

Strasbourg, 1 August 2011

EUROPEAN COMMISSION FOR THE EFFICIENCY OF JUSTICE (CEPEJ)

NETWORK OF PILOT COURTS

6th Plenary Meeting Strasbourg, Agora building 22 September 2011

PREPARATORY QUESTIONNAIRE ABOUT ITEM 5 OF THE AGENDA WHICH INDICATORS FOR MEASURING THE QUALITY OF JUSTICE?"

		"WHICH INDICATOR	RS FOR MEASURING THE	QUALITY (OF JUSTICE?	, "
Na	me	of the pilot court:	Oberlandesgericht Stuttgart	(Higher Re	gional Court)	
Cc	untr	y: Germany				
I.	ls t	here in your a pro	gramme or programmes r	egarding th	ne quality of j	ustice ?
	B.	Country Region (foe instance f	or federal states)			
	C.	Court		yes		
	pro htt	omoting the quality of o://www.coe.int/t/dgh	ntent of these fields, pl justice and courts l/cooperation/cepej/quality		<u>N.asp</u>)?	
		Strategy and policies Job and operations pr	ocesses	yes	no	
	C.	Access to justice, com and the public	munication to court users	yes		
		Human resources and Means of justice	status of judges and prosec	cutors yes	no	
	F.	Please specify the act	ions undertaken in these fie	lds:		
		improvement of communication programme was continu	t Stuttgart a programme was inication to court users by mut led in 2007 with a training cousures for obtaining settlements	tual visitation rse in mediat	of the senates.	The

YE	ES			
A.	. On	which tools are they based?		
	1.	Satisfaction surveys? Inspection (inspectorate body, High Council of	yes	
	2	the Judiciary, other) ? Peer evaluation ?	yes	no
		Other tools ? Which are they ?		no
		Within the district of the Oberlandesgericht Stuttgart ar Regional Courts and the Regional Courts as well as bianr (in clusters depending on size and duties of the Local Co data is compared (duration of proceedings, percentage development of costs etc.)	nual meet urts) are of appella	tings of the Local Cou held where the relev
<i>(</i>	Do	Regional Courts and the Regional Courts as well as biant (in clusters depending on size and duties of the Local Co data is compared (duration of proceedings, percentage development of costs etc.)	nual meet urts) are of appella	tings of the Local Cou held where the relev ate proceedings,
/ .		Regional Courts and the Regional Courts as well as biand (in clusters depending on size and duties of the Local Codata is compared (duration of proceedings, percentage development of costs etc.)	nual meet urts) are of appella	tings of the Local Cou held where the relev
′ .	lf y	Regional Courts and the Regional Courts as well as bianr (in clusters depending on size and duties of the Local Co data is compared (duration of proceedings, percentage development of costs etc.)	nual meet urts) are of appella	tings of the Local Cou held where the relev ate proceedings,
/ .	lf y	Regional Courts and the Regional Courts as well as biand (in clusters depending on size and duties of the Local Codata is compared (duration of proceedings, percentage development of costs etc.)	nual meet urts) are of appella	tings of the Local Cou held where the relev ate proceedings,
<i>1</i> .	If y 1.	Regional Courts and the Regional Courts as well as bianr (in clusters depending on size and duties of the Local Co data is compared (duration of proceedings, percentage development of costs etc.)	yes yes	tings of the Local Cou held where the relev ate proceedings,
'.	If y 1.	Regional Courts and the Regional Courts as well as biant (in clusters depending on size and duties of the Local Co data is compared (duration of proceedings, percentage development of costs etc.)	urts) are of appella	tings of the Local Cou held where the relev ate proceedings, NO NO
/ .	If y 1.	Regional Courts and the Regional Courts as well as bianr (in clusters depending on size and duties of the Local Co data is compared (duration of proceedings, percentage development of costs etc.)	yes yes	no no