

Strasbourg, 1 August 2011

EUROPEAN COMMISSION FOR THE EFFICIENCY OF JUSTICE (CEPEJ)

NETWORK OF PILOT COURTS

6th Plenary Meeting Strasbourg, Agora building 22 September 2011

PREPARATORY QUESTIONNAIRE ABOUT ITEM 5 OF THE AGENDA "WHICH INDICATORS FOR MEASURING THE QUALITY OF JUSTICE?"

Name of the pilot court: rechtbank Arnhem						
Со	untr	y: The Netherlands				
ı.	ls t	there in your a programme or programmes regardi	ng the	quality	y of just	ice ?
	B.	Country Region (foe instance for federal states) Court	yes yes yes	no		
II.	fiel pro	yes, which scopes are concerned by these program lds (to know the content of these fields, please omoting the quality of justice and courts p://www.coe.int/t/dghl/cooperation/cepej/quality/defau	refer	to the	Check	
	B. C. D.	Strategy and policies Job and operations processes Access to justice, communication to court users and the public Human resources and status of judges and prosecutors Means of justice	yes yes yes yes			
	F.	Please specify the actions undertaken in these fields: I r I have returned on September 12.	efer to	thew c	necklist,	which

III. Is there in your country a programme for <u>evaluating</u> the quality of justice?									
	YES								
	A.	On	which tools are they based?						
		1.	Satisfaction surveys? Inspection (inspectorate body, High Council of the Judiciary, other)?	yes no					
		2.	Peer evaluation ?	yes					
		3.	Other tools?	yes					
			Which are they? Evaluation of timeframes						
IV.		Do	you have indicators for measuring quality?	YES					
		If y	es, which ones :						
		1.	Rate of annulment/challenge of court decisions						
		2	By higher courts ?		no				
			Continuous training ?	yes					
Others? yesIf yes, which ones? Read decisions of other judges before they a					hev are taken.				
			Free comments :						
				•••••					

.....