Appendix III

⁸ Please see Appendix III

The requirements concerning identification of beneficial owners have been expanded. In particular, Federal Law No.176-FZ directly establishes the obligation to identify beneficial owners. Decree of the RF Government No.967-r of 10.06.2010 requires that in the course of identification of legal entities special attention shall be paid to the following issues:

- a) the list of the legal entity's founders (shareholders);
- b) the structure of the legal entity's management bodies and their powers;
- c) the size of registered and paid-up authorized (share) capital or the size of authorized fund and the value of assets of a legal entity.

Shortcomings in the criminalization of terrorist financing specified in the MER of the Russian Federation are eliminated by a newly adopted Federal Law No.197-FZ dated 27.07.2010 "On Amendments to Certain Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation on Combating Legalization (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism"⁹ and by the aforementioned Federal Law No.224-FZ. Federal Law No.197-FZ envisages that terrorist financing includes financing of offences related to illegal actions with nuclear materials (Articles 220 and 221 of the Criminal Code).

In relation to the criminalization of ML Federal Law No.224-FZ imposes criminal liability for misuse of insider information (Article 185.3 "Market Manipulation" of the Criminal Code is revised and new Article 185.6 "Misuse of Insider Information" is added to the Criminal Code). Rosfinmonitoring issued Order No.203 dated 03.08.2010 (as amended by Order No.293 dated 01.11.2010)¹⁰, which established the requirements for AML/CFT training and education of the personnel of financial institutions engaged in transactions with monetary funds or other property. Federal Law No.176-FZ strengthens the administrative liability of institutions carrying out transactions with monetary funds or other property and/or their executive officers for failure to comply with the AML/CFT legislation, which involves either a warning or imposition of an administrative penalty on executive officers in the amount from ten thousand to fifty thousand rubles, or disqualification for a period of up to three years; on legal entities – imposition of administrative penalty in the amount from twenty thousand to one million rubles, or administrative suspension of activity for a period of up to ninety days. Thus, administrative liability of executive officers is enhanced both through increasing the amount of penalty and introduction of disqualification.

According to the aforementioned Law a new provision is added to Article 15.27 of the Code on Administrative Offences¹¹ which establishes administrative liability of an institution carrying out transactions with monetary funds or other property for failure to comply with the AML/CFT legislation, which has entailed laundering of criminal proceeds or financing of terrorism ascertained by valid court decision.

These amendments and modifications allow to cover all possible types of AML/CFT Law violations in terms of both organization and implementation of AML/CFT internal control including implementation of the internal control programs and procedures, fulfillment of the customer and beneficiary identification requirements, documenting and filing information with the designated authority as well as personnel training and education.

Besides that, Federal Law No.176-FZ empowers supervisory authorities operating in their respective sectors to take administrative actions against organizations and their executive officers. As a result all supervisory authorities now have direct powers to impose administrative sanctions (previously this was done through Rosfinmonitoring). This significantly improves effectiveness

⁹ Please see Appendix III

¹⁰ Please see Appendix III

¹¹ Please see Appendix III

¹² Please see Appendix III

and efficiency of supervision.

Pursuant to the provisions of Federal Law No.197-FZ dated 27.07.2010 “On Amendments to Certain Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation on Combating Legalization (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism” the list of persons involved in terrorist financing is publicly available now. In June 2011, it was published in the Government edition – the Rossiyskaya Gazeta. On one hand, such measure helps to prevent terrorist financing by informing a wide range of organizations and individuals about potential participant of this illegal activity. On the other hand, persons mistakenly included in this list may timely become aware of this and require Rosfinmonitoring to delist them. This significantly enhances the level of protection of their rights. There is already one precedent of this. Besides that, Federal Law No.197-FZ establishes the grounds for delisting persons who pose no more threat to public safety and security.

According to Federal Law No.162-FZ¹² the time period for which Rosfinmonitoring can independently freeze a FT-related suspicious transaction is increased from five to thirty days. Besides that, a mechanism of unlimited freezing of assets of persons suspected of terrorist financing was introduced. This mechanism is implemented according to a court decision made in course of civil legal proceedings initiated by application from Rosfinmonitoring.

The Government of the Russian Federation continuously pays particular attention to improvement of functioning of the AML/CFT system and operation of the law enforcement and supervisory agencies involved in. Special attention is focused on elimination of deficiencies revealed by the FATF experts/assessors in the course of mutual evaluation. To further enhance these efforts across the entire territory of the Russian Federation the Government of the Russian Federation and the Administration of the President of the Russian Federation tasked Rosfinmonitoring with arranging for and holding meetings with the government agencies operating within the AML/CFT system framework in all Federal Districts. Such meetings were held in March – April 2011 in a number of cities including those visited by the FATF, MONEYVAL and EAG experts/assessors (Moscow, St. Petersburg, Rostov-on-Don, Nizhny Novgorod, Yekaterinburg, Novosibirsk and Khabarovsk). The meetings were jointly chaired by the Head of Rosfinmonitoring and the Plenipotentiary Representatives of the RF President in respective Federal Districts. Officials and officers-in-field from almost all law-enforcement, supervisory bodies, prosecutor’s offices and the Central Bank participated in these meetings. The round tables were arranged at the meetings for field personnel to share the best practices. It is expected that such meetings will be held in future since they help to optimize the AML/CFT system function.

A number of other organizational and administrative measures have been taken. Details are provided in the report.

2.2. Core recommendations

Please indicate improvements which have been made in respect of the FATF Core Recommendations (Recommendations 1, 5, 10, 13; Special Recommendations II and IV) and the Recommended Action Plan (Appendix 1).

Recommendation 1 (Money Laundering offence)	
Rating: Largely compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should establish offences of insider trading and stock market manipulation.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>1. Federal draft law “On Amendments to the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation and the Criminal Procedure Code of the Russian Federation” (establishing punishment for offences causing considerable damage to rights and interests of natural and legal persons in the securities market), which envisages criminal liability for price manipulations in the securities market; passed by the State Duma of the Russian Federal Assembly in the first reading on 8 May 2009;</p> <p>2. Federal draft law “On Countering Illegitimate Use of Insider Information and Market Manipulations”, which establishes basic definitions and countering mechanism; passed by the State Duma of the Russian Federal Assembly in the first reading on 17 April 2009;</p> <p>3. Article 15.30 “Price manipulations in the securities market” has been introduced into the Code of Administrative Offences with Federal Law No. 9-FZ dated 9 February 2009. The Article establishes administrative liability for price manipulations in the securities market in the form of administrative fine in amount of RUB 3,000 to 5,000 for natural persons; RUB 30,000 to 50,000 fine or disqualification for a period of one to two years for officials; RUB 700,000 to 1,000,000 fine for legal persons.</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>Federal Law No. 224-FZ "On Countering Misuse of Insider Information and Market Manipulation, and Amending Certain Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation¹³" (adopted by the Russian Parliament July 2, 2010), which, among others, has introduced amendments to the Criminal Administrative Codes of the Russian Federation. Market manipulation and misuse of insider information have been classified as criminal offences.</p> <p>The said Federal Law has introduced amendments to Article 185.3 of the Criminal Code of RF "Market Manipulation" defining the term "market manipulation" as intentional dissemination through the media, including electronic, information and telecommunications networks of public use (including the Internet), of false information, or execution of transactions involving financial instruments, foreign currencies and (or) goods, or carrying out of any other willful acts prohibited by the Russian Law on Countering Misuse of Insider Information and Market Manipulation. Also, the Criminal Code of RF has been supplemented with Article 185.6 that provides for liability for misuse of insider information. Amendments to the Russian Code of Administrative Offences establishes liability for market manipulation in cases when such act does not constitute a criminal offence (Article 15.30 of the Administrative Code), and for violation of the law on countering</p>

¹³ Please see Appendix III

	misuse of insider information and market manipulation (Article 15.35 of the Administrative Code) .
(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives	

Recommendation 5 (Customer due diligence)	
I. Regarding financial institutions	
Rating: Partially compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should ensure that the following issues are covered by law or regulation: (i) a specific prohibition on maintaining existing accounts under fictitious names, (ii) a requirement to carry out CDD where there is a suspicion of money laundering, regardless of any exemptions, (iii) performance of CDD where there are doubts about the veracity of previously obtained customer identification data, (iv) a requirement to identify beneficial owners and in particular to establish the ultimate natural owner/controller and (v) requirements for conducting ongoing due diligence.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>As it has been already explained to the experts in the course of the Third Round of Evaluation, Russia has sufficient legal provisions that prohibit opening or maintaining existing accounts in fictitious names. Under Item 5 of Article 7 of Federal Law No. 115-FZ, credit institutions are prohibited from opening, and thus maintaining, accounts (deposits) registered in the name of anonymous holders, i.e. without the requisite identification documents presented by the natural or legal person that opens the account (makes a deposit).</p> <p>According to Item 1 of Article 7 of Federal Law No. 115-FZ, institutions that carry out transactions with monetary funds and other property must:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) identify the person being serviced by the institution carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property of the customer: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - in respect of natural persons – last name, first name and patronymic (unless the law or national custom requires otherwise), citizenship, details of the ID document, migration card, residence permit of a foreign national or person without citizenship, address of residence (registration), and taxpayer identification number (if any); in respect of legal persons – name, taxpayer identification number or code of foreign organization, state registration number, place of state registration and location address; 2) take justified measures that are available under the circumstances in order to determine and identify beneficial owners; 3) regularly update information about customers and beneficial owners. <p>In accordance with Item 2.5 of BoR Regulation No. 262-P, data provided in the customer’s questionnaire (dossier) may be recorded and stored by the credit institution in an electronic database to which credit institution employees conducting identification of the customer determination and identification of the beneficial owner can have real-time and permanent access for purposes of verifying customer or beneficial owner data.</p> <p>The recommendations on organizing legal risk and loss of business reputation risk</p>

	<p>management at credit institutions and banking groups adopted in BoR Letter No. 92-T.</p> <p>The recommendations on identifying persons who have been or will be granted the authority to manage a bank account (bank deposit), including the authority to manage the bank account (bank deposit) using remote banking service technologies (including Internet banking) have been adopted in Letter No. 115-T.</p> <p>Pursuant to the BoR Letter dated 20 January 2003 No. 7-T “On the Implementation of the Federal Law “On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism”, credit institutions received information on mandatory observance of the requirements set out in Federal Law No. 115-FZ to identify persons opening accounts and conducting transactions via accounts of all types.</p> <p>Federal Law dated 3 June 2009 No. 121-FZ was adopted; Part 2 of Article 3 of this Law amends item 1.1 of Article 7 of the Federal Law “On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism” (hereafter the AML/CFT Law). The amended AML/CFT Law states that “institutions carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property are not required to identify a private customer, determine and identify the beneficial owner when the amount of such transactions does not exceed RUB 15,000 or a foreign currency amount equivalent to RUB 15,000 (except where employees of institutions carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property have suspicions that this transaction is being carried out with the intent of money laundering or terrorist financing)”. The amendment has thereby introduced the requirement to perform CDD when there are suspicions of money laundering or terrorist financing regardless of any exceptions; additionally, the threshold amount of a transaction that requires no CDD for transactions that pose no ML/TF threat and raises no suspicions of involvement in ML/TF has been decreased from RUB 30,000 to RUB 15,000.</p> <p>The Federal draft law “On Amendments to the Federal Law ”On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism”, which has been submitted to the State Duma and is expected to be passed in September 2009, introduces the following new definitions:</p> <p>“beneficial owner” – proxy giver, grantor, principal, owner or other person on whose behalf and (or) in whose interests and (or) at whose expense the customer (customer’s representative) carries out transactions with monetary funds and other property;</p> <p>“identification” – a set of measures designed to determine the details of customers, their representatives and beneficial owners as required by AML/CFT Law, verify such details using originals of documents and (or) duly certified photocopies, and make sure that mentioned documents (or their duly certified photocopies) legitimately belong to the persons who presented them.</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring has developed recommendations for the implementation of the requirements of the AML/CFT Law to identify persons being served (customers) and beneficial owners (Informative Letter No. 2 dated 18 March 2009), which have been brought to the attention of the institutions concerned and published on the official Rosfinmonitoring website.</p> <p>The above mentioned recommendations must be obligatory incorporated by the institutions into their internal control rules, since the AML/CFT Law and Russian Government Decision No. 983-r require that recommendations issued by the FIU to be incorporated into internal control rules. The institutions concerned are currently completing the procedure of repeated reconciliation of internal control rules with</p>
--	---

	<p>the respective supervisory bodies.</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring Informative Letter No. 2 requires the institution mandatory completion a questionnaire (dossier) for the customer (beneficial owner) if the transaction raises suspicions that it is related to ML/TF or if the transaction is complex or unusual in its nature and has no obvious economic rationale or obvious legitimate purpose, and (or) if the transaction is carried out to evade mandatory control procedures stipulated in the AML/CFT Law. The dossier must contain documents obtained during CDD (including information about founders owning 5% of shares (interest) or more, as well as the ML/TF risk assessment of the customer/beneficial owner).</p> <p>Moreover, the institution must update the details of the customer or beneficial owner if the customer, beneficial owner or transaction have raised suspicion of their involvement in ML/TF or doubts about the veracity of data obtained previously.</p> <p>This requirement must be fulfilled in all cases regardless of any exceptions.</p> <p>Government Decision No. 983-r (item 10) requires paying special attention to the following when performing identification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) list of founders of (partners in) a legal person; b) structure of governing bodies of the legal person and their powers; c) the size of registered and paid-in authorized (share) capital or size of the authorized fund and value of assets. <p>Rosfinmonitoring Informative Letter No. 2 specifies this requirement obligating institutions to record the following details, among others, in the customer's dossier:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - on the governing bodies of the legal person (structure and membership of the legal person's governing bodies); - on the identities of founders (members) of a legal person – to be submitted for founders (members) owning five or more percent of shares (interest) in a legal person; <p>The information on the identities of founders (members) of a legal person includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - in respect of natural persons: last name, first name, patronymic (unless the law or national custom requires otherwise), as well as series (if any) and number of the ID document, and the taxpayer identification number (if any); - in respect of legal persons: name, taxpayer identification number or foreign organization code. <p>Rosfinmonitoring Informative Letter No. 2 requires updating the details of the customer or beneficial owner if the customer, beneficial owner or the transaction have raised suspicion of their involvement in ML/TF or doubts about the veracity of data obtained previously.</p> <p>Establishing business relations with the customer, the institution must assess the customer's ML/TF risk level and subsequently constantly monitor the customer's transactions in order to take into account changes in the level of risk.</p> <p>The institution must pay special attention to transactions carried out by a customer that has been assigned an increased risk level, including constant monitoring of this customer's transactions.</p> <p>The institution must update information obtained during CDD at least once every six months for customers in the heightened risk group and at least once a year for other customers, as well as revise the risk level as this information changes or in the following cases:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - a customer, beneficial owner or transaction raises suspicions of involvement in ML/TF; - the transaction is complex or unusual in its nature and has no obvious economic rationale or obvious legitimate purpose, of there are reasons to believe that the
--	--

	<p>transaction is carried out to evade mandatory control procedures stipulated in the AML/CFT Law (item 3.5).</p> <p>Thus, Rosfinmonitoring has established requirements for all FIs to conduct CDD when there are suspicions of customer involvement in ML/TF or doubts about previously obtained information, as well as requirements to constantly carry out due diligence.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>In order to further improve the identification mechanism Federal Law No.176-FZ “On Amendments to the Federal Law on Combating Legalization (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism and to the Russian Federation Code on Administrative Offences”¹⁴ dated July 23, 2010 was adopted. The Law introduced the following new definitions:</p> <p>“Customer – an individual or legal entity serviced by an institution carrying out with monetary funds and other property”;</p> <p>“Beneficiary – a person whose benefit the customer acts for, in particular under a brokerage, agency, commission and grant agreement, when carrying out transactions with funds or other assets”;</p> <p>“Identification - a set of measures for obtaining information on customers, their representatives, and beneficiaries required by the AML/CFT Law, and verification of such information using original documents and (or) duly certified copies thereof”;</p> <p>“Data (information) recording - obtaining and consolidation of data (information) in hard copy and/or in other forms in order to implement the AML/CFT Law”</p> <p>Besides that, pursuant to the said Law the date of birth of a customer is added to the list of information to be ascertained for the identification purposes, which allows to avoid confusion in case of names matching.</p> <p>At the same time we reaffirm that the procedure for opening accounts prescribed by the laws applicable before the AML/CFT Law came into force precluded the use of fictitious names. An account could be opened only upon ID presentation.</p> <p>According to Item 5.2 of Article 7 of the AMLCFT Law¹⁵ an institution is entitled to refuse to sign a bank account agreement with an individual or a legal entity if such customer has provided false documents.</p> <p>Federal Law No.176-FZ¹⁶ amended Item 5 of the AML/CFT Law which now prohibits entering into a bank (deposit) account agreement with a customer if such customer and/or his representative fail to provide documents required for identification of such customer and/or his representative in the situations specified in the AML/CFT Law.</p> <p>Decree of the RF Government No.967-r dated 10.06.2010¹⁷ (which substituted the RF Government Decree No.983-r) approved new Internal Control Rules Development Recommendations which prescribe to develop a customer and beneficiary identification program with due consideration for the provisions of the</p>

¹⁴ Please see Appendix III

¹⁵ Please see Appendix III

¹⁶ Please see Appendix III

¹⁷ Please see Appendix III

	<p>AML/CFT Law and Rosfinmonitoring requirements.</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring has developed such requirements (which are set forth in Rosfinmonitoring Informative Letter No.218) and approved them by Order No.5919 dated 17.02.2011.</p> <p>Item 2.10 of Order No.59 requires that an institution shall update the information on a customer, customer's representative and beneficiary if it has doubts about the veracity of data obtained previously in course of implementation of identification program or if a customer, beneficial owner or transactions have raised suspicion of their involvement in ML/TF.</p> <p>Besides that, Item 1.7 of the said Order establishes that institutions shall verify documents provided by a customer and/or customer's representative using the data contained in the unified state register of legal entities, the consolidated state register of foreign companies' representative offices accredited in the Russian Federation as well as using databases containing information on lost and/or invalid passports, passports of deceased natural persons and lost passport forms.</p> <p>An institution may also use other additional (subsidiary) information sources legitimately available to it.</p> <p>Difficulties in verification of information provided by a customer, undue delays in providing transaction (deal) information and documents by a customer, provision by a customer of information that cannot be verified or such verification is too costly are the criteria of suspicious transaction (deal) as established by Rosfinmonitoring's Order No.103 dated 08.05.200920. These criteria shall necessarily be incorporated in Internal Control Rules of an institution. In such situation an institution should file a STR with Rosfinmonitoring.</p> <p>Pursuant to Decree No.967-r and Rosfinmonitoring's Order No.59 when establishing business relationship with a customer an institution shall assess the customer's ML/TF risk level and subsequently conduct ongoing monitoring of the customer's transactions to detect any changes in the level of risk.</p> <p>An institution shall pay particular attention to transactions carried out by a customer that has been assigned an increased risk level, including through ongoing monitoring of such customer's transactions.</p> <p>An institution shall update information obtained during CDD at least once in every six months for high risk customers and at least once a year for other customers, and revise the risk level if such information changes or if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - a customer, beneficiary or transaction raises suspicions of involvement in ML/TF; - a transaction is of complex or unusual nature and has no obvious economic rationale or obvious legitimate purpose, or there are reasons to believe that the transaction is aimed at evading mandatory control procedures stipulated in the Federal Law. <p>Thus, Rosfinmonitoring has established requirements for all financial institutions to conduct CDD when there are suspicions of customer involvement in ML/TF or doubts about veracity of previously obtained information, as well as introduced more detailed and strict requirements to undertake ongoing CCD measures.</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL	<i>The following matters should be set out in law, regulation or other enforceable means: (i) requirement for non-CIs to understand the ownership or control</i>

¹⁸ Please see Appendix III

¹⁹ Please see Appendix III

²⁰ Please see Appendix III

Report	<i>structure of a legal person, (ii) requirement to ascertain the purpose and intended nature of the business relationship, (iii) requirements for the timing of verification of identification, and (iv) consequences of a failure to conduct CDD.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>Government Decision No. 983-r (item 10) requires paying special attention to the following when performing identification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) list of founders of (partners in) a legal person; b) structure of governing bodies of the legal person and their powers; c) the size of registered and paid-in authorized (share) capital or size of the authorized fund and value of assets. <p>Rosfinmonitoring Informative Letter No. 2 specifies this requirement obligating institutions to record the following details, among others, in the customer's dossier:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - on the governing bodies of the legal person (structure and membership of the legal person's governing bodies); - on the identities of founders (members) of a legal person – to be submitted for founders (members) owning five or more percent of shares (interest) in a legal person; <p>The information on the identities of founders (members) of a legal person includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - in respect of natural persons: last name, first name, patronymic (unless the law or national custom requires otherwise), as well as series (if any) and number of the ID document, and the taxpayer identification number (if any); - in respect of legal persons: name, taxpayer identification number or foreign organization code. <p>Rosfinmonitoring Informative Letter No. 2 requires to complete customer identification, determine and identify the beneficial owner within 7 working days from the day of the transaction or deal, if upon the establishment of long-term relations the nature of transactions and deals makes it impossible to perform CDD before their completion (taking into account measures available under the circumstances) in the scope required by Annexes 1-3 to Rosfinmonitoring Informative Letter No. 2.</p> <p>Moreover, the State Duma is considering the Federal draft law "On Amendments to Article 7 of the Federal Law "On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism" and Part Two of the Civil Code of the Russian Federation". It envisages the right for a credit institution to refuse to perform a bank account (deposit) contract with the customer in the following cases:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - there is information that the customer or customer's representative presented false or invalid documents upon opening the bank account (making the deposit); - there is information that the customer or customer's representative is involved in terrorist activities, where such information has been obtained in accordance with AML/CFT Law; - information is obtained in the process of documenting details (information) as required by internal control rules or internal control programs, which indicates a complex or unusual nature of the transaction, which has no obvious economic rationale or obvious legitimate purpose, or is not consistent with the types of the customer's business, or repeated transactions or deals the nature of which gives reasons to believe that their purpose is to evade the mandatory control procedure, as well as other circumstances giving reason to believe that transactions are carried out with the objective of money laundering of proceeds from crime or terrorist financing; - repeated failure to present documents requested by the credit institution or repeated submission of false or invalid documents by the customer or customer's representative within one year.

	<p>Thereby, the Federal draft law “On Amendments to the Federal Law ‘On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism”, expands conceptual framework the AML/CFT Law by defining such terms as “organizing internal control” and “implementing internal control”, and specifies the CDD requirements and requirement to identify beneficial owners. At the same time, the Federal draft law “On Amendments to Certain Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation Regarding Counteracting Legalization (Money Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Terrorist Financing” establishes administrative liability for both natural and legal persons for failure to organize and implement the internal control.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>Federal Law No.176-FZ dated July 23, 2010, which amended the AML/CFT Law, introduces the definition of “beneficiary” and specifies the information that shall be ascertained in respect of a beneficiary.</p> <p>It should be noted that Item 1 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT Law strengthens the requirements for identification of the beneficiaries.</p> <p>Decree of the RF Government No.967-r dated 10.06.2010 approved new Internal Control Rules Development Recommendations which prescribe to develop a customer and beneficiary identification program with due consideration for the provisions of the AML/CFT and Rosfinmonitoring requirements.</p> <p>Decree of the RF Government No.967-r of 10.06.2010 requires that in the course of identification of legal entities special attention shall be paid to the following issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the list of the legal entity’s founders (shareholders); b) the structure of the legal entity’s management bodies and their powers; c) the size of registered and paid-up authorized (share) capital or the size of authorized fund and the value of assets of a legal entity. <p>FSFM Order No.10-49/pz-n21 dated July 20, 2010 approved the “Regulation on Terms of Licensing and Professional Activities in the Securities Market” (hereinafter the Regulation). According to this Regulation complete information about ownership structure should be understood as disclosure of details of a person/group of persons who owns directly or indirectly at least five per cent of the licensee’s registered share capital. Such information on the said person or group of persons is deemed to be disclosed if such person (members of such group) is the Russian Federation, a constituent region of the Russian Federation, a municipality, a natural person, a legal entity that discloses information pursuant to Article 30 of Federal Law No.39-FZ dated April 22, 1996 “On Securities Market”²², or a non-profit organization (except for non-profit partnerships), or a foreign national or entity having a similar status.</p> <p>Complete information about the licensee’s ownership structure must be submitted to the FSFM in electronic form or in hard copy not later than 15 business days following the reporting quarter.</p> <p>Pursuant to Decree No.967-r the identification program shall envisage obtaining additional information on a customer in addition to that specified in Article 7 of the AML/CFT Law (e.g. codes of federal statistical monitoring forms which contain information on core activities of an individual/legal entity; founders (members) of a legal entity; structure of legal entity’s management bodies and their powers; size of registered and paid-up authorized (share) capital or size of authorized fund; the</p>

²¹ Please see Appendix III

²² Please see Appendix III

	<p>value of assets of a legal entity).</p> <p>Thus, pursuant to the aforementioned AML/CFT regulations an institution, in addition to the identification data, shall identify a customer's activity profile which determines the nature and expected purpose of business relationship.</p> <p>When establishing business relationship with a customer an institution shall assess and assign a ML/TF risk level (section 2.1 of Order No.№59) with consideration for transaction characteristics and types and conditions of activities that pose enhanced risk of customer involvement in ML/FT transactions and are included by an institution in its Internal Control Rules as required by the FATF Recommendation. After that an institution shall conduct ongoing monitoring of the customer's transactions to detect any changes in the level of risk.</p> <p>In this context an institution obtains data on types and conditions of customer's activities and records them in a customer file. Besides that, data on types and conditions of customer's activities are used by institutions for implementing the requirements set forth in Item 2 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT Law for documenting transactions which are inconsistent with the purposes of entity's activities specified in its constituent documents.</p> <p>Pursuant to Decree No.967-r procedure for detecting such inconsistent transactions (deals) shall be specified in the Internal Control Rules during the development of a program for detection of transactions subject to control.</p> <p>Besides that, the program for detecting transactions subject to control developed by an institution shall specify a procedure for examining background and purpose of such transactions (deals) and documenting the findings.</p> <p>According to Rosfinmonitoring Order No.103 if there is no reasonable link between a type and nature of customer's activity and a service such customer requests from an institution carrying out transactions with funds or other assets, as well as if a transaction is inconsistent with the purposes of entity's activities specified in its constituent documents, an institution shall file a STR with Rosfinmonitoring.</p>
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>Requirements relating to enhanced and simplified due diligence should be clarified, in particular the exemptions from conducting CDD in situations relating to occasional transactions. Further guidance to FIs on dealing with legal arrangements from overseas would be helpful.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>The requirements are specified in Rosfinmonitoring Informative Letter No. 2, with the customer ID requirements similar to both regular and occasional customers regardless of the type, nature, and amount of bargains or transactions. The exceptions set out in item 1.1 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT Law (both in the current wording and the one to come into force on 5 December 2009) apply only to occasional payments up to RUB 30,000 by natural persons as payment of utility and other social services bills (RUB 15,000 regardless of the nature of payment unless such transactions raise ML/TF suspicions in the AML/CFT Law wording to come into force on 5 December 2009).</p> <p>The issue of elaborating further guidance for FIs on dealing with foreign legal arrangements attracted due attention from EAG side. At Russia's initiative it was discussed at the 10th EAG Plenary in June 2009. Due to the complex nature of this matter, recognized by the FATF and MONEYVAL representatives, the EAG Working Group on Mutual Evaluations and Legal Issues was tasked with working out and reporting to the EAG within 2009 the approaches to settle the issue, acceptable to all EAG member states including Russia.</p>

<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>Federal Law No.121-FZ dated June 03, 2009 “On Amendments to Certain Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation amended Federal Law No.115-FZ23” by clarifying the requirements for identification of individuals and legal entities serviced by an institution. Simplified CDD procedure in respect of low-risk transactions (occasional payments not exceeding 15,000 rubles (approximately 500 US dollars)) carried out by customers has been clarified; the procedure now requires that identification of a customer must be carried out if there are any suspicions that the transaction is related to ML/FT.</p> <p>Federal Law No.176-FZ dated July 23, 2010 provides definition of the “identification” term.</p> <p>According to the said Law, identification is “a set of measures for obtaining information on customers, their representatives, and beneficiaries required by the Federal Law, and verification of such information using original documents and (or) duly certified copies thereof”.</p> <p>The clear definition set by the law will raise institutions’ awareness of their CDD obligations and, consequently, improve the efficiency of CDD measures.</p> <p>Besides that, Rosfinmonitoring has developed a draft Federal Law which will allow for performing simplified identification of customers and beneficiaries in situations when there is a minimum risk that transaction is conducted for the ML/FT purposes.</p>
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>A stronger link in the AML/CFT Law should be established between the need to ascertain whether a customer is acting on behalf of another person and the requirement to collect identification data. Further clarification in the AML/CFT Law on the meaning of the term “beneficiary” and the measures which financial institutions should take to comply with the measures would be helpful.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>As it has been pointed out the State Duma is considering the Federal draft law “On Amendments to the Federal Law “On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism”, which expands conceptual framework of the AML/CFT Law by explanation such term as “beneficial owner”. “Beneficial owner” – proxy giver, grantor, principal, owner or other person on whose behalf and (or) in whose interests and (or) at whose expense the customer (customer’s representative) carries out transactions with monetary funds and other property.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>Federal Law No.176-FZ dated July 23, 2010, which amended the AML/CFT Law, introduces the definition of “beneficiary” and specifies the information that shall be ascertained in respect of a beneficiary.</p> <p>It should be noted that Item 1 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT Law strengthens the requirements for identification of beneficiaries.</p> <p>Decree of the RF Government No.967-r of 10.06.2010 (Item 11) requires that in the course of identification of legal entities special attention shall be paid to the following issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the list of the legal entity’s founders (shareholders); b) the structure of the legal entity’s management bodies and their powers; c) the size of registered and paid-up authorized (share) capital or the size of authorized fund and the value of assets of a legal entity. <p>Besides that, pursuant to Section 1.2 of Regulation of the Bank of Russia No.262-P a credit institution is obliged to determine and identify the beneficiary, i.e. a person whose benefit the customer acts for, in particular under a brokerage, agency,</p>

²³ Please see Appendix III

	<p>commission and grant agreement, when carrying out transactions with funds or other assets.</p> <p>FSFM Order No.10-49/pz-n dated July 20, 2010 approved the Regulation on Terms of Licensing and Professional Activities in the Securities Market” (hereinafter the Regulation). According to this Regulation complete information about ownership structure should be understood as disclosure of details of a person/group of persons who owns directly or indirectly at least five per cent of the licensee’s registered share capital. Such information on the said person or group of persons is deemed to be disclosed if such person (members of such group) is the Russian Federation, a constituent region of the Russian Federation, a municipality, a natural person, a legal entity that discloses information pursuant to Article 30 of Federal Law No.39-FZ dated April 22, 1996 “On Securities Market”, or a non-profit organization (except non-profit partnerships), or a foreign national or entity having a similar status.</p> <p>Complete information about the licensee’s ownership structure must be submitted to the FSFM in electronic form or in hard copy not later than 15 business days following the reporting quarter.</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should develop further guidance for financial institutions to enable appropriate identification of legal formations as the financial sector is expanding and becoming more internalized.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	The issue of elaborating further guidance for FIs on dealing with foreign legal arrangements and formations attracted due attention from EAG side. At Russia’s initiative it was discussed at the 10th EAG Plenary in June 2009. Due to the complex nature of this matter, recognized by the FATF and MONEYVAL representatives, the EAG Working Group on Mutual Evaluations and Legal Issues was tasked with working out and reporting to the EAG within 2009 the approaches to settle the issue, acceptable to all EAG member states including Russia.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>Federal Law No.121-FZ dated June 03, 2009 “On Amendments to Certain Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation amended Federal Law No.115-FZ” by clarifying the requirements for identification of individuals and legal entities serviced by an institution. Simplified CDD procedure in respect of low-risk transactions (occasional payments not exceeding 15,000 rubles (approximately 500 US dollars)) carried out by customers has been clarified; the procedure now requires that identification of a customer must be carried out if there are any suspicions that the transaction is related to ML/FT.</p> <p>Federal Law No.176-FZ dated July 23, 2010 provides definition of the “identification” term.</p> <p>According to the said Law, identification is “a set of measures for obtaining information on customers, their representatives, and beneficiaries required by the Federal Law, and verification of such information using original documents and (or) duly certified copies thereof”.</p> <p>The clear definition set by the law will raise institutions’ awareness of their CDD obligations and, consequently, improve the efficiency of CDD measures.</p> <p>Besides that, Rosfinmonitoring has developed a draft Federal Law which will allow for performing simplified identification of customers and beneficiaries in situations when there is a minimum risk that transaction is conducted for the ML/FT purposes.</p>
(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws,	

<p>draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives</p>	
<p>Recommendation 5 (Customer due diligence) II. Regarding DNFBP²⁴</p>	
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>Russia should review the AML/CFT regime as it applies to DNFBPs and ensure that all of the relevant criteria are addressed. For casinos, real estate agents and dealers in precious metals and stones, the basic recommendations set out earlier in this report in relation to Recommendations 5, 6 and 8-11 are applicable, as these entities are subject to the full effect of the AML/CFT Law in Russia.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>Russia has analyzed the application of the AML/CFT regime to all designated non-financial businesses and professions (DNFBPs). Russia has established unified AML/CFT requirements for both FIs and the majority of DNFBPs – for casinos and gambling outlets, jewellery businesses, real estate agents, and pawnshops. The relevant measures taken to eliminate the deficiencies detected and implement experts’ recommendations to improve the AML/CFT system in order to ensure compliance with Recommendations 5 and 11 fully apply to the aforesaid types of DNFBPs. Rosfinmonitoring has elaborated recommendations for the implementation of the requirements of the AML/CFT Law to identify persons being served (customers) and beneficial owners (Informative Letter No. 2 dated 18 March 2009), which have been brought to the attention of the institutions concerned and published on the official Rosfinmonitoring website. The said recommendations must mandatory be incorporated by the institutions into their internal control rules, since the AML/CFT Law and the Russian Government Decision No. 983-r require that recommendations issued by the FIU should be taken into account. Rosfinmonitoring has elaborated draft law which is currently being considered by the State Duma. The draft law contains amendments to Article 7.1 of the AML/CFT Law, which fully extend the requirements of Article 7 to lawyers, notaries and auditors (in terms of developing internal control rules and procedures, identifying customers and beneficial owners, recording the necessary data, and reporting information to the competent authority).</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>Decree of the RF Government No.967-r dated 10.06.2010 approved new Internal Control Rules Development Recommendations which prescribe to develop a customer and beneficiary identification program with due consideration for the provisions of the AML/CFT Law and Rosfinmonitoring requirements. Rosfinmonitoring has developed the requirements for identification of customers and beneficiaries, inter alia, with consideration for a level (degree) of risk of customer involvement in ML/FT transactions and approved them by Order No.59 dated 17.02.2011. It should be noted that Russia established uniform standard AML/CFT requirements for both financial institutions and designated non-financial businesses and professions.</p>

²⁴ i.e. part of Recommendation 12.

<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>In relation to lawyers, accountants and notaries, specific provisions to address all of the relevant criteria in Recommendations 5, 6 and 8-11 should be developed. In particular, extending the CDD requirements to include their full range in the legislation. Russia should also take steps to examine ways of increasing the effectiveness of compliance with AML/CFT requirements in these sectors.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>The aforesaid Federal draft law “On Amendments to the Federal Law “On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism”, which is being examined by the State Duma, would extent all CDD requirements to lawyers, notaries, and persons providing legal and accounting services.</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring has elaborated recommendations for the implementation of the requirements of the AML/CFT Law to identify persons being served (customers) and beneficial owners (Informative Letter No. 2 dated 18 March 2009), which have been brought to the attention of the institutions concerned and published on the official Rosfinmonitoring website.</p> <p>The said recommendations must mandatory be incorporated by the institutions into their internal control rules, since the AML/CFT Law and the Russian Government Decision No. 983-r require that recommendations issued by the FIU should be taken into account.</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring identification recommendations equally apply to lawyers, notaries and accountants.</p> <p>Besides agreements on cooperation in AML/CFT sphere between Rosfinmonitoring and Federal Lawyers and Notaries Chambers, both Chambers have published on their websites recommendations for lawyers and notaries on fulfilment of the requirements of AML/CFT legislation in order to increase the effectiveness on prevention, detection and suppression of ML and TF cases and explain the procedure of information reporting to Rosfinmonitoring.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>In order to further improve the identification mechanism Federal Law No.176-FZ “On Amendments to the Federal Law on Combating Legalization (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism and to the Russian Federation Code on Administrative Offences” dated July 23, 2010 was adopted. The Law introduced the following new definitions:</p> <p>“Customer – an individual or legal entity serviced by an institution carrying out with monetary funds and other property”;</p> <p>“Beneficiary – a person whose benefit the customer acts for, in particular under a brokerage, agency, commission and grant agreement, when carrying out transactions with funds or other assets”;</p> <p>“Identification - a set of measures for obtaining information on customers, their representatives, and beneficiaries required by the AML/CFT Law, and verification of such information using original documents and (or) duly certified copies thereof”;</p> <p>“Data (information) recording - obtaining and consolidation of data (information) in hard copy and/or in other forms in order to implement the AML/CFT Law”</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring has developed the requirements for identification of customers and beneficiaries, inter alia, with consideration for a level (degree) of risk of customer involvement in ML/FT transactions and approved them by Order No.59 dated 17.02.2011.</p> <p>Russia established uniform standard AML/CFT requirements for both financial institutions and designated non-financial businesses and professions.</p>

Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>With a diverse range of supervisory bodies (Rosfinmonitoring, the Assay Chamber, the Federal Notaries Chamber and the Federal Lawyers Chamber) Russia should take steps to co-ordinate the overall approach in this area.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>The AML/CFT legislation designates Rosfinmonitoring as the body responsible for coordinating of the activity of other bodies with AML/CFT powers.</p> <p>In practice, coordination of AML/CFT activity of supervisory bodies takes place parting the framework of the AML/CFT Interagency Commission (hereafter – the Interagency Commission) set up by Ministry of Finance Order dated 25 October 2005 No. 132n. It includes representatives of state authorities and, via the Consultative Board at the Interagency Commission, consisting of representatives of self-regulating organizations (SROs).</p> <p>The Interagency Commission is a permanent coordinating body set up to ensure coordinated efforts by federal executive bodies concerned and the Bank of Russia in the sphere of AML/CFT.</p> <p>The Interagency Commission addresses the topical issues of interaction, including information exchange, works out a coordinated position on issues of international cooperation in AML/CFT, discusses proposed improvements to the AML/CFT system, examines the relevant draft laws and other interagency acts.</p> <p>The Interagency Commission has set up special working groups to prepare proposals relating to AML/CFT issues. In particular, in 2008 – 1half 2009 the Working Group on Legal Issues, consisting of representatives from Rosfinmonitoring, BoR, FSFM, FISS, Roscomnadzor, Ministry of Finance, the Assay Chamber and a number of SROs, elaborated a number of draft laws aimed at improvement of the AML/CFT system. Mentioned draft laws take into account Recommendations contained in the 3rd round evaluation report on the Russian Federation and then were discussed by the Interagency Commission. Recommendations on criteria and indicators of unusual transactions were developed jointly with supervisory bodies.</p> <p>Moreover, Rosfinmonitoring signed cooperation agreements with the relevant supervisory bodies (including with the Assay Chamber, the Federal Notaries Chamber, the Federal Lawyers Chamber).</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring constantly disseminates information relating to the highest-risk institutions in terms of ML/TF for purposes of inspections, including unplanned inspections.</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>Federal Law No.176-FZ dated 23.07.2010 empowers all supervisory authorities to consider administrative offences covered by Article 15.27 of the Code on Administrative Offences. Rosfinmonitoring coordinates implementation of these powers by the supervisory authorities through the Interagency AML/CFT Committee and the Advisory Council established with it (meetings with the representatives of the private sector and self-regulatory organizations) by providing methodological guidelines and actively participating in the meetings of the supervisory authorities with the supervised institutions and their associations. Besides that, Rosfinmonitoring regularly disseminate briefing materials on institutions posing high ML/FT risk for arranging for their inspections/audits including unscheduled ones.</p> <p>Important role in coordination of the DNFBP identification and CDD efforts is played by the requirements set by Rosfinmonitoring (Order No.59 dated 17.02.2011) for identification of beneficiaries' customers which apply to both financial institutions and designated non-financial businesses and professions.</p>

Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should also examine the use of cash in the real estate sector in order to be sure that there are no important gaps in the AML/CFT system as it relates to this sector.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>In Russia, cash settlement between legal persons as well as between a legal person and a natural person conducts entrepreneurship without establishing a legal person (including real estate agents) is strictly regulated in terms of the possible transaction amount (not to exceeding RUB 100,000 under each contract between mentioned persons) and use of cash for a specific purpose (BoR Directive dated 20 June 2007 No. 1843-U). A limit applies to cash amount that may be stored at the cashier desk of a legal person, which is controlled by a credit institution.</p> <p>Non-cash payments between legal persons via accounts opened with credit institutions is a mandatory procedure. (BoR Provision No. 2-P).</p> <p>This procedure considerably reduces the possibility for a real estate agents to use cash payments. This is confirmed by the number of real estate transaction reports submitted by banks within the framework of mandatory control. For customers of institutions performing as real estate agents, payments via credit institutions are more reliable and minimize the risk of fraudulent schemes (payment using counterfeit money, customer's deceit).</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>Number of STR filed by the real estate agents decreases which is caused by the impact of the financial crisis despite the increased number of real estate deals demonstrated by the mandatory control statistics.</p> <p>Partly, it may be caused by stabilization of economic situation and increasing demand of population for real estate as one of the most attractive investment facilities.</p> <p>However, reduction of number of reports filed by real estate agents under their internal control programs is caused by enhanced AML/CFT internal control procedures implemented by real estate agents in response to enhanced supervision exercised by Rosfinmonitoring and consequently by reduction of number of shady transactions or customers during "the lull" in the real estate market, etc.</p>
(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft "other enforceable means" and other relevant initiatives	

Recommendation 10 (Record keeping)	
I. Regarding Financial Institutions	
Rating: Largely compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should close gaps in its legal system concerning data storage.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	Item 4 of Article 7 of AML/CFT Law contains a direct instruction that all documents relating to monetary funds and other property transactions as well as information required for identification must be stored for five years. This period commences from the day of termination of relations with the customer.

Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>As already mentioned, the Government issued new and more detailed Internal Control Rules Development Recommendations (Decree No.967-r), which pursuant to Section 7 of Article 7 of AML/CFT Law shall be take into consideration by the institutions when developing such rules and procedures.</p> <p>In particular, Decree No.967-r prescribes to develop a program of retention of information and documents obtained in course of implementation of the AML/CFT Law and the internal rules and to ensure retention of the following documents for at least five years from the date of termination of relationship with a customer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) documents containing information on organization’s customer, beneficiary and other parties to a transaction and other documents related to customer’s operations (including business correspondence and other documents at the discretion of the organization); b) documents related to relevant transactions (deals) conducted by customers and transaction (deal) reports; c) findings obtained as a result of analysis of the grounds and purposes of detected unusual transactions (deals); d) other documents obtained as a result of implementation of the internal control rules and programs. <p>The information retention program must ensure that the information and documents are kept in such a way so as to provide access thereto in a timely manner for both Rosfinmonitoring and other public authorities (in accordance with their competence) in the cases envisaged by the legislation of the Russian Federation.</p> <p>Besides that, Rosfinmonitoing Order No.245 dated 05.10.2009, which regulates the procedure of filing reports with Rosfinmonitoring, requires institutions to keep documents supporting the information on transactions with funds or other assets and also documents evidencing submission of reports by institutions (persons) to Rosfinmonitoring for at least five years from the date of termination of relationship with a customer.</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<p><i>Russia should revise the AML/CFT Law to include all the requisite requirements for information storage, even if this would duplicate the requirements established in other laws.</i></p>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>Russia has analyzed the provisions of the current legislation concerning the requirements for information storage. The process of implementing significant amendments to AML/CFT legislation is currently underway. The need for amendments that would duplicate other laws in which relevant requirements for information storage are set out will be considered additionally.</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>Please see information above.</p>
(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives	

Recommendation 10 (Record keeping) II. Regarding DNFBP²⁵	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should review the AML/CFT regime as it applies to DNFBPs and ensure that all of the relevant criteria are addressed. For casinos, real estate agents and dealers in precious metals and stones, the basic recommendations set out earlier in this report in relation to Recommendations 5, 6 and 8-11 are applicable, as these entities are subject to the full effect of the AML/CFT Law in Russia.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>Russia has analyzed the application of the AML/CFT regime to all designated non-financial businesses and professions (DNFBPs).</p> <p>Russia has established unified AML/CFT requirements for both FIs and the majority of DNFBPs – for casinos and gambling outlets, jewellery businesses, real estate agents, and pawnshops.</p> <p>The relevant measures taken to eliminate the deficiencies detected and implement experts' recommendations to improve the AML/CFT system in order to ensure compliance with Recommendations 5 and 11 fully apply to the aforesaid types of DNFBPs.</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring has elaborated recommendations for the implementation of the requirements of the AML/CFT Law to keep records (Informative Letter No. 2 dated 18 March 2009), which have been brought to the attention of the institutions concerned and published on the official Rosfinmonitoring website.</p> <p>The said recommendations must mandatory be incorporated by the institutions into their internal control rules, since the AML/CFT Law and the Russian Government Decision No. 983-r require that recommendations issued by the FIU should be taken into account.</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring has elaborated draft law which is currently being considered by the State Duma. The draft law contains amendments to Article 7.1 of the AML/CFT Law, which fully extend the requirements of Article 7 to lawyers, notaries and auditors (in terms of developing internal control rules and procedures, identifying customers and beneficial owners, recording the necessary data, and reporting information to the competent authority).</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	Russia established unified standard AML/CFT requirements for both financial institutions and designated non-financial businesses and professions which are specified in detail in RF Government Decree No.967-r dated 10.06.2010 "On Approval of Recommendations for Development of AML/CFT Internal Control Rules by Institutions Engaged in Transactions with Funds or Other Property" and in Rosfinmonitoring's Order No.59 dated 17.02.2011 "On Approval of Regulation on Identification of Customers and Beneficiaries, inter alia, with Consideration for a Level (Degree) of Risk of Customer Involvement in ML/FT Transactions".
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>In relation to lawyers, accountants and notaries, specific provisions to address all of the relevant criteria in Recommendations 5, 6 and 8-11 should be developed. In particular, extending the CDD requirements to include their full range in the legislation. Russia should also take steps to examine ways of increasing the effectiveness of compliance with AML/CFT requirements in these sectors.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of	The above mentioned Federal draft law "On Amendments to the Federal Law "On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism", which is being examined by the State Duma, would extent all CDD requirements to lawyers, notaries, and persons providing legal and accounting

²⁵ i.e. part of Recommendation 12.

the report	<p>services.</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring has elaborated recommendations for the implementation of the requirements of the AML/CFT Law to keep records (Informative Letter No. 2 dated 18 March 2009), which have been brought to the attention of the institutions concerned and published on the official Rosfinmonitoring website.</p> <p>The said recommendations must mandatory be incorporated by the institutions into their internal control rules, since the AML/CFT Law and the Russian Government Decision No. 983-r require that recommendations issued by the FIU should be taken into account.</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring record-keeping recommendations equally apply to lawyers, notaries and accountants.</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	Russia established uniform standard AML/CFT requirements for both financial institutions and designated non-financial businesses and professions.
(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives	

Recommendation 13 (Suspicious transaction reporting)	
I. Regarding Financial Institutions	
Rating: Largely compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should criminalize insider trading and market manipulation, so as to enable FIs to report STRs based on the suspicion that a transaction might involve funds generated by the required range of criminal offences.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>Federal draft law “On Amendments to the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation and the Criminal Procedure Code of the Russian Federation” (establishing punishment for offences causing considerable damage to rights and interests of natural and legal persons in the securities market), which envisages criminal liability for price manipulations in the securities market; passed by the State Duma of the Russian Federal Assembly in the first reading on 8 May 2008.</p> <p>Additionally, in order to implement recommendations, including the ones listed in this item, the “Recommendations for developing criteria for detecting and identifying signs of unusual transactions” have been elaborated and adopted by Rosfinmonitoring’s order No. 103 dated 8 May 2009.</p> <p>Meanwhile, the Russian Federation Financial Market Development Strategy till 2020, adopted by the RF Government Resolution dated 29 December 2008 No. 2043-r, establishes mandatory requirements for exchanges to monitor non-standard futures transactions and broadens the powers of exchanges to control price manipulations and insider trading in order to ensure timely detection of price manipulations and insider trading in the futures market.</p>
Measures taken to implement the	Federal Law No.224-FZ dated 27.07.2010 On Combating Misuse of Insider Information and Market Manipulation and on Amendments to Certain Legislative

<p>recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>Acts of the Russian Federation (adopted by the State Duma of the RF Federal Assembly on 02.07.2010) introduced amendments to Article 185.3 “Market Manipulation” of the RF Criminal Code, according to which “market manipulation” now means willful dissemination of information that is known to be false through mass media, including electronic mass media, public information and telecommunication networks (including the Internet) or carrying out transactions with financial instruments, foreign currency and (or) products or undertaking other willful actions prohibited by the RF anti-insider trading and market manipulation legislation. Besides that, Article 185.6 that envisages liability for misuse of insider information has been added to the RF Criminal Code. Amendments have also been introduced to the RF Code on Administrative Offences and establish (administrative) liability for market manipulation if such action does not constitute a crime (Article 15.30 of the Code on Administrative Offences) as well as for breach of the anti-insider trading and market manipulation legislation (Article 15.35 of the Code on Administrative Offences).</p> <p>Federal Law No.224-FZ dated 27.07.2010 “On Combating Misuse of Insider Information and Market Manipulation and on Amendments to Certain Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation” establishes, among other things, that in order to prevent, detect and deter misuse of insider information and market manipulation a trade organizer shall exercise control over transactions with financial instruments, foreign currency and (or) products carried out at stock and commodity exchanges. When exercising such control a trade organizer shall:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) establish rules of prevention, detection and deterrence of insider trading and (or) market manipulation including criteria of transactions (bids) showing the signs of insider trading and (or) market manipulation (hereinafter irregular transactions (bids)); 2) examine irregular transactions (bids) to determine whether or not they involve misuse of insider information and (or) market manipulation. A trade organizer is authorized, subject to agreement with a self-regulatory organization that integrates trading participants, to empower such self-regulatory organization to examine irregular transactions (bids) carried out (made) by its members for revealing possible insider trading and (or) market manipulation. 3) notify the federal financial markets authority about all irregular transactions (bids) detected during each trading day and on results of their examination. <p>When exercising control a trade organizer or a self-regulatory organization acting on its behalf are entitled to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) request trading participants and their employees to provide the required documents (including those received by a bidder from his customer), information and oral and written explanations; 2) take other actions provided for in the internal documents of a trade organizer and aimed at prevention, detection and deterrence of breaches of the Federal Law and associated regulations. <p>Trading participants who have the grounds to believe that a transaction carried out on their behalf but at customer’s expense or for and on behalf of a customer involves insider trading and (or) market manipulation are obliged to report such transaction to the federal financial market authority.</p>
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>Russia should finally introduce the obligation to report transaction attempts by one-time customers.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported</p>	<p>The State Duma is considering the Federal draft law “On Amendments to the</p>

<p>as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>Federal Law “On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism”, which envisages the right of institutions carrying out transactions with monetary funds or other property to deny the customer’s transaction order in the following cases:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - failure to present documents needed to record data (information) in cases established by the AML/CFT Law; - there is information or other circumstances giving reasons to believe that a transaction is carried out with the purpose of money laundering or terrorist financing. Under item 13 of Article 7 of AML/CFT Law, information about a denied transaction must be reported to Rosfinmonitoring.
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>According to Item 3 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT if the employees of an institution carrying out transactions with funds or other assets have got suspicion that any transactions are performed for the money laundering or terrorist financing purposes, such institution is obliged to report these transactions to the designate authority, irrespective of whether or not they refer to transactions that are subject to mandatory control and regardless of amount of and parties to such transactions.</p> <p>Besides that, pursuant to Items 11 and 13 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT Law institutions engaged in transactions with funds or other assets have the right to reject a customer’s order to perform a transaction, except for crediting funds transferred to an natural or legal persons’ account, if no documents required for recording information on such transaction as prescribed by this Federal Law are provided.</p> <p>According to Item 13 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT Law credit institutions are obliged to document and submit to the designated authority information on their refusal, on the grounds specified in this Article, to enter into a bank (deposit) account agreement with a natural or legal person and (or) to carry out a transaction not later than one business day following such refusal and in a manner specified by the RF Central Bank in coordination with the RF Government.</p>
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>Russia should raise the awareness in the non-CI FIs, at a minimum through an enhanced training programme. The training should not only focus on the legal obligations, but also include the reasons for establishing an AML/CFT system, as well as examples, typologies and cases.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>“The Provision on the requirements for the training and education of personnel for institutions carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property in order to prevent money laundering and terrorist financing” was adopted by Rosfinmonitoring’s Order dated 1 November 2008 No. 256 (agreed with the FSFM).</p> <p>In implementing this provision, Russia has broadened considerably the coverage of FI personnel in educational and training programs, including using the resources of the International Training and Methodological Centre of Financial Monitoring established by Rosfinmonitoring.</p> <p>According to this Provision, the compliance officers and other employees of the institution (including managers) must undergo mandatory AML/CFT training. Training should be conducted in different forms – in-house training (directly at the institution) at the stage of recruitment and periodical training necessitated by changes in laws or internal control procedures in place at the institution. Training is provided according to the Standard Training Program adopted by Rosfinmonitoring. As a separate subject the Program offers lectures on matters of detecting unusual transactions and deals, case studies, money laundering typologies and specific ML/TF schemes and methods. In particular, in six months of 2009 Rosfinmonitoring contributed to 88 educational events that provided training for</p>

	<p>over 3,000 employees of institutions; Roscomnadzor held 128 trainings and provided training for more than 21,600 Post of Russia employees in 2008. Meanwhile, the International Training and Methodological Centre of Financial Monitoring has carried out the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Standard Training Program for employees of institutions carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property adopted by Rosfinmonitoring on 28 April 2009, and Methodological Recommendations on AML/CFT training are being currently implemented; - a training system for employees of institutions carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property, which covers all federal districts of Russia with unified centralized database is being created; - training for DNFBPs has been singled out into a separate course of educational and methodological work at the International Training and Methodological Centre of Financial Monitoring.
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>In order to inform the concerned employees of institutions about the AML/CFT policy and procedure, Rosfinmonitoring issued Order No.256 dated 01.11.2008, which establishes the requirements for the AML/CFT training and education of personnel of institutions involved in transactions with funds and other assets in order to prevent money laundering and terrorist financing.</p> <p>New revision of this Order (Order No.203 of 03.08.2010) was put into effect in October 2010.</p> <p>In particular, Order No.203, apart from the aforementioned provisions contained in Order no.256, requires that the personnel AML/CFT education and training program (hereinafter the Training Program) shall be developed by institutions pursuant to Decree No.967-r and shall include studying of money laundering and terrorist financing standard patterns and typologies as well as studying of criteria and indicators of unusual transactions.</p> <p>In compliance with the approved Consolidated List of Training Events of Roscomnadzor (dated February 1, 2010) intended for the professional development of Roscomnadzor employees in 2010, two training workshops were held in May and October 2010. The inspectors of the territorial offices of Roscomnadzor attending to the said workshops received training on the following subject: “Specificities of Supervision over Postal Communication Operations and in AML/CFT Sphere: Requirements, Methodology and Enhancement of Efficiency” (160 inspectors were trained). The workshops were also attended by the specialists from Roscomnadzor, Rosfinmonitoring and ITMCFM. Similar training events are scheduled for May and August 2011.</p> <p>Since Roscomnadzor has been empowered to handle administrative offences covered by paragraphs 1-4 of Article 15.27 of the RF Code on Administrative Offences a training in form of Video Conference was provided to the heads (deputy heads) of Roscomnadzor territorial offices, state inspectors and legal enforcement specialists in May 2011 (300 persons were trained). In August 2011 a training workshop was held for the inspectors of the territorial offices of Roscomnadzor (75 persons received training).</p>
<p>(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other</p>	

enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives	
Recommendation 13 (Suspicious transaction reporting) II. Regarding DNFBP²⁶	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should take steps to ensure that all institutions covered by the requirement to report STRs are aware of the difference between these reports and those relating to mandatory control.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>Detailed recommendations on detecting, recording and examining unusual transactions are provided in the aforesaid new wording of the recommendations on developing internal control rules, which replace the current recommendations adopted by the Russian Government Decision No. 983-r, (currently being considered by supervisory bodies). The new recommendations require institutions to develop as part of their internal control rules a special program for detecting both transactions subject to mandatory control and suspicious transactions showing signs of involvement in ML, and offer a number of recommendations on detecting and examining unusual transactions. Rosfinmonitoring Order No. 103 dated 8 May 2009 adopted the recommended criteria (over 30) and indicators (close to 60) of unusual transactions, which have been expanded considerably with the indicators of newly detected unusual transactions and ML/TF schemes.</p> <p>A special program for detecting suspicious transactions involves examining the rationale and purpose of unusual transactions by the customer, recording the findings in writing, and analyzing other transactions of the customer in order to justify the suspicious.</p> <p>Besides, the institution must verify customer data or information about the customer’s transaction in order to justify the suspicions that the customer’s transaction is carried out in ML/TF purposes.</p> <p>Institution’s executive makes the final decision to classify the transaction as suspicious and file an STR with Rosfinmonitoring. Moreover, the institution may take a number of the following additional measures – request the customer to provide explanations; additional information explaining the economic rationale of the unusual transaction; pay heightened attention to all transactions of this customer.</p> <p>Besides Recommendations No. 983-r, the differences between reporting transactions subject to mandatory control and detecting, examining and reporting unusual transactions must be explained to reporting institutions during standard training program (conferences, seminars) provided by the most experienced representatives of supervisory bodies and Rosfinmonitoring. Such training is mandatory in accordance with the Rosfinmonitoring’s Order dated 1 November 2008 No. 256 approving the Provision on the requirements for the training and education of personnel for institutions carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property in order to prevent money laundering and terrorist financing.</p> <p>According to this Provision, the officials and other employees of the institution (including managers) must undergo mandatory AML/CFT training. Training should be conducted in different forms – in-house training (directly at the institution) at the stage of recruitment and periodical training necessitated by changes in laws or internal control procedures in place at the institution. Training is provided according to the Standard Training Program adopted by Rosfinmonitoring. As a</p>

²⁶ i.e. part of Recommendation 16.

	<p>separate subject the Program offers lectures on matters of detecting unusual transactions and deals, case studies, money laundering typologies and specific ML/TF schemes and methods.</p> <p>In particular, in six months of 2009 Rosfinmonitoring contributed to 88 educational events that provided training for over 3,000 employees of FIs.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>According to Item 3 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT Law if the employees of an institution carrying out transactions with funds or other assets have got suspicion as a result of implementing the internal control program, that any transactions are performed for the money laundering or terrorist financing purposes, such institution is obliged, not later than one business day following the date of detection of such transactions, report these transactions to the authorized agency, regardless of whether they refer to transactions specified in Article 6 of the AML/CFT Law or not.</p> <p>A transaction is recognized by an institution as a suspicious one, in a sense that it is conducted for the money laundering or terrorist financing purposes, based on implementation of the internal control programs specified in item 2 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT Law.</p> <p>Decree of the RF Government No.967-r, dated June 10, 2010, obliges the institutions to develop and include in their internal control rules a special program allowing them to identify transactions subject to mandatory control and suspicious transactions showing signs of their relation to ML, and provides a series of recommendations for identifying and examining unusual transactions.</p> <p>Item 19 of Decree No.967 requires that the program of identifying transactions subject to mandatory control shall specify the procedure of examining by an institution of background and purposes of all such transactions (deals) and documenting the findings in writing.</p> <p>Upon detection of signs of unusual transaction (deal) an institution shall analyze other transactions (deals) carried out by a customer to justify the suspicion of customer involvement in ML/FT-related transactions (deals) or series of transactions (deals). It parallel an institution shall take the following actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) request a customer to provide necessary explanations including additional information clarifying economic purpose of an unusual transaction (deal); b) pay special attention to all transactions (deals) carried out by such customer as prescribed by the recommendations; c) take other measures subject to compliance with the Russian Federation legislation. <p>A respective record is made in the internal transaction report on actions taken by an institution with respect to a customer following the detection of an unusual transaction.</p> <p>The final decision on recognition of a transaction as a suspicious or unusual one and reporting it to Rosfinmonitoring is taken by the general manager of an institution.</p> <p>The findings obtained in the course of examination of background and purposes of detected unusual transactions and other documents obtained as a result of implementation of internal control rules and programs shall be retained for at least five years from the date of termination of relationship with a customer.</p> <p>The FSFM of Russia has issued and published the Informative Letter “On Measures to Prevent the Use of Stock-Market Instruments in Money- Laundering Schemes” dated February 10, 2010. In this Letter the FSFM recommends the special executive officers responsible for observance of the Internal Control Rules for Combating Legalization (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism</p>

	<p>(hereinafter the “Rules”), and professional securities market participant to take, among other things, the following measures as part of their official duties:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - examine (check, analyze) the transactions suspected of being related to ML/TF and record the findings in the respective report presented to the manager (which may contain information on the grounds for examination, criteria and signs which arouse suspicions, measures taken during the examination, the findings, and the recommendations worked out based on such findings); - information on the findings obtained as a result of such examination must be included in the report on the results of implementation of internal control measures for combating money laundering and terrorist financing (hereinafter the Report) for the previous quarter; - review the Rules from time to time for the purpose of updating the criteria and signs of unusual transactions on regular basis (based on the findings of the above examinations as well). <p>It is also recommended, based on the current AML/CFT legislation and the Rules, that the Report should include a well-grounded justification of the decision of the controller or special executive officer responsible for observance of Internal Control Rules on inexpediency of reporting a transaction to the general manager of the institution, and of the decision of the general manager of the institution not to submit the information/report on such transactions to the competent authority, and describe the verification measures undertaken and the findings obtained.</p> <p>The FSFM of Russia also informed the professional participants of the securities market about expediency of amending the Rules taking into account the above recommendations.</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring posted on its web-site a number of Informative Letters clarifying the division of responsibilities related to submission of STRs and reports on transactions subject to mandatory control, e.g. Informative Letter No.15 dated 28.06.2011 and Informative Letter No.14 dated 26.05.2011.</p>
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>For lawyers, notaries and accountants, Russia should take steps to improve understanding of the requirements in this area, given the current low level of reporting, and the lack of information available to evaluate the effectiveness of the regime.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>The Russian Ministry of Finance, the supervisory body in this area, issued a special guidance on 31 March 2009 explaining to auditors and audit firms the differences between transactions subject to mandatory control and suspicious transaction reporting. This letter is available on the official Ministry of Finance website and has been sent to professional audit associations in particular to 49 educational and methodological centres which provide professional development training programs for auditors, in frames of which 214 auditors were trained in 2008.</p> <p>Explanations concerning the implementation of the identifications procedure and risk assessment of the ML/TF transactions by the customer are provided in Rosfinmonitoring’s Informative Letter No. 2 dated 18 March 2009 the document is also recommended for lawyers, notaries and auditors use. By Rosfinmonitoring’s Order No. 103 dated 8 May 2009 a new wording of recommendations on the criteria and indicators of unusual transactions that must be taken into account upon implementing internal control procedures by notaries, lawyers, and auditors was adopted. The said recommendations contain indicators that must be used, for example, to detect fictitious firms, frontmen, etc.</p> <p>Permanent trainings are offered to lawyers, notaries and auditors with the</p>

	participation of supervisory bodies (the Lawyers Chamber, the Notaries Chamber, the Ministry of Finance) and Rosfinmonitoring representatives in order to clarify issues of AML/CFT Law implementation.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>Pursuant to Item 2 of Article 7.1 of the AML/CFT Law if a lawyer or a notary has any grounds to believe that deals or financial transactions are carried out for ML/TF purposes they are obliged to notify the designated authority thereof.</p> <p>Thus, lawyers and public notaries are specific parties to legal relationships related to anti-money laundering and combating the financing of terrorism bound by the above mentioned provisions of the AML/CFT Law.</p> <p>At the same time the supervisory agencies regularly provide the above sectors with the methodological assistance. The provisions of Rosfinmonitoring's Order No.203 (training for the AML/CFT purposes) and the RF Government Decree No. 967-r (new recommendations for the internal control rules development) are used by the SRO for elaboration of the appropriate recommendations for lawyers, notaries and accountants.</p>
(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft "other enforceable means" and other relevant initiatives	

Special Recommendation II (Criminalisation of terrorist financing)	
Rating: Largely compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should establish the offence of theft of nuclear material and expand the TF offence to include this new offence.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	Russia has developed and submitted for the approval of the State Duma the Draft Law "On Amendments to Particular Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation in the Sphere of Anti Money Laundering and Combating the Financing of Terrorism", which would supplement the list of terrorist offences in note 1 to Article 205.1 of the RF Criminal Code with crimes stipulated in Article 220 of the RF Criminal Code ("Illegal handling of nuclear materials or radioactive substances") and Article 221 of the RF Criminal Code ("Theft or extortion with intent to procure nuclear materials or radioactive substances"). So now the TF offence criminalises the financing of all the offences that are listed in the annex to the Terrorist Financing Convention.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	Pursuant to the Federal Law No. 197-FZ of July 27, 2010 "On Amendments to Certain Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation in the AML/CFT Sphere", the financing of crimes provided for in Art. 220 ("Trafficking in Nuclear Materials or Radioactive Substances") and Art. 221 ("Theft or Extortion of Nuclear Materials or Radioactive Substances") is classified as terrorist financing.
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russian authorities should reconsider their position concerning the criminal liability of legal persons.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement	As for liability of legal persons, analysis of European experience relating to criminal prosecution of legal persons for ML/TF-related offences produced the

<p>the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>following findings:</p> <p>1) Russia did not assume international commitments under which legal persons involved in ML/TF crimes must be subject specifically to criminal prosecution. The relevant provisions of international treaties ratified by Russia envisage criminal, civil or administrative liability with the only condition that such sanctions be effective, proportionate and preventive in nature;</p> <p>2) Analysis of international criminal laws indicates that criminal liability of legal persons essentially comes down to two measures: a) liquidation of the legal person with confiscation of its property or disqualification from a particular type of activity; b) a large fine. All these measures are stipulated in Russian laws as sanctions against legal persons engaged in terrorist or extremist activities, which include terrorist financing, are involved in money laundering, or commit other illegal acts.</p> <p>3) Consequently, currently there is not enough justification for the creation of the institute of criminal liability of legal persons in the Russian legal system, although this issue should be reconsidered in the future.</p> <p>At the same time it should be noted that the aforesaid Draft Law “On Amendments to Particular Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation in the Sphere of Anti Money Laundering and Combating the Financing of Terrorism” contains an item that supplements Article 15.27 of the RF Code of Administrative Offences with a new part (Part 3), which sets forth liability for a violation of AML/CFT laws, which has resulted in money laundering or terrorist financing. Liability of legal persons for this offence is considerably strengthened.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>The Federal Law No. 176-FZ of July 23, 2010 establishes severe administrative liability for organizations executing transactions with monetary funds and other property for failure to comply with the law on combating money laundering and terrorist financing resulting in an instance of money laundering or terrorist financing established in accordance with a valid court sentence. That applies if such action (inaction) does not constitute a criminal offence. This act is punishable by an administrative fine ranging from thirty to fifty thousand rubles or disqualification for a period between one and three years for officials; from five hundred thousand to one million rubles fine or administrative suspension of activity for up to ninety days for legal entities.</p> <p>This level of liability of legal persons in the Russian legal system is similar to criminal liability of legal persons applied in other legal frameworks.</p> <p>The possibility of introducing the grounds for liability of legal entities to the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation was discussed on several occasions, with the participation of the President of the Russian Federation.</p> <p>As a result of these discussions, the grounds for liability of legal entities in Russia are included in the Code of Administrative Offences and the Civil Code of the Russian Federation.</p> <p>There are many enforcement measures, including liquidation, provided by financial, administrative, arbitration, civil and other laws that exist and are successfully applied against legal entities in our country. Thus, in particular, on the grounds and in the manner prescribed by the Civil Code of Russia (Article 61), Civil Procedure Code of Russia (Art. 245), Administrative Code of Russia, Federal Laws "On Combating Extremist Activity", "On Combating Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing" and "On Countering Terrorism", any entity engaged in perpetration of an illegal activity or in other activities provided for in the existing law may be liquidated by a court decision, its financial operations may be</p>

	suspended, or it may be subject to an administrative fine, administrative suspension of activities, confiscation of instrument of crime or the object of an administrative offence.
(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives	

Special Recommendation IV (Suspicious transaction reporting)	
I. Regarding Financial Institutions	
Rating: Partially compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should issue TF guidance to enhance the effectiveness of the system for filing TF STRs.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>The obligations of reporting institutions to file and forward TF STRs are set out in the AML/CFT Law (Article 7, items 2, 3, 10). More detailed recommendations on detecting transactions that may be associated with ML/TF are contained in Rosfinmonitoring Order No. 104 dated 11 August 2003, as well as in the following BoR letters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - BoR Letter dated 13 July 2005 No. 99-T “On methodological recommendations for credit institutions developing internal controls designed for anti money laundering and combating the financing of terrorism”; - BoR Letter dated 27 April 2007 No. 60-T “On the specifics of customer service by credit institutions using technologies of remote access to the customer’s bank account (including Internet banking)”; - BoR Letter dated 28 September 2007 No. 155-T “On invalid passports”; - BoR Letter dated 30 October 2007 No. 170-T “On the Specifics of providing banking services to non-resident legal persons that are not Russian taxpayers”; - BoR Letter dated 2 November 2007 No. 173-T “On the recommendations of the Basel Committee for Banking Supervision”; - BoR Letter dated 26 November 2007 No. 183-T “On invalid passports”; - BoR Letter dated 18 January 2008 No. 8-T “On the application of item 1.3 of Article 7 of the Federal Law ‘On Anti Money Laundering and Combating the Financing of Terrorism’ ”; - BoR Letter dated 13 January 2008 No. 24-T “On raising the effectiveness of preventing suspicious transactions”; - BoR Letter dated 4 July 2008 No. 80-T “On stepping up control over individual transactions in promissory notes by natural and legal persons”; - BoR Letter dated 3 September 2008 No. 111-T “On raising the effectiveness of preventing suspicious transactions by customers of credit institutions”; - BoR Letter dated 1 November 2008 No. 137-T “On raising the effectiveness of preventing suspicious transactions”; - BoR Letter dated 23 January 2009 No. 8-T “Supplementing BoR Letter dated 1 November 2008 No. 137-T”; - BoR Letter dated 10 February 2009 No. 20-T “On relations with financial

	<p>institutions of the USA”;</p> <p>- BoR Letter dated 27 February 2009 No. 31-T “On information published on the Rosfinmonitoring website”.</p> <p>Additionally, in order to implement recommendations, including the ones listed in this item, the “Recommendations for developing criteria for detecting and identifying indications of unusual transactions” have been developed and adopted by Rosfinmonitoring order No. 103 dated 8 May 2009. Reporting entities should use these recommendations when developing their internal control rules. Notably, the list of both criteria and indicators is not exhaustive, but contains a special notion for the institutions to include additional relevant criteria/indicators at the institution’s discretion.</p> <p>The presence of criteria and indicators in internal control rules is required by the AML/CFT Law and the absence of such results in the refusal to approve the institution’s internal control rules.</p> <p>Reporting institutions must develop internal control rules (this is a mandatory AML/CFT Law obligation) on the basis of the provisions of this Law, the Know-Your-Customer obligations, and the abovementioned recommendations of supervisory bodies. The developed internal control rules and criteria contained in them serve as the guidance for filing TF STRs by reporting institutions.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>The Rosfinmonitoring's Order No. 103 of May 8, 2009 "On Approval of the Recommendations Concerning the Development of Criteria for Detecting and Identifying the Indicators of Unusual Transactions" is aimed at significantly raising effectiveness of the system and, inter alia, contains recommendations for criteria to identify transactions related to FT.</p> <p>In addition, a positive thing in terms of raising effectiveness especially in respect of the FT-related STR system are the requirements for identification of clients and beneficiaries developed by the Rosfinmonitoring and approved by Order No. 59.</p> <p>With the goal of intensifying efforts aimed at identifying suspicious, including connected with FT, customers' transactions, the Rosfinmonitoring released an information notice No. 17 dated August 2, 2011 regarding the criteria for identifying high-risk clients in order to conduct enhanced monitoring of their transactions, including a set of criteria aimed at monitoring and detecting transactions carried out by individuals possibly linked to terrorist financing.</p> <p>The Bank of Russia has continued its work on publishing recommendations for credit institutions concerning identification of transactions possibly related to terrorist financing and money laundering and notification of the competent authority (Bank of Russia Letter No. 31-T dated February 27, 2009 "On the Information Posted on Rosfinmonitoring's Website", Bank of Russia Letter No. 83-T dated June 11, 2010, Bank of Russia Letter No. 61-T dated April 28, 2010 "On the information Posted on the Official Website of the Association of Russian Banks", Bank of Russia Letter No. 129-T dated September 16, 2010 "On Strengthening the Control over Individual Transactions of Legal Entities", Bank of Russia Letter No. 19-T dated February 17, 2011 "On the Information Posted on Rosfinmonitoring's Website"), Bank of Russia Letter No. 32-T dated March 9, 2011 "On the Information Notice of the Federal Financial Monitoring Service No. 9 dated January 26, 201127.</p>

²⁷ Please see all these BoR Letters in Appendix III

<p>(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives</p>	
<p>Special Recommendation IV (Suspicious transaction reporting) II. Regarding DNFBP</p>	
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>Russia should issue TF guidance to enhance the effectiveness of the system for filing TF STRs.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>“Recommendations for developing criteria for detecting and identifying indications of unusual transactions” have been developed and adopted by Rosfinmonitoring order No. 103 dated 8 May 2009. Reporting entities should use these recommendations when developing their internal control rules. Notably, the list of both criteria and indicators is not exhaustive, but contains a special notion for the institutions to include additional relevant criteria/indicators at the institution’s discretion.</p> <p>The presence of criteria and indicators in internal control rules is required by the AML/CFT Law and the absence of such results in the refusal to approve the institution’s internal control rules.</p> <p>Reporting institutions must develop internal control rules (this is a mandatory AML/CFT Law obligation) on the basis of the provisions of this Law, the Know-Your-Customer obligations, and the abovementioned recommendations of supervisory bodies. The developed internal control rules and criteria contained in them serve as the guidance for filing TF STRs by reporting institutions.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>In addition, a positive thing in terms of raising effectiveness especially in respect of the FT-related STR system are the requirements for identification of clients and beneficiaries developed by the Rosfinmonitoring and approved by Order No. 59.</p>
<p>(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives</p>	

2.3. Other Recommendations

In the last report the following FATF recommendations were rated as “partially compliant” (PC) or “non compliant” (NC) (see also Appendix 1). Please, specify for each one what measures, if any, have been taken to improve the situation and implement the suggestions for improvements contained in the evaluation report.

Recommendation 6 (Politically exposed persons)	
Rating: Partially compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Further guidance should be given as to the requirements for dealing with existing customers who are found to be foreign public persons, establishing the source of wealth and conducting enhanced ongoing due diligence. Also, the measures should extend to beneficial owners. Russia should also consider extending the provisions to include domestic PEPs.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>Russia adopted Federal Law No. 121-FZ dated 3 June 2009, which establishes the following additional obligations for institutions carrying out transactions in monetary funds when serving foreign PEPs:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) take reasonable measures available under the circumstances to detect foreign PEPs among the existing or potential private customers; 2) provide services to foreign PEPs only based on a written decision by the executive of the institution carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property, or the executive’s deputy, as well as the manager of the separate unit of the institution carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property, to whom the institution’s executive or his deputy authorised the appropriate power; 3) take reasonable measures available under the circumstances to determine the sources of monetary funds or other property owned by the foreign PEPs; 4) regularly update the information at the disposal of the institution carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property about foreign PEPs among its customers; 5) pay particular attention to transactions with monetary funds and other property carried out by foreign PEPs, their spouses, family members (direct family members in the upward or downward line (parents and children, grandparents and grandchildren), blood siblings and half siblings (siblings having a common father or mother), adoptive parents and adopted children) or on behalf of such persons, if they are customers of the credit institution. <p>Russia adopted Federal Law No. 273-FZ “On countering corruption” dated 25 December 2008. The Law establishes the basic principles of countering corruption, legal and organizational fundamentals for preventing and combating corruption, minimizing and (or) eliminating consequences of crimes of corruption. It is supplemented by the RF Presidential Decree dated 18 May 2009 No. 557, establishing lists of state employees who are obligated to report on their and their family members income. Therefore, Russia has created the legal base for monitoring incomes of Russian PEPs.</p> <p>Russia has analyzed the expedience of extending measures of enhanced transaction monitoring to Russian PEPs, with the analysis results presented to the Russian Government. Such approach is considered to be expedient, and the elaboration of appropriate draft laws is underway.</p>

	<p>A number of organizational measures have been also adopted.</p> <p>Under the RF Presidential Decree dated 6 September 2008 No. 1316 “On certain issues of the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Russian Federation” the anti-organized crime service was reorganized, and duties of combating corruption were assigned to the Russian MIA units counteracting economic crimes.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>Sub-items 3 and 4 of Item 1 of Article 7.3 of the AML/CFT Law (as amended by Article 3 of the Federal Law No. 121-FZ) impose on organizations executing transactions with monetary funds or other assets the responsibility to apply reasonable and available in given circumstances measures necessary to determine the origin of the monetary funds or other assets owned by the foreign PEP, as well as to regularly update the information available to organizations executing transaction with monetary funds or other assets on their existing customers who are foreign public officials.</p> <p>Federal Law No. 176-FZ dated July 23, 2010 introduces the term "beneficiary". Pursuant to the above Federal Law, financial institutions, especially credit institutions, shall receive accurate information on the beneficial owners of their clients and apply measures provided by the AML/CFT Law.</p> <p>On April 28, 2011, the President of Russia introduced the draft law to the State Duma "On Amendments to Certain Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation in Connection with the Raising of Standards of State Governance in the Area of Corruption Combating", which is aimed at solution of a number of conceptual problems. On June 1, 2011, the draft law was adopted by the State Duma in first reading.</p> <p>Thus, in order to raise effectiveness of the activities aimed at verifying the accuracy and completeness of data on income, property and property obligations, the Federal Laws "On Banks and Banking" and "On State Registration of Rights to Immovable Property and Transactions therewith", as well as the Tax Code of the Russian Federation, are supplemented by a provision, pursuant to which all banks and other lending institutions, as well as all registering and tax authorities are obliged under the anticorruption law to submit to the leadership (officials) of the federal state authorities, the list of which is to be determined by the President of the Russian Federation, and to high-ranking officials of subjects of the Russian Federation (heads of higher executive authorities of subjects of the Russian Federation) the information on the income, property and property obligations (including information on transactions, accounts and deposits) of citizens applying for public jobs in the Russian Federation, the post of a judge, public jobs in subjects of the Russian Federation, posts of heads of municipalities, municipal jobs that are occupied on permanent basis, jobs in the federal public service, state civil service of subjects of the Russian Federation, municipal service, leadership positions in a public corporation, a fund or other entity that is being created by the Russian Federation in accordance with the federal law, certain jobs that are occupied under an employment contract in organizations, institutions and enterprises that are being created to perform the tasks assigned to federal government agencies; of individuals occupying the above jobs; the spouse and minor children of these individuals and entities.</p>
<p>(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other</p>	

enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives	
--	--

Recommendation 7 (Correspondent banking)	
Rating: Partially compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>All of the relevant criteria should be set out in law, regulation or other enforceable means, particularly the need to understand the nature of the respondent bank’s business and to ascertain whether the respondent’s AML/CFT system is adequate and effective. The requirement to document the respective AML/CFT responsibilities of banks should also be covered, and Russia should consider formalizing its requirements in relation to payable-through accounts.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	Federal draft law “On Amendments to Article 7 of the Federal Law “On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism” and Part Two of the Civil Code of the Russian Federation” stipulates that, while establishing correspondent relations, credit institutions must make reasonable measures available under the circumstances to obtain – in addition to the information stipulated in this Article – information about the adequacy of AML/CFT measures being undertaken by the credit institution or non-resident bank with which they expect to establish correspondent relations.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>Pursuant to Item 5 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT Law, credit institutions are prohibited from establishing and maintaining relations with non-resident banks which do not have permanent governing bodies in jurisdictions they are registered in.</p> <p>The requirement of Item 5.1 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT Law: credit institutions are obliged to take measures aimed at preventing the establishment of relations with non-resident banks whose accounts are known to be used by banks which do not have permanent governing bodies in jurisdictions they are registered in.</p> <p>Pursuant to Item 3.4 of the Regulations of the Bank of Russia No. 262-P28, when establishing correspondent relations with a non-resident bank, the credit institution shall require the submission of the following identification data:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Full and (if available) abbreviated name, as well as name in foreign language. 2. Organizational and legal form. 3. Taxpayer Identification Number (for residents); taxpayer identification number or a foreign institution code (for non-residents), if available. 4. State registration details: date, ref. No., name of registering authority, place of registration. 5. Street address and postal address. 6. Details of the license to engage in activities subject to licensing: type, Ref. No., when and by whom issued; validity, a list of licensed activities. 7. Bank identification code (for resident credit institutions). 8. Information on the management of a legal entity (structure and composition of the governing body of a legal entity). 9. Information on the size of the registered and paid-for statutory (equity) capital or the size of the statutory fund, assets. 10. Information concerning the presence or absence of the legal entity, its permanent governing body, other body or individual authorized to act on behalf

²⁸ Please see Appendix III

	<p>of such legal entity without power of attorney, at the specified place of business.</p> <p>11. Contact telephone and fax numbers, as well as details of the measures taken by the non-resident bank to combat money laundering and terrorist financing.</p> <p>The Central Bank of the Russian Federation issued the Instruction No. 1317-U29 dated August 7, 2003 "On the Procedure for Establishment by Authorized Banks of Correspondent Relations with Non-Resident Banks Registered in States and Territories with Preferential Tax Regimes and (or) not Requiring the Disclosure and Provision of Information on Financial Transaction during their Execution (offshore zones). It imposes rather strict conditions for establishing correspondent relations with banks of several states and territories.</p> <p>Additionally, all transactions with residents of the countries or territories listed in the Instruction of the Bank of Russia No. 1317-U are classified by paragraph 2.9.2 of the Regulations No. 262-P of the Bank of Russia as high-risk transactions.</p>
(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives	

Recommendation 8 (New Technologies and Non-Face-to-Face Business)	
Rating: Partially compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should analyze the existing limited requirements (which mostly relate to remote banking) and implement appropriate measures based on analysis findings.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	BoR has analysed the possible abuses in this sphere and started particular activity in preparation a number of differentiated measures aimed at decreasing ML/TF risks when a client carries out transactions using remote banking service technologies and including approaches to the clients identification procedures and carrying our AML internal control. This work will result in adoption of new wording of BoR Regulations #262-p dated 19 August, 2004 “On the Identification by Credit Institutions of Clients and Beneficiaries for the Purposes of Combating Legalization (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism”.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>On June 27, 2011 Federal Laws No. 161-FZ and No. 162-FZ "On National Payment System" and "On Amendments to Certain Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation in Connection with the Adoption of the Federal Law 'On National Payment System'" were adopted, which address, to a considerable extend, the issue concerning the regulation of new technologies used by the financial institutions.</p> <p>Also, given the established in the AML/CFT Law and widely applicable requirements for client identification, it is not possible for non-credit institutions to execute a transaction without personal presence of the client or his representative. No identification is required only with least in respect of</p>

²⁹ Please see Appendix III

	<p>transactions in the amount not exceeding 15,000 rubles with minimal ML/FT risks. Moreover, the AML/CFT Law has been supplemented by the requirement to carry out the identification of the client executing a low-risk transaction if there is a suspicion of ML/FT. Thus, the relevant requirements have been put in place.</p> <p>Pursuant to Rosfinmonitoring' Order No. 103 that approves the recommendations concerning the criteria and signs of unusual transactions, all client's transactions executed remotely, as well as the issuance of orders to execute transactions requiring no personal contact with an institution, constitute the basis for submitting a STR to the Rosfinmonitoring.</p>
(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives	

Recommendation 11 (Unusual transactions)	
Rating: Partially compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should require FIs to examine as far as possible the background and purpose of all unusual transactions and to set forth the findings of such examinations in writing and to keep such findings available for competent authorities and auditors for at least five years. Russia should additionally make sure that FIs are no longer confused about the distinction between mandatory threshold reporting (> RUB 600 000) and examining the background of unusual transactions. Also, Russia should provide more guidance to the FIs, especially to make clear that the types of unusual transactions listed in laws and regulations are neither exhaustive nor closed.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>Rosfinmonitoring has prepared a new wording of recommendations on developing internal control rules, which replaces the current recommendations adopted by RF Government Decision No. 983-r and is being currently considered by the supervisory bodies. The new wording of Decision No. 983-r envisages the development of a program for detecting transactions subject to mandatory control and unusual transactions. As part of this program the procedure according to which the institution must examine the rationale and purpose of unusual transactions and record the findings in writing should be developed (item 3.3.3). Moreover, institutions must monitor and control current transactions to assess the risk of ML/TF in the customer’s transactions and constantly monitor the customer’s transactions in case of heightened risk or unusual transactions in the customer’s activity. Pursuant to the new wording of the Recommendations, the internal control rules must include a program of information and records storage, which obligates the institution to store the findings of the examination of the rationale and purposes of unusual transactions for at least five years from the date of termination of relations with the customer (item 3.10.1).</p> <p>Furthermore, the record-keeping program must ensure that information and records are stored in such a way that would make them available timely to the competent authority and other state authorities in cases stipulated in Russian legislation within their respective terms of reference (3.10.2).</p>

The new wording requires institutions to develop as part of their internal control rules a special program for detecting both transactions subject to mandatory control and unusual transactions showing signs of involvement in ML, and offers a number of recommendations on detecting and examining unusual transactions.

The institution is required to establish a procedure for detecting transactions with specific indicators that may reflect link with ML. The institution must develop these indicators taking into account the specifics of its activity and Rosfinmonitoring recommendations.

The institution must examine the rationale and purposes of unusual transactions and record the findings in writing. Besides, the institution must verify customer data or information about the customer's transaction in order to justify the suspicions that the customer's transaction is carried out in ML/TF purposes.

Institution's executive makes the final decision to classify the transaction as unusual or suspicious and file an STR with Rosfinmonitoring.

Moreover, the institution may take a number of the following additional measures – request the customer to provide explanations; additional information explaining the economic rationale of the unusual transaction; pay heightened attention to all transactions of this customer.

A distinctive feature of transactions subject to mandatory control is that they must be reported to Rosfinmonitoring by virtue of the requirements of the AML/CFT Law regardless whether or not the institution's employees and executive have any suspicions.

Besides Recommendations No. 983-r, the differences between reporting transactions subject to mandatory control and detecting, examining and reporting unusual transactions must be explained to reporting institutions during standard training program (conferences, seminars) provided by the most experienced representatives of supervisory bodies and Rosfinmonitoring. Such training is mandatory in accordance with the Rosfinmonitoring's Order dated 1 November 2008 No. 256 approving the Provision on the requirements for the training and education of personnel for institutions carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property in order to prevent money laundering and terrorist financing.

The number of STRs filed with Rosfinmonitoring in 2008 has doubled that testifies awareness raising of differences between mandatory control and filing STRs. Pursuant to the AML/CFT Law and the new wording of Decision No. 983-r, the institution must develop detection criteria and signs of unusual transactions taking into account specifics of the institution's activity and Rosfinmonitoring recommendations developed jointly with supervisory bodies. By the Order No. 103 dated 8 May 2009, Rosfinmonitoring approved a new wording of the recommended criteria and signs of unusual transactions, which must be incorporated into internal control rules of organisations. The list of both criteria and indicators is not comprehensive, but contains a special reference for the institution to include other criteria/indicators at the institution's discretion.

Availability of criteria and indicators in internal control rules is required by the AML/CFT Law and the absence of them may result in the refusal to approve the institution's internal control rules.

From 1 January 2007 to the present the BoR issued a number of regulations containing recommendations for credit institutions on additional monitoring of transactions conducted via credit institutions, which may be aimed at money laundering or terrorist financing to provide additional methodological support for

	<p>detection of unusual or suspicious transactions by credit institutions.</p> <p>BoR Letter dated 27 April 2007 No. 60-T “On the special features of the service by credit organisations of clients with the use of the technology of distance access to the bank account of a client (including Internet banking”;</p> <p>BoR Letter dated 28 September 2007 No. 155-T “On invalid passports”;</p> <p>BoR Letter dated 30 October 2007 No. 170-T “On the Special features of the acceptance for bank servicing of non-resident juridical persons which are not Russian taxpayers”;</p> <p>BoR Letter dated 2 November 2007 No. 173-T “On the recommendations of the Basel Committee for Banking Supervision”;</p> <p>BoR Letter dated 26 November 2007 No. 183-T “On invalid passports”;</p> <p>BoR Letter dated 18 January 2008 No. 8-T “On the application of item 1.3 of Article 7 of the Federal Law “On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism”;</p> <p>BoR Letter dated 13 January 2008 No. 24-T “On raising the effectiveness of preventing suspicious transactions”;</p> <p>BoR Letter dated 4 July 2008 No. 80-T “On strengthening control over individual transactions in promissory notes by natural and legal persons”;</p> <p>BoR Letter dated 3 September 2008 No. 111-T “On raising the effectiveness of preventing suspicious transactions by customers of credit institutions”;</p> <p>BoR Letter dated 23 January 2009 No. 8-T “Supplementing BoR Letter dated 1 November 2008 No. 137-T”;</p> <p>BoR Letter dated 10 February 2009 No. 20-T “On relations with financial institutions of the USA”;</p> <p>BoR Letter dated 27 February 2009 No. 31-T “On information published on the Rosfinmonitoring website”;</p> <p>BoR Letter dated 01 November 2008 No.137-T “On raising the effectiveness of preventing suspicious transactions”</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>The Federal law No. 176-FZ, interprets the term "data (information) recording" as the receipt and recording of the data (information) on paper and (or) other information media for the purpose of enforcing of the AML/CFT Law.</p> <p>During the evaluation, the experts were informed that, pursuant to the third paragraph of item 2, and item 4 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT, as well as in accordance with the rules of internal control, all institutions executing transactions with monetary funds and other assets shall record the information obtained as a result of enforcement of the said rules and application of internal control measures and ensure confidentiality of such information. Furthermore, all documents containing the information specified in Article 7 of the AML/CFT, and the information necessary to identify an individual, shall be stored for a period of at least five years. The said period is calculated from the date of termination of the relationship with the client.</p> <p>Pursuant to Item 3 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT, should employees of an institution executing transactions with monetary funds or other assets begin to suspect as a result of implementing internal control measures that any one of the transactions in question is linked to money laundering or terrorist financing, such institution shall, no later than the business day following the date of detection of a suspicious transaction, submit the data on such transaction to the competent authority, regardless whether such transaction is related or not related to the transactions specified in Article 6 of the AML/CFT Law.</p> <p>A transaction is recognized by the institution as a suspicious one, i.e. conducted for the money laundering or terrorist financing purposes, basing on implementation of the internal control programs specified in item 2 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT Law.</p> <p>The Bank of Russia has continued to issue recommendations to credit</p>

	<p>institutions concerning additional monitoring of transactions conducted through credit institutions and possibly linked to money laundering and terrorist financing (Bank of Russia Letter No. 31-T dated February 27, 2009 "On Information Posted on Rosfinmonitoring's Website", Bank of Russia Letter No. 83-T dated June 11, 2010, Bank of Russia Letter No. 61-T dated April 28, 2010 "On the Information Posted on the Official Website of the Association of Russian Banks", Bank of Russia Letter No. 129-T dated September 16, 2010 "On Strengthening the Control over Certain Transactions Executed by Legal Persons", Bank of Russia Letter No. 19-T dated February 17, 2011 "On the Information Posted on Rosfinmonitoring's Website"), Bank of Russia Letter No. 32-T dated March 9, 2011 "On the Newsletter of the Federal Financial Monitoring Service No. 9 dated January 26, 2011.</p> <p>Russian Federation Government Decree No. 967-r requires organizations to develop within the internal control rules a special program to be used for identification of both transactions subject to mandatory control and transactions suspected of being linked to ML, and gives a number of recommendations related to identification and study of unusual transactions.</p> <p>Furthermore, the Rosfinmonitoring has outlined the recommendations concerning the criteria for identification and the signs of unusual transactions in the Order No. 103, which is regularly updated.</p> <p>Also, Item 19 of the Decree No. 967-r requires the program used for identification of transactions subject to mandatory control to contain a procedure for studying by organizations of the grounds and goals for the execution of all similar transactions, as well as to record the obtained results in writing.</p> <p>Upon detection of any signs of an unusual transaction, the organization is required to analyze all other transactions executed by the client in question to see if their suspicions of ML /FT are validated. At the same time, the organization is required to also take the following steps:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) ask the client to provide the necessary explanations, including additional information explaining the economic sense of the unusual transaction; b) pay extra attention to all transactions executed by this client, as required by these recommendations; c) take other necessary measures permitted by the laws of the Russian Federation. <p>A relevant record pertaining to the measures taken by the organization in respect to the client and in connection with the identified unusual transaction or its signs must be made in an internal transaction report.</p> <p>The results of the study of the grounds and goals for the identified unusual transaction; other documents resulting from the implementation of internal controls and programs shall be kept for a period of at least 5 years from the date of termination of the relationship with the client.</p> <p>The organization is required to establish procedures, developed with the view of its activity features and Rosfinmonitoring's recommendations, to identify transactions with characteristics that may link them to ML/FT.</p> <p>The organization is required to study the grounds and goals of unusual client's transactions, as well as to record the obtained results in writing. Additionally, the organization must verify the information on the client or his transactions in order to ascertain if the suspected transaction is linked to ML / FT.</p> <p>The final decision concerning the classification of a transaction as suspicious or unusual, as well as the decision to submit the information on it to the</p>
--	---

	<p>Rosfinmonitoring shall lie with the executives.</p> <p>Additionally, the organization may take additional measures such as ask the client to provide the necessary explanations, including additional information explaining the economic sense of the unusual transaction; pay extra attention to all transactions executed by this client.</p> <p>In its information notice dated February 10, 2010 "On Measures to Prevent the Use of Equity Market Instruments in Money Laundering Schemes", the Russian Federal Financial Markets Service recommends officials responsible for enforcement of the Rules of Internal Controls in the area of combating money laundering and terrorist financing (hereinafter the "Rules") as well as professional securities market participants to implement, inter alia, the following measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - examine (study, analyze) the transactions suspected of being linked to money laundering and terrorist financing and record the results thereof in an appropriate report to be submitted to the supervisor. The said report may contain such information as the grounds for the examination criteria and signs that caused the suspicion, actions taken during the examination, examination results, recommendations; - include the results of such examinations into the report on measures of internal controls taken to combat money laundering and terrorist financing (hereinafter the "Report") for the previous quarter; - regularly, including as a follow up from the said examinations, revise the Rules in order to update the criteria for the identification and signs of unusual transactions. <p>While being guided by the existing law on combating money laundering and terrorist financing and the Rules, it is also recommended that the supporting arguments against the submission of a transaction report to the organization director by the supervisor or a designated official responsible for enforcement of the Rules, as well as its submission to the competent authority by the organization director should be included in the Report, along with the description of the follow-up measures taken and their results</p> <p>Additionally, the Federal Financial Markets Service of Russia has informed professional participants of the securities market about the need to amend and supplement the Rules with regard to the above recommendations.</p>
<p>(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives</p>	

Recommendation 12 (DNFBP)	
Rating: Partially compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should review the AML/CFT regime as it applies to DNFBPs and ensure that all of the relevant criteria are addressed. For casinos, real estate agents and dealers in precious metals and stones, the basic recommendations set out earlier in this report in relation to Recommendations 5, 6 and 8-11 are applicable, as these entities are subject to the full effect of the AML/CFT Law in Russia.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>Russia has analyzed the application of the AML/CFT regime to all designated non-financial businesses and professions (DNFBPs).</p> <p>Russia has established unified AML/CFT requirements for both FIs and the majority of DNFBPs – for casinos and gambling outlets, jewellery businesses, real estate agents, and pawnshops.</p> <p>The relevant measures taken to eliminate the deficiencies detected and implement experts’ recommendations to improve the AML/CFT system in order to ensure compliance with Recommendations 5 and 11 fully apply to the aforesaid types of DNFBPs.</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring has elaborated recommendations for the implementation of the requirements of the AML/CFT Law to identify persons being served (customers) and beneficial owners and keep records (Informative Letter No. 2 dated 18 March 2009), which have been brought to the attention of the institutions concerned and published on the official Rosfinmonitoring website.</p> <p>The said recommendations must mandatory be incorporated by the institutions into their internal control rules, since the AML/CFT Law and the Russian Government Decision No. 983-r require that recommendations issued by the FIU should be taken into account.</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring has elaborated draft law which is currently being considered by the State Duma. The draft law contains amendments to Article 7.1 of the AML/CFT Law, which fully extend the requirements of Article 7 to lawyers, notaries and auditors (in terms of developing internal control rules and procedures, identifying customers and beneficial owners, recording the necessary data, and reporting information to the competent authority).</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>Russian Governmental Decree No. 967-r dated June 10, 2010 approved as set of new Recommendations on Internal Control Rules Development that instruct organizations to take into account the provisions of the AML/CFT Law and requirements by Rosfinmonitoring when drafting a program for identification of clients and beneficiaries.</p> <p>The Rosfinmonitoring has developed and approved in its decree No. 59 dated February 17, 2011 a set of client and beneficiary identification requirements that, inter alia, take account of the risk of execution by the client of a transaction linked to money laundering or terrorist financing.</p> <p>At the same time, it should be noted that Russia sets identical requirements on combating money laundering and terrorist financing for both financial institutions and DNFBPs.</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>In relation to lawyers, accountants and notaries, specific provisions to address all of the relevant criteria in Recommendations 5, 6 and 8-11 should be developed. In particular, extending the CDD requirements to include their full range in the legislation. Russia should also take steps to examine ways of increasing the effectiveness of compliance with AML/CFT requirements in these</i>

	<i>sectors.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>The aforesaid Federal draft law “On Amendments to the Federal Law “On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism”, which is being examined by the State Duma, would extent all CDD requirements to lawyers, notaries, and persons providing legal and accounting services.</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring has elaborated recommendations for the implementation of the requirements of the AML/CFT Law to identify persons being served (customers) and beneficial owners and keep records (Informative Letter No. 2 dated 18 March 2009), which have been brought to the attention of the institutions concerned and published on the official Rosfinmonitoring website.</p> <p>The said recommendations must mandatory be incorporated by the institutions into their internal control rules, since the AML/CFT Law and the Russian Government Decision No. 983-r require that recommendations issued by the FIU should be taken into account.</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring identification and record –keeping recommendations equally apply to lawyers, notaries and accountants.</p> <p>Besides agreements on cooperation in AML/CFT sphere between Rosfinmonitoring and Federal Lawyers and Notaries Chambers, both Chambers have published on their websites recommendations for lawyers and notaries on fulfilment of the requirements of AML/CFT legislation in order to increase the effectiveness on prevention, detection and suppression of ML and TF cases and explain the procedure of information reporting to Rosfinmonitoring.</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>With the goal of further strengthening the identification mechanism, the Government has passed the Federal Law No. 176-FZ dated July 23, 2010 "On Amending the Federal Law 'On Combating Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing' and the Code of Administrative Offences". The following new terms are provided in the Law:</p> <p>“Customer – an individual or legal entity serviced by an institution carrying out with monetary funds and other property”;</p> <p>“Beneficiary – a person whose benefit the customer acts for, in particular under a brokerage, agency, commission and grant agreement, when carrying out transactions with funds or other assets”;</p> <p>“Identification - a set of measures for obtaining information on customers, their representatives, and beneficiaries required by the AML/CFT Law, and verification of such information using original documents and (or) duly certified copies thereof”;</p> <p>“Data (information) recording - obtaining and consolidation of data (information) in hard copy and/or in other forms in order to implement the AML/CFT Law”</p> <p>The Rosfinmonitoring has developed and approved in its decree No. 59 dated February 17, 2011 a set of client and beneficiary identification requirements that, inter alia, take account of the risk of execution by the client of a transaction linked to money laundering or terrorist financing.</p> <p>Russia sets identical requirements on combating money laundering and terrorist financing for both financial institutions and DNFBPs</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>With a diverse range of supervisory bodies (Rosfinmonitoring, the Assay Chamber, the Federal Notaries Chamber and the Federal Lawyers Chamber) Russia should take steps to co-ordinate the overall approach in this area.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to	The AML/CFT legislation designates Rosfinmonitoring as the body responsible

<p>implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>for coordinating of the activity of other bodies with AML/CFT powers.</p> <p>In practice, coordination of AML/CFT activity of supervisory bodies takes place parting the framework of the AML/CFT Interagency Commission (hereafter – the Interagency Commission) set up by Ministry of Finance Order dated 25 October 2005 No. 132n. It includes representatives of state authorities and, via the Consultative Board at the Interagency Commission, consisting of representatives of self-regulating organizations (SROs).</p> <p>The Interagency Commission is a permanent coordinating body set up to ensure coordinated efforts by federal executive bodies concerned and the Bank of Russia in the sphere of AML/CFT.</p> <p>The Interagency Commission addresses the topical issues of interaction, including information exchange, works out a coordinated position on issues of international cooperation in AML/CFT, discusses proposed improvements to the AML/CFT system, examines the relevant draft laws and other interagency acts.</p> <p>The Interagency Commission has set up special working groups to prepare proposals relating to AML/CFT issues. In particular, in 2008 – 1half 2009 the Working Group on Legal Issues, consisting of representatives from Rosfinmonitoring, BoR, FSFM, FISS, Roscommnadzor, Ministry of Finance, the Assay Chamber, and a number of SROs, elaborated a number of draft laws aimed at improvement of the AML/CFT system. Mentioned draft laws take into account Recommendations contained in the 3rd round evaluation report on the Russian Federation and then were discussed by the Interagency Commission. Recommendations on criteria and indicators of unusual transactions were developed jointly with supervisory bodies.</p> <p>Moreover, Rosfinmonitoring signed cooperation agreements with the relevant supervisory bodies (including with the Assay Chamber, the Federal Notaries Chamber, the Federal Lawyers Chamber).</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring constantly disseminates information relating to the highest-risk institutions in terms of ML/TF for purposes of inspections, including unplanned inspections.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>The Federal Law No. 176-FZ empowers all supervisory authorities to review administrative violation cases provided for in Art. 15.27 of the Administrative Code. The Rosfinmonitoring coordinates the performance by supervisory authorities of these functions through the mechanism of the Interdepartmental Committee on Combating Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing and Advisory Council operating under it (meetings with representatives of the private sector and the SROs), providing methodological explanations and actively participating in meetings between supervisory authorities and supervised institutions and their associations. Additionally, the Rosfinmonitoring regularly provides data, which is used as the grounds for organizing subsequent inspections, including unscheduled, in institutions belonging to the high-risk category in terms of ML / FT.</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring's requirements related to identification of beneficiaries' clients (Order No. 59 dated Feb 17, 2011), applicable to both financial institutions and the DNFBP, are important for coordination of approaches in the area of identification and due diligence of DNFBP's clients.</p>
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>Russia should also examine the use of cash in the real estate sector in order to be sure that there are no important gaps in the AML/CFT system as it relates to this sector.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of</p>	<p>In Russia, cash settlement between legal persons as well as between a legal</p>

<p>23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>person and a natural person conducts entrepreneurship without establishing a legal person (including real estate agents) is strictly regulated in terms of the possible transaction amount (not to exceeding RUB 100,000 under each contract between mentioned persons) and use of cash for a specific purpose (BoR Directive dated 20 June 2007 No. 1843-U). A limit applies to cash amount that may be stored at the cashier desk of a legal person, which is controlled by a credit institution.</p> <p>Non-cash payments between legal persons via accounts opened with credit institutions is a mandatory procedure. (BoR Provision No. 2-P).</p> <p>This procedure considerably reduces the possibility for a real estate agents to use cash payments. This is confirmed by the number of real estate transaction reports submitted by banks within the framework of mandatory control. For customers of institutions performing as real estate agents, payments via credit institutions are more reliable and minimize the risk of fraudulent schemes (payment using counterfeit money, customer's deceit).</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>The number of STRs received from real estate transaction intermediaries is falling, which, as was noted before, is the result of the financial crisis. This trend is developing against the background of rising real estate transaction numbers, as evidenced by statistics on mandatory supervision.</p> <p>This could be due to the stabilization of the economic situation and the rebounding of public's demand for the real estate, seen as one of the most attractive investment sectors.</p> <p>In this case, a fall in the number of reports generated by real estate brokers as part of the internal controls is due to enhanced AML / CFT-related internal control measures applied by them in response to the strengthening of control by Rosfinmonitoring. This results in lower number of dubious transactions at the time when the property market is not active.</p>
<p>(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft "other enforceable means" and other relevant initiatives</p>	

Recommendation 14 (Protection & no tipping-off)	
Rating: Partially compliant	
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>Russia should extend the safe harbour provision and the tipping off prohibition to the FIs and their directors.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>Article 4 of the AML/CFT Law classifies a prohibition on tipping off customers and other persons about AML/CFT measures being taken as one of the measures aimed at AML/CFT.</p> <p>Under item 6 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT Law, employees of institutions reporting the relevant information to the competent authority are prohibited from tipping off customers and other persons.</p> <p>The Federal Bill "On Amendments to the Federal Law 'On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism' states that not only employees of the institutions disclosing the relevant information to the competent authority, but also managers of such institutions</p>

	shall not tip off customers of such institutions and other persons about the AML/CFT measures being taken.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	For a more precise implementation of this requirement, the Federal Law No. 176-FZ dated July 23, 2010, which amends the AML/CFT Law, stipulates that "no organization submitting the relevant information to the competent authority nor any manager or employee of organizations that submit the relevant information to the competent authority is allowed to inform the clients of these organizations or other individuals of such submissions".
(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft "other enforceable means" and other relevant initiatives	

Recommendation 15 (Internal control rules, compliance & audit)	
Rating: Partially compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>The Russian authorities should ensure that all FIs establish and maintain internal procedures, policies and controls to manage both AML/CFT and prudential risks, and to ensure that these policies and procedures are comprehensively communicated to all relevant employees. Financial institutions and supervisory bodies should also ensure that training programmes incorporate case studies and other practical demonstrations of both money laundering and terrorism financing so employees are better able to detect signs of ML and FT when they occur. With respect to terrorism financing, FIs and supervisory bodies should amend internal control programme requirements to incorporate a more comprehensive approach to CFT beyond the current practice of simply checking the list of designated entities.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>Item 2 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT Law stipulates that in order to prevent ML/TF the institutions carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property must develop internal control rules and programs of their implementation, appoint special officers in charge of enforcing such internal control rules and implementing such programs, as well as take other internal organizational measures for these purposes.</p> <p>Internal control rules of an institution carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property must include a procedure for documenting the necessary information, a procedure for ensuring confidentiality of information, qualification requirements for personnel training and education, as well as detection criteria and indicators of unusual transactions taking into account the specifics of this institution's activity.</p> <p>Internal control rules must be developed taking into account the recommendations approved by the RF Government, and for credit institutions – by the BoR with coordination with Rosfinmonitoring, and adopted in accordance with the procedure set out by the Russian Government.</p> <p>The new edition of recommendations for developing internal control rules, which replaces the current recommendations adopted by Russian Government Decision No. 983-r (and is being currently reconciled with the supervisory bodies), requires incorporating into the internal control rules a program which</p>

	<p>enables the institution to assess the degree (level) of risk of the transactions carrying out by the customer for the ML/TF purposes (hereafter the risk) (item 3.2 of the draft Decision).</p> <p>Depending on the specifics of its activity and the specifics of the customer's activity the institution must develop criteria for assessing the degree of risk to be in line with the requirements established by Rosfinmonitoring (3.2.1)., In order to assess the degree (level) of risk and track possible changes in the risk level, the institution must conduct constant monitoring of the customer's transactions (3.2.2). The institution must pay particular attention to transactions with monetary funds and other property, which are carried out by a high-risk customer.</p> <p>To inform on policy and procedures of all institution employees concerned, Rosfinmonitoring issued Order dated 1 November 2008 No. 256, establishing requirements for the training and education of personnel of institutions carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property for the AML/CFT purposes.</p> <p>The said order sets out the list of positions that must pass through AML/CFT training (in particular, director of the institution (institution's branch); deputy director of the institution (branch); special official of the institution (branch) in charge of enforcing internal control rules and internal control implementation programs; chief accountant (accountant) of the institution (branch); employees of the legal department of the institution (branch); lawyer, if any; employees of the internal control service of the institution (branch), if any; other employees of the institution (branch), taking into account the specifics of the institution's activity and its customers.</p> <p>Training requirements obligate institutions to conduct training upon recruitment, annual scheduled training, unscheduled training in response to changes in AML/CFT legislation and associated regulations, as well as targeted training – participation in conferences, seminars.</p> <p>Institutions must conduct training based on a program that must include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) studying normative legal acts in AML/CFT sphere; b) studying the institution's AML/CFT internal control rules and internal control implementation programs in the course of performance of job duties by the employee, as well as measures of liability that may be applied against an employee for non-performance of AML/CFT legislation and other organizational and administrative documents of the institution adopted for internal control purposes; d) practical classes in the implementation of internal control rules and internal control programs; d) procedure, forms and periodicity of testing AML/CFT knowledge and skills of employees; e) participation of special officials in conferences, seminars and similar events devoted to AML/CFT issues; f) review of measures to be taken pursuant to Russian AML/CFT legislation. <p>Supervisory bodies and professional communities implement the relevant efforts in their respective sectors of the financial market.</p> <p>For example, the Association of Russian Banks (the largest organization of the Russian banking community) has prepared a concept for the development of standard programs and methodological recommendations for education and advanced training of special officers of credit institutions, which contains</p>
--	---

	<p>approaches to ensuring quality professional training and retraining of special officers of credit institutions. The concept has been supported by the BoR.</p> <p>The FSFM has elaborated the Federal draft law “On Amendments to the Federal Law “On the Securities Market” and other legislative acts of the Russian Federation” (in terms of prudential supervision over professional participants of the securities market and procedure of paying compensation to natural persons in the securities market), which includes issues of organizing the risk management system for a professional participants of the securities market or an asset management company.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>Governmental Decree No. 967-r dated June 10, 2010 approved the aforementioned Recommendations Concerning the Development of Internal Control Rules for AML/CFT, which regulate in detail the organization and implementation of internal controls for AML/CFT in organizations.</p> <p>The new recommendations also require organizations to draft a special program that provides for the application of certain procedures upon detection of transactions involving listed individuals.</p> <p>Additionally, Rosfinmonitoring’s Decree No. 59 dated February 17, 2011 approved the client and beneficiary identification requirements based on ML / FT risk assessment. With the goal of intensifying efforts aimed at identifying suspicious, including connected with FT, customers' transactions, Rosfinmonitoring released an information notice No. 17 dated August 2, 2011 regarding the criteria for identifying high-risk clients in order to conduct enhanced monitoring of their transactions, including a set of criteria aimed at monitoring and detecting transactions carried out by individuals possibly linked to terrorist financing.</p> <p>In particular, among the criteria by which the client should be classified as high risk, information letter No. 17 dated August 2, 2011 prescribes to list the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The client and / or his contractor, client's representative, beneficiary or client's founder is a person included in the List of Entities and Individuals Believed to be Involved in Extremist Activities - Home (business) address of the client, client's representative, beneficiary or founder matches the home (business) address of a person included in the List of Entities and Individuals Believed to be Involved in Extremist Activities. - The client is a close relative of the person included in the List of Entities and Individuals Believed to be Involved in Extremist or Terrorist Activities. - Activities of public and religious organizations (associations), charities, foreign non-profit non-governmental organizations and their representative offices and branches operating in Russia. - The client is a director or founder of a public or religious organization (association), charity, foreign non-profit non-governmental organization, its branch or representative office operating in Russia. - The client and / or his contractor, client's representative, beneficiary or founder is registered in the state or territory with a high terrorist or extremist activity. <p>The organization is required to pay particular attention to all transactions with monetary funds or other assets executed by high-risk clients (ongoing monitoring) in order to identify suspicious transactions that may be reported to the Rosfinmonitoring.</p> <p>As it was mentioned earlier, with the goal of informing the relevant organization employees of the internal policies and AML / CFT-related procedures, the</p>

	<p>Rosfinmonitoring issued the Order No. 256 dated November 1, 2008 that establishes requirements concerning the organization of AML / CFT training for employees of organizations executing transactions with monetary funds or other assets.</p> <p>In October 2010 a new wording of the Order No. 256 (Order No. 203 dated August 3, 2010) was adopted, which, besides the aforementioned provisions contained in the Order 256, requires organizations developing AML/CFT-related training programs for employee to include into such programs training sessions dedicated to the study of typologies, typical patterns and methods of money laundering and terrorist financing, as well as the criteria for identification and signs of unusual transactions.</p> <p>Pursuant to the amendments made by the Federal Law No. 176-FZ to the AML/CFT Law (subitem 5.5 Item 5 of Article 7), institutions executing transactions with monetary funds and other assets are required to pay enhanced attention to any transactions with monetary funds or other assets executed by individuals or entities referred to in subparagraph 2 of paragraph 1 of Article 6 of the AML/CFT Law, with their participation, on their behalf, or for their benefit, as well as through the use of a bank account referred to in subparagraph 2 of paragraph 1 of Article 6 of the AML/CFT Law (subitem 2 of Item 1 of Art. 6 of the AML/CFT Law states: any transaction involving crediting or transferring of funds to an account, granting or receiving a loan, as well as transactions in securities, if at least one of the parties is an individual or legal entity registered or residing in a state (territory) which does not comply with the recommendations of the Financial Action Task Force (FATF), or if the said transaction is carried out using a bank account registered in such state (territory). The list of such states (territories) is determined in accordance with a procedure approved by the Government of the Russian Federation and with account for the documents issued by the Financial Action Task Force (FATF), and to be published).</p>
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>The Russian authorities should enhance existing provisions regarding employee screening procedures to ensure that all employees of FIs can be sufficiently screened. Screening procedures should take criminal records into account, but should also assess the vulnerability to corruption of each employee or group of employees.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>Professional skills of FI employees are tested by establishing qualification requirements for special officers responsible for internal control rules observance (Government Order dated 5 December 2005 No. 715), which include a higher education in the relevant specialty or a minimum of 2 years of work experience in AML/CFT as well as completion of AML/CFT training.</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring Order No. 256 establishes mandatory requirements to undergo systematic AML/CFT training for other FI employees.</p> <p>Pursuant to BoR Letter dated 30 June 2005 No. 92-T “On the organization of legal risk and reputation risk management at credit institutions and banking groups”, banks are recommended to focus appropriate attention on implementing the Know-Your-Employee principle, which puts in place specific verification standards upon employee recruitment as well as control over the selection and allocation of personnel, specific criteria for qualification and personal characteristics of employees consistent with their workload and degree of responsibility.</p> <p>The BoR takes into account the status of the banking risk management system,</p>

	including reputation and legal risks, when evaluating the credit institution's economic status pursuant to the BoR Directive dated 30 April 2008 No. 2005-U «On assessment of economic status of banks» (items 1.1 and 4.1 of Appendix No. 6).
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>Russian Federal Financial Markets Service Order No. 10-4/pz-n dated January 28, 2010 approved Regulations on Financial Market Specialists (hereinafter the "Regulations"), which, inter alia, establish qualification requirements for employees of institutions operating in the financial market, as well as the grounds and procedure for the cancellation of certificates of competence.</p> <p>Availability of a certificate of competence is a prerequisite for working in institutions operating in the financial market. Any individual holding a management or supervisory position in such institutions, or a position of a specialist responsible for executing transactions in securities, authorizing their execution or signing outbound documents related to the execution of such transactions is required to possess a certificate of competence.</p> <p>The certification procedure for a financial market specialist involves the testing of applicants' knowledge in respect of professional securities market activities, operations of management companies and specialized depositories, and issuance to them of certificates of competence of a financial market specialist. The certification is carried out by organizations certified by the Federal Financial Markets Service of Russia. Applicants wishing to be issued with a certificate are required to pass two exams in any one of the certified organizations: first on fundamentals and then on specialty. All exams are conducted subject to the programs approved by the Federal Financial Markets Service of Russia, and in the manner to be determined by each certified organization based on the recommendations issued by the FFMS of Russia.</p> <p>The Federal Financial Markets Service of Russia may invalidate any certificate of financial market specialists issued by the Russian Federal Securities Commission, Federal Financial Markets Service of Russia or any organization certified by the Federal Financial Markets Service of Russia to a certified person in case of repeated or gross violations by such certified person of the laws of the Russian Federation on securities, on investment funds or on state pension funds.</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Roscomnadzor and Post of Russia should take proactive and comprehensive steps to ensure that all employees at all branches of Post of Russia across the country have a good understanding of the Post's internal control programmes with respect to AML/CFT requirements of the ICP, and that compliance units are sufficiently trained and fully implementing all legal and regulatory requirements related to AML/CFT. The Russian authorities should work closely with Post of Russia to ensure that the independent audit programme is being carried out effectively and comprehensively at all branches to verify compliance with internal control requirements across the country.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>To improve AML/CFT work, Post of Russia has reorganized its internal control system.</p> <p>Responsibility for organizing and implementing internal control procedures for purposes of AML/CFT at Post of Russia is assigned to the Federal Postal Service Directorate. The Directorate's functions include monitoring postal money transfers, reporting transactions subject to mandatory control to Rosfinmonitoring, conducting internal audits of subordinated divisions – postal offices. Post of Russia has 82 Federal Postal Service Directorates in all constituent entities of the Russian Federation. All FPSDs were inspected by</p>

Roscomnadzor in the framework of the general supervision and Post of Russia in the course of internal audit procedures during 2007-2008. Based on the audit findings, the management of divisions received letters about detected violations and correctional measures needed.

All 918 head postal offices have Internal Control Rules on postal money transfers adopted by Post of Russia Order dated 19 September 2007 No. 459-p and coordinated with Rossvyazokhrankultura (resolution dated 18 September 2007 No. 33/4458). These Rules are the main document regulating the actions of personnel and officers carrying out AML/CFT control.

To ensure full compliance with Russian AML/CFT legislation, a new wording of Post of Russia Internal Control Rules is being currently reviewed and coordinated with Roscomnadzor.

All postal offices have guidelines which contain requirements to identify natural persons while carrying out transfers equal to or exceeding the threshold amount set by the AML/CFT Law.

In order to unify forms and pursuant to the Internal Control Rules on customer identification requirements, Post of Russia issued Order No. 81-p dated 13 March 2007 approving new postal money transfer forms with the field where the transfer originator must fill in with his or her passport details. They are used in the postal office network upon accepting (paying out) money transfers at all postal services and make it possible to identify the transfer originator as well as record originator details upon suspicious transactions.

The replacement from old postal money transfer forms to new ones was completed by April 2008.

All postal offices are supplied with regularly updated Terrorist List compiled by Rosfinmonitoring. Notably, at computerized postal offices (55% of all POs) with data protection means this List is available in electronic form.

Workplaces of employees of all 82 FPSDs – branches of Post of Russia, who are responsible for organizing AML/CFT control under the Internal Control Rules, are automated; they have special software with data protection means, which is used to report to Rosfinmonitoring any money transfers subject to mandatory control or suspicious transfers.

During annual seminars for Post of Russia branches, officers of branches undergo additional training in AML/CFT and internal control rules, provided by Rosfinmonitoring and Roscomnadzor representatives as part of training events.

Employees engaged in implementing the Internal Control Rules undergo annual training on AML/CFT issues.

A total of 128 training events took place in 2008. During this period training was provided for:

postal service operators	- 10 515;
postal office directors	- 7 567;
postal office deputy directors	- 2 568;
head postal office workers	- 422;
branch administration employees	- 532;
TOTAL:	- 21 604.

In the first half of 2009 the central headquarters of Post of Russia set up a 6-person financial monitoring sector at the Department for Organization of Regional Work and Cooperation with Law Enforcement of the Postal Security Directorate. The unit is presently fully stuffed.

	<p>At the same time, it is necessary to take into account the fact that the aforesaid training requirements set out in Rosfinmonitoring Order No. 256 also apply to organizations of federal postal service.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>As noted earlier, with the goal of informing the relevant organization employees of the internal policies and AML / CFT-related procedures, the Rosfinmonitoring issued Order No. 256 dated November 1, 2008 that establishes the requirement concerning the organization of AML / CFT training for employees of institutions executing transactions with monetary funds or other assets.</p> <p>In October 2010 a new wording of the Order No. 256 (Order No. 203 dated August 3, 2010) was adopted, which is aimed at improving the level of employee training in the area of AML / CFT.</p> <p>With the goal of improving its work in the area of AML / CFT, the Federal State Unitary Enterprise "Russian Post" has restructured its system of internal controls, introducing new Internal Control Rules that take into account the changes to the legislation and Rosfinmonitoring's regulations. The responsibility for organizing and implementing internal controls for AML / CFT in the FSUE Russian Post has been assigned to the Federal Postal Service Directorate, whose functions include monitoring of postal money orders, submission of information on transactions subject to supervision to the Rosfinmonitoring, internal auditing of subordinate departments (post offices). Also, pursuant to the Order No. 461-p, designated officials responsible for monitoring compliance with internal control rules and programs to implement them have been appointed in its branches and post offices.</p> <p>All post offices are provided with the regularly updated List of Organizations and Individuals Believed to be Involved in Extremist Activities.</p> <p>Currently, in line with Roskomnadzor's recommendations, FSUE Russian Post is in the process of revising the Rules of Internal Control (the Rules) to reflect the amendments introduced by the Federal Law No. 176-FZ of July 23, 2010 "On Amending the Federal Law 'On Combating Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing' and the Russian Federation Code of Administrative Violations", and Federal Laws No. 161-FZ of June 26, 2011 "On National Payment System" and No. 162-FZ of June 26, 2011 "On Amendments to Certain Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation in Connection with the Adoption of the Federal Law 'On National Payment System' and Recommendations for the Development by Institutions Executing Transactions with Monetary Funds or other Assets of the Rules of Internal Control for Combating Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing" approved by Russian Federal Government Decree No. 967-r of June 10, 2010.</p> <p>STR statistics show a rise in the effectiveness of the implemented by Russian Post internal control programs to identify unusual transactions: there was a 50% increase in the number of STRs in 2010 compared with 2007.</p> <p>A total of 2,596 training programs were conducted in 2010. Additionally, pursuant to the "Regulations on the Requirements Concerning the Provision of Training to Employees of Institutions Executing Transactions with Monetary Funds or other Assets to Combat Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing" approved by Rosfinmonitoring Order No. 203, special targeted training sessions, conducted by organizations authorized by the Rosfinmonitoring, are organized for designated officials and other employees of FSUE Russian Post requiring AML / CFT-related training. 1800 people attended the said training sessions in</p>

	2010 organized by Rosfinmonitoring-certified organizations.
(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives	

Recommendation 16 (Suspicious transaction reporting)	
Rating: Partially compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>The authorities should continue working with lawyers, notaries and accountants to ensure full compliance with the requirements relating to internal control rules.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	The Draft law “On Amendments to the Federal Law “On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism”, which proposes amendments to Article 7.1 of the AML/CFT Law was drafted and submitted to the State Duma in order to ensure lawyers, notaries and auditors to comply with the requirements relating to internal control rules. The draft law fully extends the requirements of Article 7 to lawyers, notaries and auditors (in terms of developing internal control rules and procedures, identifying customers and beneficial owners, recording the requisite details, and reporting information to the competent authority).
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	Pursuant to Item 2 of Article 7.1 of the AML/CFT Law if a lawyer or notary public has any reason to suspect that any of the transactions that are being executed is linked to money laundering or terrorist financing, he must notify the competent authority. Thus, lawyers and notaries constitute special subjects of legal relations related to combating money laundering and terrorist financing who are subject to the above provisions of the Law.
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should take further steps to ensure that covered institutions are aware of the need to pay special attention to customers from countries that do not sufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	The draft law “On Amendments to the Federal Law “On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism” and the RF Code of Administrative Offences” proposes amendments to subparagraph 2 of item 1 of Article 6 of the AML/CFT Law, which specifies the requirements for mandatory control of transactions with monetary funds and other property if they essentially constitute a crediting or transfer of monetary funds to an account, provision or receipt of credit (loan), or transactions in securities, where at least one of the parties is a natural or legal person registered, residing or located in a country (on a territory) that does not apply or insufficiently applies FATF recommendations, or where the said transactions are carried out via an account with a bank registered in the said country (on the said territory). The list of such countries (territories) is determined in accordance with the procedure set out by the Russian Government, taking into account FATF statements. The said list is subject to publication. The official Rosfinmonitoring website publishes FATF statements urging to pay particular attention to transactions with persons from the said countries and territories to be used by institutions while implementing internal control

	<p>procedures.</p> <p>The same practice applies to statements issued by FSRBs.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>The Federal Law No. 176-FZ of July 23, 2010, which amends the AML/CFT Law, provides for amendments to subitem 2 item 1 of Article 6, specifying the monetary transactions subject to mandatory control, i.e., any transaction involving crediting or transferring of funds to an account, granting or receiving a loan, as well as transactions in securities, if at least one of the parties is an individual or legal entity registered or residing in a state (territory) which does not comply, or insufficiently complies, with the recommendations of the Financial Action Task Force (FATF), or if the said transaction is carried out using a bank account registered in such state (territory). The list of such states (territories) is determined in accordance with a procedure approved by the Government of the Russian Federation and with account for the documents issued by the Financial Action Task Force (FATF), and to be published).</p> <p>Additionally, pursuant to subitem 5.5 Item of Article 7, institutions executing transactions with monetary funds and other assets are required to pay particular attention to any transactions with monetary funds or other assets executed by individuals or entities referred to in subparagraph 2 of paragraph 1 of Article 6 of this Federal Law, with their participation, on their behalf, or for their benefit, as well as through the use of a bank account referred to in subitem 2 of item 1 of Article 6 of this Federal Law.</p> <p>As a result of the introduced amendments, Russian Government Resolution No. 173 of March 26, 2003 (as amended December 31, 2010) "On the Procedure for Determining and Publishing the List of States (territories) which do not Comply with Financial Action Task Force (FATF) Recommendations has also been amended. In pursuance of the said Russian Government Resolution, the Rosfinmonitoring has issued a relevant order, which, if necessary, is updated after each FATF Plenary Meeting of FATF and published.</p> <p>For further development of the said requirement, Rosfinmonitoring's letter No. 17 dated August 2, 2011³⁰ stipulates that if the client and / or his contractor, client's representative, beneficiary or founder is registered or residing in a state (territory) which does not comply with Financial Action Task Force (FATF) recommendation, or if the said transaction is carried out using a bank account registered in such state (territory), such client shall be assigned to the high-risk category in terms of ML / FT. Accordingly, such client and his transactions should be subject to enhanced customer due diligence.</p>
<p>(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives</p>	

Recommendation 17 (Sanctions)	
Rating: Partially compliant	
Recommendation of the	<i>Russia should amend article 15.27 Code of Administrative Offences to ensure</i>

³⁰ Please see Appendix III

MONEYVAL Report	<i>that the main violations of the AML/CFT Law are covered, especially regarding non compliance with the requirement to identify the customer and the beneficial owner and to elevate the maximum amount for fines against officials of financial institutions.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>Jointly with the ministries and agencies concerned, Rosfinmonitoring elaborated the Federal draft law “On Amendments to Individual Legislative Enactments of the Russian Federation in the Sphere of Anti Money Laundering and Combating the Financing of Terrorism”, which is currently being considered by the State Duma. The draft law will introduce administrative liability for non-compliance with the AML/CFT legislation by the institution carrying out transactions with monetary funds or other property, which would result in a warning or administrative fine for officials amounting to from twenty to fifty thousand rubles or disqualification for a period of up to one year; for legal persons - fifty to five hundred thousand rubles and administrative suspension of operations for up to 90 days. Therefore, this elevates the administrative liability of officials who face disqualification in addition to higher fines.</p> <p>At the same time, the said draft law would supplement Article 15.27 of the Code of Administrative Offences with new Part 3, which establishes administrative liability for non-compliance with AML/CFT legislation by institutions carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property, lawyers, notaries and persons providing commercial legal or accounting services, if such non-compliance has resulted in money laundering or terrorist financing.</p> <p>These changes make it possible to cover all possible types of the AML/CFT Law violations, both in terms of organization and in terms of implementing AML/CFT internal control rules, including fulfilment of internal control programs and procedures, customer and beneficial owner identification requirements, documentation and reporting of information to the FIU, record-keeping and staff training and education.</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>The Federal Law 176-FZ of June 23, 2010 amended article 15.27 of the Administrative Code, under which all supervisory bodies have been given the authority to impose administrative fines for AML / CFT-related violations. The maximum fine has been significantly increased: up to 1 million rubles for organizations and up to 50,000 rubles for officials. Additionally, AML / CFT-related violations may result in disqualification of officials for up to three years, and in administrative suspension of activity for up to ninety days for legal entities.</p> <p>Article 15.27 of the Code on Administrative Offences as amended by Federal Law No.176-FZ now reads as follows:</p> <p>“Article 15.27. “Failure to Fulfill the AML/CFT Legislation Requirements”</p> <p>1. Violation of the terms for filing application for registration with the authorized agency and (or) violation of the terms for filing internal control rules with the authorized (supervisory) agency for approval - shall entail warning or imposition of administrative fine on executive officers in amount of from ten thousand to fifteen thousand rubles; on legal entities in amount of from twenty thousand to fifty thousand rubles.</p> <p>2. Failure to fulfill the legislation in terms of arranging for and (or) implementing internal control, except for the cases specified in Part 3 of this Article - shall entail warning or imposition of administrative fine on executive officers in amount of from ten thousand to twenty thousand rubles; on legal entities in</p>

	<p>amount of from fifty thousand to one hundred thousand rubles.</p> <p>3. Actions (inactions) specified in Part 2 of this Article which have resulted in failure to file, within the established time period, with the authorized agency information on transactions subject to mandatory control or information on transactions that raise suspicions of being conducted for the ML/FT purposes - shall entail imposition of administrative fine on executive officers in amount of from twenty thousand to fifty thousand rubles or disqualification for a period of up to 1 year; on legal entities in amount of from one hundred thousand to three hundred thousand rubles or administrative suspension of activity for a period of up to sixty days.</p> <p>4. Failure to file information of transactions subject to mandatory control with the authorized agency - shall entail imposition of administrative fine on executive officers in amount from forty thousand to fifty thousand rubles or disqualification for a period of up to one year; on legal entities in amount from two hundred thousand to four hundred thousand rubles or administrative suspension of activity for a period of up to sixty days.</p> <p>5. Non-compliance by an institution carrying out transactions with monetary funds or other property with the AML/CFT legislation which has resulted in laundering of criminal proceeds or financing of terrorism ascertained by valid court decision, unless such actions (inactions) are qualified as criminal offence - shall entail imposition of administrative fine on executive officers in amount from thirty thousand to fifty thousand rubles or disqualification for a period of from one to three years; on legal entities in amount from five hundred thousand to one million thousand rubles or administrative suspension of activity for a period of up to ninety days.</p> <p>Thus the Federal Law No. 176-FZ empowers all supervisory authorities to consider administrative violation cases provided for in Art. 15.27 of the Administrative Code.</p>
(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives	

Recommendation 21 (Special attention for higher risk countries)	
Rating: Partially compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should require FIs to give special attention to business relationships and transactions with persons from or in countries which do not or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations. FIs should also examine as far as possible the background and purpose of business relationships and transactions with persons from or in those countries, to set forth the findings of such examinations in writing and to keep these findings available for competent authorities and auditors for at least five years.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the	The draft law “On Amendments to the Federal Law “On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism” and the RF Code of Administrative Offences” proposes amendments to subparagraph 2 of

report	<p>item 1 of Article 6 of the AML/CFT Law, which specifies the requirements for mandatory control of transactions with monetary funds and other property if they essentially constitute a crediting or transfer of monetary funds to an account, provision or receipt of credit (loan), or transactions in securities, where at least one of the parties is a natural or legal person registered, residing or located in a country (on a territory) that does not apply or insufficiently applies FATF recommendations, or where the said transactions are carried out via an account with a bank registered in the said country (on the said territory). The list of such countries (territories) is determined in accordance with the procedure set out by the Russian Government, taking into account FATF statements. The said list is subject to publication.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>The Federal Law No. 176-FZ of July 23, 2010, which amends the AML/CFT Law, provides for amendments to subitem 2 of item 1 of Article 6, specifying the monetary transactions subject to mandatory control, i.e., any transaction involving crediting or transferring of funds to an account, granting or receiving a loan, as well as transactions in securities, if at least one of the parties is an individual or legal entity registered or residing in a state (territory) which does not comply, or insufficiently complies, with the recommendations of the Financial Action Task Force (FATF), or if the said transaction is carried out using a bank account registered in such state (territory). The list of such states (territories) is determined in accordance with a procedure approved by the Government of the Russian Federation and with account for the documents issued by the Financial Action Task Force (FATF), and to be published).</p> <p>Additionally, pursuant to subitem 5.5 item 5 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT Law, institutions executing transactions with monetary funds and other assets are required to pay increased attention to any transactions with monetary funds or other assets executed by individuals or entities referred to in subparagraph 2 of paragraph 1 of Article 6 of this Federal Law, with their participation, on their behalf, or for their benefit, as well as through the use of the bank account referred to in subparagraph 2 of paragraph 1 of Article 6 of this Federal Law.</p> <p>Russian Governmental Decree No. 967-r of June 10, 2010 approved new Recommendations Concerning the Development of Internal Control Rules for AML / CFT to replace Government Decree No. 983-r, which contains all the specified requirements.</p> <p>Item 19 of the Decree No. 967-r directly requires the program that is used for identification of transactions subject to mandatory control to contain a procedure for studying by organizations of the grounds and goals for the execution of all similar transactions, as well as to record the obtained results in writing.</p> <p>Upon detection of any signs of an unusual transaction, the organization is required to analyze all other transactions executed by the client in question to see if their suspicions of ML /FT are validated. At the same time, the organization is required to also take the following steps:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) ask the client to provide the necessary explanations, including additional information explaining the economic sense of the unusual transaction; b) pay extra attention to all transactions executed by this client, as required by these recommendations; c) take other necessary measures permitted by the laws of the Russian Federation. <p>A relevant record pertaining to the measures taken by the organization in respect to the client and in connection with the identified unusual transaction or its signs</p>

	<p>must be made in an internal transaction report.</p> <p>The results of the study of the grounds for and purpose of the identifying unusual transactions;</p> <p>other documents resulting from the implementation of internal controls and programs shall be kept for a period of at least 5 years from the date of termination of the relationship with the client.</p> <p>The information and documents must be stored in such a way as to allow their speedy retrieval at the request of the Federal Service for Financial Monitoring, as well as other public authorities within their scope of their competence and in cases stipulated by the law of the Russian Federation.</p> <p>Information letter No. 17 stipulates that the client or his contractor who is registered or residing in a state (territory) which does not comply with Financial Action Task Force (FATF) recommendations shall be assigned to the high-risk category in terms of ML / FT; as well as if the client's contractor, founder or transaction beneficiary has ties to such territories, or if transactions are executed using a bank account registered in such state (territory).</p> <p>With regard to high-risk clients, the Order No. 59 and Information letter No. 17 require the application of enhanced supervisory and monitoring measures against such clients and their transactions with the goal of identifying transactions linked to ML / FT and submitting STR to the Rosfinmonitoring</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Since Russia reported that it has a legal structure in the form of a new Law on Special Economic Measures, it should use this structure to implement countermeasures stipulated in Recommendation 21.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	The legal mechanism for implementing the Federal Law dated 30 December 2006 No. 281-FZ "On Special Economic Measures" is well structured and consistent. In case of a threat to the national interest of the Russian Federation and basing on decisions of the Russian Security Council and (or) Chambers of the Federal Assembly, the Russian President decides to implement special measures. The Russian Government and Central Bank elaborate the President's decision with their regulatory acts, while competent authorities ensure effective implementation of these acts within their competence. The important elements of this mechanism are publicity and strict parliamentary control.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	The legal framework contained in the Federal Law No. 281-FZ of December 30, 2006 ³¹ is widely used in practice. Thus, on the basis of this mechanism and in pursuance of the Presidential Decrees, measures were adopted to ensure the implementation of UN Security Council Resolution 1929 of June 9, 2010 and UN Security Council Resolution 1874 of June 12, 2009 ³²).
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>As an urgent measure, Russia should establish a set of countermeasures that may be made obligatory for financial institutions of the country continues to ignore FATF Recommendations.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	Under Article 3 of the Federal Law "On Special Economic Measures", special economic measures include a prohibition of actions with respect to a foreign state and (or) foreign institutions and citizens, as well as persons without citizenship who permanently reside in a foreign state, and (or) the obligation to carry out such actions, as well as other restrictions. Among others such measures may be aimed at: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - prohibiting or restricting financial transactions;

³¹ Please see Appendix III

	<p>- prohibiting or restricting foreign economic transactions;</p> <p>- terminating or suspending international trade agreements and other international treaties of the Russian Federation in the sphere of foreign economic relations.</p> <p>The grounds for applying the said economic measures under part 2 of Article 1 of the Federal Law “On Special Economic Measures” are a combination of circumstances that require an urgent response to an international unlawful deed or an unfriendly act by a foreign state or its agencies and officials, which poses threat to the interests and security of the Russian Federation and (or) infringes on the rights and freedoms of its citizens, as well as pursuant to UN Security Council Resolutions.</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	The legal framework contained in the Federal Law No. 281-FZ of December 30, 2006 is widely used in practice. Thus, on the basis of this mechanism and in pursuance of the Presidential Decrees, measures were adopted to ensure the implementation of UN Security Council Resolution 1929 of June 9, 2010 and UN Security Council Resolution 1874 of June 12, 2009".
(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives	

Recommendation 22 (Foreign branches & subsidiaries)	
Rating: Non compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>The Russian authorities should consider harmonizing the existing legal and regulatory framework to ensure that all foreign operations – both branches and subsidiaries – of Russian FIs observe Russian AML/CFT requirements. Existing guidance for credit institutions on managing the risk associated with foreign operations should be expanded to address ML and TF risks as well as prudential risks. Russian regulators should consider issuing specific guidance to Russian credit institutions regarding the need for increased vigilance over foreign operations in jurisdictions that do not (or insufficiently) apply the FATF recommendations. FIs should be required to inform their Russian supervisor when a foreign operation is unable to observe appropriate AML/CFT measures because of local conditions.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>The draft law “On Amendments to Article the Federal Law On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism” proposes the necessary amendments to the AML/CFT legislation that would expand the Law's effect in space. Once the law enters into force, the AML/CFT requirements will be mandatory for branches and subsidiaries of institutions carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property, which are located outside the Russian Federation.</p> <p>The Federal draft law “On Amendments to Article 7 of the Federal Law “On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism” and Part Two of the Civil Code of the Russian Federation” proposes supplementing Article 7 of this Law with a new item 5.3, which obligates institutions carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property to</p>

	inform the competent as well as supervisory authority that their branches and subsidiaries located in a foreign country (or on a territory) are partially or totally unable to observe AML/CFT legislation or its certain provisions.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>The Federal Law No. 176-FZ of July 23, 2010 broadens the scope of the AML/CFT Law. Thus, the AML / CFT-related requirements must be complied with by subsidiaries and affiliated of institutions executing transactions with monetary funds and other assets located outside Russia, unless it runs contrary to the law of a foreign state.</p> <p>Additionally, the said law establishes a requirement for institutions executing transactions with monetary funds and other assets to notify the competent authority as well as supervisory authority of its jurisdiction of the inability (partial ability) of its overseas branches and subsidiaries located in the state (territory) that impedes the fulfillment of the law on combating money laundering and terrorist financing or its individual provisions to fulfill the said law.</p> <p>With the goal of clarifying the issues related to the application of paragraph 5.3 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT Law Rosfinmonitoring has issued an information letter No. 9 dated January 26, 2011, while the Bank of Russia has issued a letter No. 32-T dated March 9, 2011 "On the Information letter of the Federal Service for Financial Monitoring No. 9 dated January 26, 2011"³².</p>
(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft "other enforceable means" and other relevant initiatives	

Recommendation 23 (Regulation, supervision and monitoring)	
Rating: Partially compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should – as a matter of urgency – strengthen the regime to prevent criminals from becoming major shareholders in a CI by amending the Banking Law to lower the threshold from 20% to 10%, by ensuring that every person who, directly or indirectly, holds more than 10% of the shares or the votes of a credit institution, is checked as a major shareholder and by ensuring that the BoR can refuse an acquisition if the concerned person was convicted for having committed a financial crime.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>The BoR has prepared a draft Strategy for the development of the Russian banking sector till 2012, which is expected to be adopted in the near future in a joint statement by the Russian Government and BoR. The said draft envisages provisions aimed at improvement of the procedure for investment in banking capital and the BoR functions to control major beneficial owners of shares (interest) in credit institutions. The said measures are stipulated simultaneously in item 24 of the draft action plan for the implementation of the abovementioned Strategy in the years 2008-2012. It introduces the following norms:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - establishment of a requirement for the financial condition and business reputation of founders of credit institutions and beneficial owners of over 10% of shares (interest);

³² Please see all these letters in Appendix III

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the BoR is granted powers to assess the business reputation and financial condition of the said persons in accordance with BoR established criteria on a permanent basis; - development of a mechanism whereby the BoR can remove owners of 10% of shares (interest) from managing credit institutions, if their financial condition or business reputation no longer meets the requirements set; - the BoR is granted powers to collect information on persons whose activity has resulted in damage to the financial condition of credit institutions or violation of the law, or has led to situations threatening the interests of creditors or stability of the Russian banking system. <p>The BoR participates in amending the Federal Law "On the Central Bank of the Russian Federation (Bank of Russia)", under which the BoR will be entitled to establish requirements for the business reputation of founders (members) owning over 20 percent of shares (interest) in a credit institution, as well as the right to refuse to approve the acquisition of more than 20 percent of shares (interest) in a credit institution if the business reputation of the acquiring persons is found to be unsatisfactory.</p> <p>At the same time, it is planned to amend the Federal Law "On Banks and Banking Activity" (hereafter the Banking Law), which would establish requirements for the business reputation of credit institution founders or persons acquiring over 20 percent of shares (interest) in a credit institution, which could be used to recognise that business reputation of the said persons is unsatisfactory:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> previous convictions for deliberate economic crimes as well as other deliberate crimes (except minor offences); violation of antimonopoly rules; effective court rulings that have found the owner guilty of illegal actions during bankruptcy proceedings, premeditated and (or) fictitious bankruptcy; failure to take financial rehabilitation measures at credit institutions where the said persons owned, including as part of groups named in Article 11 of the Banking Law, over 20 percent of shares (interest), and in relation to which an arbitration court passed a ruling declaring a credit institution insolvent (bankrupt) or which has been liquidated at the BoR initiative within 10 years preceding from the date of the relevant approval request submitted to the BoR; a court has found the said person guilty of causing damage to any credit institution while serving as a member of the Board of Directors (Supervisory Board) of the credit institution, a sole executive body, his deputy and (or) member of a collegial executive body. <p>It is proposed to consider unsatisfactory business reputation of the founders of a credit institution as a ground to refuse state registration of the credit institution and issuance of a banking license.</p> <p>As regards the introduction of a prohibition for a person convicted of an economic crime to manage a financial institution, please be informed that this recommendation has been incorporated into the Banking Law. Article 11.1 of the Banking Law stipulates that the BoR is entitled to refuse the appointment of a person to the position of the director of a credit institution (branch) on the grounds stipulated in Article 16 of the said Law, one of which is a conviction for an economic crime.</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations	<p>In the Banking Sector Development Strategy of the Russian Federation for the period till 2015, adopted by the Russian Government and the Bank of Russia in December 2010, there have been recorded the provisions aimed at improving</p>

<p>since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>both the procedures for admission of capital to the banking services market and supervisory functions of the Bank of Russia for credit organizations major acquisitions (more than 10 %) of shares (stakes). Indicated measures are simultaneously provided by a plan of action on implementation the Banking Sector Development Strategy in the Russian Federation for the period till 2015 and focused on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - specification of requirements (including those specified for professional reputation) for heads and founders (participants) of a credit organization, conferring to the Bank of Russia authority to monitor compliance of such participants with the established requirements, to carry out collection of information on their professional reputation, to maintain relevant databases to process and keep personal data; - introduction of changes in legislation in relation to simplification of credit organizations securities issuing procedure, as well as providing control on the part of the Bank of Russia on credit organization major shares (stakes) purchasers; - establishing of legal provisions that oblige nominee holders to provide credit organization with all details about owners of credit organization shares and about joint-stock companies share owners that indirectly (through third parties) have significant influence on decisions taken by business administration of a credit organization, including third parties, by the agency of which significant influence on the decisions taken by business administration of a credit organization is exerting indirectly.
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>Russia should as a matter of urgency – and as already recommended in the Second Round Evaluation Report by MONEYVAL – i) implement provisions to prevent criminals from becoming major shareholders in a non-CI FI, ii) raise the awareness of the staff of the FSFM, the FISS and Roscomnadzor and increase their number of staff substantially to ensure that every FI undergoes at least one on-site inspection every three years and that – on a risk basis - more targeted in-depth thematic reviews are carried out, and iii) consolidate and strengthen the system to register and supervise organizations providing MVT services according to article 13.1 Banking Law, including the implementation of fit and proper tests.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>The FSFM has elaborated the Federal draft law “On Amendments to the Federal Law ‘On the Securities Market’ and other legislative acts of the Russian Federation” (in terms of prudential supervision of professional participants in the securities market and procedure of paying compensation to natural persons in the securities market), which includes provisions on preventing criminals from becoming major shareholders in professional participants of the securities market, namely:</p> <p>a person convicted for the deliberate crimes may not own 5 or more percent of common shares (interest) in a professional participant in the securities market.</p> <p>This draft law also stipulates that a person convicted of the deliberate crimes may not be a member of the Board of Directors (Supervisory Board), a member of the collegial executive body of a professional participant in the securities market, a person acting as the sole executive body, or a director of a branch of a professional operator in the securities market, a controller (head of the internal control service), risk manager, or director of a credit institution's structural division established to act as a professional participant in the securities market, or the director of a standalone business unit of a professional operator in the</p>

	<p>securities market.</p> <p>Furthermore, the draft law empowers of the FSFM to request information about business reputation, including information about any prior convictions of their founders from the authorities and professional participants in the securities market.</p> <p>In respect of the in-depth thematic reviews carried out on a risk basis: Rosfinmonitoring provides the FSFM, FISS, and Roscomnadzor with the information concerning institutions that pose the highest risk in terms of ML/TF in regular basis.</p> <p>Basing on Rosfinmonitoring information, supervisory bodies conduct not only unplanned inspections , but also revoke licenses of market participants (in 2008, the FSFM revoked 19 licenses, 6 times as many as in 2006).</p> <p>In the sector of postal money transfers, Rosfinmonitoring in cooperation with Roscomnadzor detected a typological cash conversion scheme. The rising bank fees and the availability of postal money transfer services have created preconditions for cash conversion schemes shift to the postal money transfer sector. A number of unplanned inspections carried out by Roscomnadzor with the participation of Rosfinmonitoring in respect of a number of Post of Russia branches made it possible to detect and deter the spread of this scheme. The relevant materials have been submitted to the law enforcement bodies. Post of Russia incorporated the cash conversion scheme indicators into its procedures relating to internal control rules.</p> <p>To supply AML/CFT supervisory bodies with qualified human resources, Rosfinmonitoring and the International Training and Methodological Centre of Financial Monitoring established by Rosfinmonitoring are working to organize a training and professional development system for specialists of supervisory bodies.</p> <p>The International Training and Methodological Centre of Financial Monitoring has developed Standard Training Program for employees of supervisory bodies and Methodological Recommendations on AML/CFT Training. It is also implementing a program called “Supervision in the Field of AML/CFT” for employees of supervisory bodies in AML/CFT sphere.</p> <p>Additionally, Rosfinmonitoring and other supervisory bodies (FSFM, Roscomnadzor and FISS) have analyzed their needs for additional staff in charge of the AML/CFT issues and submitted proposals to the Russian Government.</p> <p>By the Russian Government Resolution dated 5 December 2008 No. 914 “On amendments to Russian Government resolutions dated 8 April 2004 N. 203 and 30 June 2004 No. 330”, the maximum number of employees of the FISS territorial bodies has been increased from 119 to 160 (effective since 1 January 2009).</p> <p>Special laws that will govern the activity of both bank and non-bank payment agents to be controlled by supervisory bodies determined by the Russian Government (Article 7 of Federal Law No. 103-FZ) as well as the BoR (in relation to bank payment agents) will come into force on 1 January 2010 .</p> <p>The FISS has submitted proposals to amend Federal Law dated 27 November 1992 No. 4015-1 "On the organization of insurance business in the Russian Federation" to the Financial Markets and Money Circulation Committee at the Council of Federation of the Federal Assembly. The proposals concern establishing a prohibition for a person convicted for an economic crime to own</p>
--	--

	and manage financial insurance institution.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>Law of the Russian Federation as of 27.11.1992 No. 4015-1 on Organization of Insurance in the Russian Federation provides the refusal procedures in issuing the license to person (an applicant for a license), if the Head (including the one of a sole executive body) or Chief accountant of the license applicant has non-expunged previous convictions.</p> <p>In February 8, 2011 State Duma of the Russian Federation in first reading adopted a draft law on Amending the Federal Law on Securities Market, as well as other legislative acts of the Russian Federation (in the part of creation the system of prudential supervision for securities market professional participants risks), that includes provisions on prevention the criminals from becoming major shareholders of securities market professional participants, namely:</p> <p>a person cannot own (exercise management) 5 or more percent of securities market professional participant ordinary shares (stakes) if such person has been convicted the crimes in the sphere of economic activity or crimes against state authority.</p> <p>Moreover, this draft law provides that a person who has been convicted for crimes in the sphere of economic activity or crimes against state authority can not be a member of the board of directors (supervisory board), a member of securities market professional participant collegial executive body, as well as such person is not able to perform functions of a sole executive body and to be the head of a branch of a securities market professional participant (except for credit organizations exercising activity of a securities market professional participant), or a supervisor (the Head of the internal control service), or a risks manager, or the head of a credit organization structural unit established for exercising the activity of a securities market professional participant, or the head of securities market professional participant separate structural unit in case of holding by the specified professional participant the professional activities in the securities market.</p> <p>The Federal Law 161-FZ on National Payment System tightens the requirements for organizations engaged in transfers of money and values.</p> <p>Changes, established by the Federal Law No. 121-FZ to art. 13.1 of the Federal Law on Banks and Banking Activity, inter alia, provide that a credit organization with which a bank payment agent has an agreement on exercising the activities on reception of payments from individuals, is obliged to monitor a bank payment agent's compliance with the order of exercising the activities on reception of payments from individuals, in accordance with the rules of settlement in the Russian Federation established by the Bank of Russia, as well as requirements of Article 13.1 of the mentioned Law and the legislation on CML/ FT.</p> <p>Failure to comply with these requirements by a bank payment agent is a ground for termination of an agreement on exercising activities on reception the payments from individuals, concluded between a credit organization and such bank payment agent.</p> <p>A credit organization is obliged to maintain a list of bank payment agents with which this credit organization has concluded agreements on exercising the activities on reception of payments from individuals.</p> <p>Since October 2009, the Federal Financial Markets Service of Russia was informed by Rosfinmonitoring and the Bank of Russia about 134 organizations, supervised by the FFMS, which have in its activity an increased risk of operations aimed at legalization (laundering) of incomes received by criminal</p>

	<p>means, or participation in transactions with the ultimate goal of transferring the non-cash funds into cash money or transactions related to transfer of funds to non-residents under the guise of buying securities. From these organizations, at the time of the first half-year, 44 has been revoked of licenses for professional activities in the securities market (including in result of site inspections - 48 organizations; in result of monitoring of activities - 24 organizations), to 6 organizations the orders have been sent to eliminate breaches, as regards 9 organizations verification activities are conducting. In order to avoid the possibility of financial markets operations in bad faith, 38 organizations in the course of inspection their activities were directed with orders to ban the execution all or part of their operations.</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<p><i>Russia should implement fit and proper tests for leasing companies and amend the Insurance Law to ensure that members of the board of a life insurance company or an insurance broker are fit and proper.</i></p>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>Fit and proper tests for leasing companies are conducted by establishing qualification requirements for special officials in charge of enforcing internal control rules (Government resolution dated 5 December 2005 No. 715), which include a higher education in specific areas or a minimum of 2 years of AML/CFT experience as well as completed AML/CFT training.</p> <p>For other employees of leasing companies, Rosfinmonitoring's Order No. 256 establishes mandatory requirements to undergo systematic AML/CFT training.</p> <p>The FISS has submitted proposals to amend Federal Law dated 27 November 1992 No. 4015-1 "On the organization of insurance business in the Russian Federation" to the Financial Markets and Money Circulation Committee at the Council of Federation of the Federal Assembly. The proposals concern establishing a prohibition for a person convicted for an economic crime to own or manage of a financial institution.</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>Please, refer to the information provided above.</p> <p>As regard to requirement for conducting of training activities, the Russian Finance Monitoring Agency has issued an Order as of 03.08.2010 No. 203 on Approval the Regulations on Requirements to Exercise Training the Staff of Organizations Performing Transactions of Money or Other Property with the Purpose to Counteract Both Legalization (laundering) of Incomes Received by Criminal Means and Financing of Terrorism.</p> <p>In particular, besides the previously mentioned provisions of the Order No. 256, it requires during elaboration by an organization of training program for employees in CML/FT sphere, provided by Order No. 967-r, to include in such program the study of typologies, typical schemes and methods of money laundering and financing of terrorism, as well as criteria for identification and indicators of unusual transactions.</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<p><i>Russia should amend the Law on Communications to ensure that all conceivable money and value transfer service providers are licensed or registered and supervised.</i></p>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>Pursuant to Article 16 of the Federal Law dated 17 July 1999 No. 176-FZ "On Postal Service", postal services, which include postal money transfers, are provided by postal service operators.</p> <p>Pursuant to Article 29 of Federal Law dated 7 July 2003 No. 126-FZ "On Communication", legal persons and individual entrepreneurs provide communication services on the remuneration basis only under a communication services license. Postal services are listed among the types of communication and</p>

	<p>thus are subject of licensing – the list of services approved by the Russian Government Resolution dated 18 February 2005 No. 87.</p> <p>Pursuant to paragraph 5 of item 4 of Article 6 of the AML/CFT Law postal money transfers of amounts exceeding RUB 600,000 carried out by non-credit institutions at a customer’s request are subject to mandatory control. Pursuant to subparagraph 5.1.1.2.5 of item 5.1 of the Russian Government Resolution dated 16 March 2009 No. 228 “On the Federal Service for Supervision of Telecommunications, Information Technologies and Mass Communications”, the Federal Service for Supervision of Telecommunications, Information Technologies and Mass Communications performs state control and supervision of postal services to ensure their compliance with the internal control procedure and procedure for recording, storing and providing information on postal money transfers with the FIU.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>The Federal Law as of 27.06.2011 No. 161-FZ on National Payment System, which will enter into force in 29.09.2011, establishes legal and organizational framework for national payment system, regulates both procedure for providing payment services, including transfer of funds and use of electronic means of payment, and activity of national payment system parties, as well as defines the requirements for organization and operation of payment systems and order of supervision and monitoring in national payment system.</p> <p>In accordance with Article 15 of the Federal Law No. 161-FZ, an organization is entitled to become an operator of payment system after the day of receiving a registration certificate issued by the Bank of Russia.</p> <p>The Bank of Russia supervises activities of payment system operators, as well as it has the right to make decisions on exclusion of information about an organization from the registry of payment systems operators.</p>
<p>(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives</p>	

Recommendation 24 (Regulation, supervision and monitoring)	
Rating: Partially compliant	
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>Russia should improve the data available to analyze the effectiveness of the measures it is taking. Rosfinmonitoring should consider introducing a greater element of risk-based supervision in relation to the categories of firms it supervises. In particular, the risks identified by Rosfinmonitoring in relation to casinos should be subject to greater supervisory attention.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>A new form of statistical reporting (in relation to casinos, gambling outlets, and real estate agents) has been introduced in order to improve statistics used for supervision effectiveness evaluation.</p> <p>The new form involves recording the results of inspections in terms of types of violations and sanctions. For example, this allows keeping statistics on identification violations detected.</p> <p>Russia has also introduced a new form of statistics in supervision of the sector of dealers in precious metals and stones at the Assay Chamber.</p>

	<p>Rosfinmonitoring performs current monitoring of reporting institutions using risk-based approaches that allow using special algorithms to select institutions with heightened ML risks - institutions with an intense cash turnover and institutions transferring capital into offshore accounts, such as casinos.</p> <p>The number of casinos has decreased considerably due to the introduction of strict limitations on casino operations effective since 2007 (pursuant to Federal Law No. 224-FZ). As of 1 January 2009, Russia had some 122 active casinos, all of which were inspected by Rosfinmonitoring in 2007-2008. It resulted in 72 sanctions against officials and legal persons, including 27 violations of the organization of internal control rules (including 19 sanctions for improper identification) and 42 sanctions for failing to report information to Rosfinmonitoring.</p> <p>Due to the prohibition on casino activity outside special gambling zones and due to the financial crisis, many institutions have changed the nature of their business and no longer conduct casino activities. Russia is witnessing an active exodus of the gambling business, in particular casinos, outside the Russian Federation.</p> <p>As to 1.07.09 (the date when four gambling zones started their functioning) no permission to carry out gambling activity has been issued.</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring has analyzed risks in the casino sector. Based on the analysis findings, the agencies concerned (Ministry of Finance, Federal Tax Service, law enforcement bodies) consider proposals to introduce mechanisms that would prevent illegal activities in the gambling business.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>For January 1, 2011 on the territory of Russian Federation two casinos have been operating, that have become registered in Rosfinmonitoring the inspection will be planned in the near future.</p> <p>In accordance with the Federal Law of 22.04.2010 № 64-FZ on Amendments to Article 6 of the Federal Law on State Regulation of Activities Related to Organization of Gambling and on Amendments to Some Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation³³, financial requirements for bookmaking offices and totalizators were strengthen, that is aimed at increasing their liability towards clients and at exclusion of the possibility to carry out activities in the sector of dubious organizations.</p>
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>The role of real estate agents should be examined to ensure that no gaps exist in the AML/CFT system. In particular, the contention that most flows of funds in real estate transactions are routed through the banking sector should be verified, and the level of risk relative to the supervisory activity of Rosfinmonitoring in this area should be considered.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>The Russian real estate assume state control and registration of all deals. The activity of real estate agents involves selection offers, consulting and mediation in the process of deal execution and state registration. Payments between parties take place via non-cash transfers. Mortgage lending as an institute is provided by the credit institutions.</p> <p>In Russia, cash settlement between legal persons as well as between a legal person and a natural person conducts entrepreneurship without establishing a legal person (including real estate agents) is strictly regulated in terms of the possible transaction amount (not to exceeding RUB 100,000 under each contract between mentioned persons) and use of cash for a specific purpose (BoR)</p>

³³ Please see Appendix III

	<p>Directive dated 20 June 2007 No. 1843-U). A limit applies to cash amount that may be stored at the cashier desk of a legal person, which is controlled by a credit institution.</p> <p>Non-cash payments between legal persons via accounts opened with credit institutions is a mandatory procedure. (BoR Provision No. 2-P).</p> <p>This procedure considerably reduces the possibility for a real estate agents to use cash payments. This is confirmed by the number of real estate transaction reports submitted by banks within the framework of mandatory control. For customers of institutions performing as real estate agents, payments via credit institutions are more reliable and minimize the risk of fraudulent schemes (payment using counterfeit money, customer's deceit).</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>Please, refer to the information provided above.</p> <p>In 2010, Rosfinmonitoring has kept fairly high levels of supervising activities with respect to real estate agents. Thus, for the period of 2007 - 1st half of 2011 it were revealed 3273 of such agents, consequently, 935 legal entities and 1168 officials were punished by fines. For four of the most unfair participants of the sector Rosfinmonitoring made a decision on application stricter measures of administrative punishment - administrative suspension of activity.</p>
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>The system for supervising the compliance of lawyers and notaries with the AML/CFT Law should be strengthened considerably.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>As part of their control and supervision measures, the Lawyers and Notaries Chambers took into consideration AML/CFT issues when conducting their inspections. The Lawyers Chambers conducted 4 672 inspections in 2007 and 9 432 inspections in 2008; the Notaries Chambers conducted 2 161 inspections in 2007 and 3 763 inspections in 2008.</p> <p>The Presidential Decree dated 14 July 2008 No. 1079 "On amendments to the Decree of the Russian Presidential Dated 13 October 2004 No. 1313 "Issues of the Russian Ministry of Justice, to the provision adopted by this Decree and on the invalidation of several acts by the President of the Russian Federation" specifies the powers of Ministry of Justice concerning control and supervision over advocates and notaries as well as performance of their professional duties.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>Chambers of Lawyers and Notaries in conducting inspections take into account issues related to AML /CFT. The Lawyers Chambers conducted 2813 inspections of advocates in 2009. From 2010 an approach to organization of inspection has been changed - now not individual lawyers, but attorneys' associations are inspected as structures responsible for compliance with the laws by lawyers who are the members of such association. Thus, 80 attorneys' associations were inspected in 2010. 1989 inspections were conducted by the Chamber of Notaries in 2009 and 2154 in 2010</p>
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>The current regime for licensing casinos will not change until 30 June 2009 (see section 1). In the meantime Russia should consider how it will implement this change and develop plans to deal with unlicensed gambling. The current and future regime contains no specific provision to prevent criminals or their associates from holding an interest in a casino. This should be addressed.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>Concentration of casinos in special gambling zones is mainly necessitated by tougher state control over their activity by all supervisory and controlling bodies: tax authorities, Rosfinmonitoring, law enforcement bodies, and newly created bodies that administer gaming zones and perform licensing and controlling functions.</p>

	<p>The matter of supervision over the casinos taking into account ML risk assessment after 1 July 2009 (the effective date of special requirements for this business under Federal Law No. 294-FZ) along with a specific action plan was examined by the Rosfinmonitoring board devoted to risk-based approaches in conducting supervision.</p> <p>Agreements with the Russian authorities of the four gambling zones will be signed in the near future.</p> <p>In the remaining constituent entities of the Russian Federation, the business of organizing and conducting gambling (including operation of casinos) have been prohibited.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>For January 1, 2011 in the territory of Russia two casinos have been operating, that have become registered in Rosfinmonitoring; an inspection is planned in the near future.</p> <p>Item 2 of Article 6 of the Federal Law as of 29.12.2006 No. 244-FZ on State Regulation of Activities Related to Organization of Gambling and on Amendments to Some Legislative Acts³⁴ of theration specifies that in the capacity of gambling activities organizers can not be the legal entities, the founders (participants) which are the Russian Federation, subjects to the Russian Federation or local authorities, as well as individuals which have a non-expunged previous conviction for economic crimes or for intentional crimes of medium gravity, grave crimes or especially grave crimes.</p>
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>The Assay Chamber should have more specialist AML/CFT staff in order to better perform its functions.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>There are ready amendments to the AML/CFT Law, which specifies the list of jewellery and precious metals business operators obliged to take AML/CFT measures. The range of operators subject to the Law will be limited to trading businesses dealing in precious metals and stones. Museums, dentistry clinics, and the like will be excluded from the range of organisations subject to the AML/CFT Law.</p> <p>This will give the Assay Chamber more opportunities to use its human resources more effectively.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>The Federal Law as of 23.07.2010 No. 176-FZ empowers the Russian Assay Chamber to consider cases on administrative offences of legislation in the sphere of CML / FT.</p> <p>Thus, since January 2011 the Assay Chamber has sufficient authority to bring legal influence.</p> <p>Moreover, at the present time the Russian Finance Ministry has prepared Draft Decree of the President of the Russian Federation on Foundation of the Federal Service for Control of the Circulation of Precious Metals and Stones, and Draft Resolution of the Government of the Russian Federation on the Federal Service for Control of the Circulation of precious metals and stones.</p> <p>These measures are aimed at improvement of state control in the indicated sector. Draft Regulation on the specified service clearly establishes the powers of the supervisory authority for supervision over agents during transactions of precious metals and stones, in compliance with AML / CFT.</p>
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>Russian should consider the proposal by the Assay Chamber to give supervisory bodies greater access to the contents of STRs to enable them to guide supervisory</i></p>

³⁴ Please see Appendix III

	<i>actions better.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	Upon accepting and analyzing STRs, Rosfinmonitoring, if necessary, informs the Russian Assay Chamber about the need to inspect institutions named in the STRs or institutions engaged in high-risk transactions.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>Please, refer to the information provided above.</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring examined the proposal of the Assay Chamber that asks for "supervisors to be given greater access to the content of STR to better direct supervisory activities." However, the AML/CFT Law contains a direct prohibition concerning disclosure of information by employees of the authorized body in the execution of the relevant law that is contained in the STRs. Information based on the STRs may be transferred only to law enforcement agencies when there is sufficient grounds to believe that an operation or transaction is connected ML/FT.</p> <p>In this connection, in order to coordinate the activity of the supervisory agencies, Rosfinmonitoring, on permanent basis sends information in respect of the supervised organizations which have shortcomings in internal control system or avoid fulfilling the AML / CFT legislation or carrying out suspicious transactions which may be conducted for the ML / FT purposes.</p> <p>In addition, stats on compliance with the AML / CFT legislation, both as a whole and in the context of each supervised organization, are sent to supervisory authorities on a regular basis.</p> <p>Information from Rosfinmonitoring on trends and risks identified in various sectors during monitoring of information from database is an additional informational source for coordination of supervision.</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should take further steps to strengthen the AML/CFT supervisory regime for accountants.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>In order to strengthen the AML/CFT supervisory regime for accountants and audit firms the following measures have been taken:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) On 11 February 2009 the Russian Ministry of Finance adopted a Standard Program for checking AML/CFT compliance by an audit firm (individual auditor). The Standard Program is published on the official Ministry of Finance website and submitted to professional audit associations for implementation; 2) Professional audit associations that conduct external control of the quality of work of audit firms and auditors received a letter from the Ministry of Finance's Department for the Regulation of State Financial Control, Audit Practice, Accounting and Reporting dated 31 March 2009, which underlines the need to take the appropriate AML/CFT measures; 3) Changes have been made to the form of the annual activity reports of professional audit associations, which aimed at collection and summarising of information on control measures in AML/CFT taken by such institutions and measures taken by them based on the findings of such control; 4) A specialized training centre accredited with Rosfinmonitoring conducted professional training for Ministry of Finance specialists who supervise auditors, in matters of AML/CFT control.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of	With the purpose of clarification of issues on implementation of the AML/CFT legislation, training activities for notaries and auditors, with participation of representatives of supervisory authorities (MoF) and the Rosfinmonitoring, are conducted on a regular basis.

the first progress report	In addition, the Russian Ministry of Finance with the participation of Rosfinmonitoring elaborated a Draft of the Federal Law on Amendments to Some Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation aimed at strengthening of the AML/CFT system in the indicated sector. Responsibilities of auditors in accordance with the AML/CFT Law are clarifying that will allow improving the efficiency of their work in general.
(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives	

Recommendation 25 (Guidelines & feedback)	
Rating: Partially compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should implement the requirement to issue guidance to FIs, beyond the explanation of the law.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>In order to comply with the requirement to issue guidance, besides explanations of the law the Ministry of Finance have taken the following measures</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) issued an information letter of Ministry of Finance Department for the Regulation of State Financial Control, Audit Practice, Accounting and Reporting dated 13 April 2009 on organization by audit firms and individual auditors of work to comply with the requirements of the Federal Law “On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism”. This letter has been published on the Ministry of Finance official website, in the official press publication of the Ministry of Finance - the Financial Gazette, as well as submitted to professional audit associations; 2) The Audit Practice Council at the Ministry of Finance has approved methodological recommendations on checking compliance with AML/CFT laws and regulations; 3) Professional audit associations received a letter from the Department for the Regulation of State Financial Control, Audit Practice, Accounting and Reporting dated 31 March 2009, which pays attention to the need to place the relevant information on official websites and update such information; 4) With its 10 March 2009 Order the Ministry of Finance approved a professional development program for auditors entitled “Combating corruption in the course of audit practice”. <p>Besides, FSFM Order dated 3 June 2002 No. 613/r “On methodological recommendations for professional participants in the securities market implementing the requirements of AML/CFT Law the FSFM is currently developing methodological materials on AML/CFT.</p> <p>The BoR is implementing measures on the permanent basis to improve AML internal control rules programs at credit institutions and their practical implementation. Since September 2007 the BoR issued a number of letters addressed to credit institutions, containing recommendations to control transactions via credit institutions with the potential purpose of laundering money or terrorist financing.</p> <p>BoR Letter dated 27 April 2007 No. 60-T “On the special features of the service</p>

	<p>by credit organisations of clients with the use of the technology of distance access to the bank account of a client (including Internet banking”); BoR Letter dated 28 September 2007 No. 155-T “On invalid passports”; BoR Letter dated 30 October 2007 No. 170-T “On the Specifics of providing banking services to non-resident legal persons that are not Russian taxpayers”; BoR Letter dated 2 November 2007 No. 173-T “On the recommendations of the Basel Committee for Banking Supervision”; BoR Letter dated 26 November 2007 No. 183-T “On invalid passports”; BoR Letter dated 18 January 2008 No. 8-T “On the application of item 1.3 of Article 7 of the Federal Law “On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism”; BoR Letter dated 13 January 2008 No. 24-T “On raising the effectiveness of preventing suspicious transactions”; BoR Letter dated 4 July 2008 No. 80-T “On strengthening control over individual transactions in promissory notes by natural and legal persons”; BoR Letter dated 3 September 2008 No. 111-T “On raising the effectiveness of preventing suspicious transactions by customers of credit institutions”; BoR Letter dated 23 January 2009 No. 8-T “Supplementing BoR Letter dated 1 November 2008 No. 137-T”; BoR Letter dated 10 February 2009 No. 20-T “On relations with financial institutions of the USA”; BoR Letter dated 27 February 2009 No. 31-T “On information published on the Rosfinmonitoring website”; BoR Letter dated 01 November 2008 No.137-T “On raising the effectiveness of preventing suspicious transactions”</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring prepared the aforementioned Information Letter No. 2 and Order No. 103 dated 8 May 2009.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>Feedback from organizations is carried out by several means, including improvement of the regulatory framework in sphere of CML / FT (Government Order as of 10.06.2010 No. 967-p includes recommendations on elaboration of the rules related to internal control, the Order of Rosfinmonitoring as of October 5, 2009 No. 245 approves an instruction on submission of the information envisaged by the AML/CFT Law to the Rosfinmonitoring, Order of 03.08.2010 No. 203 establishes requirements for preparation and training of organization staff for the AML/CFT purposes, Order of 11 August 2010 No. 213 provides guidance on the information included in report made by an officer about an operation (transaction), which is subject to mandatory control, or unusual operation (transaction), Order as of May 8, 2009 No.103 provides guidance for organizations on development of unusual transactions indicators identification and determination criteria, the Order as of 17.02.2010 No. 59 establishes requirements for identification of clients and beneficiaries with taking into account the risk of ML / FT).</p> <p>At the same time, Rosfinmonitoring issued informative letters explaining the AML/CFT requirements (No. 1 as of 08.08.2008, No. 2 as of 18.03.2009, No. 3 as of 11.08.2009, No. 7 as of 21.09.2010, w/n of 26.03.2010, No. 8 of 13.01.2011, No. 9 of 26.01.2011).</p> <p>In addition, Roscomnadzor sent to all territorial branches the “Guidelines on Qualification of actions (or inaction) in accordance with the relevant parts of Article 15.27 of the Administrative Offences Code of the Russian Federation (Part 1 - 4), on imposition of an administrative penalty, as well as enforcement of provisions of Article 1.7 of the Administrative Offences Code” (letter of 11.03.2011 No. KA-04457).</p> <p>The FSFM has issued and published for professional participants of the securities market participants a newsletter as of 10.02.2010 "On measures to prevent the</p>

	<p>use of capital market instruments in money laundering schemes."</p> <p>The Bank of Russia continued on a permanent basis to carry out actions aimed at improving of internal "AML" control programs of credit organizations and practices for their implementation through issue the letters addressed to credit organizations with recommendations for the control of the operations which are conducted through credit organizations, probably with the purpose of legalization (laundering) of funds obtained by criminal means and terrorist financing (a letter from the Bank of Russia of 27.02.2009 No. 31-T "On the information posted on the website of Rosfinmonitoring", letter by the Bank of Russia of 11.06.2010 No. 83-T, a letter by the Bank of Russia of 28.04.2010 No. 61-T "On the information posted on the official website of the Association of Russian Banks," a letter by the Bank of Russia of 16.09.2010 No. 129-T "On reinforcement of monitoring activities for certain transactions of legal entities," a letter by the Bank of Russia of 17.02.2011 No. 19-T "On the information posted on the website of Rosfinmonitoring"), a letter by the Bank of Russia of 09.03.2011 No. 32-T "On informative letter of Rosfinmonitoring of 26.01.2011 No. 9 "and a letter by the Bank of Russia as of 12.05.2011 No. 70-T.</p> <p>The Federal Law as of 27.06.2011 No. 162-FZ on Amendments to Certain Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation in Connection with the Adoption of the Federal Law On the National Payment System, which introduces amendments to the AML/CFT Law and will enter into force in 29.09.2011, is entitled the Russian Government and the Bank of Russia with additional authority to establish requirements on elaboration of internal control rules by organizations carrying out operations with monetary funds or other property.</p>
(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft "other enforceable means" and other relevant initiatives	

Recommendation 29 (Supervisors)	
Rating: Partially compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should amend the BoR Law to elevate the maximum amount for fines against credit institutions substantively and to ensure that the BoR has the competence to impose adequate fines on directors and senior management of banks for violation of AML/CFT requirements.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	The possibility of practical implementation of this requirement is currently being examined as part of work on the Federal draft law "On Amendments to Individual Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation in the Sphere of Anti Money Laundering and Combating the Financing of Terrorism".
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	Federal Law of 23.07.2010 No. 176-FZ strengthens the administrative responsibility for non-fulfillment by an organization, carrying out operations with monetary funds or other property, laws on counteraction for legalization (laundering) of funds obtained from criminal activities and terrorist financing that implies a warning or an administrative fine on officials of ten thousand to fifty thousand rubles or disqualification for up to three years; and on legal entities -

	<p>from fifty thousand to one million rubles or administrative suspension of activity for up to ninety days. It means that an administrative responsibility for officials becomes tougher, not only the sum of fine is increased in respect of them, but also a disqualification is introduced.</p> <p>At the same time this Law provides an addition to Article 15.27 of the Administrative Offences Code; this is a new provision that establishes administrative liability for non-fulfillment by an organization, carrying out operations with monetary funds or other property, laws on counteraction to legalization (laundering) of funds obtained from criminal activities and terrorist financing, if such non-fulfillment entailed legalization (laundering) of funds obtained from criminal activities and terrorist financing established by a valid court sentence.</p> <p>These changes cover all possible types of the AML/CFT legislation violations - both in terms related to organization and realization of internal control for AML/CFT purposes, including realization of internal control programs and procedures, identification requirements for persons in service, beneficiaries, documentary record and providing information to an authorized body, keeping of documents and information, as well as training of personnel.</p> <p>In accordance with the Federal Law No. 176-FZ all supervisory bodies, including the Bank of Russia, are authorized to consider cases on administrative offences under Part 1-4 art.15.27 of the Administrative Code and to impose administrative sanctions for violations.</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<p><i>Russia should amend the BoR Law to ensure that a licence of a CI can be revoked when the owners are convicted of a relevant criminal or economic offence and to ensure that a licence of a CI can also be revoked for not filing STRs with the FIU. Russia should also ensure that the licence of a CI can be revoked not only if repeated violations occur during one year and thus, amend the BoR Law accordingly.</i></p>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>Implementing this recommendation in the proposed context seems to be inexpedient. Revoking a license in cases when the bank owners have been convicted of criminal or economic offences does not have a direct relation to a credit institution's activity in the banking services market. If such changes are adopted, this may lead to situation when even major banks may face revocation of their licenses. License revocation as a sanction is applied to a credit institution as a legal person. At the same time credit institution may not be responsible for criminal or economic offences committed by the founders, since the requirements for their business reputation are not established by law.</p> <p>Please note that in relation to this recommendation the Russian delegation to FATF, MONEYVAL and EAG Plenaries recorded a position according to which this recommendation may not be accepted for implementation, since it is not based on the FATF evaluation methodology and its implementation could cause adverse social consequences.</p> <p>Concerning the BoR efforts on prevention of criminals to management of credit institutions, see comments to Recommendation 23.</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>Please, refer to the information provided above.</p> <p>The Bank of Russia, in accordance with Article 74 of the Federal Law of 10.07.2002 No. 86-FZ on the Central Bank of the Russian Federation (the Bank of Russia), is entitled to withdraw a license to carry out banking transactions from a credit organization on the grounds provided by the Federal Law on Banks and Banking.</p>

	The list of such grounds specified in Article 20 of the Federal Law on Banks and Banking, which does not limit the possibility to revoke a license only for repeated violation of the AML/CFT Law within one year. The Bank of Russia also has the right to revoke a license, in particular, in case of finding the facts related to substantial misreporting of data, delays in reporting and non-compliance with other Federal Laws, not only with the AML/CFT Law (in total, approximately two dozen grounds).
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should abolish the limitation of the BoR to conduct on-site inspections in article 73 item 5 BoR Law, as already recommended in the MONEYVAL Second Round Report.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	Federal Law dated 28 April 2009 No. 60-FZ amended Article 1 of the Federal Law dated 26 December 2008 No. 294-FZ "On the protection of rights of legal entities and individual entrepreneurs during state control (supervision) and municipal control", which eliminated limitations on the frequency and procedure for organizing and conducting inspections as part of measures to control compliance with AML/CFT legislation. This norm covers all AML/CFT supervisory bodies.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	Please, refer to the information provided above.
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should in addition amend the relevant laws to ensure that a licence can be revoked for violation of AML/CFT requirements also in the non-banking and non-securities sectors, and when the owners are convicted of a relevant criminal or economic offence (concerns the FSFM, the FISS, Roscomnadzor and Rosfinmonitoring).</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	The FSFM has elaborated the Federal draft law "On Amendments to the Federal Law 'On the Securities Market' and other legislative acts of the Russian Federation" (in terms of prudential supervision of professional participants in the securities market and procedure of paying compensation to natural persons in the securities market), which includes provisions on preventing criminals from becoming major shareholders in professional participants of the securities market. If such provisions are violated, the FSFM will be entitled to revoke the relevant license. Articles 32.3, 32.4, 32.6, 32.8 of the RF Law dated 27 November 1992 No. 4015-1 "On the organization of insurance business in the Russian Federation" and item 5.2 of the Provision on the Federal Insurance Supervision Service adopted by Russian Government Decree dated 30 June 2004 No. 330, empowers the FISS to impose sanctions on insurance market operators - up to revoking their license, including the violations of Russian AML/CFT legislation. The FISS has submitted proposals to amend Federal Law dated 27 November 1992 No. 4015-1 "On the organization of insurance business in the Russian Federation" to the Financial Markets and Money Circulation Committee at the Council of Federation of the Federal Assembly. The proposals concern establishing a prohibition for a person convicted for an economic crime to own and manage financial insurance institution.
Measures taken to implement the	On 08.02.2011 State Duma of the Russian Federation in its first reading passed a

<p>recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>draft law on Amending the Federal Law on Securities Market, as well as other legislative acts of the Russian Federation (regarding creation a system for prudential supervision of risks on securities market professional participants), that includes provisions on prevention of criminals from becoming securities market professional participants major shareholders, namely: a person cannot own (exercise management) 5 or more percent of ordinary shares (stakes) of a securities market professional participant if such person has been convicted for crimes in the sphere of economic activity or crimes against state authority. Moreover, this draft law provides that a person who has been convicted in crimes related to economic activity or crimes against state authority can not be the board of directors member (supervisory board member), a member of the securities market professional participant collegial executive body, as well as such person is not able to perform functions of a sole executive body and to be the head of the securities market professional participant branch (except for credit organizations exercising activity of a securities market professional participant), or a supervisor (the head of the internal control service), or a risks manager, or the head of credit organization structural unit established for exercising activity of a securities market professional participant, or the head of a securities market professional participant separate structural unit in case of holding by the specified professional participant of professional activities in the securities market. In case of violations of these provisions the FSFM will be entitled to revoke the relevant license. In accordance with Article 2 of the Federal Law as of 17.07.1999 No. 176-FZ35 on Postal Service, organizations of the federal postal service are the organization of postal services, which are state unitary enterprises and state institutions established on the basis of property in federal ownership (i.e. Federal State Unitary Enterprise "Russian Post" is state-owned).</p>
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>Russia should – as a matter of urgency (i) amend the relevant laws to ensure that the FSFM, the FISS and ROSCOMNADZOR have the power to impose fines on their FIs and on directors and senior management of their FIs for violation of AML/CFT requirements and to replace directors and senior management of their FIs for violation of AML/CFT requirements, (ii) abolish the limitation of the FISS to compel and obtain access to banking secrecy information and (iii) increase the staff for the FSFM, the FISS and ROSCOMNADZOR to ensure that the system for sanctioning financial institutions works effectively.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>1. The Federal draft law has been elaborated, which empowers the FSFM,FISS, Roscomnadzor to examine administrative offence cases stipulated in Article 15.27 of the RF Code of Administrative Offences "Failure to fulfil the AML/CFT legislation requirements". 2.The FSFM has elaborated the Federal draft law “On Amendments to the Federal Law ‘On the Securities Market’ and other legislative acts of the Russian Federation” (in terms of prudential supervision of professional participants in the securities market and procedure of paying compensation to natural persons in the securities market), which empowers the FSFM to demand professional participant of the securities market replace its management, namely: “The federal executive authority for the securities market may – upon the market participant’s failure to eliminate violations detected in its operation in its activity</p>

³⁵ Please see Appendix III

	<p>– demand replacement of the sole executive body of the professional participant of the securities market (with the exception of credit institutions), the director of the branch of a professional participant of the securities market, the director of the relevant structural division in an institution acting as a professional participant of the securities market”.</p> <p>The same draft law directly stipulates the FSFM’s right to request from the state authorities and professional participants of the securities market the information on the business reputation, including information on the absence of prior convictions of its founders, request credit institutions with which professional participants of the securities market have opened accounts, and information on transactions made via the said accounts.</p> <p>3.Rosfinmonitoring and other supervisory bodies (FSFM, Roscommnadzor and FISS) have analyzed their needs for additional staff in charge of the AML/CFT issues and submitted proposals to the Russian Government.</p> <p>By the Russian Government Resolution dated 5 December 2008 No. 914 “On amendments to Russian Government resolutions dated 8 April 2004 N. 203 and 30 June 2004 No. 330”, the maximum number of employees of the FISS territorial bodies has been increased from 119 to 160 (effective since 1 January 2009).</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>On 08.02.2011 State Duma of the Russian Federation in its first reading passed a draft law on Amending the Federal Law on Securities Market, as well as other legislative acts of the Russian Federation (regarding creation a system of prudential supervision on securities market professional participants risks), that includes provisions on prevention the criminals from becoming major shareholders of securities market professional participants, namely:</p> <p>a person cannot own (exercise management) 5 or more percent of securities market professional participant ordinary shares (stakes) if such person has been convicted for crimes in the sphere of economic activity or crimes against state authority.</p> <p>Moreover, this draft law provides that a person who has been convicted for crimes in the sphere of economic activity or crimes against state authority can not be a member of the board of directors (supervisory board), a member of securities market professional participant collegial executive body, as well as such person is not able to perform functions of a sole executive body and to be the head of securities market professional participant branch (except for credit organizations exercising activity of a securities market professional participant), or a supervisor (the head of the internal control service), or a risks manager, or the head of credit organization structural unit established for exercising activity of a securities market professional participant, or the head of securities market professional participant separate structural unit in case of holding by the specified professional participant of professional activities in the securities market.</p> <p>In case of violations of these provisions the FSFM will be entitled to cancel the relevant license.</p> <p>The Federal Law as of 23.07.2010 No. 176-FZ strengthens administrative responsibility for non-fulfillment by an organization, carrying out operations with monetary funds or other property, of laws on counteraction to legalization (laundering) of funds obtained from criminal activities and terrorist financing, that implies a warning or an administrative fine on officials of ten thousand to fifty thousand rubles or disqualification for up to three years; and on legal entities</p>

	<p>- from fifty thousand to one million rubles or administrative suspension of activity for up to ninety days. It means that an administrative responsibility for officials becomes tougher, not only the sum of fine is increased in respect of them, but also a disqualification is introduced.</p> <p>Together with this, the indicated Act provides for an addition to Art. 15.27 of the Administrative Offences Code; this is a new provision that establishes administrative liability for non-fulfillment by an organization, carrying out operations with monetary funds or other property, of laws on counteraction to legalization (laundering) of funds obtained from criminal activities and terrorist financing, if such non-fulfillment entailed legalization (laundering) of funds obtained from criminal activities and terrorist financing established by a valid court sentence.</p> <p>These changes cover all possible types of legislation violations for AML/CFT - both in terms of internal control organization and realization for AML /CFT purposes, including realization of internal control programs and procedures, identification requirements for persons in service, beneficiaries, documentary record and giving of information to an authorized body, storage of documents and information, as well as training of personnel.</p> <p>In accordance with the Federal Law No. 176-FZ all supervisory bodies are authorized to consider cases on administrative offences under Part 1-4 Article 15.27 of the Administrative Offences Code.</p> <p>By the Presidential Decree as of 04.03.2011 No. 27036 on Measures to Improve Government Regulation in the Sphere of the financial market of the Russian Federation the Federal Insurance Supervision Service was annexed to the Federal Financial Markets Service, the maximum number of officers is increased.</p> <p>In 2009 the Federal Financial Markets Service of Russia revoked 23 licenses, in 2010 - 41 licenses, for the first half-year of 2011 - 44 licenses, for 2009 - 2011 64 qualification certificates for officials are canceled, from January 2011 penalties are applicable (21 for professional participants, 43 for insurers).</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<p><i>Russia should amend the Law on Private Pension Funds to enable the FSFM to demand and obtain access to all the requisite data, and amend the Law on the Securities Market to ensure that a licence of a corresponding FI can also be revoked for not filing STRs with the FIU and abolish the precondition of repeated violations during one year to revoke a licence.</i></p>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>The FSFM has elaborated the Federal draft law “On Amendments to the Federal Law “On the Securities Market” and other legislative acts of the Russian Federation” (in terms of prudential supervision over professional participants of the securities market and procedure of paying compensation to natural persons in the securities market), which envisages amendments to the Federal Law “On the Securities Market”, the Federal Law “On Investment Funds”, the Federal Law “On Private Pension Funds”, including in terms of granting the FSFM powers to request information from credit institutions, with which professional participants of the securities market, asset management companies, and private pension funds have accounts, information about transactions via such accounts.</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of	<p>The draft law was elaborated which provides for an addition to Article 5 of the AML/CFT Law of such kind of organizations as non-state pension funds, that have a license to carry out activities related to provision of pensions and</p>

³⁶ Please see Appendix III

<p>the first progress report</p>	<p>retirement insurance.</p> <p>In accordance with Art. 34 of Federal Law of 07.05.1998 No. 75-FZ on Non-state Pension Funds³⁷, the Russian Federal Financial Markets Service, while exercising supervision, has the right of unimpeded access to the premises of funds, as well as the right of access to documents and information (including the information in respect of which there is a requirement to ensure confidentiality) which are necessary for exercising of the control; as well as the right of access to software and hardware which ensure fixation, processing and storage of the indicated information</p>
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>Russia should clearly determine the competence of Roscomnadzor to conduct onsite inspections of compliance with the full range of AML/CFT requirements, request and receive data.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>Federal Law dated 28 April 2009 No. 60-FZ amended Article 1 of the Federal Law dated 26 December 2008 No. 294-FZ "On the protection of rights of legal entities and individual entrepreneurs during state control (supervision) and municipal control", which eliminated limitations on the frequency and procedure for organizing and conducting inspections as part of measures to control compliance with AML/CFT legislation. This norm covers all AML/CFT supervisory bodies.</p> <p>Mincomsvyaz is considering the possibility of establishing a separate type of checks into the observance by the federal postal services of the procedure for recording, storing and disclosing information and organizing internal control rules. It is also considering the issue on the adoption of the Administrative Regulations of the Federal Service for Telecommunication, Information Technologies, and Mass Communications on the observance by the federal postal services of the procedure for recording, storing and disclosing information and organizing internal control rules.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>Please, refer to the information provided above.</p> <p>Some amendments were made to article 1 of the Federal Law of 26.12.2008 No. 294-FZ on Protection of the Rights of Legal Entities and Individual Entrepreneurs in the exercise of state control (supervision), and municipal control; these amendments, introduced by the Federal Law of 28.04.2009 No. 60-FZ, establish that there are no restrictions in terms of frequency, as well as the procedure for organizing and performing of inspections while monitoring compliance with legislation in the sphere of AML /CFT. This rule applies to all supervisory bodies in the field of AML /CFT, including the Federal Agency on Monitoring in the Sphere of Communications, Informational Technologies and Mass Communications.</p> <p>As well, in accordance with the Federal Law No. 176-FZ all supervisory bodies are empowered to consider cases on administrative offences under Part 1-4 of art. 15.27 of the Administrative Offences Code.</p>
<p>(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft "other enforceable means" and other relevant</p>	

³⁷ Please see Appendix III

initiatives	
--------------------	--

Recommendation 30 (Resources, integrity and training)	
Rating: Partially compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>The number of Rosfinmonitoring vacancies is somewhat high, and all vacancies should be filled as a priority task.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	Total staff of Rosfinmonitoring and its Interregional Departments is 645 employees. In 2008 it had 102 vacancies, as to August 2009 it has 60 vacancies. The most of vacancies have been announced.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	Total number of vacancies in Rosfinmonitoring and its Inter-Regional Departments is in December 2010 - 59, as of June 30, 2011 - 27. Thus, as a result of deliberate personnel policy, the number of vacancies has decreased 3.7 times, in comparison with 2008. The rest of vacancies has been announced
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>All law enforcement agencies should continue strengthening the existing interagency AML/CFT training programs in order to have specialized financial investigators and experts at their disposal.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	The Economic Security Department of the Russian Ministry of Internal Affairs is staffed by 1 046 employees. RF Presidential Decree dated 6 September 2009 established a structural division-Operative and Detective Bureau No. 10 of the MIA, where the 2nd and 3rd units with a total staff of 34 officers are imposed AML/CFT duties. Previously these functions were carried out by the 2nd department of Operative and Detective Bureau No. 7 of the MIA (with a total staff of 14 officers). The MIA and the International Training and Methodological Centre of Financial Monitoring elaborated a new standard training program for Russian law enforcement officers carrying out the activity in AML/CFT sphere. The draft program is currently being reconciled. It is expected to be included into all educational disciplines of legal specialties in higher professional education system of the Russian MIA aimed at detailed study of the forms and methods of law enforcement activity in the financial sphere. In the educational system of the Federal Security Service of Russia, the AML/CFT issues are included into educational plans on combating crimes that pose threats to economic security of the Russian Federation. They are studied at 13 courses of professional retraining and professional development for operative and administrative staff of the Federal Security Service (18 groups 25 - 30 persons each attend for these 13 courses throughout the year). AML/CFT issues are also included in educational plans of the newly established economic security department at the Russian Federal Security Service Academy. To examine and expand the positive experience, in 2008 the FSS conducted an overview of the practice of detecting and investigating crimes stipulated in Articles 174 and 174.1 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation by Federal Security Service agencies. As a result methodological recommendations on raising the effectiveness of dealing with such cases have been elaborated and disseminated to territorial FSS bodies. The findings of this overview with recommendations have been also published in the Bulletin of the FSS Economic

	Security Service.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>As for the Federal Drug Control Service (FSKN), in accordance with current legislation, the number of employees of territorial bodies of the Federal Drug Control Service of Russia, which are working in the area related to counteraction to legalization (laundering) of funds, obtained from drug trafficking, depends on the drug situation in the region.</p> <p>Special seminars on training of employees of operational and investigative units of the FSKN of Russia, which specialize in identification, prevention and investigation of crimes related to legalization (laundering) of drug revenues, are permanently carried out with support of the International Training and Methodological Center of Financial Monitoring (hereinafter – the ITMCFM).</p> <p>In 2010, on the basis of the ITMCFM, approximately 60 employees of the FSKN of Russia, which are working in the field of counteraction to money laundering and terrorist financing, were trained.</p> <p>In addition, in March and June of 2011 on the basis of the ITMCFM, more than 70 employees of the FSKN of Russia, which are working in the field of counteraction to money laundering and terrorist financing, were trained.</p> <p>The Government of the Russian Federation continuously pays particular attention to improvement of functioning of the AML/CFT system and operation of the law enforcement and supervisory agencies involved in. Special attention is focused on elimination of deficiencies revealed by the FATF experts/assessors in the course of mutual evaluation. To further enhance these efforts across the entire territory of the Russian Federation the Government of the Russian Federation and the Administration of the President of the Russian Federation tasked Rosfinmonitoring with arranging for and holding meetings with the government agencies operating within the AML/CFT system framework in all Federal Districts. Such meetings were held in March – April 2011 in a number of cities including those visited by the FATF, MONEYVAL and EAG experts/assessors (Moscow, St. Petersburg, Rostov-on-Don, Nizhny Novgorod, Yekaterinburg, Novosibirsk and Khabarovsk). The meetings were jointly chaired by the Head of Risfinmonitoring and the Plenipotentiary Representatives of the RF President in respective Federal Districts. Officials and officers–in-field from almost all law-enforcement, supervisory bodies, prosecutor’s offices and the Central Bank participated in these meetings. The round tables were arranged at the meetings for field personnel to share the best practices. It is expected that such meetings will be held in future since they help to optimize the AML/CFT system functioning.</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should intensify international training programs on ML and TF, especially for law enforcement officers in the (cross-border) regions.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>Seminars for law enforcement officials have been planned at the International Training and Methodological Centre of Financial Monitoring and as part of the MOLI-RU 2 project developed jointly with the Council of Europe and being implemented in Russia.</p> <p>Seminars in Vladivostok and Murmansk have been scheduled for October-November 2009 as part of the MOLI-RU 2 project.</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>Please, refer to the information provided above.</p> <p>In addition to the mentioned measures on ensuring of an adequate level of training for specialists (operational officers and investigators), questions on AML/CFT are introduced by the Department of Economic Security of the Russian FSB Academy into educational programs "Participation of the Federal</p>

<p>report</p>	<p>Security Service in ensuring of economic security, counteraction to corruption and organized crime " – for groups of professional training and retraining, as well as for groups of training - into an integrated course of specialization" Participation of the Federal Security Service in ensuring of economical security in the financial sector." Within the framework of the mentioned programs and courses, issues of international cooperation in combating financing of terrorism and money laundering are also discussed.</p> <p>A HR-department of the Russian Federal Security Service made a decision to organize a specialized group for advanced training of operational staff of the Federal Security Service in the field of counteraction to legalization (laundering) of revenues obtained by criminal means and terrorist financing. This group will be set up in 2012 at the Institute of the Russian FSS. At the present time employees of the Institute, in collaboration with the Economic Security Service of the Russian FSS, are developing training order documentation and the relevant training and educational materials.</p> <p>In April 2011 in Moscow, at the invitation of the U.S. DEA representative in Russia, training activities were organized for 26 employees of the FDSC of Russia, specializing in detection and prevention of facts of legalization of revenues from illicit traffic of drugs. These training activities also were attended by employees of Rosfinmonitring.</p>
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>Russia should analyze the small number of convictions in ML cases compared to the number of ML crimes detected and consider greater specialization within the General Prosecutor's Office and judicial bodies, including creation of specialized units at the GPO and specialized ML and TF courts in order to raise the effectiveness of the system.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>On 30 June 2009, an interagency meeting of the heads of law enforcement agencies and Rosfinmonitoring under the chairmanship of the Russian Presidential assistant O.A. Markov was held. Based on the results of this meeting the GPO was instructed to analyze the effectiveness of the law enforcement efforts in the AML/CFT sphere. Once this analysis is completed, the issue of setting up specialized ML and TF units will be considered.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>Prosecutor General of the Russian Federation, in order to improve supervision, has issued an Order as of 19.01.2010 No. 11 "On organization of the prosecutor's supervision over implementation of the AML/CFT legislation"</p> <p>In particular, according to this Order, public prosecutor's offices are focused on prevention of illegal and unjustified institution of an action for crimes under Art. 174 and 174.1 of the Criminal Code. They also have to react on facts of premature institution of an action in the absence of traces of a crime, as a result of which criminal revenues were obtained.</p> <p>Moreover, at least once in six months they also have to make a case study of operational records for completeness and legality of measures undertaken by the bodies carrying out operative and investigative activities; to analyze statistical data on the results of operative and investigative activities aimed at detection, suppression, disclosure and prevention of crimes related to legalization (laundering) of funds, obtained by criminal means, and terrorist financing.</p> <p>As well, this Order prescribes:</p> <p>to provide</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -participation in courts during hearing of criminal cases on crimes related to legalization (laundering) of funds, obtained by criminal means, and terrorist financing,

	<p>- the most competent public prosecutors, - timely cassation appeal of each unlawful, unreasonable and unjust sentence and other court decision;</p> <p>to pay a particular attention to compliance of the type and measure of the imposed punishment with a degree of community danger of the crime; to consider at coordinating and interagency meetings the most urgent organizational problems related to counteraction to legalization (laundering) of funds obtained by criminal means, and terrorist financing; to continue improving of the skills of subordinate employees, including by the invitation to training activities of representatives of the Federal Finance Monitoring Agency and other government agencies concerned; to send prosecutors for participation in interagency meetings and scientific workshops on countering legalization (laundering) of funds obtained by criminal means, and terrorist financing.</p> <p>Furthermore, the prosecutors of the Russian Federation, equal to the military prosecutors and prosecutors of other specialized public prosecutor's offices are obliged to review annually the status of law and practice of public prosecutor's supervision over implementation of the legislation on counteraction to legalization (laundering) of funds obtained by criminal means, and terrorist financing.</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Staffing levels of the FCS should be increased to keep up with the growing workload.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>To ensure effective implementation of AML/CFT function by the customs authorities while physical cross-border movement of cash or bearer negotiable instruments take place, a proposal has been submitted to the Russian Government to increase the total staff of the relevant units of customs authorities.</p> <p>The number of customs officers involved in AML/CFT efforts has been increased by reallocating personnel and amending the provisions on law enforcement units and job descriptions of operative employees in field. As of August 2009, the total number of staff members is 14 000, including 361 at the Central Headquarters of the Federal Customs Service.</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	According to the Order of the FCS of Russia No. 149-p, employees responsible for the line work on AML/CFT are designated by regional units of customs bodies. Meetings with representatives of departments of financial investigations are held by regional units of customs bodies, as well as training seminars on issues related to AML/CFT.
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>At the majority of regional departments and most law enforcement and supervisory bodies the number of employees specifically tasked with AML/CFT issues is low and difficult to evaluate.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>The Economic Security Department of the Russian Ministry of Internal Affairs is staffed by 1 046 employees. RF Presidential Decree dated 6 September 2009 established a structural division-Operative and Detective Bureau No. 10 of the MIA, where the 2nd and 3rd units with a total staff of 34 officers are imposed AML/CFT duties. Previously these functions were carried out by the 2nd department of Operative and Detective Bureau No. 7 of the MIA (with a total staff of 14 officers).</p> <p>The MIA and the International Training and Methodological Centre of Financial Monitoring elaborated a new standard training program for Russian law</p>

	<p>enforcement officers carrying out the activity in AML/CFT sphere. The draft program is currently being reconciled. It is expected to be included into all educational disciplines of legal specialties in higher professional education system of the Russian MIA aimed at detailed study of the forms and methods of law enforcement activity in the financial sphere.</p> <p>In 2009, the Ministry of Finance allocated extra personnel (15 employees) for the Assay Chamber to increase the controlling and supervisory staff of federal assay authorities that supervise compliance with AML/CFT requirements by institutions buying, buying and reselling precious metals and stones and jewellery items made from them as well as jewellery scrap.</p> <p>In the educational system of the Federal Security Service of Russia, the AML/CFT issues are included into educational plans on combating crimes that pose threats to economic security of the Russian Federation. They are studied at 13 courses of professional retraining and professional development for operative and administrative staff of the Federal Security Service (18 groups 25 - 30 persons each attend for these 13 courses throughout the year). AML/CFT issues are also included in educational plans of the newly established economic security department at the Russian Federal Security Service Academy.</p> <p>Rosfinmonitoring and other supervisory bodies (Roscomnadzor and FISS) have analyzed their needs for additional staff in charge of the AML/CFT issues and submitted proposals to the Russian Government.</p> <p>The total staff of the FISS and its territorial bodies is 310 persons.</p> <p>By the Russian Government Resolution dated 5 December 2008 No. 914 "On amendments to Russian Government resolutions dated 8 April 2004 N. 203 and 30 June 2004 No. 330", the maximum number of employees of the FISS territorial bodies has been increased from 119 to 160 (effective since 1 January 2009).</p> <p>As already pointed out in Recommendation 23, in order to provide AML/CFT supervisory bodies with qualified human resources, Rosfinmonitoring and the International Training and Methodological Centre of Financial Monitoring are working to organize a training and professional development system for specialists of supervisory bodies.</p> <p>In the first half of 2009 the central headquarters of Post of Russia set up a 6-person financial monitoring sector at the Department for Organization of Regional Work and Cooperation with Law Enforcement of the Postal Security Directorate. The unit is presently fully staffed.</p> <p>On 3 March 2009, Head of Roscomnadzor approved the consolidated list of training activities aimed at raising qualifications of Roscomnadzor employees in 2009, which has been disseminated among all territorial bodies of Roscomnadzor.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>As for the Federal Drug Control Service (FSKN), in accordance with current legislation, the number of employees of territorial bodies of the Federal Drug Control Service of Russia, which are working in the area of counteraction to legalization (laundering) of funds, obtained from drug trafficking, is dependent on the drug situation in the region.</p> <p>Special seminars on training the employees of operational and investigative units of the FSKN of Russia, which specialize in identification, prevention and investigation of crimes related to legalization (laundering) of drug revenues, are permanently carried out with support of the International Training and Methodological Center of Financial Monitoring (hereinafter – the ITMCFM).</p>

	<p>In accordance with the approved Consolidated List of training events of the Federal Agency on Monitoring in the Sphere of Communications, Informational Technologies and Mass Communication, which are aimed at upgrade of staff’s skills in 2010, two training workshops were held in May and in October 2010. These training workshops were held with the participation of the inspectors of regional bodies on the topic of "State supervision over activities in the field of postal services and in the sphere of counteraction to legalization (laundering) of funds obtained by criminal means, and terrorist financing (AML/CFT): requirements, methodology and enhancement of the effectiveness" (160 inspectors are trained). The workshops were attended by the specialists from the Federal Agency on Monitoring in the Sphere of Communications, Informational Technologies and Mass Communication, the Russian Finance Monitoring Agency, and ANO “ITMCFM."</p> <p>In view of vesting to the Federal Agency on Monitoring in the Sphere of Communications, Informational Technologies and Mass Communication (hereinafter – the Federal Agency) of the right to consider cases of administrative offenses under paragraphs 1-4 of article 15.27 of the Administrative Code of the Russian Federation, a training in the form of VC for the heads of territorial bodies of the Federal Agency (or their deputies), state inspectors and specialists who provide legal support, was held in May 2011 (300 persons were trained). In August 2011 a training seminar with government inspectors of territorial bodies of the Federal Agency was held (75 persons were trained).</p> <p>As well, in conjunction with the ITMCFM an exchange of experience in law enforcement practice on "Supervision in the sphere of AML/CFT" was held in the form of training workshops between Rosfinmonitoring and Rosstrakhnadzor.</p> <p>The Government of the Russian Federation continuously pays particular attention to improvement of functioning of the AML/CFT system and operation of the law enforcement and supervisory agencies involved in. Special attention is focused on elimination of deficiencies revealed by the FATF experts/assessors in the course of mutual evaluation. To further enhance these efforts across the entire territory of the Russian Federation the Government of the Russian Federation and the Administration of the President of the Russian Federation tasked Rosfinmonitoring with arranging for and holding meetings with the government agencies operating within the AML/CFT system framework in all Federal Districts. Such meetings were held in March – April 2011 in a number of cities including those visited by the FATF, MONEYVAL and EAG experts/assessors (Moscow, St. Petersburg, Rostov-on-Don, Nizhny Novgorod, Yekaterinburg, Novosibirsk and Khabarovsk). The meetings were jointly chaired by the Head of Rosfinmonitoring and the Plenipotentiary Representatives of the RF President in respective Federal Districts. Officials and officers–in-field from almost all law-enforcement, supervisory bodies, prosecutor’s offices and the Central Bank participated in these meetings. The round tables were arranged at the meetings for field personnel to share the best practices. It is expected that such meetings will be held in future since they help to optimize the AML/CFT system functioning.</p>
<p>(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means”</p>	

and other relevant initiatives	
---------------------------------------	--

Recommendation 33 (Legal persons – beneficial owners)	
Rating: Partially compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>The Russian authorities should implement a system that requires adequate transparency regarding the beneficial ownership and control of legal persons.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>The draft law amending the AML/CFT Law introduces the definition of "beneficial owner". According to the proposed amendments, FIs and primarily credit institutions must obtain credible information on beneficial ownership of the customers. Since under the requirements of Russian laws each legal person must have an account with a credit institution, all information on beneficial ownership of legal persons in the Russian Federation will be kept by credit institutions. According to the established procedure, FIU and law enforcement bodies can access to such information.</p> <p>Furthermore, pursuant to Item 12 of the Russian Financial Market Development Strategy up to 2020, adopted by Russian Government Decision dated 1 December 2008 No. 2043-r, the FSFM elaborated the Federal draft law "On amendments to the Federal Law "On the Securities Market" (which requires the disclose of information on beneficial owners (ultimate beneficiaries) of Russian joint-stock companies)".</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>The Federal Law on 23.07.2010 No. 176-FZ, which amends the AML/CFT Law, provides a definition of a "beneficiary". In accordance with the Federal law beneficiary is a person whose benefit the customer acts for, in particular under a brokerage, agency, commission and grant agreement, when carrying out transactions with funds or other assets.</p> <p>But even before the issues of transparency the beneficial ownership were regulated by law. Thus, the method of estimating the transparency of the ownership is established by the Bank of Russia in the Direction on 30.04.2008 No. 2005-U "On assessing the economic position of banks.³⁸" This technique is based on the approaches used to assess the transparency of the ownership structure from banks included in the deposit insurance system set forth in the Direction of the Bank of Russia on 16.01.2004 No. 1379-U "On assessing the financial sustainability of a bank in order to confirm its ability to participate in the deposit insurance system ". In accordance with Instruction No.2005-U the evaluation of the economic position of banks is carried out, including the evaluations of the transparency of the ownership structure of the bank. If the ownership structure of a bank is found by the Bank of Russia as "nontransparent", such banks are classified in the group 3, and are the subject for the special control by the Bank of Russia.</p> <p>Since December 2009, there has been a norm which obliges banks included in the deposit insurance system to open to disclose the information on the individuals that have a significant (direct or indirect) influence on the decisions taken by its management in accordance with the Bank of Russia (subparagraph "b" of the paragraph 21 of Article 1 of the Federal Law on 22.12.2008 No. 270-FZ" On amending the Federal Law "On the insurance of the household deposits in the banks of the Russian Federation"³⁹).</p>

³⁸ Please see Appendix III

³⁹ Please see Appendix III

	<p>In the Direction of the Bank of Russia on 27.10.2009 No. 2312-U "On amending the Direction of the Bank of Russia on January 16, 2004 No. 1379-U "On assessing the financial sustainability of a bank in order to confirm its ability to participate in the deposit insurance system"⁴⁰ the requirement was established. According to it, a bank is acknowledged as providing the access to the information about the individuals that have a significant (direct or indirect) influence on the decisions taken by its management to public , if the information about them is available on the Internet - at the site of a bank or in the official branch of the Bank of Russia in the Internet in the manner prescribed by the Bank of Russia on 27.10.2009 No. 345-P "On the disclosure of official representation of the Bank of Russia in the Internet of the information about people that have a significant (direct or indirect) influence on the decisions taken by management of banks - participants of the compulsory insurance system of individual deposits in Russian banks. ⁴¹"</p> <p>This statement admits that a bank is ensuring the access to the information by the public about people that have a significant direct or indirect influence on the decisions taken by its governing bodies, if the information in the Internet mentions a name, first name, nationality, place of residence (name of city, town) of a natural person - beneficiary and a full name (if an abbreviated name), location (postal address), a main state registration number and a date of state registration of the legal entity through which the beneficiary has a significant impact.</p> <p>In case of changing a person in a group of persons who have direct or indirect significant influence on the decisions taken by the bank's administration, the information on such changes shall be posted on the Bank's website in the Internet no later than in 10 working days after such change.</p> <p>In case if the requirements above of the Bank of Russia aren't followed and a bank gets an "unsatisfactory" assignment for three consecutive months (Article 48 of the Federal Law "On the insurance of the household deposits in Banks of the Russian Federation"), a bank included in the bank register is found to be inconsistent with the requirements for the participation in the deposit insurance system, that will review its license to draw deposits from the individuals and a ban on opening and maintaining bank accounts of the individuals.</p> <p>Thus, the Bank of Russia within the authority granted by the federal law develops and applies the system for monitoring the changes of the banks beneficiary.</p> <p>Order by the Federal Financial Markets Service of Russia on 06.03.2007 No. 07-21/pz-n expired because of the issuance of Order by the Federal Financial Markets Service Russia on 20.07.2010 No. 10-49/pz-n "On the approval of the licensing requirements and the conditions of the professional activity in the market securities "(hereinafter - Regulations). In accordance with the Regulations the complete information on the ownership structure, according to the Federal Financial Markets Service of Russia, should be considered as the disclosure a person or a group of people who directly or indirectly own five percent or more of the authorized (share) capital licensee. Thus, the information about a specific</p>
--	---

⁴⁰ Please see Appendix III

⁴¹ Please see Appendix III

⁴² Please see Appendix III

	<p>person or a group of people considered to be disclosed, if such person (or a person from a group of people) is the Russian Federation, the subject of the Russian Federation, the municipality, an individual, a legal entity, disclosing the information in accordance with the Article 30 of the Federal Law on 22.04.1996 No. 39-FZ "On the securities market" or a non-profit organization (except for a non-commercial partnership), as well as a foreigner having the similar status.</p> <p>Full details on the licensee's ownership structure should be presented in the Federal Financial Markets Service of Russia on magnetic carrier and in the paper form no later than in 15 working days following the reporting quarter.</p> <p>In the present in order to impart the transparency to the public companies the Federal Law on 27.07.2010 No. 208-FZ "On the Consolidated Financial Statements"⁴² provides that in accordance with the International Financial Reporting Standards the public companies while submitting the reports should specify not only the affiliates, but also the associates.</p>
(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft "other enforceable means" and other relevant initiatives	

Special Recommendation III (Freeze and confiscate terrorist assets)	
Rating: Partially compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should implement the elements of SR.III that go beyond the requirements of the UNSCRs.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>Federal Law dated 30 December 2006 No. 281-FZ "On special economic measures" grants the Russian Federation due powers to freeze assets used for terrorist financing when there are no Security Council resolutions on freezing assets of specific terrorists or terrorist organizations. Such terrorists or terrorist organizations may be considered under part 2 of Article 1 of this Law as a threat to the interests and security of Russia, rights and freedoms of its citizens, in which connection that necessitates urgent countermeasures. In terms of TF such countermeasures under item 1 of part 2 of Article 3 of the said Law involve prohibiting financial transactions or imposing freezing of financial assets.</p> <p>The mechanism of implementing such measures is set out in Article 4 of the Law. It involves issuance by the Russian President, with the Parliament's approval, of an order containing specific instructions for the authorities, including Rosfinmonitoring, as well as financial institutions, organizations and natural persons.</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>Please refer to the information provided above.</p> <p>In accordance with the Federal law No. 162-FZ of 22.06.2011 the assets of individuals suspected of FT are frozen for indefinite period of time according to court decision, made in the course of civil legal proceedings initiated by the application of the Federal Financial Monitoring Service.</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should rely less on the criminal justice system to be able to effectively implement SR.III.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to	Even though criminal procedure guarantees minimization of the threat of human rights violations in the course of combating TF, Russia can effectively use,

implement the Recommendation of the report	besides criminal procedure mechanism, the mechanism of the Law “On special economic measures” for freezing terrorist assets. In particular, this mechanism is implemented in Russian Presidential Orders No. 682 dated 5 May 2008 (with respect to Iran, its organizations and individuals) and No. 665 dated 27 May 2007 (with respect to the Korean People’s Democratic Republic, its organizations and individuals). Although these measures involve countering the spread of WMD, not TF, they still illustrate a mechanism suitable for combating TF.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	The Federal Law as of 27.06.2011 No. 162-FZ "On the amendments to certain legislative acts of the Russian Federation in connection with the adoption of the Federal Law" On the national payment system" provides the following: <hr/> "According to court decision made on the application of the authorized body, bank accounts (deposits) operations, as well as other transactions with monetary funds or other assets of organizations or persons in relation to whom there is evidence of their involvement in the extremist activities or terrorism obtained using the procedures established in accordance with the Federal Law, or legal entities, directly or indirectly owned or controlled by such organizations or persons, or persons or entities acting on behalf of or at the direction of such organizations or persons, are suspended until cancellation of such decision in accordance with the laws of the Russian Federation." Therefore Russia no longer relies on a criminal justice system to freeze assets. According to the new law assets are frozen through an administrative procedure by a court order upon request of Rosfinmonitoring. The freeze is effective and indefinite until a delisting occurs and the court order is suspended.
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia needs to implement a national mechanism to examine and give effect to actions initiated under the freezing mechanisms of other jurisdictions.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	Application of the mechanism stipulated in the Federal Law “On Special Economic Measures” for these purposes is possible if Russia has sufficient grounds to believe that actions, which have necessitated freezing procedures by other jurisdictions, threaten its interests or those of its citizens.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	The Federal Law as of May 4, 2011 No. 97-FZ “On Amendments to the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation and to the Code on Administrative Offences of the Russian Federation for Improvement of Government Regulation on Combating Corruption” ⁴³ completed the Administrative Offences Code of the Russian Federation with the chapter 1.29 “Legal Assistance in Case of Administrative Offences”. Article 291.5 of the Code regulates the issues related to the execution by the Russian Federation of the legal assistance requests submitted by the relevant competent authorities and public officials of the foreign countries in accordance with the international treaties/agreements signed by the Russian Federation or on a reciprocal/bilateral basis. Pursuant to Item 2 of this Article the provisions of the RF Code on Administrative Offences shall be used for execution of a request. If the received request contains a request to apply procedural rules of a foreign country, an official who executes such request uses the legislation of such foreign country if such application is not contrary to the RF legislation and is practically possible. Moreover, if the freeze in the other country was provided in the framework of the criminal proceedings, in Russia the freezing of the assets will be carried out using the procedure of the legal assistance in criminal matters.

⁴³ Please see Appendix III

Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should establish an effective and publicly known procedure for dealing with de-listing requests and for dealing with requests to unfreeze in a timely manner the funds or other assets of entities that have been inadvertently affected by a freezing action.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	The aforementioned Draft Law “On Amendments to Particular Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation in the Sphere of Anti Money Laundering and Combating the Financing of Terrorism”, which is being considered by the State Duma, contains de-listing provisions and grounds for de-listing. The procedure for excluding from the Terrorist List will be determined by the Russian Government following the adoption of the Law.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>Federal Law No.197-FZ dated 27.07.2010 that amended the AML/CAFT Law includes provisions that set forth the grounds for removal of the individuals and organizations from the List of the Institutions and Persons Known to be Related to Extremist Activities or Terrorism maintained by Rosfinmonitoring in accordance with Article 6 of the AML/CFT Law.</p> <p>Pursuant to sub-item 2.2 of Item 2 of Article 6 of the AML/CFT Law the grounds for de-listing include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) cancellation of a valid RF court decree on liquidation or prohibition of activities of an organization due to its association with extremist activities or terrorism and discontinuance of the proceedings; 2) cancellation of a valid RF court decision declaring an individual guilty in committing at least one of the crimes covered by Articles 205, 205.1, 205.2, 206, 208, 211, 220, 221, 277, 278, 279, 280, 282, 282.1, 282.2 и 360 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation, and termination of the criminal proceedings with regard of such individual on the grounds which give right for legal rehabilitation; 3) cancellation of a decision made by the RF Prosecutor General, by a subordinated prosecutor or by the federal executive agency in charge of state registration (or its local department/office) on suspension of activities of an organization due to instituting proceedings against it for extremist activities; 4) termination of a criminal case or criminal prosecution of an individual suspected or charged with commission of at least one of the crimes covered by Articles 205, 205.1, 205.2, 206, 208, 211, 220, 221, 277, 278, 279, 280, 282, 282.1, 282.2 and 360 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation; 5) removal of an organization or an individual from the lists of organizations and individuals associated with terrorist organizations or terrorists which are compiled by international anti-terrorist organizations or agencies authorized by them and recognized by the Russian Federation; 6) cancellation of convictions or court decision and decisions of other competent authorities of foreign countries with regard to organizations or individuals involved in terrorist activities which are recognized by the Russian Federation under international treaties/agreements signed by the Russian Federation; 7) documentary information on death of an individual included in the list of organizations and persons known to be related to extremist activities or terrorism; 8) documentary information on cancellation of conviction or expunging of record of conviction of an individual convicted for committing at least one of the crimes covered by Articles 205, 205.1, 205.2, 206, 208, 211, 220, 221, 277, 278, 279, 280, 282, 282.1, 282.2 and 360 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation. <p>Also, on making a decision on the de-listing the decision on unfreezing of the person’s assets takes place, unless applicable law provides other.</p>
(Other) changes since	

<p>the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives</p>	
--	--

Special Recommendation VI (Money/value transfer services)

Rating: Non compliant

<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>Russia should consider implementing laws and regulations to ensure that postal operations are better aware of and in compliance with the AML/CFT requirements. Suggested improvements would include: (1) increased technical interface between postal branches to better detect suspicious transactions, (2) rules governing the volume and frequency of remittances permitted and (3) improved training of postal operators on AML/CFT. Given the size of the postal sector, Russia should also consider either increasing the capacity and quality of ROSCOM’s compliance function or transferring supervisory and regulatory powers to another federal authority that is better equipped and trained to assess AML/CFT compliance.</i></p>
--	---

<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>To improve AML/CFT work, Post of Russia has reorganized its internal control system.</p> <p>Responsibility for organizing and implementing internal control procedures for purposes of AML/CFT at Post of Russia is assigned to the Federal Postal Service Directorate. The Directorate’s functions include monitoring postal money transfers, reporting transactions subject to mandatory control to Rosfinmonitoring, conducting internal audits of subordinated divisions – postal offices. Post of Russia has 82 Federal Postal Service Directorates in all constituent entities of the Russian Federation. All FPSDs were inspected by Roscomnadzor in the framework of the general supervision and Post of Russia in the course of internal audit procedures during 2007-2008. Based on the audit findings, the management of divisions received letters about detected violations and correctional measures needed.</p> <p>All 918 head postal offices have Internal Control Rules on postal money transfers adopted by Post of Russia Order dated 19 September 2007 No. 459-p and coordinated with Rossvyazokhrankultura (resolution dated 18 September 2007 No. 33/4458). The Rules are the main document regulating the responsibilities of personnel and officers for carrying out AML/CFT control.</p> <p>To ensure full compliance with Russian AML/CFT laws, a new edition of Post of Russia Internal Control Rules is being currently reviewed by and considered by ROSCOM. All postal offices have information materials about the requirement to identify natural persons upon accepting transfers equal to or exceeding the threshold amount set by the Law.</p> <p>In order to unify forms and pursuant to the Internal Control Rules customer identification requirements, Post of Russia issued Order No. 81-p dated 13 March 2007 approving new postal money transfer forms with the field where the transfer originator must enter his or her passport details. The forms are used in the postal office network upon accepting (paying out) money transfers at all postal offices and make it possible to identify the transfer originator as well as to record originator details in case of suspicious transactions.</p> <p>The replacement of old postal money transfer forms by the new ones was</p>
--	--

	<p>completed by April 2008.</p> <p>All postal offices are supplied with a regularly updated Terrorist List compiled by Rosfinmonitoring . Notably, at computerized postal offices (55 % of all POs) with data protection means this List is available in electronic form.</p> <p>Workplaces of employees of all 82 FPSDs – branches of Post of Russia, who are responsible for organizing AML/CFT control under the Internal Control Rules, are duly computerised ; they have special software with data protection means, which is used to report to Rosfinmonitoring any money transfers subject to mandatory control or suspicious transfers.</p> <p>During annual seminars for Post of Russia branches, officers of branches undergo additional training in AML/CFT and internal control rules, provided by Rosfinmonitoring and Roscomnadzor representatives as part of training events.</p> <p>Employees engaged in implementing the Internal Control Rules undergo annual training in matters of AML/CFT.</p> <p>A total of 128 training events took place in 2008. During this period training was provided for:</p> <table data-bbox="454 817 1423 1030"> <tr> <td>postal service operators</td> <td>- 10515;</td> </tr> <tr> <td>postal office directors</td> <td>- 7,567;</td> </tr> <tr> <td>postal office deputy directors</td> <td>- 2,568;</td> </tr> <tr> <td>head postal office workers</td> <td>- 422;</td> </tr> <tr> <td>branch administration employees</td> <td>- 532;</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL:</td> <td>- 21604.</td> </tr> </table> <p>In the first half of 2009 the central headquarters of Post of Russia set up a 6-person financial monitoring sector at the Department for Organization of Regional Work and Cooperation with Law Enforcement of the Postal Security Directorate. The unit is presently fully manned.</p> <p>At the same time, it is necessary to take into account the fact that the aforesaid training requirements set out in Rosfinmonitoring Order No. 256 also apply to organizations of federal postal service.</p>	postal service operators	- 10515;	postal office directors	- 7,567;	postal office deputy directors	- 2,568;	head postal office workers	- 422;	branch administration employees	- 532;	TOTAL:	- 21604.
postal service operators	- 10515;												
postal office directors	- 7,567;												
postal office deputy directors	- 2,568;												
head postal office workers	- 422;												
branch administration employees	- 532;												
TOTAL:	- 21604.												
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>In order to improve AML / CFT the Federal State Unitary Enterprise "Russian Post" reorganized the system of internal controls - the new Rules were approved, taking into account the changes in the legislation and regulations of Rosfinmonitoring. The responsibility for organizing and implementing the internal controls for AML / FT in the Federal State Unitary Enterprise "Russian Post" is delegated to the AFPS, whose functions include monitoring of postal money orders, directing the information on the controlled transactions in the Federal Financial Monitoring Service, holding the internal audit subordinate departments - post offices. Thus, in accordance with the Statement № 461-p in branches and post offices the specific officials responsible for the compliance with the internal control rules and programs to implement them are appointed.</p> <p>All post offices are provided with a regularly updated list of organizations and individuals against whom there is an evidence of their involvement in the extremist activities.</p> <p>Currently, in accordance with the Roskomnadzor recommendations on 10.08.2010 № SC-13 775 the Federal State Unitary Enterprise "Russian Post" is revising the Internal Control Rules (the Rules), taking into account the changes made to the Federal Law on 23.07.2010 № 176-FZ "On the amendments into the Federal Law "On combating the legalization (laundering) of the incomes from crime and financing any terrorism," and to the Russian Federation Code of</p>												

	<p>administrative offences "and "The recommendations for the development the internal control rules of by the organizations performing operations with the monetary funds or other property to counteract the legalization (laundering) of incomes from crime and financing any terrorism", approved by the Federal Government on 10.06.2010 № 967-p.</p> <p>Roskomnadzor examined a new draft of the Internal Control Rules of the Federal State Unitary Enterprise "Russian Post" taking into account the recent changes in the legislation in the sphere of AML / CFT. By a letter dated 27.05.2011 № 11 047 SC Roskomnadzor sent to the Federal State Unitary Enterprise "Russian Post" the comments and the suggestions to bring the Rules into line with the legislation in the sphere of AML / FT.</p> <p>In 2010-2011 Roskomnadzor took the series of measures to prevent systematic violations by the Federal State Unitary Enterprise "Russian Post" requirements of the AML/CFT Law, as well as to increase the degree of responsibility, one of which may include a routine inspection of all 84 branches of the Federal State Unitary Enterprise "Russian Post" held by Roskomnadzor in June - July 2010. Rosfinmonitoring in the Central Federal District 02.11.2010 issued a ruling on administrative punishment for the Federal State Unitary Enterprise "Russian Post".</p> <p>In total in 2010 2596 training events were held. Moreover, in accordance with the "Regulations on the requirements to prepare and train staff of the organizations performing the operations with monetary funds or other assets in order to counteract the legalization (laundering) of incomes from crime and financing any terrorism," approved by order of the Federal Financial Monitoring Service №203. The Federal State Unitary Enterprise "Russian Post" holds the special training for public officers and other employees to be educated in the AML /CFT in the form of the targeted briefings conducted by the organizations authorized by Rosfinmonitoring. In 2010, in such training participated around 1800 employees participated in such training.</p> <p>During 2010 the territorial authorities of Roskomnadzor issued 97 orders to eliminate the identified violations.</p> <p>In the 1st semester of 2011 Roskomnadzor inspected 1618 units of the Russian Post.</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should find ways to ensure that Roscomnadzor has sufficient powers to correct deficiencies found in Post of Russia's AML/CFT compliance.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>As pointed out previously, the State Duma is considering Amendments in Administrative Code that would grant Roskomnadzor powers to prosecute administratively the reporting institutions in breach of AML/CFT laws.</p> <p>Additionally, the Federal Service for Telecommunication, Information Technologies, and Mass Communications is considering the possibility of establishing a separate type of checks of federal postal services for the procedure for recording, storing and disclosing information and organizing internal controls. It is also considering the adoption of the Administrative Regulations on the implementation of the state function to perform state supervision and control over compliance of federal postal services with the procedure for recording, storing and disclosing information and organizing internal controls.</p> <p>The relevant enactments are expected to be passed after the adoption of the said Law.</p>
Measures taken to	According to the Federal Law No. 176-FZ on 23.07.2010 Roskomnadzor is

<p>implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>empowered to impose administrative charges in accordance with Art. 15.27 of the AC on organizations-violators of the legislation of AML / FT and its officials. On January 24, 2011, it began to exercise its powers.</p> <p>Roskomnadzor have sent to all its territorial authorities the "Guidelines for the qualification of actions (or inaction) according to the relevant parts of Article 15.27 of the Administrative Code (Part 1 - 4) on the imposition of an administrative penalty, as well as applying Article 1.7 of the Administrative Code" (letter on 11.03.2011 No. KA-04457).</p>
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>Russian law enforcement bodies should place a higher priority on investigating the existence of alternative remittance systems to better assess the size and the nature of ML/TF threat posed by illegal MVT occurring within and through Russia.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>The work of upgrading the practice of identifying, investigating and terminating the activities of illegal alternative remittance systems was continued. In carrying out this task Russia used positive experience in the field contained in mutual evaluation reports of FATF member states.</p> <p>To achieve the goal of combating illegal alternative remittance systems the mechanism and functions of the AML/CFT Interagency Commission were put to more effective use.</p> <p>The new AML/CFT Interagency Commission format (approved in May 2009) has been expanded to include representatives (at the level of directors or deputy directors of structural units) of the MIA , MFA , Russian Ministry for Telecom, Information Technologies and Mass Communications, MoF , MoJ , Foreign Intelligence Service, Federal Security Service, Federal Drug Control Service, Rosfinmonitoring, Federal Tax Service, Federal Customs Service, FSFM, Federal Penitentiary Service, FISS, Rosstrakhnadzor, Roscomnadzor, and BoR .</p> <p>Authorized representatives of the following bodies may take part in the Interagency Commission with advisory vote :</p> <p>State Duma Security Committee and Financial Market Committee; Administrative Department of the Russian Government and Economics and Finance Department of the Russian Government; Russian Security Council Management ; Russian State Assay Chamber at the Russian Finance Ministry.</p> <p>Commission meetings are open for participation of the Prosecutor General of the Russian Federation, his deputies and other prosecutors at secondment .</p> <p>All the AML/CFT Interagency Commission’s decisions are officially recorded and are binding.</p> <p>In accordance with Commission’s decisions the law enforcement bodies on a regular basis share practice and experience of identifying and terminating the activities of “havala” type alternative remittance systems.</p> <p>In the time elapsed from adoption of the Russian report quite a number of experience-sharing events took place on the Commission’s regular meetings: 7 cases were presented by MIA and 1 by Federal Drug Control Service.</p> <p>To better assess the size and the nature of ML/TF threat posed by illegal alternative remittance systems taking into account the size of the Russian territory, similar work was organised in all 7 Federal Districts. This work is carried out jointly by Interregional Departments of Rosfinmonitoring and local law enforcement bodies.</p> <p>Another approach taken in the field of combating illegal alternative remittance</p>

	<p>systems is giving constant attention to the development of legal MVT sector represented by Russian and international providers of cross-border wire transfer services.</p> <p>The volume of cross-border wire transfers conducted by legal MVT services increases every year. As the result of this tendency the share of “unofficial channels” of money transfers shrinks and the customers eventually chose official systems of money transfers.</p> <p>To attract customers legal MVT services focus on the following three aspects: they reduce their commission fees, extend the territorial coverage and make transfers faster and more reliable.</p> <p>The rate of commission fees is one of the most important criteria for customers when they make their choice. Presently, the commission fee is about 4-5% of the amount to be transferred, herewith the larger is the amount of transfer the lesser is the fee. During the last three years, the price of transfers was reduced practically in the whole price spectrum of amounts of transfers.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>The Interagency Commission has organized the study of the causes and factors contributing to emergence of illegal alternative money remittance systems (such as "hawala") within the framework of the Working Group "Issues Concerning Cooperation, Including Information-related, between the Federal Executive Authorities and the Bank of Russia in the Sphere of Combating Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing." Currently, relevant data is being gathered for further analysis in the future.</p>
<p>(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives</p>	<p>FSB of Russia with the Prosecutor General's Office and the Russian Interior Ministry, pursuing the paragraph 5 of the Plan of the key actions for the improvement of the national AML / CFT system reviewed the CTF law enforcement practice , including the use of the alternative remittance systems.</p> <p>The review will be scheduled for publication in the Bulletin of Economic Security Service of the Federal Security Service of Russia.</p>

Special Recommendation VII (Wire transfer rules)	
Rating: Partially compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should amend the current AML/CFT regime to address the following deficiencies i) the definition of originator information may well be sufficient in the context of the Russian payment system framework, but it does not fully cover all requirements set by the FATF, ii) incoming cross-border wire transfers are not covered by a requirement to adopt effective risk based procedures for incomplete originator information, and this vulnerability is not mitigated by the argument (as provided by the authorities) that most incoming cross-border wire transfers originate in countries that are largely compliant with FATF recommendations, iii) the BoR should provide specific guidance to credit institutions regarding the application of wire transfer regulations to batch transfers, iv) Russia should develop rules requiring financial institutions to apply a risk-based procedure for wire transfers that lack full originator information, and v) as a matter of effective implementation, if Russia amends the current law to include incoming cross-border wire transfers, Russian authorities will need to reconsider the current blanket requirement to simply refuse all transactions without full originator information as this could theoretically result in a complete halt to all incoming cross-border wire transactions.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>On June 3, 2009 Federal Law No. 121-FZ was adopted, providing that full originator information accompany money wire transfers, bringing the issue into compliance with FATF Standards.</p> <p>At the same time, pursuant to the requirements in item 3.1 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT Law, the BoR issued Directive dated 22 January 2008 No. 1964 "On amendments to the BoR Provision dated 3 October 2002 No. 2-P 'On non-cash payments in the Russian Federation' " and BoR Directive dated 22 January 2008 No. 1965 "On amendments to the BoR Provision dated 1 April 2003 No. 222-P 'On the procedures of non-cash payments by natural persons in the Russian Federation' ", which provide for practical implementation of the existing legislative requirements to accompany wire transfers with the full originator information .</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>As it follows from Article 7.2 of the AML/CFT Law the requirement that a wire transfer must be accompanied by the relevant information on the payer also applies to the "incoming" transfers. In the absence of the information on the payer the credit institution shall refuse to comply with the instructions of the payer.</p> <p>In the absence of the information on the payer in a received wire transfer , if the employees of a credit institution, in which a bank account of recipient is opened suspect that this operation is for the purpose of the legalization (laundering) of incomes of crime or financing any terrorism, a credit organization shall send the information to the designated authority on the operation no later than in one business day following the date of the establishment that the transaction is suspicious.</p> <p>Pursuant to provisions of Art. 7.2. of the AML/CFT Law, in the absence of information on the payer in the settlement or other document or in a postal communication containing the payer's order, or non-receipt of such information by any other means, the credit institution or organization of the federal postal service is required to refrain from executing the payer's order.</p> <p>The requirement of the Federal Law № 121-FZ on money transfers to be accompanied by the information on the payer in accordance with the FATF</p>

	standards is implemented in the Bank of Russia on 13.05.2011 № 2634-U "On making the amendments to the Annex 4 of the Statement of the Bank of Russia on 03.10. 2002 № 2-P "On the non-cash transactions in the Russian Federation" and the ruling of the Bank of Russia on 26.08.2009 № 2281-U "On the amending the Statute of the Bank of Russia on April 1, 2003 № 222-P "On the regulation of non-cash payments by individuals in the Russian Federation. ⁴⁴ "
(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft “other enforceable means” and other relevant initiatives	

Recommendation VIII (Non-profit organizations)	
Rating: Non compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should undertake a comprehensive review of the NPO system, as foreseen by Special Recommendation VIII.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	Pursuant to Russian Presidential Order dated 14 July 2008 No. 1079, the functions of state control of NPO activity have been transferred from Rosregistration, which was liquidated, directly to the Ministry of Justice. Besides these state control functions, the Ministry of Justice also exercises powers in the sphere of legal regulation of the NPO sector and developing government policy in this sphere. Between July 2008 and March 2009, the Ministry of Justice established the Department on NPO Affairs, with the territorial branches established in all constituent entities of the Russian Federation. The newly established system has just started active operation.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	The Russian Ministry of Justice and its territorial authorities monitor the compliance of the activities of non-commercial organizations, including the branches of international organizations and foreign non-governmental organizations, public associations, political parties and religious organizations, branches and representative offices of international organizations, foreign non-governmental organizations with their statutory goals and objectives as well as their compliance with legislation of the Russian Federation As on 01.04.2011 there were 220 986 non-profit organizations registered in the Russian Federation: - 111 540 (50.4%) are public associations; - 24 180 (10.9%) religious organizations, and 5 representative offices of foreign religious organizations that were opened in the Russian Federation; - 84 660 (38.3%) non-profit organizations of other organizational forms; - 7 political parties with 562 regional and 20 local offices (0.2%); - 17 branches of international and foreign organizations. The register of branches and representative offices of international organizations and foreign non-governmental organizations provide information about 243 divisions, including about 188 offices and 55 branches of such organizations.

⁴⁴ Please see Appendix III

	<p>In the first semester of 2011 the Ministry of Justice of Russia and its territorial offices was holding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1459 activity inspection of public associations (2010 - 3385)); - 730 inspections of religious organizations (2010 - 1967); - 1189 audits of nonprofit organizations. <p>According to the results of the nonprofit organizations audits, as well as the results of the systematic monitoring of the compliance with the non-profit organizations requirements established by law and other mandatory in the first semester of 2011 the Ministry of Justice of Russia and its territorial bodies:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) to the governing bodies of public associations were made 13 150 written warnings and representations (in 2010 - 27 819) 2) to the governing bodies of religious organizations were made 2242 written warnings (in 2010 - 4012 warnings) 3) to the address of the governing bodies of nonprofit organizations were sent 10 345 warnings (in 2010 - 22 812 warnings) <p>in connection with the identified violations of the law (primarily related to the failure of providing a statutory quarterly reporting) the heads of branches (offices) of foreign non-governmental organizations got 16 written warnings (in 2010 – 22).</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should reach out to and engage with the NPO sector, to learn from the sector, to promote values and the like.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	Implementation of this recommendation should be facilitated by the new Federal Law dated 17 July 2009 No. 170-FZ “On Amendments to the Federal Law ‘On Non-profit Organizations’”, effective since 1 August 2009.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>To implement these provisions there is a work to establish a regular contact and feedback with the NPOs , provide a regular outreach to the sector aimed at preventing the misuse of NPOs NGOs for TF goals .</p> <p>In this regard:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the possibilities of the Russian Ministry of Justice are used to work with NGOs during the recording, scheduled and unscheduled inspections; - the materials on FATF and EAG on the NPO sector are regularly posted on the sites of the Ministry of Justice and the Federal Financial Monitoring Service Russian; -the educational activities are regularly conducted with the participation of NGOs on the basis of the ITMCFM. ; -the intensive work with NGOs is made in the Federal Financial Monitoring Service's responsibility area, in cooperation with the regional offices of the Ministry of Justice in Russia; - the publication of the relevant materials is established in a number of Russian editions intended for NGOs, namely, the journal "Nonprofit organizations in Russia," and other editions.
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>The Russian authorities should set up a more formalized and efficient system that focuses on potential vulnerabilities and to share information to target abuse.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	A substantial measure within the context of continued work to create a more formalized and efficient system for detecting potential vulnerabilities of NPOs in terms of their possible abuse for TF are the consistent improvements to the structure and functions of the AML/CFT Interagency Commission.

	<p>It is noteworthy that creation and operation of interagency commissions – “interagency outreach” - is viewed in FATF International Best Practices “Combating the Abuse of NPOs” (para 20) as one of the most effective tools for resolving the NPO TF related problems. In this connection it is important to point out that the new AML/CFT Interagency Commission format (approved in May 2009) has been expanded to include representatives (at the level of directors or deputy directors of structural units) of the MIA , MFA , Russian Ministry for Telecom, Information Technologies and Mass Communications, MoF , MoJ , Foreign Intelligence Service, Federal Security Service, Federal Drug Control Service, Rosfinmonitoring, Federal Tax Service, Federal Customs Service, FSFM, Federal Penitentiary Service, FISS, Rosstrakhnadzor, Roscomnadzor, and BoR .</p> <p>Authorized representatives of the following bodies may take part in the Interagency Commission with advisory vote :</p> <p>State Duma Security Committee and Financial Market Committee; Administrative Department of the Russian Government and Economics and Finance Department of the Russian Government; Russian Security Council Management ; Russian State Assay Chamber at the MoF.</p> <p>Commission meetings are open for participation of the Prosecutor General of the Russian Federation, his deputies and other prosecutors at secondment .</p> <p>In the course of performing AML/CFT functions, the Interagency Commission:</p> <p>a) makes decisions needed to organize coordination and improvement of cooperation of federal executive authorities in the AML/CFT sphere; b) creates, if necessary, working groups for timely preparation of AML/CFT proposals and determines the list of participants (as advised by federal executive bodies concerned, the BoR and other institutions), as well as objectives and working procedure of such groups; c) organises cooperation with federal executive authorities concerned, executive bodies of constituent entities of the Russian Federation, local self-government bodies, public associations and other organizations in matters within the competence of the Interagency Commission.</p> <p>To achieve these goals the Commission may request from abovementioned bodies information on issues falling within the Commission’s competence and invite representatives of such bodies, associations and organizations (with the approval of their managers) for participation in the Commission’s work.</p> <p>d) organizes preparation of informational, analytical and methodological materials and forecasts for purposes of current monitoring of effective AML/CFT efforts; e) conducts, if necessary, large scale meetings with the participation of representatives of the concerned executive authorities and institutions, who are not Commission members, and organizes special interagency seminars and conferences in order to share experience and relevant information; f) duly submits proposals to the Russian Government relating to matters within the Commission's competence, which require decision of the Russian Government; g) monitors implementation of the Commission’s decisions within the competence and objectives of the Commission.</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations	<p>In the implementation by the Russian Federation Ministry of Justice a special procedure of the state registration of nonprofit organizations, providing for a legal review of the foundation documents, special attention is held with the</p>

<p>since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>statutory limitations for certain categories of people (organizations), the founders of nonprofit organizations. Thus, according to the requirements of paragraph 2 of Article 15 of the Federal Law as of 12.01.1996 No.7-FZ "On noncommercial organizations"⁴⁵ a person cannot be a founder of the nonprofit entity if he is included in the national terrorist list in accordance with paragraph 2 of Article 6 of the Federal Law as of 07.08.2001 No.115-FZ "On combating the legalization (laundering) of incomes from crime and financing any terrorism." All regional offices of the Ministry of Justice of Russia have an access to the posted on the official website of Rosfinmonitoring list of organizations and individuals against whom there is the information about their involvement in the extremist activity.</p> <p>In 2010, using data from the list, as well as other law enforcement data, 23 non-commercial organizations were denied state registration.</p> <p>The Federal Law as of 17.07.2009 No. 170-FZ "On the amending the Federal Law" On noncommercial organizations" introduced changes in the Federal Law as of 12.01.1996 № 7-FZ" On noncommercial organizations "(hereinafter - the Law No. 170-FZ Law No. 7-FZ). Those changes reduce the potential risks of NPOs being used for the TF purposes in the Russian Federation, and simultaneously liberalize the legislation of the Russian Federation in the field of nonprofit organizations, increase the level of openness and transparency in the registration and control of non-profit organizations .</p> <p>In order to fulfill Item 3.2 of Article 32 of Federal Law No. 7-FZ, Order of the Ministry of Justice of Russia No. 252 of October 7, 2010 "On the Procedure for Posting in the Internet of Reports on Activities and Continuation of Activities of Non-Profit Organizations" (entered into force November 2, 2010) approved the procedure for posting in the Internet of reports on activities and continuation of activities of non-profit organizations.</p> <p>Order of the Ministry of Justice of Russia No. 72 of March 29, 2010 "On Approval of the Reporting Forms for Non-Profit Organizations"⁴⁶ simplified and streamlined reporting forms for non-profit organizations. Based on the 2009 reporting data, the number of non-profit organizations submitting reports on time increased by 20 percent compared with 2008 and stood on average for Russia at 53 percent, with some regions showing more than 80 percent of non-profit organizations submitting reports on continuation of activities.</p> <p>The Russian Ministry of Justice regularly cooperate with national public organization Association of Lawyers of Russia and the Public Chamber of the Russian Federation. Representatives of the Department of Non-Profit Organizations Affairs have participated in meetings and conferences held with the Committee of the Public Chamber of the Russian Federation for Promotion of Charitable Activities and Improving the Legislation on NPOs dedicated to issues of interaction between the state and civil society in the field of charitable activity.</p> <p>The territorial bodies of the Russian Ministry of Justice devote much of their attention to interaction with non-profit organizations. Since the establishment of territorial bodies of the Russian Ministry of Justice, they have participated in more than 300 such events, a significant number of which was organized on the initiative of the Ministry of Justice.</p> <p>The holding of thematic workshops dedicated to explanation of the procedure and</p>
---	---

⁴⁵ Please see Appendix III

⁴⁶ Please see Appendix III

	<p>deadlines for reporting by non-profit organizations has become traditional. Moreover, to encourage greater participation of non-profit organizations, such forms as a contest for the best report filed by a non-profit organization are used. As a rule, among the participants of such workshops and conferences are representatives of the tax, local and other relevant authorities, whose involvement only enhances their effectiveness.</p> <p>In order to create a more formalized and effective system for detecting potential vulnerabilities in NPOs in terms of their possible use for TF purposes, regular improvements are made to the structure and functions of the Interagency Committee for combating money laundering and terrorist financing (IC).</p> <p>For example, a new provision on the IC was approved by Rosfinmonitoring's Order No. 336 of Dec 8, 2009⁴⁷ and federal ministers, heads of federal services, which has increased the credibility of the IC and contributes to more rapid implementation of decisions.</p>															
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Existing rules should be fully implemented.</i>															
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	The relevant changes to the procedure for submitting annual financial reports by NPOs and conducting inspections of NPOs are reflected in the new Federal Law No 170-FZ dated 17 July 2009 "On Amendments to the Federal Law 'On Non-profit Organizations'" (in force since 1 August 2009).															
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>The Federal Law as of 17.07.2009 No. 170-FZ "On the amending the Federal Law" "On noncommercial organizations" amended the forms and procedures of reporting for non-profit organizations according to the founders, property and funds and their source of income received by non-profit organization.</p> <p>As of 01.01.2011, the Russian Federation registered 181 346 non-profit organizations that have the obligation to report to the Russian Ministry of Justice and its territorial bodies.</p> <p>50 819 nonprofit organizations have submitted their reports in accordance with paragraph 3 of Article 32 of the Federal Law "On noncommercial organizations". 69 947 nonprofit organizations have submitted their reports in the manner prescribed by paragraph 3.1 of Article 32 of the Federal Law "On noncommercial organizations".</p> <p>The total number of submissions was 120 766 (66.6% of the total number of registered non-profit organizations which are obligated to report to the Ministry of Justice and its territorial bodies).</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="469 1473 1337 1769"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>submitted</th> <th>told about continuation of the activity</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>total</td> <td>50 819</td> <td>69 947</td> </tr> <tr> <td>associations</td> <td>25 091</td> <td>39 475</td> </tr> <tr> <td>non-commercial organizations</td> <td>18 916</td> <td>16 562</td> </tr> <tr> <td>religious organizations</td> <td>6 812</td> <td>13 910</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The same law introduced a duty for non-profit organizations to place their financial statements in the Internet, or provide for the publication in mass media.</p>		submitted	told about continuation of the activity	total	50 819	69 947	associations	25 091	39 475	non-commercial organizations	18 916	16 562	religious organizations	6 812	13 910
	submitted	told about continuation of the activity														
total	50 819	69 947														
associations	25 091	39 475														
non-commercial organizations	18 916	16 562														
religious organizations	6 812	13 910														

⁴⁷ Please see Appendix III

	<p>In accordance with paragraph 3.2 of Article 32 of Federal Law "On noncommercial organizations" non-profit organizations are required to place annually the information in the Internet or provide it to the media for publishing a report on its activity that was submitted to the authority or its territorial body (for non-profit organizations referred to in paragraph 3 Article 32) or a report on the continuation of its activity (for non-profit organizations referred to in paragraph 3.1 of Article 32).</p> <p>The procedure and terms of a placement of these reports and messages is defined by the Ministry of Justice of Russia. In order to implement the above mentioned provisions of the Federal Law "On noncommercial organizations" the Order of the Ministry of Justice of Russia as of 07.10.2010 No. 25248 approved the procedure for the web reports about activity and reports on the continuation of non-profit organizations. To place such reports the Russian Ministry of Justice created a special information resource, which can be accessed through the sites of the Russian Ministry of Justice and its territorial bodies.</p> <p>By the Order of the Russian Ministry of Justice as of 17.03.2011 No. 81 guidelines for completing and providing to the Ministry of Justice and its territorial bodies of forms of documents containing reports on the activities of nonprofit organizations are approved. According to the order of placing of reports of non-profit organizations to the site is equal to its presentation on paper (in case of placement of the report or reports about the continuation to web additional presentation of a report or reports on the continuation to the Ministry of Justice of Russia or its regional office in paper form is not required).</p> <p>Currently, the web site contains 44 177 reports (20 812) and messages (23 365) for 2009 and 2010. Among them: total for 2009 - 4491, reports - 2048, messages - 2443, total for 2010- 39 686 reports - 18 764, messages - 20 922.</p>
<p>(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft "other enforceable means" and other relevant initiatives</p>	

Special Recommendation IX (Cross border declaration and disclosure)	
Rating: Non compliant	
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should implement all elements of an effective system to deter illegal cross border movements of currency.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>In order to eliminate the deficiencies detected by FATF, MONEYVAL and EAG experts during the Third Round Evaluation of the Russian AML/CFT system for compliance with FATF recommendations, the Federal Customs Service (FCS) conducted work to incorporate FATF Special Recommendation IX into legislation governing customs legal relations.</p> <p>Taking into account FATF requirements, national interests, needs and capabilities of the Russian Federation, in March 2009 the FCS sent a report to the Russian Government proposing changes designed to improve the system of controlling the flow of cash and bearer negotiable instruments across the Russian</p>

⁴⁸ Please see Appendix III

	<p>border, and drafted a federal bill proposing comprehensive regulation in various sectors of Russian law.</p> <p>The bill was drafted taking into account FATF, MONEYVAL and EAG comments, FATF methodological materials, the World Customs Organization AML/CFT guidelines for law enforcement units of customs services, and experience of FATF member states.</p> <p>The bill proposes changes and additions to 6 Federal Laws:</p> <p>1. The Customs Code of the Russian Federation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - particularizing the notions and formulations of “cash” and “bearer negotiable instruments” for customs purposes; - regulating issues of customs post-clearance audit in respect of foreign-made goods circulated in Russia, which involves creating conditions under which dealing in contraband or counterfeit goods will become economically irrational, as well as substantially limiting opportunities for legalizing such goods in the domestic market and proceeds from their sale; - granting the customs authorities legislatively stipulated function of combating money laundering and terrorist financing; - granting the customs authorities legislatively stipulated powers to detain persons who move cash or bearer negotiable instruments across the customs border, if there are reasons to suspect money laundering or terrorist financing. <p>2. Federal Law “On Foreign Exchange Regulation and Control”:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - particularizing the notions and wordings applicable to cross-border movement of cash and bearer negotiable instruments; - governing the procedure for importing and exporting cash and bearer negotiable instruments by both natural and legal persons; particularizing the declaration procedure depending on the person, amount, and object; - expanding the list of details reported in the customs declaration to include information about the origin, owner, and intended use of funds; - particularizing liability for non-declaration or false declaration of cash and bearer negotiable instruments, applicable to the part which exceeds the minimum limit set for compulsory written declaration; <p>The purpose of amendments and additions to the Federal Law “On Foreign Exchange Regulation and Control” is to create:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - a transparent and understandable procedure for declaring cash and bearer negotiable instruments; - a mechanism for detecting suspicious cross-border movement of cash and bearer negotiable instruments (in combination with amendments to AML/CFT laws). <p>3. Federal Law “On Anti Money Laundering and Combating the Financing of Terrorism”:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - including provisions on application of the Law to cross-border movement of cash and bearer negotiable instruments; - making the offence of evading customs duties and taxes ML-predicate offence; - adding provisions that regulate the procedure for controlling cross-border movement of cash and securities with the aim to combat money laundering and terrorist financing; - obligating the customs authorities to identify persons who declare cash or bearer negotiable instruments, require them to disclose the origin, owners and intended use of funds, as well as to detain funds upon detecting criteria of
--	--

	<p>suspicious transactions;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - obligating the customs authorities to alert financial intelligence unit regarding cases of detention of suspicious cash or bearer negotiable instruments; - determining the criteria of “suspicious” in terms of money laundering and terrorist financing – presence of the traveller or the owner of cash or bearer negotiable instruments in the FIU list of terrorists; refusal to disclose the origin of funds, its owner or intended use, or deliberate distortion of such information; an offence or crime of non-declaration or making a false declaration; an alert from the law enforcement agencies or Rosfinmonitoring; - determining the period of time the customs authorities can detain cash or bearer negotiable instruments on suspicions of money laundering or terrorist financing, as well as the procedure for returning them when suspicions prove unconfirmed; - determining the procedure for Rosfinmonitoring to run a check of suspicious cross-border movement detected by the customs authorities. <p>4. Criminal Code of the Russian Federation: Criminalizing acquisition, storage, transportation with the intent to sell, and sale of goods that had been knowingly imported via smuggling (in order to put in place a mechanism to rule out the possibility for legalizing goods imported via smuggling on the domestic market or proceeds from their sale).</p> <p>5. The RF Code of Administrative Offences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - strengthening sanctions for non-declaration or false declaration of cash or bearer negotiable instruments by natural persons, depending on the circumstances of the offence; introducing proportionate sanctions, including confiscation; - instituting liability for selling foreign-made goods in Russia without documents confirming legitimate importation; - instituting liability for non-presentation to the customs authorities of the documents confirming legitimate importation of foreign goods, which are circulated in Russia. <p>6. Criminal Procedures Code of the Russian Federation: Granting the customs officers legislatively stipulated powers to launch criminal cases:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - for facts of money laundering during cross-border movement; - in case of detection of commercial transactions on the domestic market with goods imported via smuggling. <p>In support of the legislative amendments, the Russian Government received a report on the need to adopt a new form of a passenger customs declaration unified with a declaration form used in EU countries.</p> <p>Following the adoption of legislative initiatives, an automated system will be developed to enable automatic keeping of an electronic database of information from passenger customs declaration forms and equip Russian cross-border points with devices for scanning information in the customs declaration forms.</p> <p>The automated system will enable automatic detection of persons in the Rosfinmonitoring list of terrorists and suspicious persons.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>Under Federal Law No. 311-FZ of November 27, 2010 "On Customs Regulation in the Russian Federation" the customs authorities of the Russian Federation have the right to implement in accordance with the international agreement between the states members of the Customs Union measures aimed at combating money laundering and terrorist financing when monitoring the movement across the customs border of the Customs Union of currencies of the countries members of</p>

	<p>the Customs Union, securities, and (or) currency and traveler's checks.</p> <p>The Agreement on the Procedure for the Transfer by Natural Persons of Cash and (or) Monetary Instruments across the Customs Border of the Customs Union (hereinafter the "Agreement") has been prepared and adopted by Decision of the Interstate Council of the Eurasian Economic Community No. 51 of July 5, 2010.</p> <p>Pursuant to Article 6 of the Agreement, for the purpose of combating money laundering and terrorist financing during transfer by natural persons across the customs border of the Customs Union of cash and (or) monetary instruments subject to mandatory declaration in writing, the passenger customs declaration shall contain information on the following: the natural person transferring cash and monetary instruments; monetary instruments description; the origin of cash and / or monetary instruments, their owners and their intended use; the route and mode of transportation of cash and (or) monetary instruments.</p> <p>As part of the formation of the Customs Union, the Committee of the Customs Union approved, in its decision No. 287 dated June 18, 2010, the format of the passenger customs declaration form and procedure for its completion. According to the said regulatory act, all amounts that are being transported across the boarder of the Customs Union and exceeding the equivalent of \$10,000 U.S. in cash and traveler's checks shall be declared using an additional form of the passenger customs declaration (Cash (or) Monetary Instruments Declaration), containing, as stipulated in the requirements of Article 6 of the Agreement, the specified additional information.</p> <p>The new form of the passenger customs declaration has been in use since July 1, 2010.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. A joint order dated August 5, 2010 approving the Instruction for Organization of Information Exchange in the Area of Combating Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing has been issued jointly by the Russian Office of the Prosecutor General, Ministry of Interior, Federal Security Service, Federal Drug Control Service, Federal Customs Service, Investigative Committee under the Prosecutor General's Office and Rosfinmonitoring. The said Instruction establishes a uniform procedure for conducting information exchange between law enforcement agencies and the Rosfinmonitoring and is aimed at prevention, detection and investigation of crimes related to legalization (laundering) of monetary and other assets, as well as predicate offences, i.e. crimes committed prior to legalization of monetary and other assets.2. Federal Law No. 311-FZ as of November 27, 2010, "On the customs regulation in the Russian Federation", empowered the customs authorities of the Russian Federation to ensure the measures, in accordance with the international agreement of the Member States of the Customs Union, of counteracting the legalization (laundering) of incomes received through crime and terrorist financing, within controlling of transportation across the customs border of the Customs Union of currency of the Member States of the Customs Union, securities, and (or) currency values, traveler's checks.3. In the framework of statistical reporting collection of data is being provided on the movement of cash and monetary instruments. <p>Pursuant to the Agreement on Information Exchange between the Russian Federal Customs Service and Rosfinmonitoring, the FCS submits to Financial Intelligence Unit information on individuals transferring large amounts of cash and monetary instruments.</p>
--	--

Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Staffing levels of the FCS should be increased to keep up with the growing workload.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>To ensure effective implementation of the customs authorities' AML/CFT function during physical cross-border movement of cash or bearer negotiable instruments, a proposal has been submitted to the Russian Government to increase the total manpower of the relevant units of customs authorities after the legislation has been amended to give the customs authorities the AML/CFT powers.</p> <p>The number of customs officers involved in AML/CFT efforts has been increased by reallocating personnel and amending the provisions on law enforcement units and job descriptions of field officers onsite. As of August 2009, the total number of staff members is 14,000, including 361 at the Central Headquarters of the Federal Customs Service.</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>According to the order No. 149-r of the FCS of Russia, units of regional customs authorities have designated employees responsible for the line of work on AML/CFT. Regional offices of customs authorities have held meetings with representatives of the DF departments. Training seminars on issues related to AML/CFT were conducted.</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>The FCS should be encouraged to continue fighting corruption.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>In the report on the Russian Federation, FATF evaluation experts pointed out that the Russian customs authorities are prone to a high level of corruption, however the authorities have taken steps to prevent corruption, such as periodic rotations of employees, training, special anti-corruption programs and procedures of internal control. Additionally, the risk of corruption has been reduced by a considerable increase in budget spending per one employee.</p> <p>Since the FATF evaluation, the authorities continue to take anti-corruption measures.</p> <p>On 31 July 2008, the Russian President approved a national anti-corruption plan under which the customs authorities are organizing work to fight corruption.</p> <p>At the Federal Customs Service, the powers to fight corrupt phenomena in the customs service are vested in internal security departments. The staff departments are tasked with organizing educational and preventive activities among employees.</p> <p>Corruption is being fought in close cooperation with other units of the customs authorities, mainly, the law enforcement divisions.</p> <p>Pursuant to the Federal Law dated 25 December 2008 No. 273-FZ "On Countering Corruption", the FCS has passed enactments designed to fight corruption and official malfeasance in the customs service, as well as to improve deterrent and preventive education efforts in the fight against corruption at Russian customs authorities. These enactments are compulsory for all customs bodies, including territorial divisions.</p> <p>Pursuant to the Presidential Order dated 3 March 2007 No. 269 "On commissions tasked with enforcing requirements for official conduct of public officers in the Russian Federation and resolving conflicts of interest", all regional customs authorities have set up commissions that enforce requirements for official conduct and resolution of conflicts of interest. At the FCS Headquarters, the said commission has been established by FCS Order dated 12 July 2007 No. 848.</p>

	<p>The law enforcement and state authorities of the Russian Federation have been informed about the fact that such commissions have been established at the customs authorities and have been advised to report to such commissions any facts of dishonourable conduct by customs officials.</p> <p>Since March 2005, the Internal Security Directorate of the FCS has a specialized unit tasked with examining and analyzing draft legislation that governs customs clearance and customs control procedures, detects any discrepancies with the law and potential to generate corruption. The purpose of this work is to rule out the possibility of legislative provisions that would complicate the management process and create conditions favouring extortion, bribery, and other forms of corruption.</p> <p>On 3 July 2007, the Internal Security Directorate was instructed by the FCS board to organize an anti-corruption review of the Customs Code in order to eliminate ambiguous interpretations of individual provisions. The results of this work have translated into a federal bill on the relevant amendments and additions to the Customs Code.</p> <p>The FCS is currently drafting a Long-term Anti-corruption Plan for the Customs Authorities for 2010-2012. The decision to develop this Plan was made on 8 April 2009 by the administrative reform commission of the Government.</p> <p>As of August 2009, the total manpower of internal security units (ISUs) is 948 persons.</p> <p>In 2008, ISUs of customs authorities instituted 746 criminal cases, including 492 corruption-related cases associated with abuse of office and bribe taking against 215 customs officials and 46 bribe givers.</p> <p>In the first half of 2009, ISUs of customs authorities instituted 367 criminal cases, including 267 corruption-related cases, against 140 customs officials and 22 bribe givers.</p> <p>Over 90% of all crimes of corruption at customs authorities are detected by ISUs. This indicator was 91% in 2008 and 95% in the first half of 2009.</p> <p>The FCS is taking measures to raise the prestige and shape the image of the customs service; the public is being updated via the mass media about anti-corruption efforts, the downward trend in the overall level of corruption and case studies.</p>
<p>Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report</p>	<p>In accordance with the Decision of the Government Commission for Administrative Reform as of April 8, 2009, the FCS of Russia developed a Perspective plan of combating corruption in the customs bodies for 2010-2012.</p> <p>In 2010, units of internal security instituted 447 criminal cases, including 269 criminal cases on crimes of corruption concerning 135 customs officials.</p> <p>In the first half of 2011 units of internal security initiated 284 criminal cases, of which 152 criminal cases are involving crimes of corruption concerning 82 customs officials.</p> <p>Based on materials from other law enforcement agencies, 9 criminal cases against customs officers for crimes of corruption sphere. 34 customs officials were found guilty .</p> <p>Furthermore, measures are carried out on protection of customs officials from trying to engage them into illegal activities. As a result of these measures, based on materials of units of internal security, 26 criminal cases against bribe-givers were opened, prosecuting 23 bribe-givers.</p> <p>Over the last 5 years more than 90% of all corruption-oriented crimes in customs</p>

	<p>revealed by the customs authorities' units of internal security . This figure in 2008 was 91%, and 95% in 2009.</p> <p>In the FCS of Russia, a commission for anti-corruption expertise of legal acts is created. Legal acts being issued by the FCS of Russia are analysed by its units of internal security . In addition, a working group was created to conduct anti-corruption expertise of the provisions of the Customs Code of the Russian Federation.</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Authorities should as a priority commence an awareness raising campaign, for all levels of staff in all regions.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>The customs authorities are focused on stepping up AML/CFT efforts. In February 2008, a relevant letter was sent out to regional heads of the law enforcement units of the customs authorities.</p> <p>In August 2009, the FCS issued a directive “On measures to eliminate deficiencies detected by FATF expects, and on the participation of the Russian FCS in the work of the Interagency Commission on Anti-Money Laundering and Combating the Financing of Terrorism”.</p> <p>In July 2009, regional units of the customs authorities received recommendations of the World Customs Organization on increasing the role of customs administrations in the fight against money laundering and terrorist financing. In September of 2009, the WCO Customs Enforcement Guidelines on countering money laundering and terrorist financing (WCO doc. EC0212 Annex), translated into Russian language, were sent to regional enforcement divisions to be used in their work.</p> <p>The Central Anti-Smuggling Directorate and the Central Directorate for Customs Investigations and Inquiries regularly send the relevant methodological recommendations and reviews to the territorial divisions.</p> <p>Law enforcement units of the customs authorities regularly attend coordination meetings with the law enforcement bodies and other state authorities.</p> <p>Seminars for customs officials have been planned at the International Training and Methodological Centre of Financial Monitoring and as part of the MOLI-RU 2 project developed jointly with the Council of Europe and being implemented in Russia.</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>Please refer to the information provided above.</p> <p>Customs authorities are focused on strengthening the work of combating money laundering and terrorist financing.</p> <p>Regional law enforcement departments of customs authorities were provided with the recommendations of the World Customs Organization on enhancing the role of customs administrations in fighting against money laundering and terrorist financing.</p> <p>In the first half of 2011 the customs authorities of the Russian Federation filed 155 criminal cases, the object of the crime in which was the currency, and 137 criminal cases of them were initiated as a result of intelligence information realization. Moreover, during this period as a result of the operational activity 32 cases of administrative offenses were initiated.</p> <p>The question is being negotiated of inclusion of additional section "The implementation of foreign exchange control by the customs authorities of the Russian Federation" into the study program of the Institute for additional training, retraining and upgrading of the Russian Customs Academy, and of the corresponding program for students of the Russian Customs Academy</p>

	"Compliance with the IX FATF Special Recommendation Requirements".
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>The authorities should ensure that customs and law enforcement co-operate in all regions and are aware of each others' cases, especially relating to the fight against alternative remittance systems.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>The FCS has sent proposals to the Russian Government to improve existing interagency agreements and, if necessary, sign new agreements between customs and law enforcement bodies and other state authorities incorporated into the AML/CFT system.</p> <p>Electronic data exchange has been put in place with EU countries, which involves advance reporting of goods, making it possible to raise the level of reliability of information provided upon the declaration of goods, including monetary instruments.</p> <p>The FCS hosts the WCO Regional Intelligence Liaison Office for the Commonwealth of Independent States "RILO-Moscow", which is connected to the international customs enforcement network "CEN". It enables sharing of law enforcement and methodological information and databases with customs administrations – members of the World Customs Organization. RILO-Moscow also participates in international projects and operations of the WCO aimed at detecting illegal channels of cash and other contraband.</p> <p>In 2008 – 1 half of 2009, the FCS signed 14 agreements with customs and police bodies in European countries and joint plans to crack down on trans-national criminal organizations.</p> <p>Russia signed similar agreements with law enforcement units of the CIS customs services. In total 7 agreements were signed in 2008-2009.</p> <p>Currently, under these agreements the parties are sharing preventive intelligence, including that in the field of AML/CFT.</p> <p>In 2008-2009, the customs authorities conducted over 20 joint operations with other law enforcement bodies, which involved AML/CFT efforts.</p> <p>Measures have been taken to strengthen cooperation between the FSS and FCS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - a working meeting was held to improve cooperation in combating ML and TF; a set of measures has been put in place to detect and stem channels of terrorist financing; - exporters and importers that previously attracted attention of the FSS are being monitored; new companies engaged in suspicious transactions are being detected. They are being investigated for involvement in terrorist financing; - work has been organized to detect illegal cross-border channels of cash and bearer negotiable instruments. - FSS and Rosfinmonitoring are alerted about all cases of importation of cash and bearer negotiable instruments; if necessary, the FSS takes steps to determine the nature and purpose of such funds. <p>At present the FCS participates jointly with other state authorities in design of the Interagency Order "On the adoption of the guidelines for organizing information exchange in the field of legalization (laundering) of cash and other proceeds obtained illicitly".</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	A joint order dated August 5, 2010 approving the Instruction for Organization of Information Exchange in the Area of Combating Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing has been issued jointly by the Russian Office of the Prosecutor General, Ministry of Interior, Federal Security Service, Federal Drug Control Service, Federal Customs Service, Investigative Committee under the

	<p>Prosecutor General’s Office and Rosfinmonitoring. The said Instruction establishes a uniform procedure for conducting information exchange between law enforcement agencies and the Rosfinmonitoring and is aimed at prevention, detection and investigation of crimes related to legalization (laundering) of monetary and other assets, as well as predicate offences, i.e. crimes committed prior to legalization of monetary and other assets.</p> <p>Pursuant to the Agreement on Information Exchange between the Russian Federal Customs Service and Rosfinmonitoring, the FCS submits to Financial Intelligence Unit information on individuals transferring large amounts of cash and monetary instruments.</p> <p>Additionally, the information on individuals transferring large amounts of cash and monetary instruments is regularly submitted to the Russian Federal Security Service, Ministry of Interior and Federal Drug Control Service.</p> <p>Concrete results have been achieved in the fight against illegal movement across the customs border of the CU of monetary instruments by cash couriers. For example, on December 16, 2010, an attempt by the foreign citizens to smuggle monetary funds was detected in the course of special investigation activities carried out in the area of responsibility of the Vnukovo airport customs. The total amount of the smuggled currency is valued at \$14.5 million and 4.1 million Euro. FCS of Russia signed 14 agreements with customs and police structures of European countries, and a joint plan of curbing the activity of transnational criminal networks.</p> <p>Similar documents have been signed with the law enforcement departments of customs services of CIS countries.</p> <p>Currently, within the framework of these agreements, the exchange of proactive operational information is carried out, including information concerning fighting against money laundering and terrorist financing.</p> <p>In the first half of 2011 the customs authorities conducted six joint operations with other law enforcement agencies, during which measures were taken against money laundering and terrorist financing.</p> <p>In addition, in order to ensure coordination and cooperation of public authorities in the field of counteracting legalization of proceeds from drug trafficking, an Interdepartmental Expert-Analytical Group on Countering the Legalization (Laundering) of Proceeds from Drug Trafficking (the order of RFDCS No. 39-r of 25 March 2011) was formed, consisting of representatives of the Prosecutor General of the Russian Federation, the Federal Financial Monitoring Service, the Russian Interior Ministry and Federal Customs Service of Russia</p>
<p>Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report</p>	<p><i>The legal framework for reporting cash and bearer negotiable instruments should be simplified in one law, and reporting forms should be brought in line with the law in all languages.</i></p>
<p>Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report</p>	<p>The measures to improve the legislative framework and bring the passenger customs declaration form into line with the law are described above.</p> <p>With its 19 September 2008 Order No. 1150 “On the adoption of the Administrative Regulations of the Federal Customs Service on performing the state functions of accepting a passenger customs declaration submitted by a natural person”, the FCS introduced a new procedure for completing the customs declaration, which eliminates the deficiencies detected by the team of evaluation experts.</p>
<p>Measures taken to the implement</p>	<p>As part of the formation of the Customs Union, the Committee of the Customs Union approved, in its decision No. 287 dated June 18, 2010, the format of the</p>

recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>passenger customs declaration form and procedure for its completion. According to the said regulatory act, all amounts that are being transported across the boarder of the Customs Union and exceeding the equivalent of \$10,000 U.S. in cash and traveler's checks shall be declared using an additional form of the passenger customs declaration (Cash (or) Monetary Instruments Declaration), containing, as stipulated in the requirements of Article 6 of the Agreement, the specified additional information.</p> <p>The new form of the passenger customs declaration has been in use since July 1, 2010.</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>Russia should ensure that sending cash or bearer negotiable instruments through containerized cargo is covered in law and practice.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>Any physical movement of cash or bearer negotiable instruments across the border is currently covered by the RF Customs Code and the RF Law “On Foreign Exchange Regulation and Foreign Exchange Control”, which also includes containerized cargo.</p> <p>The provisions of customs legislation obligate persons moving cash with containerized cargo to declare such cash in the customs cargo declaration.</p> <p>The following measures are used to detect illegal movement of cash and bearer negotiable instruments concealed in cargo containers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - a risk management system that makes it possible to conduct a full inspection of goods and vehicles in the presence of certain criteria; - inspection facilities; - intelligence supplied by law enforcement units of customs authorities.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>Please refer to the information provided above</p> <p>Any physical movement of cash and negotiable instruments across borders are now covered by the Customs Code of the Customs Union and the Treaty on the order of movement of natural persons of cash and (or) financial instruments across the customs border of the Customs Union, approved by the Decision No. 51 of the Interstate Council of Eurasian Economic Community as of July 5, 2010 , including containerized cargo.</p>
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>The FCS should have the legal authority to restrain currency in case of suspicions of ML if the money is declared. The FCS should take into consideration a system to use reports on currency declaration in order to identify and target money launderers and terrorists.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	<p>Measures taken to implement this recommendation of the Report are reflected above.</p> <p>The automated system that is now being developed will enable automatic entry of information into an electronic database.</p> <p>Upon data entry into the electronic database, information will be checked for any suspicious criteria. Detection of such criteria will constitute grounds for detaining funds.</p> <p>A functionality will be developed to analyze information in the electronic database to detect and track persons involved in money laundering or terrorist financing.</p>
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of	<p>A draft agreement was prepared on countering the legalization (laundering) of proceeds from crime and terrorist financing in the course of importation into the single customs territory of a Customs Union and exportation from the single customs territory of cash and (or) financial instruments, which provides statutory</p>

the first progress report	power to customs authorities to suspend the movement of cash and financial instruments in the presence of suspected money laundering or terrorist financing. The draft Treaty has passed the procedure of approval at the national level
Recommendation of the MONEYVAL Report	<i>The administrative penalties for false or non declarations should be raised considerably.</i>
Measures reported as of 23 September 2009 to implement the Recommendation of the report	The bill envisages the introduction of proportionate and restricting sanctions for any false declaration or failure to declare cash or bearer negotiable instruments and particularization of liability for non-declaration or false declaration. International experience of FATF member states and the WCO Customs Enforcement Guidelines on countering money laundering and terrorist financing (WCO doc. EC0212 Annex) are used to determine amounts of fines.
(Other) changes since the last evaluation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - since October 2008, the FCS has fully adopted the World Customs Organization Recommendation on the need to develop and strengthen the role of customs administration in combating money laundering, dated 25 June 2005. The WCO points out that Russia's accession to this recommendation will serve as a good example for customs administrations of other WCO member states; - there has been an increase in the intensity of information exchange between customs authorities and financial intelligence unit; - the FCS participated in the drafting of the bill on the ratification of the Agreement of Member States of the Commonwealth of Independent States on Anti Money Laundering and Combating the Financing of Terrorism, signed in Dushanbe (Tajikistan) on 5 October 2007.
Measures taken to implement the recommendations since the adoption of the first progress report	<p>The Draft Law prepared by the Federal Customs Service provides for introduction of amendments to Article 16.4 of the Russian Code of Administrative Offences establishing proportionate liability in the form of higher fines (2500 to 5000 rubles instead of 1000 to 2500 rubles currently in use) for failure to declare or false declaration of monetary assets by individuals.</p> <p>International experience of the FATF Member-States as well as guidelines of the World Customs Organization were used to determine the size of such fines.</p> <p>The FCS of Russia jointly with Russian Ministry of the Interior and the Office of the Prosecutor General of Russia are currently deciding on the desirability of granting the powers to conduct investigations in criminal cases involving crimes provided for in Articles 174 and 174.1 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation to the customs authorities. A decision on this issue is due to be taken by the Interdepartmental Committee on Public Safety of the Security Council of the Russian Federation.</p>
(Other) changes since the first progress report (e.g. draft laws, draft regulations or draft "other enforceable means" and other relevant initiatives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - since October 2008 FCS of Russia fully joined the recommendation of the World Customs Organization, "The need to develop and strengthen the role of customs administrations in the fight against money laundering and the laundering of proceeds of crime" as of 25.06.2005. The World Customs Organization noted that Russia's accession to the recommendation would constitute a good example for the customs administrations of other Member States of the World Customs Organization; - the intensity of information exchange between customs authorities and financial intelligence units has increased; - FCS of Russia took part in drafting the bill on the ratification of the Treaty of the Commonwealth of Independent States on countering the legalization (laundering) of criminal incomes and financing of terrorism, signed in Dushanbe (Tajikistan) on 10/05/2007.

2.4. Specific Questions

Answers from the first progress report

1. Have any measures been taken to minimize corruption at law enforcement, prosecution and other competent authorities since the evaluation mission?

Federal Security Service (FSS)

Following the FATF evaluation mission, the FSS took the following measures to minimize corruption at FSS bodies.

Pursuant to the National Anti-Corruption Plan adopted by the Russian President on 31 July 2008, the Plan of Measures to Prevent Corrupt Manifestations at the Federal Security Service has been developed and is being implemented timely. As part of this plan the FSS:

- initiated amendments to the Federal Law "On the Federal Security Service" designed to improve recruitment for the FSS, step up internal security measures, and protect personal data of servicemen, public officials at federal governmental bodies, and personnel of security agencies (adopted with Federal Laws dated 25 December 2008 No. 280-FZ, No. 274-FZ);
 - made changes to the procedure for screening candidates for FSS service aimed at prevention corrupt manifestations;
 - revised methods used in professional screening for FSS service, study at FSS educational institutions, and for appointments to executive positions in order to detect any lucrative impulse or inclination to crimes of corruption among candidates;
 - revised the FSS regulations that address the issues of educational work, maintaining and reinforcing military (labor) discipline, and psychological work with staff;
 - elevated the importance of attestation, analyzed and corrected job duties of employees in sectors exposed to risks of corruption;
 - stepped up efforts of obtaining forewarnings about corrupt manifestations among FSS personnel. Adjustments have been made to the organization of continued efforts along these lines in order to ensure timely collection of additional information, documentation and procedural formalization of any illegal acts detected;
 - organized inspector, thematic and other checks of the FSS bodies in order to evaluate their performance in preventing corrupt manifestations among their personnel;
 - started the appropriate work at the local level. The issues of preventing corrupt manifestations have been discussed at meetings of heads of FSS bodies in federal districts, at boards and meetings of administrative personnel.
- Additionally, as part of the National Anti-Corruption Plan the FSS and other federal executive authorities participate in working out the draft laws that would ensure the following:
- implementation of restrictions, prohibitions and obligations relating to public service (including civil, law enforcement and military service), and observance of the general principles of service conduct by public officers;
 - measures to prevent conflict of interest.

Ministry of Internal Affairs (MIA)

The Russian Ministry of Internal Affairs has developed and is implementing a complex of measures to minimize corruption in law enforcement bodies.

Among other things, these efforts are organized as part of the National Anti-Corruption Plan adopted by the Russian President on 31 July 2008 as well as resolutions by the Coordinating Council of heads of law enforcement bodies, interagency plans and other administrative documents.

Within this context, the MIA has developed and is implementing an anti-corruption plan for the period of 2008-2010, which envisages anti-corruption measures in law enforcement.

Additionally, the MIA is continuing the implementation of the first stage of the Internal Security Concept for Law Enforcement Bodies and the Federal Migration Service for the period of 2007-2012.

The results of these efforts were discussed on 6 February 2009 at an extended meeting of the MIA board, which decided to adopt a complex of additional measures aimed at raising the effectiveness of ministerial control over the operative, detective and procedural activities, and elevating the personal responsibility of administrative personnel for the legitimacy of such activities.

At the same time, the MIA is taking measures to improve the ministerial base of laws that govern the matters of supporting the fight against corrupt manifestations.

The MIA issued the following orders to counter corruption within the MIA system:

- dated 5 December 2008 No. 1065 "On measures to improve the public security police efforts aimed at protecting the economic rights of citizens", which sets out the measures to elevate the legitimacy of public security police work aimed at detecting economic crimes and preventing corrupt manifestations in this work. The order establishes requirements designed to rule out inspections of businesses by police units in matters outside of the police purview, including in matters of observance of license requirements for businesses;

- dated 9 December 2008 No. 1076 and 1077, which establish the Commission on the Enforcement of Requirements for Official Conduct of Federal Government Public Officers at the Headquarters of the Russian Ministry of the Interior and the Commission's working procedure;

- dated 24 December 2008 No. 1138 "On the adoption of the Professional Ethics Code for employees of Russian bodies of the interior";

- dated 24 December 2008 No. 1140 "On the adoption of the Guidelines for the procedure of organizing and conducting official inspections at bodies, divisions and establishments within the system of the Russian Ministry of the Interior";

- dated 22 April 2009 No. 312 "On improvements to the recruitment for service at the headquarters of the MIA of Russia and divisions directly subordinated to the MIA of Russia".

The MIA prepared the Administrative Regulations of the MIA of Russia for the performance of the government function of registering motor vehicles and trailers, adopted by MIA Order dated 24 November 2008 No. 1001.

Since the effective date of the order (27 January 2009), its requirements are mandatory for all registration units of territorial administrative bodies of the State Traffic Safety Inspectorate, the Main Directorate of the Interior, and Directorates of the Interior in constituent entities of the Russian Federation. To check actual fulfillment of the Regulations, MIA representatives visited 11 constituent entities of the Russian Federation. The inspection findings were discussed at a meeting attended by heads of State Traffic Safety Inspectorate units from 30 constituent entities of the Russian Federation.

The MIA is also taking additional measures that involve special background checks upon recruitment and in other cases stipulated by the law. The recruitment and career advancement mechanism is currently being improved.

An important instrument of protecting the interests of public service are special inspection measures to examine the candidate's personality and background, detect facts precluding the candidate from service or occupying a higher position, and access information that constitutes a state secret.

The MIA regularly analyzes information concerning individuals subjected to special inspections, requests pertinent information at the individual's place of residence, from prior employers and educational institutions. The MIA has organized constant data exchange with the FSS and Federal Drug Control Service.

The MIA Main Information Analysis Center keeps a register of disqualified persons who have been denied recruitment by MIA bodies or enrollment at MIA educational institutions over negative episodes in their background. Information accumulated in this register is used to additionally check all candidates recruited by MIA bodies, which includes a check against data in personnel registers of the MIA Human Resources Department.

At the same time, the Ministry is implementing measures to raise the moral and psychological qualities of employees and public officers, including as part of training, retraining and professional development

programs.

For instance, the MIA developed a special course in developing anti-corruption behaviour skills and abilities among the personnel and public officers MIA bodies based on the MIA Academy of Administration, taking into account suggestions from MIA divisions. The materials are currently pending approval by the MIA Human Resources Department. Once approved, the program will be forwarded to the Russian General Prosecutor Office Academy.

The MIA sent proposals to the Russian Ministry of Health and Social Development to develop anti-corruption educational and methodological materials as part of the government order for professional retraining, professional development and internship for public officials for the year 2009.

To raise the effectiveness of anti-corruption measures at law enforcement bodies, the MIA is taking measures to step up collaboration with the FSS, Federal Customs Service, and other federal executive bodies concerned.

In March 2009, MIA representatives held an official meeting with representatives of the Internal Security Service of the Russian Federal Customs Service to discuss issues of cooperation and sharing of operative information relating to instances of corruption committed by FCS officials, including the criminal activity of contrabandists of drugs and psychotropic substances and officials of law enforcement and controlling bodies that aid them.

In the course of such measures, in the 1st half of 2009 the MIA detected 44 033 violations by MIA personnel, federal public officials and employees within the MIA system (hereafter “employees”), including 41 517 disciplinary offences involving breaches of the law and 2,516 crimes, of which 878 were offences of general criminal nature and 1 635 official crimes and crimes against justice.

A number of corrupt officials were exposed in joint operations with other law enforcement bodies.

Measures to minimize corruption in law enforcement are in process.

Federal Drug Control Service (FSKN)

In the annual President’s message to the Federal Assembly of the Russian Federation, countering corruption is one of the priority lines in the government’s domestic policy.

In July 2008, a National Anti-Corruption Plan of the Russian Federation was adopted (hereafter “the National Anti-Corruption Plan”). The Federal Drug Control Service is the authority tasked with direct implementation of the measures stipulated in the National Anti-Corruption Plan within its purview.

On 25 December 2008, the Russian President signed Federal Laws No. 273-FZ “On Countering Corruption” and No. 280-FZ “On Amendments to Individual Legislative Enactments of the Russian Federation in connection with the ratification of the United Nations Convention Against Corruption dated 31 October 2003 and the Criminal Law Convention on Corruption dated 27 January 1999 and adoption of the Federal Law ‘On Countering Corruption’.”

Pursuant to item 5 of Section IV of the National Anti-Corruption Plan, adopted by the Russian President on 31 July 2008, the FSKN Director prepared and approved the Plan for Countering Corruption at the FSKN for the years 2008-2009, which was announced in the FSKN order dated 29 September 2008 No. 318/dsp.

In the course of parliamentary hearings “On the legislative support of the National Anti-Corruption Plan”, the FSKN made specific proposals to improve legislative measures in support of the National Anti-Corruption Plan.

One of the main tasks faced by the FSKN at the initial stage of organizing anti-corruption efforts was to amend the laws that govern the implementation of anti-corruption measures.

In order to elaborate the mechanism of controlling the performance of the FSKN’s public functions, the FSKN issued the following orders:

- dated 8 December 2008 No. 450 “On the adoption of the administrative regulations of the FSKN for performing the public function of issuing statements about the absence of current or prior uncleared convictions of individuals who will have direct official access to drugs or psychotropic substances for crimes of average severity, grave or especially grave crimes involving trafficking in drugs or psychotropic

substances, including crimes committed outside the Russian Federation, as well as statements to the effect that such individuals do not face charges in connection with crimes involving trafficking in drugs or psychotropic substances”;

- dated 8 December 2008 No. 451 “On the adoption of the administrative regulations of the FSKN for performing the public function of issuing a statement on the compliance of facilities and rooms where anti-drug trafficking work is performed”.

These FSKN orders passed state registration in the Russian Justice Ministry.

Besides making the requisite changes to internal regulations, the FSKN is working out measures needed to improve Russian anti-corruption policy laws.

The FSKN has worked out proposals for the criteria of assessing the effectiveness of drug control bodies in preventing, detecting and investigating crimes of corruption, and made appropriate additions to the system for evaluating the performance of FSKN territorial bodies.

To ensure effective implementation of the provisions of the state anti-corruption policy, in August 2008 the FSKN established its own Internal Security Department. Its main task, besides ensuring the security of FSKN operations, is organizing efforts to prevent corrupt manifestations among employees of FSKN bodies.

The FSKN Internal Security Department is the main division in the FSKN’s anti-corruption system and organizes work along these lines at the FSKN territorial bodies where anti-corruption efforts are the responsibility of internal security unites (hereafter ISUs).

In addition to the Internal Security Department, other FSKN departments contribute to anti-corruption efforts.

An important aspect of anti-corruption work has been the participation of FSKN representatives in the work of the interagency work group tasked with preparing reports to the Anti-Corruption Council under the Russian President “On the results of law enforcement bodies’ fight against crimes of corruption” (hereafter the “Council”). Information about the results of anti-corruption efforts at the FSKN was consolidated and presented to the Council.

Also, under the Plan of FSKN board meetings for 2009, on 27 May 2009 the FSKN board held a meeting dominated by the following issue: “Organizing anti-corruption efforts in the light of the FSKN’s implementation of the National Anti-Corruption Plan and the Concept of the Administrative Reform in the Russian Federation for 2006-2010”.

Another important aspect of organizing anti-corruption efforts is training specialists in detecting and documenting crimes of corruption, as well as improving professional training in this sphere for officers of operative and detective units of the FSKN bodies.

FSKN educational institutions have made the appropriate changes to their educational processes in terms of advanced study of anti-corruption issues.

Besides the general organizational measures that shape the long-term anti-corruption strategy at the FSKN, the basis of anti-corruption efforts is formed by daily preventive work of the Internal Security Department in close cooperation with human resources departments.

Raising the level of cooperation with other law enforcement bodies that are fighting corrupt manifestations is a good reserve for improvement in anti-corruption work.

Federal Customs Service (FCS)

In the report on the Russian Federation, FATF evaluation experts pointed out that the Russian customs authorities are prone to a high level of corruption. Nonetheless, the authorities have taken steps to prevent corruption, such as periodic rotations of employees, training, special anti-corruption programs and procedures of internal control. Additionally, the risk of corruption has been reduced by a considerable increase in budget spending per one employee.

Since the FATF evaluation, the customs authorities continue to take anti-corruption measures.

Presently, these efforts are implemented by the customs authorities pursuant to the National Anti-Corruption Plan adopted by the Russian President on 31 July 2008.

The powers to combat corruption are vested in internal security units as well as HR departments tasked with educational and preventive measures.

Corrupt manifestations are fought in close cooperation with other units of the customs authorities, mainly the law enforcement unit.

Pursuant to the Federal Law dated 25 December 2008 No. 273-FZ “On Countering Corruption”, the FCS has passed enactments designed to fight corrupt manifestations and official malfeasance in the customs service, as well as to improve deterrent and preventive education efforts in the fight against corruption at Russian customs authorities, which have been brought to the attention of all territorial customs bodies.

Pursuant to the Presidential Order dated 3 March 2007 No. 269 “On commissions tasked with enforcing requirements for official conduct of public officers in the Russian Federation and resolving conflicts of interest”, all regional customs directorates and offices have set up commissions to enforce requirements for official conduct and resolution of conflicts of interest. At the FCS Headquarters, the said commission has been established by FCS Order dated 12 July 2007 No. 848.

The law enforcement and government authorities of the Russian Federation have been informed about the fact that such commissions have been established at the customs authorities and have been advised to report to such commissions any facts of dishonorable conduct by customs officials.

In order to rule out the possibility of FCS-specific enactments being passed with provisions that would complicate the administrative process or create conditions favoring extortion, graft and other forms of corruption, since March 2005 the Internal Security Directorate has a unit tasked with analyzing draft enactments for compliance with the laws in force and potential to generate corruption.

In 2008, a team of the most qualified officials of FCS divisions concerned was formed to conduct an anti-corruption examination of the RF Customs Code in order to eliminate any ambiguous interpretations of individual provisions.

The FCS is currently drafting a bill that would make the relevant amendments and additions to the RF Customs Code.

Additionally, the FCS is currently reviewing a Long-term Anti-Corruption Plan for the Customs Authorities for 2010-2012.

As of August 2009, the total manpower of internal security units (ISUs) is 948 persons.

In 2008, ISUs of customs authorities instituted 746 criminal cases, including 492 corruption-related cases associated with abuse of office and bribe taking against 215 customs officials and 46 bribe givers.

In the first half of 2009, ISUs of customs authorities instituted 367 criminal cases, including 267 corruption-related cases, against 140 customs officials and 22 bribe givers.

Over 90% of all crimes of corruption at customs authorities are detected by ISUs. This indicator was 91% in 2008 and 95% in the first half of 2009.

The FCS is taking measures to raise the prestige and shape the image of the customs service; the public is being updated via the mass media about anti-corruption efforts, the downward trend in the overall level of corruption, and graphic case studies.

Rosfinmonitoring

Rosfinmonitoring has taken the following measures to minimize corruption:

- Developed and adopted the 29 September 2008 Anti-Corruption Plan of the Federal Financial Monitoring Service.
- By Rosfinmonitoring order dated 29 December 2008 No. 319, the Human Resources Department was tasked with fighting (preventing) corruption;

Rosfinmonitoring drafted an Order “On the list of federal public service positions at the Federal Financial Monitoring Service that require public officers filling such positions to disclose information about their incomes, property and financial liabilities, as well as information about incomes, property and financial liabilities of their spouses and underage children”, which is currently under approval.

2. Has the approach towards ML risk assessment in the Russian Federation been reconsidered since the

evaluation mission?

Bank of Russia (BoR)

The BoR is constantly implementing measures to improve the Russian AML/CFT system, including in terms of assessing the risk of money laundering (so-called risk-oriented approach).

Since the third-round mutual evaluation of the Russian AML/CFT system for compliance with international standards the BoR issued a number of enactments that contain signs of suspicious transactions, along with recommendations for credit institutions on additional monitoring of suspicious transactions. Credit institutions take these BoR into account when implementing internal control procedures to determine the risk of ML or TF transactions by the customer.

Additionally, Federal Law No. 121-FZ was adopted on 3 June 2009, amending Law No. 115-FZ and establishing, among other things, additional criteria to be used by institutions carrying out money and value transactions to assess the risk of ML and TF upon detecting money transfers without the details of the payment originator.

Rosfinmonitoring

The approach based on the assessment of the risk of ML/TF transactions is the core approach in anti money laundering procedures.

In particular, a number of transactions that do not raise suspicions may be carried out with via a simplified customer identification procedure.

Transactions showing a heightened degree (level) of risk of involvement in ML or TF require an extra measure of control from credit institutions.

A new edition of recommendations on developing internal control rules has been prepared for non-credit institutions (which replaces existing recommendations adopted by the RF Government Decision No. 983-r and is currently under approval by supervisory bodies), which requires institution to develop internal control procedures for purposes of AML/CFT, taking into account the risk of ML/TF.

In particular, institutions are required to develop in their internal control rules a program for assessing the risk of ML/TF transactions by the customer (item 3.2 of the draft resolution).

Additionally, Rosfinmonitoring published its Informative Letter dated 18 March 2009 No. 2, containing the fundamental principles and approaches to the identification procedure by institutions. This letter requires that, upon establishing business relations with the customer, the institution should assess the customer's ML/TF risk level and subsequently constantly monitor the customer's transactions in order to take into account changes in the degree of risk.

Institutions must monitor and control current transactions, taking into account ML/TF risk assessment results, and constantly monitor the customer's transactions in the event of heightened risk or suspicious transactions in the customer's activity.

The institution, regardless of the specifics of its activity and the specifics of the activity of its customers, must develop criteria for assessing the degree of risk in keeping with the requirements established by Rosfinmonitoring (item 3.2.1 of the new edition of the Recommendations). Information Letter No. 2 contains the recommended list of signs of transactions, types and conditions of activity that shows a heightened risk of ML/TF transactions by customers, which include:

- travel and tourist business and other activities involving the organization of travel (travel business);
- transactions and other deals using Internet technologies and other remote access systems or otherwise without face-to-face contact;
- the customer or the customer's founder (beneficial owner) or transaction (deal) counterparty is registered or is doing business in a country or on a territory that offers preferential tax regimes and (or) does not require disclosure of information during financial transactions (offshore zone).

In order to assess the degree (level) of risk and track possible changes in the risk level, the institution must conduct constant monitoring of the customer's transactions (3.2.2). The institution must pay heightened attention to money and value transfers, which are carried out by a high-risk customer.

Informative Letter No. 2 states that the institution must complete a thorough customer due diligence if:

- a customer, beneficial owner or transaction raises suspicions of involvement in money laundering or terrorist financing;
- the transaction is complex or unusual in its nature and has no obvious economic rationale or obvious legitimate purpose, and/or there are reasons to believe that the transaction is carried out to evade mandatory control procedures stipulated in the Federal Law;
- the degree (level) of risk has been assessed as heightened.

Additionally, Rosfinmonitoring elaborated the draft law “On amendments to the Federal Law “On Anti Money Laundering and Combating the Financing of Terrorism”, which establishes the obligation of institutions to pay heightened attention to any transactions carried out by persons (or with the participation of persons) registered, residing or located in a state (on a territory) that does not apply or insufficiently applies FATF recommendations, or where such transactions are carried out via an account of a bank registered in the said state (on the said territory).

Simultaneously, the draft law lists among the transactions subject to mandatory control and reporting to Rosfinmonitoring the transactions of crediting or transferring money to an account, extending or receiving credit (loan), transactions in securities, where at least one of the parties is a natural or legal person registered, residing or located in a country (on a territory) that does not apply or insufficiently applies FATF recommendations, or where the said transactions are carried out via an account with a bank registered in the said country (on the said territory). The list of such countries (territories) is determined in accordance with the procedure set out by the Russian Government, taking into account FATF publications. The said list will be published officially.

3. Was further consideration given to the issue of supplementing the provisions of the Criminal Code relating to criminalization of all lucrative crimes?

Rosfinmonitoring

The Federal Service for Financial Markets drafted the Federal Bill “On Amendments to the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation and the Criminal Procedure Code of the Russian Federation” (establishing punishment for offences causing considerable damage to rights and interests of natural and legal persons in the securities market), which envisages criminal liability for price manipulations in the securities market, was passed by the State Duma of the Russian Federal Assembly in the first reading on 8 May 2008.

4. Have any measures been taken to introduce criminal liability for legal persons?

Rosfinmonitoring

Concerning the introduction of criminal liability for legal persons, please be informed as follows. Russian criminal law does not recognize legal persons as subjects of a crime, as directly stated in Article 19 of the RF Criminal Code, under which only a criminally sane natural person that attained the appropriate age can be criminally prosecuted. This provision reflects one of the fundamental principles of Russian criminal law – the principle of personal and culpable liability of a person.

At the same time, Russian legislation establish effective sanctions against legal persons for crimes associated with money laundering or terrorist financing.

In particular, Article 15.27 of the RF Code of Administrative Offences stipulates an administrative fine of 50,000 to 500,000 rubles or administrative suspension of activity for a period of up to 90 days for violations of AML/CFT laws by legal persons.

Under Article 13 of the AML/CFT Law, institutions operating under a license, which are in breach of this law, are subject to a sanction of revocation (annulling) of the license.

Liquidation of institutions implicated in terrorist activities, including terrorist financing, is envisaged in the Federal Law dated 6 March 2006 No. 35-FZ “On Countering Terrorism”.

The possibility of court-ordered liquidation of a public or religious association or other institution engaged in extremist activity is envisaged in Article 9 of the Federal Law dated 25 July 2002 No. 114-FZ “On Countering Extremist Activity”.

Finally, Article 16 of the RF Civil Code stipulates that a legal person may be liquidated by court decision if it engages in activities prohibited under law or in violation of the RF Constitution, or commits repeated or grave violations of the law.

5. During the evaluation mission, many law enforcement officers in the regions complained they never participated in international training events. What measures have been taken by the Russian authorities to improve training programs for law enforcement officers in the regions, in particular the Far Eastern District?

In the 1st half of 2009, the International Training and Methodological Center of Financial Monitoring developed a Standard Training and Professional Development Program for Russian Law Enforcement Officers engaged in AML/CFT efforts and Methodological Recommendations on the Training and Professional Development of Professionals for the national AML/CFT system.

This program with the methodological recommendations were coordinated with the Nizhniy Novgorod Academy of the MIA, the Economic Security Academy of the MIA, and the FSS Academy, and forwarded to the MIA, FSS, FSKN and the GPO to be used by their respective educational institutions.

In 2009, the International Training and Methodological Center of Financial Monitoring also developed a program of short-term seminars of Russian law-enforcement officers engaged in AML/CFT efforts. Under this program, the International Training and Methodological Center of Financial Monitoring held one-day training seminars throughout 2009, attended by representatives of the headquarters and territorial bodies (including from the Far Eastern Federal District) of the MIA, FSKN, and FSS.

6. How many new FIU employees were recruited since the mutual evaluation?

Total staff of Rosfinmonitoring and its Interregional Departments is 645 employees. In 2008 it had 102 vacancies, as to August 2009 it has 60 vacancies. The most of vacancies have been announced.

Additional questions since the first progress report

1. What additional measures have been taken to minimize corruption at law enforcement, prosecution and other competent authorities since the adoption of the first progress report?

In order to improve the mechanism for fighting corruption in 2010, a number of fundamental laws and regulations was adopted:

The Presidential Decree No. 460 as of 13.04.2010 approved the National Anti-Corruption Strategy and National Anti-Corruption Plan for 2010 - 2011, which determined the main directions and measures aimed at corruption restriction;

The Presidential Decree as of 01.07.2010 № 821 approved the new Regulations on the commissions on compliance with the requirements for official conduct of federal government employees and resolve conflicts of interest;

The Decree of the Russian Federation Government No.96 as of 26.02.2010 approved a new method of conducting anti-corruption expertise of legal acts and draft laws and regulations, as well as the procedure for its implementation.

In addition, in 2010, certain changes were made in the number of previous acts. For example, on January 12, 2010 the following Presidential Decrees were amended: No. 1065 as of 21.09.2009 "On the verification of correctness and completeness of the information submitted by citizens, aspiring to fill the positions of the federal public service, and federal government officials, and of compliance of federal civil servants with the requirements for official conduct", No. 1066 as of 21.09.2009 "On the verification of correctness and completeness of the information submitted by citizens, aspiring to fill public positions of the Russian Federation, and persons who hold public positions of the Russian Federation, and of compliance with the restrictions for persons holding public positions of the Russian Federation", and No.559 as of 18.05.2009 "On the providing by citizens, aspiring for positions of the federal public service, and federal government officials, of information about incomes, property and liabilities of material nature." On July 1st, 2010 the Presidential Decree No. 815 as of 19.05.2008 "On the measures for combating corruption" was amended in the part regarding the order of work and the personal composition of the Presidential Council of the Russian Federation for combating corruption.

The Joint Order of the Prosecutor General's Office of the Russian Federation and the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Russian Federation No. 450/85/3 as of 28.12.2010 No. 187/86/2 of 30.04.2010 «On enactment of Articles of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation used for formation of statistical reporting" has identified a list of corruption-directed crimes.

Prosecutor General of the Russian Federation had issued orders No. 209 as of 15.05.2010 "On strengthening the prosecutor's supervision in the light of the National Anti-Corruption Strategy" and No. 208 of 15.05.2010 "On organization of implementation of the National Anti-Corruption Plan for 2010-2011," which approved a comprehensive action plan against corruption for 2010 - 2012 years.

In the first half of 2011 improvement of the regulatory and legal framework of corruption counterwork continued to improve.

Thus, the Federal Law No. 97-FZ "On Amendments to the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation and the Russian Federation Code of Administrative Offences in connection with the improvement of public administration in fighting corruption" of 04.05.2011 brought a number of significant changes into the criminal and administrative legislation of Russia.

In particular, the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation provides for crimes such as commercial bribery, giving and accepting bribes, along with restriction of liberty and imprisonment, an additional, new type of criminal punishment in the form of multiple fine of up to one hundred times the amount of commercial bribery or bribe (from 25 thousand rubles up to 500 million rubles). Criminal liability is differentiated depending on the size of a bribe - in the simple rate, a substantial scale, large and especially large scale.

Criminal liability was introduced for mediation in bribery, i.e. for direct transmission of a bribe on behalf of the giver or taker, or otherwise facilitating them to achieve or implement the agreement on the receipt

of a bribe of a significant (large, especially large) scale, promise or offer mediation in bribery.

A provision was excluded from the Criminal Code, according to which foreign officials and officials of public international organizations who have committed crimes against the government, civil service and service in local government, shall be criminally liable in cases specified by international agreements of Russia. It is envisaged that such foreign officials and officials of public international organizations are criminally liable for giving, receiving bribes and mediation in bribery at common grounds.

The abovementioned Federal law in the Code of Administrative Offences of the Russian Federation increased the statute of limitations for administrative prosecution for violation of Russian legislation on combating corruption - from 1 to 6 years from the date of an administrative offense, as well as established administrative responsibility not only for the illegal transfer, but for the illegal offer or promise on behalf of or for the benefit of a legal entity of official money, securities or other property, provision of the property-related services, provision of property rights for committing actions (inaction) connected with the occupied position. In addition, the procedure for legal assistance in cases of administrative violations was specified.

The Presidential Decree No. 233 "On some issues of organization of the Presidium of the Presidential Council of Combating Corruption" as of 25.02.2011 approved the "Regulations on order of consideration by the Presidential Council of Combating Corruption of issues relating to compliance to the occupational (official) conduct of persons holding public office positions in the Russian Federation and some positions of the federal public service, and on settling of the conflict of interest, as well as some applications of citizens."

The Presidential Decree No. 657 "On the monitoring of law enforcement in the Russian Federation" as of 20.05.2011 aims, among other matters, on combating corruption. This Decree approved the "Regulation on the monitoring of law enforcement in the Russian Federation", which determined that based on the monitoring of law enforcement in the Russian Federation responsible authorities, among other issues, are developing proposals for measures of enhancing the effectiveness of combating corruption (paragraph "g" p. 14)

The Order of the President of the Russian Federation No. 370-rp "On the organization in 2011 of training of federal public officers, whose duties include participation in combating corruption" as of 07.06.2011 defined the procedure of training up to 1,000 federal public officers whose duties include participation in combating corruption, according to the educational program "Functions of human services subunits of the federal government bodies for preventing corruption and other offenses" of up to 36 hours.

Changes in the organization of combating corruption at the federal, regional and local levels in 2010-2011 were determined by the need of implementation of the National Anti-Corruption Strategy and National Anti-Corruption Plan for 2010-2011, approved by Presidential Decree No. 460 as of 13.04.2010.

Thus, in accordance with the Decree of the President of the Russian Federation No. 559 "On the providing by citizens, aspiring for positions of the federal public service, and federal government officials, of information about incomes, property and liabilities of material nature " as of 18.05.2009, the Ministry of Interior Affairs issued an Order No. 205 "On the order of presentation of information on incomes, property and property obligations by citizens, aspiring for posts in the Ministry of Interior Affairs of Russia, and the officers of internal affairs, internal troops, and federal government civilian employees of the Ministry of Interior Affairs " as of 19.03.2010. The same order defined the procedure of checking of correctness and completeness of information submitted by citizens applying for a position at the Ministry of Interior Affairs of Russia, data on income and property obligations.

Due to the large number of requests coming from the units of the FCS of Russia, a newsletter was prepared as of 01.06.2010 "On the procedure oh application of Decree of President of the Russian Federation No. 1065 of September 21st, 2009 in the customs authorities of the Russian Federation", which explained the procedure for verification of information submitted by citizens claiming to hold positions of the federal public service.

The Prosecutor General's Office of the Russian Federation issued a decree No.126 "On approval of the Order on presentation information about income, property and liabilities of material nature in bodies of

public prosecution and institutions of the Russian Federation " as of 25.03.2011. A newsletter was also prepared No. 86/1-148-2010 «On the practice of public prosecution of compliance with current legislation on preventing and resolving conflicts of interest in public and municipal service" as of 25.06.2010.

For the corruption prevention and decrease purposes the great attention in law enforcement bodies was paid to the organization of anticorruption examination carrying out. The information letter of the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation No. 86/1-216-2010 «On the practice of anticorruption examination carrying out of regulatory legal acts by bodies of General Prosecutor's Office» dated June 21, 2010 is devoted to given issue.

In the Ministry of Internal Affairs of Russia the order №15 «On the organization of carrying out of corruptibility examination of regulatory legal acts projects and other documents in the system of the Ministry of Internal Affairs of Russia» dated January 15, 2010 have been issued. The procedure of anticorruption examination of regulatory legal acts projects of the Federal Customs Service of Russia in the Federal Customs Service of Russia had been approved by the order No. 533 of the Federal Customs Service of Russia, as of March 22, 2010.

Because of an approval of a new procedure of anticorruption examination of regulatory legal acts and regulatory legal acts projects by the Government of the Russian Federation in 2010, the Federal Security Service of Russia has made appropriate amendments to the Instruction on the procedure of anticorruption examination of regulatory legal acts projects of the Federal Security Service of Russia and regulatory legal acts of the Federal Security Service of Russia in the bodies of the Federal Security Service.

The important preventive factor in the sphere of counteraction against corruption in the public authorities is an obligation of state and municipal employees, established by the Federal law No.273-FZ «On the counteraction against corruption», as of December 25, 2008, to notify the representative of the employer (hirer), public prosecution bodies or other public authorities of all requests to them of any persons for the purpose of incitement to commit corruption offences.

In pursuance of the given item the Order of the Federal Customs Service of Russia No. 57 «On the approval of the procedure of notification by customs authorities public officers of customs authorities heads of the requests for the purpose of incitement to commit corruption offences and of the organization of received notifications check», as of January 18, 2010, and the Order of the Federal Drug Control Service of Russia No. 90 «About the approval of the procedure of notification of the representative of the employer of the requests for the purpose of incitement of employees, federal state civil officers of bodies on narcotic drugs and psychotropic substances to commit corruption offences », as of March 13, 2010, have been issued.

For the purpose of optimization of preparation of the statistical reporting in the sphere of counteraction against corruption the amendments has been made to the List of articles of the Criminal code of the Russian Federation, used when forming the statistical reporting, by the joint Directive No.187/862 of the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation and the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Russian Federation, as of April 30, 2010. A new section which has fixed the list of articles of the Criminal code of the Russian Federation, concerning corruption offences, had been introduced by the given Directive. Owing to it the unified mechanism of forming of the statistical reporting concerning corruption offences has been made.

The given list has been modified by the Directive No. 450/85/3 of the Public Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation and the Ministry of Internal Affairs of Russia «On the enactment of Lists of articles of the Criminal code of the Russian Federation, used when forming the statistical reporting», as of December 28, 2010.

On the regional and municipal level the aim of changes in the system of organization of counteraction against corruption was a correction of regional and municipal regulatory legal acts or an adoption of new acts according to the National anti-corruption strategy and the National anti-corruption plan for 2010-2011.

For the purpose of strengthening of counteraction against corruption in public authorities the Decree of the President of the Russian Federation No.821 «On the commissions on observance of requirements in regard to official behavior of federal state employees and on settlement of the conflict of interests», as of

July 1, 2010, pursuant to which The regulations on the commissions on observance of requirements in regard to official behavior of federal state employees and on settlement of the conflict of interests have been approved, has been adopted.

The goals of the given commissions are to guarantee the observance of restrictions and prohibitions, requirements in regard to the prevention or settlement of the conflict of interests by federal state employees and to take corruption prevention measures in the public authority. The establishment and activity of such commissions can essentially reduce a quantity of corruption offences in the specific federal authority. It is necessary to notice that the given commissions perform the activity only in respect of the state civil officers, and the appropriate professional licensing commissions have been vested their authorities in respect of other state employees (servicemen, employees of law-enforcement bodies, public prosecutor's employees, etc.).

The formation of system of the organization of counteraction against corruption has been proceeding on various levels in the first half of 2011.

The laws analysis has shown that in the federal executive authorities the general attention has been paid to the procedure of notification of the representative of the employer (hirer) of the requests for the purpose of incitement of federal state civil employees to commit corruption offences, there have been approved about 20 such documents.

For example, the procedure of notification by employees and federal state civil officers of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation of the representative of the employer (hirer) of all requests to them of any persons for the purpose of incitement to commit corruption offenses has been approved by the Order №11 of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation, dated January 15, 2011.

Also a number of the legal acts, regulating activity of the commissions on observance of requirements in regard to official behavior of federal state employees and on settlement of the conflict of interests, as well as a procedure of representation of the information about income, property and estate liabilities by employees, have been adopted.

The Code of ethics and official behavior of the federal state civil officers of the Public Prosecution bodies of the Russian Federation had been approved by the Order No.79 of the General Prosecutor of the Russian Federation, as of March 25, 2011.

The Code includes main principles and rules of official behavior of the civil officers of the Public Prosecution bodies; ethical standards of official behavior of the civil officers of the Public Prosecution bodies; responsibility of the federal state civil officers for infringement of the Code.

The procedure of representation by the citizens, competing for vacant posts of federal public service in customs authorities of the Russian Federation, and by the federal state employees, filling the posts of federal public service in customs authorities of the Russian Federation, of the information about their income, property and estate liabilities, as well as details of income, property and estate liabilities of their spouses and minor children, have been approved by the Order No.14 of the Federal Customs Service of Russia, as of January 12, 2011.

The procedure of reliability and completeness validation of the information represented by citizens, competing for vacant posts of federal public service, and federal state employees and observance of requirements in regard to official behavior by federal state employees have been approved by Order №79 of the Investigative Committee of Russia, as of May 4, 2011.

The procedure of forming and activity of the commission of local body of the Federal Drug Control Service of Russia on observance of requirements in regard to official behavior by federal state civil employees and on settlement of the conflict of interests have been approved by the Order №117 of The Federal Drug Control Service of the Russian Federation, dated April 7. 2011.

2. Have any assets been frozen in accordance with UNSCR 1373 in Russia since the adoption of the first progress report (both according to the domestic list or upon a request of foreign authorities)?

Please see Table 6 in Annex I.
<p><i>3. How many new FIU employees were recruited since the adoption of the first progress report?</i></p> <p>Total number of vacancies in Rosfinmonitoring and its Inter- Regional Departments is in December 2010 - 59, as of June 30, 2011 - 27.</p> <p>Thus, as a result of deliberate personnel policy, the number of vacancies has decreased 3.7 times, in comparison with 2008. The rest of vacancies have been announced</p>
<p><i>4. In the third round MER, the evaluators regarded the Russian authorities' position concerning the criminal liability in Russian legal system as not convincing. Has any further consideration been given to introduce criminal liability for legal persons since the adoption of the first progress report? Have any measures been taken to increase the monetary penalties available for legal persons for ML and TF offences?</i></p> <p>The Federal Law No. 176-FZ of July 23, 2010 establishes severe administrative liability for organizations executing transactions with monetary funds and other property for failure to comply with the law on combating money laundering and terrorist financing resulting in an instance of money laundering or terrorist financing established in accordance with a valid court sentence. That applies if such action (inaction) does not constitute a criminal offence. This act is punishable by an administrative fine ranging from thirty to fifty thousand rubles or disqualification for a period between one and three years for officials; from five hundred thousand to one million rubles fine or administrative suspension of activity for up to ninety days for legal entities.</p> <p>This level of liability of legal persons in the Russian legal system is similar to criminal liability of legal persons applied in other legal frameworks.</p> <p>The possibility of introducing the grounds for liability of legal entities to the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation was discussed on several occasions, with the participation of the President of the Russian Federation.</p> <p>As a result of these discussions, the grounds for liability of legal entities in Russia are included in the Code of Administrative Offences and the Civil Code of the Russian Federation.</p> <p>There are many enforcement measures, including liquidation, provided by financial, administrative, arbitration, civil and other laws that exist and are successfully applied against legal entities in our country. Thus, in particular, on the grounds and in the manner prescribed by the Civil Code of Russia (Article 61), Civil Procedure Code of Russia (Art. 245), Administrative Code of Russia, Federal Laws "On Combating Extremist Activity", "On Combating Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing" and "On Countering Terrorism", any entity engaged in perpetration of an illegal activity or in other activities provided for in the existing law may be liquidated by a court decision, its financial operations may be suspended, or it may be subject to an administrative fine, administrative suspension of activities, confiscation of instrument of crime or the object of an administrative offence.</p>
<p><i>5. Have the draft amendments to the AML/CFT Law, requiring FIs to obtain credible information on beneficial ownership of the customer been enacted? If so, what other measures have been taken or exist in Russian law to ensure the accuracy, adequacy and currency of information on beneficial ownership? Have similar requirements for FIs been introduced for the information on the control of legal persons?</i></p> <p>For the purpose of further identification mechanism consolidation there was adopted Federal Law dated 23.07.2010 No. 176-FZ "On amending in Federal Law on "the Counteraction of the Legitimization (Laundering) of the Proceeds of Crime and the Financing of Terrorism" and Administrative Offences Code of the Russian Federation.</p> <p>The beneficial owner's identification requirements have been expanded. In particular, Federal Law No. 176-FZ directly establishes obligation to identify beneficiary. Government Order as of 10.06.2010 No. 967-p requires to pay attention on the following while legal units identification:</p>

- a) legal unit founding members (participants);
- b) legal unit regulatory agencies structure and their power;
- c) the amount of registered and paid nominal (share) capital or the amount of stock, value of legal unit property.

Requirements for identification have been approved by Rosfinmonitoring in the development of provisions of the AML/CFT Law with regard to identification (order as of 17.02.2011 No. 59).

In particular, paragraph 1.7 of the order requires from entities to use information, contained in unified state legal units register, foreign countries representative offices accredited on the Russian Federation territory public register, as well as information about lost, bad passports, dead individuals passports, lost blank passports obtained in accordance with the applicable procedure from relevant federal executive authorities according to Paragraph 5 of Federal Law Article 9, while client, client representative, beneficiary identification.

Thus, entities are obliged and have possibility to obtain reliable information on beneficiary owners.

In addition to Order No.59 Paragraph 2.7 requirements to the documents have been stated, under which identification can be implemented, in particular, helpful while beneficiary identification documents have to be valid at the moment of filing.

6. Have additional trainings been provided for law enforcement officers in the regions, particularly the far Eastern district?

The training of the public law enforcement officials is carried out on an ongoing basis in Rosfinmonitoring Inter-regional Departments.

So in January – July 2011 10 trainings have been carried out by Rosfinmonitoring Inter regional Departments on the Far Eastern Federal District. During the trainings the following issues were discussed:

- fight against corruption;
- non-profit organizations activity, specifically, cooperation in the region of counteraction of non-profit organizations extremist activity;
- set-up of interdepartmental interaction in the region of counteraction of the legitimization of the proceeds of crime, including drug crimes, and financing of terrorism;
- efficiency of law enforcement agencies and state of investigative and operational subdivisions in detection and investigation of crimes in the sphere of national projects implementation;
- effectiveness of implementation of the AML/CFT requirements by legal entities and individuals.

7. Please describe how many investigations and convictions for money laundering since the first progress report relate to autonomous money laundering (including on behalf of organised crime) and how many relate to self-laundering. What are the major underlying predicate offences involved? Please provide an indication of the range of sentences imposed since the first progress report for autonomous ML.

According to Ministry of the Interior Main Information Analysis Center, the public law enforcement officials in 2010:

according to Article 174-1 of the Russian Federation Criminal Code there were 1 652 acts of crime qualified, (in the first half of the 2011 year –278);

110 acts of crime were qualified according to Article 174 of the Russian Federation Criminal Code (in the first half of the 2011 year –149).

In 2010 the courts passed 63 sentences under Articles 174 and 1741 of the Russian Federation Criminal Code, 124 accused had been convicted according these Articles. Amount of laundered income amounted to 2 416 630 thousand of rubles under these sentences.

The predicate offences which were detected more regularly are:

- fraud (Article 159 of the Criminal Code) share among other predicate offences amounts to 61%;
- unlawful entrepreneurship (Article 170), share – up to 11.4 %;
- misappropriation or embezzlement (Article 160), share – up to 6%;
- smuggling (Article 188), share - 3.8 %;
- illegal banking activity (Article 172) – 3.2 %;
- misuse of official powers (Article 285) – 2.4%

- and others

Money laundering (Article 174 of the Criminal Code) as a separate criminal offence is punishable by fine which amounts up to 1 million rubles or imprisonment for a term up to 10 years. Moreover, the fine can be used as an additional or separate punishment to the imprisonment. The proceeds laundered subject to confiscation.

2.5. Questions related to the Third Directive (2005/60/EC) and the Implementation Directive (2006/70/EC)⁴⁹

Implementation / Application of the provisions in the Third Directive and the Implementation Directive	
Please indicate whether the Third Directive and the Implementation Directive have been fully implemented / or are fully applied and since when.	<i>Even though the Russian Federation is not an EU member and is not contemplating EU membership, we strive to maximum possible application (with provisions for the specifics of the national legal system) of the provisions of these EU Directives, considering them to be an expert guidance for Russia generalizing the best AML/CFT practices of European states.</i>
Please indicate whether the Third Directive and the Implementation Directive have been fully implemented / or are fully applied and since when.	

Beneficial Owner	
Please indicate whether your legal definition of beneficial owner corresponds to the definition of beneficial owner in the 3 rd Directive ⁵⁰ (please also provide the legal text with your reply)	Rosfinmonitoring and the ministries and agencies concerned have drafted the oft-mentioned Federal Bill "On Amendments to the Federal Law 'On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism' ", which broadens the scope of notions of the AML/CFT Law by defining the notion of "beneficial owner". Beneficial owner means a proxy giver, grantor, principal, owner or other person on whose behalf and (or) in whose interests and (or) at whose expense the customer (customer's representative) carries out a money and value transfer. We believe that this definition corresponds to the 3 rd Directive.
Please indicate whether your legal definition of beneficial owner corresponds to the definition of	The Federal law from 23.07.2010 № 176-FZ, amended the AML/CFT Law, introduced definition of concept "beneficiary", and also specified the data volume subject to an establishment concerning the beneficiary. Therewith Item 1 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT Law provide identification of beneficiaries. Decree of the RF Government No.967-r (item 1 requires that in the course of

⁴⁹ For relevant legal texts from the EU standards see Appendix II.

⁵⁰ See Please see Article 3(6) of the Third Directive reproduced in Annex II.

<p>beneficial owner in the 3rd Directive⁵¹ (please also provide the legal text with your reply)</p>	<p>identification of legal entities to pay special attention on the following issues:</p> <p>a) the list of the legal entity's founders (shareholders);</p> <p>b) the structure of the legal entity's management bodies and their powers;</p> <p>c) the size of registered and paid-up authorized (share) capital or the size of authorized fund and the value of assets of a legal entity.</p> <p>Besides, according to Item 1.2 of Bank of Russia's Regulation № 262-P credit institutions determine and identify the beneficiary, i.e. the person for benefit of which the client acts, in particular on the basis of the agency agreement, contracts of agency, the commission, while performing bank and other transactions.</p> <p>The Order of FFMS of the RF as of 20.07.2010 No. 10-49/pz-n approved Provision on approval of requirements for licensing and conditions for rendering professional activity on securities markets (further - Provision). Under this Provision full information on ownership structure should be read as the information disclosing on the person or a group of persons which directly or indirectly own five and more percent of charter (joint stock) capital of the licensee. Therewith the information on the specified person or a group of persons is considered to be disclosed, if such person (the persons entering into a group of persons) is the Russian Federation, constituent of the Russian Federation, municipal unit, the individual person, the juridical person disclosing the information in terms of Article 30 of the Federal law from 4/22/1996 No.39-FZ «On the securities market» or is non-profit organization (except for noncommercial partnership), and also foreign persons having the similar status.</p> <p>The full information on ownership structure of the licensee should be provided to FFMS of Russian Federation on the magnetic media and in a paper form not later than 15 working days following after accounting quarter.</p>
---	--

Risk-Based Approach	
<p>Please indicate the extent to which financial institutions have been permitted to use a risk-based approach to discharging certain of their AML/CFT obligations</p>	<p>The approach based on the assessment of the risk of ML/TF transactions is the core approach in anti money laundering procedures.</p> <p>Transactions showing a heightened degree (level) of risk of involvement in ML or TF require an extra measure of control from credit institutions. Pursuant to item 2.9 of the BoR Policy dated 19 August 2004 No. 262-P "On the identification of customers and beneficial owners by credit institutions with a view to combating money laundering and terrorist financing", the transactions of heightened degree (level) of risk include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - transactions by a non-CI legal person (its standalone business unit) or individual entrepreneur involving withdrawal of cash from a bank account (deposit account) (with the exception of withdrawal of cash representing salaries and compensations under Russian labour laws, pensions, stipends, benefits and other mandatory social payments under Russian laws, as well as payment for stationery and other household expenses, except the purchase of fuel and lubricants and agricultural products); - transactions with residents of countries or territories named in items 2, 3 of Appendix 1 to the BoR Directive dated 7 August 2003 No. 1317-U "On the procedure for the establishment by authorised banks of correspondent relations with non-resident banks registered in states and on territories granting a privileged tax regime and/or not stipulating the disclose and furnishing of information in the

⁵¹ Please see Article 3(6) of the 3rd Directive reproduced in Appendix II.

	<p>conduct of financial operations (in offshore zones)”, registered by the Russian Ministry of Justice on 10 September 2003 under No. 5058;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the business of organizing and maintaining sweepstakes and gambling outlets (casinos, bookmaker’s, etc.), organizing lotteries, sweepstakes (mutual betting), and other gambling games, including in electronic form, as well as operation of pawnshops; - operations involving sales, including on commission, of antiques, furniture, and passenger cars; - transactions in precious metals and stones, jewellery containing precious metals and stores, and jewellery scrap; - transactions in real estate and real estate agency services in transactions in real estate; - transactions with a legal person whose permanent governance bodies, other bodies or persons authorized to act on behalf of this person without power of attorney, are absent at the location address of this legal person; - presence of suspicious transactions in the customer’s activity, which are reported to the competent authority (this subparagraph may be disregarded if no suspicious transactions subject to reporting to the competent authority were not detected during a period established under this Provision for updating information obtained upon customer identification and beneficial owner determination and identification); - recurring transactions whose nature gives reasons to believe that they are conducted with the objective of evading the mandatory control procedure stipulated in the Federal Law “On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism”; - money transfers by legal persons to bank accounts (deposit accounts) of natural persons (except salaries and compensations under Russian labour laws, pensions, stipends, benefits and other mandatory social payments under Russian laws) with subsequent withdrawal by said natural persons of such money in cash or its transfer to bank accounts (deposit accounts) of other persons; - banking transactions and other deals carried out using Internet technologies; - transactions with residents of countries or territories which, according to international sources, do not comply with the generally accepted AML/CFT standards or are countries or territories with high levels of corruption; - transactions with residents of countries or territories which, according to international sources, are illegally producing or smuggling narcotic substances, as well as countries and territories permitting uncontrolled circulation of drugs (except countries or territories using narcotic substances exclusively for medicinal purposes). <p>A credit institution may also use additional types of high-risk transactions.</p>
<p>Please indicate the extent to which financial institutions have been permitted to use a risk-based approach to discharging certain of their AML/CFT obligations.</p>	

Politically Exposed Persons	
<p>Please indicate whether criteria for identifying PEPs in accordance with the provisions in the Third Directive and the Implementation Directive⁵² are provided for in your domestic legislation (please also provide the legal text with your reply).</p>	<p>Russia adopted Federal Law No. 121-FZ dated 3 June 2009, which establishes the following additional obligations for institutions carrying out transactions in money when serving foreign PEPs:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Take justified measures available under the circumstances to detect foreign PEPs among the existing or potential private customers; 2) Provide services to foreign PEPs only based on a written decision by the manager of the institution carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property, or the manager's deputy, as well as the manager of the standalone business unit of the institution carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property, to whom the institution's manager or deputy manager delegated the appropriate authority; 3) Take justified measures available under the circumstances to determine the sources of money or other value belonging to foreign PEPs; 4) Regularly update the information at the disposal of the institution carrying out transactions with monetary funds and other property about foreign PEPs among its customers; 5) Focus heightened attention on transactions with monetary funds and other property carried out by foreign PEPs, their spouses, family members (direct family members in the upward or downward line (parents and children, grandparents and grandchildren), blood siblings and half siblings (siblings having a common father or mother), adoptive parents and adopted children) or on behalf of such persons, if they are customers of the credit institution. <p>These requirements are not applied by credit institutions for transactions below RUB 15,000 or a foreign currency amount equivalent to RUB 15,000, which involve purchase or sale of foreign currency in cash form by natural persons or making money transfers at the request of natural persons without opening a bank account, except where employees of the institution carrying out money or value transfers have reasons to suspect that such transactions are carried out for ML or TF purposes.</p> <p>Additionally, Russia adopted Federal Law dated 25 December 2008 No. 273-FZ "On countering corruption". The Law establishes the basic principles of countering corruption, legal and organizational fundamentals for preventing and combating corruption, minimizing and (or) eliminating consequences of crimes of corruption. It is supplemented by the RF Presidential Decree dated 18 May 2009 No. 557, establishing lists of state employees who are obligated to report their income and that of their family members. Therefore, Russia has created the legal groundwork for monitoring incomes of Russian PEPs.</p> <p>Russia has analyzed the expedience of extending the measures of enhanced transaction monitoring to Russian PEPs, with the analysis results presented to the Russian Government. This approach has been deemed expedient, and the relevant federal bills are now being drafted.</p> <p>In determining whether or not a foreign national is a PEP, Rosfinmonitoring and other supervisory bodies proceed from the definition provided in item "c" of Article 2 of the UN Convention Against Corruption. This position has been brought to the attention of FIs through relevant information postings on the official</p>

⁵² See Article 3(8) of the 3rd Directive and Article 2 of Commission Directive 2006/70/EC reproduced in Appendix II.

	<p>Rosfinmonitoring website.</p> <p>The BoR Letter dated 18 January 2008 No. 8-T “On the application of item 1.3 of Article 7 of the Federal Law ‘On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism” brought the list of definitions of “publicly exposed persons” contained in documents published by international organizations to the attention of credit institutions.</p>
<p>Please indicate whether criteria for identifying PEPs in accordance with the provisions in the Third Directive and the Implementation Directive⁵³ are provided for in your domestic legislation (please also provide the legal text with your reply).</p>	<p>Subsections 3 and 4 of Item 1 of Article 7.3 of the AML/CFT Law (in edition of Article 3 of the Federal law No. 121-FZ) bind organizations, performing operations involving monetary resources or other assets, to take the measures reasonable and accessible under present circumstances for determination of source of funds or other assets of foreign public officials, and also on regular basis to renew measures available for organization that performs operations involving monetary resources or other assets, information on foreign public officials serviced by this organization.</p> <p>The Federal law as of 23.07.2010 No. 176-FZ introduced the concept of "beneficiary". In accordance with specified Federal law financial organizations, and primarily credit organizations, should receive accurate information on the beneficial ownership of their clients and take action under the AML/CFT Law. Federal Law as of 23.07.2010 No.176-FZ introduces the term "beneficiary". In accordance with specified Federal law financial institutions, and primarily credit ones, will be receiving accurate information about the beneficial ownership of their clients and take action under the the AML/CFT Law.</p> <p>04/28/2011 President of Russian Federation has introduced to the State Duma legislation "On Amendments to Certain Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation relative to the improvement of state administration in a field of anti-corruption", directed to solve a number of conceptual problems.</p> <p>Thus, in order to improve the efficiency of the verification and completeness of information on income, property and estate liabilities Federal laws "On Banks and Banking Activity" and "On state registration of Immovable Property Rights and transactions therewith" and Tax Code of the Russian Federation are complemented with the provision under which banks and other credit organizations, the registration and tax authorities are obliged under the law on anti-corruption to provide to leaders (officials) of the Federal state authorities, list of which is defined by the President of the Russian Federation, and the top officials of the Russian Federation (heads of higher executive authorities of constituent entities of the Russian Federation) the data on income, property and estate liabilities (including information on transactions, accounts and deposits) of citizens claiming to fill the Russian Federation public posts, as a judge, public positions of the Russian Federation constituent entities, heads of municipalities and public offices, replaced on an ongoing basis, federal public service positions, the State Civil Service of the Russian Federation constituent entities, municipal service, leadership positions in the public corporation, fund or other entity created by the Russian Federation in accordance with federal law, individual posts, replaced under an employment agreement, in organizations, institutions and enterprises that are created to perform the tasks assigned to federal government agencies, persons holding listed positions, spouse (spouses) and minor children of these persons and entities.</p> <p>Please specify, whether the ban is limited to notification of the operation or the ongoing investigation also covers ML and FT.</p>

⁵³ Please see Article 3(8) of the 3rd Directive and Article 2 of Commission Directive 2006/70/EC reproduced in Appendix II.

“Tipping off”	
<p>Please indicate whether the prohibition is limited to the transaction report or also covers ongoing ML or TF investigations.</p>	<p>Article 4 of the AML/CFT Law classifies a prohibition on tipping off customers and other persons about AML/CFT measures being taken as one of the measures aimed at AML/CFT.</p> <p>Under item 6 of Article 7 of the AML/CFT Law, employees of institutions reporting the relevant information to the competent authority are prohibited from tipping off customers and other persons.</p> <p>The Federal Bill “On Amendments to the Federal Law ‘On Combating Legalisation (Laundering) of Proceeds from Crime and Financing of Terrorism’ states that not only employees of the institutions disclosing the relevant information to the competent authority, but also managers of such institutions shall not tip off customers of such institutions and other persons about the AML/CFT measures being taken.</p>
<p>Please indicate whether the prohibition is limited to the transaction report or also covers ongoing ML or TF investigations.</p>	
<p>With respect to the prohibition of “tipping off” please indicate whether there are circumstances where the prohibition is lifted and, if so, the details of such circumstances.</p>	<p>With regard to the prohibition on "warning", please specify whether there are circumstances in which the ban is lifted and, if it is so, specify the details of such circumstances. In legislation definition and law enforcement practice of the Russian Federation under employees also understood the managers of the organization and proceeds from the assumption that the ban on information for employees means a ban on information for the organization because of absence of physical nature, the organization can not act otherwise than as through the actions of its employees.</p> <p>However, to comply with demand better, the Federal Law as of 23.07.2010 No.176-FZ amending AML/CFT Law provides that "the organizations representing the relevant information to the authority, as well as managers and employees of organizations representing the appropriate information to the authority is not entitled to inform the clients of these organizations or individuals".</p>

“Corporate liability”	
<p>Please indicate whether corporate liability can be applied where an infringement is committed for the benefit of that legal person by a person who occupies a leading position within that legal person.</p>	
<p>Can corporate liability be applied where the infringement is committed for the benefit of that legal</p>	

person as a result of lack of supervision or control by persons who occupy a leading position within that legal person.	
---	--

DNFBPs	
Please specify whether the obligations apply to all natural and legal persons trading in all goods where payments are made in cash in an amount of € 15 000 or over.	The State Duma has passed in the first reading a bill under which the AML/CFT regime would be applied to all transactions in amounts equal to or exceeding RUB 600,000 in cash, in which case such transactions are subject to mandatory control. Rosfinmonitoring and other authorities have analyzed the possibility to establish control over all such transactions involving acquisition of high-value items and luxury possessions, and have found this decision to be expedient. The relevant proposals have been submitted to the Russian Government.
Please specify whether the obligations apply to all natural and legal persons trading in all goods where payments are made in cash in an amount of € 15 000 or over.	Russian Federation has analyzed the application of the regulations for AML / CFT for all DNFBPs. In the Russian Federation uniform requirements are established for anti-money laundering and financing of terrorism as for financial institutions, and for the most DNFBP - for casinos and other gambling establishments, organizations jewelry sector, for organizations handling brokering real estate transactions, as well as pawnshops, lawyers, notaries, accountants and auditors.

2.6. Statistics

Money laundering and financing of terrorism cases

Statistics provided for the second progress report

Table 1

Money laundering: investigations / prosecutions / convictions (2007 – 2011)				
	Year	Money laundering	Self- laundering	Total
Number of ML crimes investigated	2007	365	8670	9035
	2008	319	8064	8383
	2009	374	8417	8791
	2010	110	1652	1762
	1-st half of 2011	149	278	427
	Total	1 317	27 081	28 398

Number of persons investigated for money laundering	2007	154	2306	2460
	2008	166	2633	2799
	2009	121	2498	2619
	2010	90	829	919
	1-st half of 2011	89	113	202
	Total	620	8 379	8 999
Number of completed money laundering investigations	2007	295	8258	8553
	2008	220	7366	7586
	2009	281	7 825	8 106
	2010	97	1693	1790
	1-st half of 2011	129	154	283
	Total	1 022	25 296	26 318
Number of money laundering cases sent to court	2007	242	7021	7263
	2008	119	6241	6360
	2009	208	6587	6795
	2010	52	1450	1502
	1-st half of 2011	57	129	186
	Total	678	21 428	22 106
Number of persons charged with money laundering	2007	111	2009	2120
	2008	82	2055	2137
	2009	55	1764	1819
	2010	54	612	666
	1-st half of 2011	48	93	141
	Total	350	6 533	6 883
Number of convictions related to money laundering (includes convictions for more or other serious crimes for which money laundering or self -laundering was only considered an aggravating crime).	2007	110	416	526
	2008	84	755	839
	2009	110	698	808
	2010	82	720	802
	1-st half of 2011	24	89	113
	Total	410	2 678	3 088

Table 2

Stand alone convictions (2007-2011)						
Money laundering						
Year	2007	2008	2009	2010	1-st half of 2011	Total
Imprisonment	25	2	9	3	0	39

Conditional imprisonment	14	12	6	3	2	37
Fine	10	3	5	3	5	26
Total Sanctions	49	17	20	9	7	102
Self-laundering						
Imprisonment	20	38	26	37	5	126
Conditional imprisonment	43	64	54	17	2	180
Fine	22	17	20	8	0	67
Total Sanctions	85	119	100	62	7	373

Table 3

Terrorist financing: investigations/ prosecutions/ convictions (2007 - 2011)		
	Year	Number
Number of TF crimes investigated	2007	7
	2008	10
	2009	15
	2010	22
	1-st half of 2011	9
	Total	63
Number of persons investigated for TF	2007	3
	2008	12
	2009	9
	2010	11
	1-st half of 2011	10
	Total	45
Number of completed TF investigations	2007	3
	2008	8
	2009	10
	2010	12
	1-st half of 2011	3
	Total	36
Number of TF cases sent to court	2007	-
	2008	6
	2009	5
	2010	5
	1-st half of 2011	3
	Total	19

Number of persons convicted of TF	2007	1
	2008	1
	2009	4
	2010	2
	1-st half of 2011	2
	Total	10

Table 4

Statistics on criminal cases containing FIU material (2007 – 2011)		
	Year	Number
Number of ML investigations (law enforcement / prosecution) containing FIU material	2007	3065
	2008	3807
	2009	5593
	2010	1762
	1-st half of 2011	854
	Total	15081
Number TF investigations (law enforcement / prosecution) containing FIU material	2007	12
	2008	19
	2009	15
	2010	22
	1-st half of 2011	3
	Total	39
Number of ML cases containing FIU material transferred to court	2007	71
	2008	73
	2009	115
	2010	265
	1-st half of 2011	138
	Total	662
Number of convictions for ML in cases containing FIU material	2007	46
	2008	58
	2009	85
	2010	114
	1-st half of 2011	58
	Total	361

Table 5

Statistics for confiscation and freezing (2007 – 2011)				
Money laundering only				
	Year	Total	Article 174 CC	Article 174.1 CC
Number of cases of freezing or seizure of property	2007	261	24	237
	2008	282	18	264
	2009	269	22	247
	2010	94	9	85
	1-st half of 2011	37	0	37
	Total	943	73	870
Amounts frozen or seized (x 1000 RUB)	2007	829 879	598 310	231 569
	2008	155 587	3 135	152 452
	2009	297 420	15 710	281 710
	2010	620 762	4 948	615 814
	1-st half of 2011	309 883	115	309 768
	Total	2 213531	622 218	1 591 313
Amounts confiscated (x 1000 RUB)	2007	897 141	11 682	885 459
	2008	232 653	36 003	196 650
	2009	101 189	28 824	72 365
	2010	49 429	2 921	46 508
	1-st half of 2011	35 869	7 799	28 070
	Total	1 316281	87 229	1 229 052

Table 6

Suspended transactions and amounts frozen (2007 - 2011)		
	Year	Number
Number of suspended transactions (national terrorist list only)	2007	22
	2008	11
	2009	6
	2010	2
	1-st half of 2011	3
	Total	44
Amounts frozen (USD) (national terrorist list only)	2007	69 284,08
	2008	39 395,70
	2009	21 847,48
	2010	5 832,96
	1-st half of 2011	5 579,80
	Total	141940,02

Table 7

MLA requests related to ML (2007 - 2011)		
	Year	Number
MLA requests - received	2007	21
	2008	49
	2009	74
	2010	121
	1-st half of 2011	66
	Total	331
MLA requests - answered	2007	21
	2008	49
	2009	67
	2010	128
	1-st half of 2011	65
	Total	330

Table 8

Statistics on reports received by the FIU (2007 – 2011)		
	Year	Number
Number of STRs received by the FIU	2007	5 504 559
	2008	5 416 341
	2009	3 848 675
	2010	4 508 701
	1-st half of 2011	2 508 718
	Total	21 786 994
All reports received by the FIU (incl. STRs)	2007	8 548 641
	2008	8 597 386
	2009	6 258 975
	2010	7 232 274
	1-st half of 2011	3 954 048
	Total	35 100 042
Number of STRs transferred to law enforcement	2007	30 060
	2008	55 121
	2009	54 409
	2010	46 321
	1-st half of 2011	21 218
	Total	207 129

Table 9

Reports by Credit institutions					
Year	2007	2008	2009	2010	1-st half of 2011
Mandatory reports	2 797 911	2 869 557	2 173 183	2 396 004	1 242 459
STRs	5 489 213	5 368 717	3 830 132	4 441 210	2 494 564
All reports	8 287 124	8 238 274	6 003 315	6 837 214	3 737 023

Table 10

Reports by non-CI FI (2007 - 2011)						
Year		2007	2008	2009	2010	1-st half of 2011
Securities markets	Mandatory reports	7 637	6 859	5 876	5 271	2 228
	STRs	3 686	4 070	3 281	52 175	6 153
Investment and pension funds	Mandatory reports	2 100	2 909	4 438	5 621	3 522
	STRs	510	1 382	601	645	293
Post of Russia	Mandatory reports	5 530	16 364	5 108	10 370	246
	STRs	5 645	33 865	9 585	8 484	3 779
Insurance sector	Mandatory reports	10 182	12 577	15 716	18 109	9 063
	STRs	1 345	515	847	1 634	356
Leasing companies	Mandatory reports	163 151	160 197	71 951	82 937	54 023
	STRs	2 127	3 137	2 537	2 185	724
Total		201 913	241 875	119 940	187 431	80 387

Table 11

Reports from non-credit non-financial institutions (2007 - 2011)						
Year		2007	2008	2009	2010	1-st half of 2011
Dealers in precious metals and precious stones	Mandatory reports	43 267	90 185	110 486	176 448	113 400
	STRs	874	2 896	1 894	396	144
Casinos	Mandatory reports	2 879	3 723	2 026	-	-
	STRs	229	569	343	-	-
Real estate agents	Mandatory reports	11 425	18 694	13 173	18 710	12558
	STRs	599	871	409	152	52
Lawyers, notaries and persons providing legal or accounting services	Mandatory reports	-	-	-	-	-
	STRs	331	319	128	71	47
Total		59 604	117 257	128 459	196 225	126 020

Table 12

Number of reports related to TF (2007 - 2011) Breakdown per reporting entity (FIs only)						
Financial institution	Type of report	2007	2008	2009	2010	1-st half of 2011
Credit institutions	Mandatory reports	899	1 183	1469	1782	773
	STRs	26 601	20 938	14 494	1739	1598
Securities markets	Mandatory reports	0	0	3	0	0
	STRs	24	19	9	9	51
Investment and pension funds	Mandatory reports	0	0	0	0	3
	STRs	2	6	2	0	2
Post of Russia	Mandatory reports	2	0	6	12	15
	STRs	12	18	12	215	34
Insurance sector	Mandatory reports	22	14	19	22	23
	STRs	2	1	7	1	0
Leasing companies	Mandatory reports	0	1	0	0	0
	STRs	0	0	0	6	2
Total		27 564	22 180	16 021	3786	2 501

Table 13

Number of on-site visits (2007 - 2011)		
Financial Institutions	Year	Number of visits
Credit institutions	2007	1351
	2008	1162
	2009	911
	2010	907
	1-st half of 2011	371
Securities market (including investment and pension funds)	2007	259
	2008	238
	2009	359
	2010	311
	1-st half of 2011	137
Insurance sector	2007	263
	2008	665
	2009	749
	2010	186
	1-st half of 2011	58
Post of Russia	2007	594
	2008	1257
	2009	3 095
	2010	6 056
	1-st half of 2011	1 618
Leasing companies	2007	520
	2008	495
	2009	502
	2010	345
	1-st half of 2011	134

Table 13.1

Number of on-site visits (2007 - 2011)		
Non-financial Institutions	Year	Number of visits
Dealers in precious metals and precious stones	2007	583
	2008	608
	2009	515
	2010	884
	1-st half of 2011	304
Casinos	2007	73
	2008	75
	2009	53
Real estate agents	2007	811
	2008	961
	2009	567
	2010	621
	1-st half of 2011	313
Lawyers	2007	4 672
	2008	9 432
	2009	2 813
	1-st half of 2011	no data
Lawyer s' associations	2010	80
	1-st half of 2011	no data
Notaries	2007	2 161
	2008	3 763
	2009	1 989
	2010	2154
	1-st half of 2011	no data
Auditors	2007	577
	2008	380
	2009	400
	2010	1226
	1-st half of 2011	no data

Table 14

Measures and sanctions applied by BoR (all figures) (2007 – 2011)		
	Year	Number
Summary of deficiencies and breaches presented to the management of the institution	2007	392
	2008	339
	2009	287
	2010	302
	1-st half of 2011	110
Instructions to eliminate identified breaches, identified during an on-site visit within a fixed term	2007	344
	2008	229
	2009	196
	2010	151
	1-st half of 2011	34
Limit certain operations and restrict opening of new branches	2007	327
	2008	252
	2009	162
	2010	87
	1-st half of 2011	31
Penalties applied by BoR (only applied to legal persons)	2007	252
	2008	170
	2009	122
	2010	104
	1-st half of 2011	6
Licenses revoked	2007	44
	2008	7
	2009	10
	2010	3
	1-st half of 2011	2

Table 15

Measures and sanctions applied by FSFM (2007 - 2011)		
	Year	Securities, investment and pension funds
Number of orders for breaches of the AML/CFT legislation sent to Rosfinmonitoring	2007	71
	2008	70
	2009	64
	2010	76
	1-st half of 2011	-
Number of orders on annulment of the license for breaches of the AML/CFT legislation	2007	4
	2008	19
	2009	23
	2010	41
	1-st half of 2011	44

Table 16

Number of sanctions applied by Rosfinmonitoring (2007 - 2011)					
			Number of sanctions	Officials	Legal persons
Financial Institutions	Credit institutions	2007	4	no data	no data
		2008	6	5	1
		2009	4	1	3
		2010	10	5	5
		1-st half of 2011	10	5	5
	Securities markets (including investment and pension funds)	2007	5	2	3
		2008	15	5	10
		2009	64	28	36
		2010	38	14	24
		1-st half of 2011	21	9	12
	Insurance sector	2007	5	2	3
		2008	11	4	7
		2009	41	12	29
		2010	84	29	55
		1-st half of 2011	43	16	27
	Post of Russia	2007	8	7	1
		2008	11	11	0
		2009	8	7	1
		2010	37	34	3
		1-st half of 2011	0	0	0
	Leasing companies	2007	295	118	177
		2008	265	86	179
		2009	321	113	208
		2010	362	171	191
		1-st half of 2011	274	137	137
Non-credit institutions, carrying out reception from individuals in cash in the cases stipulated by the legislation on banks and banking	2007	3	1	2	
	2008	25	16	9	
	2009	31	15	16	
	2010	45	26	19	
	1-st half of 2011	25	9	16	

Non-financial Institutions	Casinos	2007	50	23	27
		2008	22	9	13
		2009	43	26	17
	Real estate agents	2007	400	190	210
		2008	529	315	214
		2009	307	168	139
		2010	533	312	221
		1-st half of 2011	334	183	151
	Dealers in precious metals and precious stones	2007	206	101	105
		2008	283	141	142
		2009	310	167	143
		2010	554	346	208
		1-st half of 2011	464	238	226

3. Appendices

3.1. APPENDIX I - Recommended Action Plan to Improve the AML / CFT System

Recommended Action	
Section 2. Legal System and Related Institutional Measures	
2.1 Criminalisation of ML (R.1 & 2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should establish offences of insider trading and stock market manipulation. • Russian authorities should reconsider their position concerning the criminal liability of legal persons.
2.2 Criminalisation of TF (SR.I)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should establish the offence of theft of nuclear material and expand the TF offence to include this new offence. • Russian authorities should reconsider their position concerning the criminal liability of legal persons.
2.3 Confiscation, freezing and seizing of proceeds of crime (R.3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should consider expanding the confiscation provisions in its Criminal Code article 104.1 to include at the very least the money laundering offence.
2.4 Freezing of funds used for terrorist financing (SR.III)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should implement the elements of SR.III that go beyond the requirements of the UNSCRs. • Russia should rely less on the criminal justice system to be able to effectively implement SR.III. • Russia needs to implement a national mechanism to examine and give effect to actions initiated under the freezing mechanisms of other jurisdictions. • Russia should establish an effective and publicly known procedure for dealing with de-listing requests and for dealing with requests to unfreeze in a timely manner the funds or other assets of entities that have been inadvertently affected by a freezing action.
2.5 The Financial Intelligence Unit and its functions (R.26)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of personnel vacancies at Rosfinmonitoring is somewhat high and all vacancies should be filled as a priority matter.
2.6 Law enforcement, prosecution and other competent authorities (R.27 & 28)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The initiation of a general discussion on how to define and determine the competences of law enforcement agencies and their specialised units in ML/TF cases would be beneficial. • The Prosecution Authority should implement more rigorous supervision to at least be able to be aware of all cases pursued by law enforcement bodies. • Efforts to eliminate corruption should continue and deepen. • All law enforcement authorities should continue to strengthen the existing inter agency AML/CFT training programmes in order to have specialised financial investigators and experts at their disposal. • International training programmes on ML and FT issues, especially for law enforcement staff in the (border) regions, should be enhanced. • The low number of ML convictions in comparison with the number of detected ML crimes should be addressed and consideration should be given to

Recommended Action	
	<p>a greater specialisation within the Prosecution Authority and the judiciary, including establishing specialised units within Prosecution Authority and specialised courts for ML and FT, in order to increase the effectiveness of the system.</p>
2.7 Cross Border Declaration & Disclosure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should implement all elements of an effective system to deter illegal cross border movements of currency. • Staffing levels of the FCS should be increased to keep up with the growing workload. • The FCS should be encouraged to continue fighting corruption. • Authorities should as a priority commence an awareness raising campaign, for all levels of staff in all regions. • The authorities should ensure that customs and law enforcement co-operate in all regions and are aware of each others' cases, especially relating to the fight against alternative remittance systems. • The legal framework for reporting cash and bearer negotiable instruments should be simplified in one law, and reporting forms should be brought in line with the law in all languages. • Russia should ensure that sending cash or bearer negotiable instruments through containerised cargo is covered in law and practice. • The FCS should have the legal authority to restrain currency in case of suspicions of ML if the money is declared. The FCS should take into consideration a system to use reports on currency declaration in order to identify and target money launderers and terrorist. • The administrative penalties for false or non declarations should be raised considerably.
Section 3. Preventive Measures – Financial Institutions	
3.1 Risk of money laundering or terrorist financing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No recommendations.
3.2 Customer due diligence, including enhanced or reduced measures (R.5 to 8)	<p><i>Recommendation 5</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should ensure that the following issues are covered by law or regulation: (i) a specific prohibition on maintaining existing accounts under fictitious names, (ii) a requirement to carry out CDD where there is a suspicion of money laundering, regardless of any exemptions, (iii) performance of CDD where there are doubts about the veracity of previously obtained customer identification data, (iv) a requirement to identify beneficial owners and in particular to establish the ultimate natural owner/controller and (v) requirements for conducting ongoing due diligence. • The following matters should be set out in law, regulation or other enforceable means: (i) requirement for non-CIs to understand the ownership or control structure of a legal person, (ii) requirement to ascertain the purpose and intended nature of the business relationship, (iii) requirements for the timing of verification of identification, and (iv) consequences of a failure to conduct CDD.

Recommended Action	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requirements relating to enhanced and simplified due diligence should be clarified, in particular the exemptions from conducting CDD in situations relating to occasional transactions. Further guidance to FIs on dealing with legal arrangements from overseas would be helpful. A stronger link in the AML/CFT Law should be established between the need to ascertain whether a customer is acting on behalf of another person and the requirement to collect identification data. Further clarification in the AML/CFT Law on the meaning of the term “beneficiary” and the measures which financial institutions should take to comply with the measures would be helpful. Further guidance to FIs should be developed to ensure that legal arrangements are appropriately identified as the financial sector grows and becomes more international. <p><i>Recommendation 6</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Further guidance should be given as to the requirements for dealing with existing customers who are found to be foreign public persons, establishing the source of wealth and conducting enhanced ongoing due diligence. Also, the measures should extend to beneficial owners. Russia should also consider extending the provisions to include domestic PEPs. <p><i>Recommendation 7</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All of the relevant criteria should be set out in law, regulation or other enforceable means, particularly the need to understand the nature of the respondent bank’s business and to ascertain whether the respondent’s AML/CFT system is adequate and effective. The requirement to document the respective AML/CFT responsibilities of banks should also be covered, and Russia should consider formalising its requirements in relation to payable-through accounts. <p><i>Recommendation 8</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Russia should review the existing limited requirements (which relate largely to remote banking) and to provide appropriate measures on the basis of that review.
3.3 Third parties and introduced business (R.9)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Russia should amend the AML/CFT Law to state clearly that financial institutions are not permitted to rely on third party verification of identity.
3.4 Financial institution secrecy or confidentiality (R.4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Russia should address the uncertainty regarding the definition of “authorised body” in the AML/CFT Law to ensure that all supervisors are covered.
3.5 Record keeping and wire transfer rules (R.10 & SR.VII)	<p><i>Recommendation 10</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Russia should address the gaps in the legal regime for record keeping. Russia should updates the AML/CFT Law to include all necessary record keeping requirements, even if this duplicates requirements set out in other laws. <p><i>Special Recommendation VII</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Russia should amend the current AML/CFT regime to address the following

Recommended Action	
	<p>deficiencies <i>i</i>) The definition of originator information may well be sufficient in the context of the Russian payment system framework, but it does not fully cover all requirements set by the FATF, <i>ii</i>) Incoming cross-border wire transfers are not covered by a requirement to adopt effective risk based procedures for incomplete originator information, and this vulnerability is not mitigated by the argument (as provided by the authorities) that most incoming cross-border wire transfers originate in countries that are largely compliant with FATF recommendations, <i>iii</i>) the BoR should provide specific guidance to credit institutions regarding the application of wire transfer regulations to batch transfers, <i>iv</i>) Russia should develop rules requiring financial institutions to apply a risk-based procedure for wire transfers that lack full originator information, and <i>v</i>) as a matter of effective implementation, if Russia amends the current law to include incoming cross-border wire transfers, Russian authorities will need to reconsider the current blanket requirement to simply refuse all transactions without full originator information as this could theoretically result in a complete halt to all incoming cross-border wire transactions.</p>
3.6 Monitoring of transactions and relationships (R.11 & 21)	<p><i>Recommendation 11</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should require FIs to examine as far as possible the background and purpose of all unusual transactions and to set forth the findings of such examinations in writing and to keep such findings available for competent authorities and auditors for at least five years. Russia should additionally make sure that FIs are no longer confused about the distinction between mandatory threshold reporting (> RUB 600 000) and examining the background of unusual transactions. Also, Russia should provide more guidance to the FIs, especially to make clear that the types of unusual transactions listed in laws and regulations is neither exhaustive nor closed. <p><i>Recommendation 21</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should require FIs to give special attention to business relationships and transactions with persons from or in countries which do not or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations. FIs should also examine as far as possible the background and purpose of business relationships and transactions with persons from or in those countries, to set forth the findings of such examinations in writing and to keep these findings available for competent authorities and auditors for at least years. • Since Russia indicates it has the legal framework through the new Law on Special Economic Measures, it should use this framework to apply countermeasures, as envisaged by Recommendation 21.. • As a matter of urgency, Russia should establish a set of countermeasures that it can require the FIs to take in case a country continues to disregard the FATF Recommendations.
3.7 Suspicious transaction reports and other reporting (R.13-14, 19, 25 & SR.IV)	<p><i>Recommendation 13 and Special Recommendation IV</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should criminalise insider trading and market manipulation, so as to enable FIs to report STRs based on the suspicion that a transaction might involve funds generated by the required range of criminal offences. • Russia should finally introduce a reporting obligation for attempted

Recommended Action	
	<p>transactions by occasional customers.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should issue TF guidance to enhance the effectiveness of the system for filing TF STRs • Russia should raise the awareness in the non-CI FIs, at a minimum through an enhanced training programme. The training should not only focus on the legal obligations, but also include the reasons for establishing an AML/CFT system, as well as examples, typologies and cases. <p><i>Recommendation 14</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should extend the safe harbour provision and the tipping off prohibition to the FIs and their directors. <p><i>Recommendation 25</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should extend the case by case feedback beyond the acknowledgement of the receipt of the STR. It should also urgently consider other examples of case-by-case feedback, as those examples listed in the FATF Best Practice Paper for feedback by FIUs.
3.8 Internal controls, compliance, audit and foreign branches (R.15 & 22)	<p><i>Recommendation 15</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Russian authorities should ensure that all FIs establish and maintain internal procedures, policies and controls to manage both AML/CFT and prudential risks, and to ensure that these policies and procedures are comprehensively communicated to all relevant employees. Financial institutions and supervisory bodies should also ensure that training programmes incorporate case studies and other practical demonstrations of both money laundering and terrorism finance so employees are better able to detect signs of ML and FT when they occur. With respect to terrorism finance, FIs and supervisory bodies should amend internal control programme requirements to incorporate a more comprehensive approach to CFT beyond the current practice of simply checking the list of designated entities. • The Russian authorities should enhance existing provisions regarding employee screening procedures to ensure that all employees of FIs can be sufficiently screened. Screening procedures should take criminal records into account, but should also assess the vulnerability to corruption of each employee or group of employees. • ROSCOM and the Russia Post should take proactive and comprehensive steps to ensure that all employees at all branches of the Russia Post across the country have a good understanding of the Post’s internal control programmes with respect to AML/CFT requirements of the ICP, and that compliance units are sufficiently trained and fully implementing all legal and regulatory requirements related to AML/CFT. The Russian authorities should work closely with the Russia Post to ensure that the independent audit programme is being carried out effectively and comprehensively at all branches to verify compliance with internal control requirements across the country. <p><i>Recommendation 22</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Russian authorities should consider harmonising the existing legal and regulatory framework to ensure that all foreign operations – both branches and subsidiaries – of Russian FIs observe Russian AML/CFT requirements. Existing guidance for credit institutions on managing the risk associated with

Recommended Action	
	foreign operations should be expanded to address ML and TF risks as well as prudential risks. Russian regulators should consider issuing specific guidance to Russian credit institutions regarding the need for increased vigilance over foreign operations in jurisdictions that do not (or insufficiently) apply the FATF recommendations. FIs should be required to inform its Russian supervisor when a foreign operation is unable to observe appropriate AML/CFT measures because of local conditions.
3.9 Shell banks (R.18)	No recommendations.
3.10 The supervisory and oversight system - competent authorities and SROs. Role, functions, duties and powers (including sanctions) (R.23, 29, 17 & 25)	<p><i>Recommendation 23 / banking sector</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should – as a matter of urgency – strengthen the regime to prevent criminals from becoming major shareholders in a CI by amending the Banking Law to lower the threshold from 20% to 10%, by ensuring that every person who, directly or indirectly, holds more than 10% of the shares or the votes of a credit institution, is checked as a major shareholder and by ensuring that the BoR can refuse an acquisition if the concerned person was convicted for having committed a financial crime. <p><i>Recommendation 23 / other sectors</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should as a matter of urgency – and as already recommended in the Second Round Evaluation Report by Moneyval – <i>i</i>) implement provisions to prevent criminals from becoming major shareholders in a non-CI FI, <i>ii</i>) raise the awareness of the staff of the FSFM, the FISS and ROSCOM and increase their number of staff substantially to ensure that every FI undergoes at least one on-site inspection once every three years and that – on a risk basis - more targeted in-depth thematic reviews are carried out, and <i>iii</i>) consolidate and strengthen the system to register and supervise organisations providing MVT services according to article 13.1 Banking Law, including the implementation of fit and proper tests. • Russia should implement fit and proper tests for leasing companies and amend the Insurance Law to ensure that members of the board of a life insurance company or an insurance broker are fit and proper. • Russia should amend the Law on Communications to ensure that all conceivable money value transfer service providers are licensed or registered and supervised. <p><i>Recommendation 29 / banking sector</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should amend the BoR Law to elevate the maximum amount for fines against credit institutions substantively and to ensure that the BoR has the competence to impose adequate fines on directors and senior management of banks for violation of AML/CFT requirements. • Russia should amend the BoR Law to ensure that a licence of a CI can be revoked when the founders are convicted for criminal or economic offences and to ensure that a licence of a CI can also be revoked for not filing STRs with the FIU. Russia should also ensure that the licence of a CI can be revoked not only if repeated violations occur during one year and thus, amend the BoR Law accordingly. • Russia should abolish the limitation of the BoR to conduct on-site inspections

Recommended Action	
	<p>in article 73 item 5 BoR Law, as already recommended in the Moneyval Second Round Report.</p> <p><i>Recommendation 29 / other sectors</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should – as a matter of urgency (i) amend the relevant laws to ensure that the FSFM, the FISS and ROSCOM have the power to impose fines on their FIs and on directors and senior management of their FIs for violation of AML/CFT requirements and to replace directors and senior management of their FIs for violation of AML/CFT requirements, (ii) abolish the limitation of the FISS to compel and obtain access to banking secrecy information and (iii) increase the staff for the FSFM, the FISS and ROSCOM to ensure that the system for sanctioning financial institutions works effectively. • Russia should stipulate explicitly ROSCOM’s competence to carry out on-site inspections with respect to the full set of AML/CFT requirements and to compel production of records. • Russia should in addition amend the relevant laws to ensure that a licence can be revoked for violation of AML/CFT requirements also in the non-banking and non-securities sectors, and when the founders are convicted for criminal or economic offences (concerns the FSFM, the FISS, ROSCOM and Rosfinmonitoring). • Russia should amend the Law on Non-state Pensions Funds to ensure that the FSFM is able to compel and obtain access to all necessary records and amend the Law on the Securities Market to ensure that a licence of a corresponding FI can also be revoked for not filing STRs with the FIU and abolish the precondition of repeated violations during one year to revoke a licence. <p><i>Recommendation 17</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should amend article 15.27 Code of Administrative Offences to ensure that the main violations of the AML/CFT Law are covered, especially regarding non compliance with the requirement to identify the customer and the beneficial owner and to elevate the maximum amount for fines against officials of financial institutions. <p><i>Recommendation 25</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should implement the requirement to issue guidance to FIs, beyond the explanation of the law.
3.11 Money value transfer services (SR.VI)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should consider implementing laws and regulations to ensure that postal operations are better aware of and in compliance with the AML/CFT requirements. Suggested improvements would include: (1) increased technical interface between postal branches to better detect suspicious transactions, (2) rules governing the volume and frequency of remittances permitted and (3) improved training of postal operators on AML/CFT. Given the size of the postal sector, Russia should also consider either increasing the capacity and quality of ROSCOM’s compliance function or transferring supervisory and regulatory powers to another federal authority that is better equipped and trained to assess AML/CFT compliance. • Russia should find ways to ensure that ROSCOM has sufficient powers to correct deficiencies found in Russia Post’s AML/CFT compliance.

Recommended Action	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Russian law enforcement bodies should place a higher priority on investigating the existence of alternative remittance systems to better assess the size and the nature of ML/TF threat posed by illegal MVT occurring within and through Russia.
Section 4. Preventive Measures – Non-Financial Businesses and Professions	
4.1 Customer due diligence and record-keeping (R.12)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Russia should review the AML/CFT regime as it applies to DNFBPs and ensure that all of the relevant criteria are addressed. For casinos, real estate agents and dealers in precious metals and stones, the basic recommendations set out earlier in this report in relation to Recommendations 5, 6 and 8-11 are applicable, as these entities are subject to the full effect of the AML/CFT Law in Russia. In relation to lawyers, accountants and notaries, specific provisions to address all of the relevant criteria in Recommendations 5, 6 and 8-11 should be developed. In particular, extending the CDD requirements to include their full range in the legislation. Russia should also take steps to examine ways of increasing the effectiveness of compliance with AML/CFT requirements in these sectors. With a diverse range of supervisory bodies (Rosfinmonitoring, the Assay Chamber, the Federal Notaries Chamber and the Federal Lawyers Chamber) Russia should take steps to co-ordinate the overall approach in this area. Russia should also examine the use of cash in the real estate sector in order to be sure that there are no important gaps in the AML/CFT system as it relates to this sector.
4.2 Suspicious transaction reporting (R.16)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Russia should take steps to ensure that all institutions covered by the requirement to report STRs are aware of the difference between these reports and those relating to mandatory control. For lawyers, notaries and accountants, Russia should take steps to improve understanding of the requirements in this area, given the current low level of reporting, and the lack of information available to evaluate the effectiveness of the regime. The authorities should continue working with lawyers, notaries and accountants to ensure full compliance with the requirements relating to internal controls. Russia should take further steps to ensure that covered institutions are aware of the need to pay special attention to customers from countries that do not sufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations.
4.3 Regulation, supervision and monitoring (R.24-25)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Russia should improve the data available to analyse the effectiveness of the measures it is taking. Rosfinmonitoring should consider introducing a greater element of risk-based supervision in relation to the categories of firms it supervises. In particular, the risks identified by Rosfinmonitoring in relation to casinos should be subject to greater supervisory attention. The role of real estate agents should be examined to ensure that no gaps exist in the AML/CFT system. In particular, the contention that most flows of funds in real estate transactions are routed through the banking sector should be verified, and the level of risk relative to the supervisory activity of

Recommended Action	
	<p>Rosfinmonitoring in this area should be considered.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The system for supervising lawyers' and notaries' compliance with the AML/CFT Law should be enhanced considerably. • The current regime for licensing casinos will not change until 30 June 2009 (see section 1). In the meantime Russia should consider how it will implement this change and develop plans to deal with unlicensed gambling. The current and future regime contains no specific provision to prevent criminals or their associates from holding an interest in a casino. This should be addressed. • The Assay Chamber should have more specialist AML/CFT staff in order to better perform its functions. • Consideration should also be given to the Assay Chamber's suggestion that supervisors be given greater access to the content of STRs in order to better target supervisory action. • Russia should take further steps to strengthen the AML/CFT supervisory regime for accountants.
4.4 Other non-financial businesses and professions (R.20)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should consider the ML risk posed by the proliferation of high value and luxury goods providers in Moscow and other major urban centres that has accompanied Russia's recent oil boom. • Russia should seek to continue reducing its reliance on cash and introduce more efficient payment systems that have also been introduced in other countries around the world. Adopting more modern payment techniques should also reduce the need for high denomination bank notes.
Section 5. Legal Persons and Arrangements & Non-Profit Organisations	
5.1 Legal Persons – Access to beneficial ownership and control information (R.33)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Russian authorities should implement a system that requires adequate transparency regarding the beneficial ownership and control of legal persons.
5.2 Legal Arrangements – Access to beneficial ownership and control information (R.34)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No recommendations.
5.3 Non-profit organisations (SR.VIII)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should undertake a comprehensive review of the NPO system, as foreseen by Special Recommendation VIII. • Russia should reach out to and engage with the NPO sector, to learn from the sector, to promote values and the like. • The Russian authorities should set up a more formalised and efficient system that focuses on potential vulnerabilities and to share information to target abuse. • Existing rules should be fully implemented.
Section 6. National and International Co-operation	

Recommended Action	
6.1 National co-operation and co-ordination (R.31)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should implement the outcome of policy reviews are implemented, especially in areas that are not the responsibility of Rosfinmonitoring. • Russia should make an extra effort to enhance operational-level co-operation among law enforcement agencies, and between law enforcement and supervisory authorities to sharpen Russia’s focus on the possible existence of illegal alternative remittance systems within Russia. This effort should aim to develop a sense of the threat as well as a prescription for addressing the problem.
6.2 The Conventions and UN Special Resolutions (R.35 & SR.I)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should correct the deficiencies noted in relation to the implementation of the relevant international conventions and UNSCRs as soon as possible. Russia should also institute criminal liability for legal persons. • Russia should implement the provisions of UNSCRs 1267, 1373 and successor resolutions.
6.3 Mutual Legal Assistance (R.36-38 & SR.V)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russian authorities should continue to institute a pro-active approach to monitoring progress on execution of requests and better ensuring a timely and effective response. • The General Prosecutor’s Office should ensure that clear lines of communication exist with established points of contact between itself and the law enforcement officer responsible for execution of the request, as well as between itself and the requesting country. • The authorities should maintain statistics on the more detailed aspects of MLA including details on the nature and results of MLA requests. • The Russian authorities are encouraged to continue their monitoring of the process of providing MLA among special MLA working groups established with a number of countries.
6.4 Extradition (R.39, 37 & SR.V)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Russia should further enhance the existing system of reviews in relation to extradition according to Instruction No. 32/35 and maintain comprehensive statistics in relation to ML/TF covering all details of the extradition process. • Russia should also raise the effectiveness of its extradition practice in relation to non-CIS countries and make the figures for CIS and non-CIS countries better comparable. Russia is however to be commended for the high number of requests to and from CIS countries. • Russia should address the missing elements of its ML and TF offences to ensure that dual criminality requirements do not represent an obstacle for extradition in such matters (see also sections 2.1 and 2.2 for discussion of the missing elements of the ML and TF offences).
6.5 Other Forms of Co-operation (R.40 & SR.V)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No recommendations.
Section 7. Other Issues	

Recommended Action	
7.1 Resources and statistics (R. 30 & 32)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See recommendations relating to other recommendations.
7.2 Other relevant AML/CFT measures or issues	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No recommendations.
7.3 General framework – structural issues	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No recommendations.

3.2. APPENDIX II – Relevant EU texts

Excerpt from Directive 2005/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, formally adopted 20 September 2005, on the prevention of the use of the financial system for the purpose of money laundering and terrorist financing

Article 3 (6) of EU AML/CFT Directive 2005/60/EC (3rd Directive):

(6) "beneficial owner" means the natural person(s) who ultimately owns or controls the customer and/or the natural person on whose behalf a transaction or activity is being conducted. The beneficial owner shall at least include:

(a) in the case of corporate entities:

- (i) the natural person(s) who ultimately owns or controls a legal entity through direct or indirect ownership or control over a sufficient percentage of the shares or voting rights in that legal entity, including through bearer share holdings, other than a company listed on a regulated market that is subject to disclosure requirements consistent with Community legislation or subject to equivalent international standards; a percentage of 25 % plus one share shall be deemed sufficient to meet this criterion;
- (ii) the natural person(s) who otherwise exercises control over the management of a legal entity;

(b) in the case of legal entities, such as foundations, and legal arrangements, such as trusts, which administer and distribute funds:

- (i) where the future beneficiaries have already been determined, the natural person(s) who is the beneficiary of 25 % or more of the property of a legal arrangement or entity;
- (ii) where the individuals that benefit from the legal arrangement or entity have yet to be determined, the class of persons in whose main interest the legal arrangement or entity is set up or operates;
- (iii) the natural person(s) who exercises control over 25 % or more of the property of a legal arrangement or entity;

Article 3 (8) of the EU AML/CFT Directive 2005/60/EC (3rd Directive):

(8) "politically exposed persons" means natural persons who are or have been entrusted with prominent public functions and immediate family members, or persons known to be close associates, of such persons;

Excerpt from Commission directive 2006/70/EC of 1 August 2006 laying down implementing measures for Directive 2005/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the definition of 'politically exposed person' and the technical criteria for simplified customer due diligence procedures and for exemption on grounds of a financial activity conducted on an occasional or very limited basis.

Article 2 of Commission Directive 2006/70/EC (Implementation Directive):

Article 2

Politically exposed persons

1. For the purposes of Article 3(8) of Directive 2005/60/EC, "natural persons who are or have been entrusted with prominent public functions" shall include the following:

- (a) heads of State, heads of government, ministers and deputy or assistant ministers;
- (b) members of parliaments;
- (c) members of supreme courts, of constitutional courts or of other high-level judicial bodies whose decisions are not subject to further appeal, except in exceptional circumstances;
- (d) members of courts of auditors or of the boards of central banks;
- (e) ambassadors, chargés d'affaires and high-ranking officers in the armed forces;
- (f) members of the administrative, management or supervisory bodies of State-owned enterprises.

None of the categories set out in points (a) to (f) of the first subparagraph shall be understood as covering middle ranking or more junior officials.

The categories set out in points (a) to (e) of the first subparagraph shall, where applicable, include positions at Community and international level.

2. For the purposes of Article 3(8) of Directive 2005/60/EC, "immediate family members" shall include the following:

- (a) the spouse;
- (b) any partner considered by national law as equivalent to the spouse;
- (c) the children and their spouses or partners;
- (d) the parents.

3. For the purposes of Article 3(8) of Directive 2005/60/EC, "persons known to be close associates" shall include the following:

- (a) any natural person who is known to have joint beneficial ownership of legal entities or legal arrangements, or any other close business relations, with a person referred to in paragraph 1;
- (b) any natural person who has sole beneficial ownership of a legal entity or legal arrangement which is known to have been set up for the benefit de facto of the person referred to in paragraph 1.

4. Without prejudice to the application, on a risk-sensitive basis, of enhanced customer due diligence measures, where a person has ceased to be entrusted with a prominent public function within the meaning of paragraph 1 of this Article for a period of at least one year, institutions and persons referred to in Article 2(1) of Directive 2005/60/EC shall not be obliged to consider such a person as politically exposed.