

1. Evaluation of the judicial systems (2016-2018 cycle)



Italy

Generated on : 29/08/2018 11:18

Reference data 2016 (01/01/2016 - 31/12/2016)

Start/end date of the data collection campaign : 01/06/2017 - 31/12/2017

Objective :

The CEPEJ decided, at its 28th plenary meeting, to launch the seventh evaluation cycle 2016 – 2018, focused on 2016 data. The CEPEJ wishes to use the methodology developed in the previous cycles to get, with the support of its national correspondents' network, a general evaluation of the judicial systems in the 47 member states of the Council of Europe as well as two observer states (Israel and Morocco). This will enable policy makers and judicial practitioners to take account of such unique information when carrying out their activities.

The present questionnaire was adapted by the Working group on evaluation of judicial systems (CEPEJ-GT-EVAL) in view of the previous evaluation cycles and considering the comments submitted by CEPEJ members, observers, experts and national correspondents. The aim of this exercise is to increase awareness of judicial systems in the participating states, to compare the functioning of judicial systems in their various aspects, as well as to have a better knowledge of the trends of the judicial organisation in order to help improve the efficiency of justice. The evaluation questionnaire and the analysis of the results becomes a genuine tool in favour of public policies on justice, for the sake of the European citizens.

Instruction :

The ways to use the application and to answer the questions are guided by two main documents:

- User manual
- Explanatory note

While the explanatory note gives definitions and explanations on the CEPEJ evaluation questionnaire and the methodology needed for replying, the User manual is a tool to help you navigate through this application. You can download the Explanatory note as a whole on the CEPEJ website. The specific explanations are also accessible for each question within this application under the tab "Explanatory note". This will serve as immediate consultation tool when answering questions. The user manual is accessible in the "Documentation" tab of the application.

In case you have any questions related to these documents or on the use of the application, please do not hesitate to contact the Secretariat.

1.General information

1.1.Demographic and economic data

1.1.1.Inhabitants and economic general information



001. Number of inhabitants (if possible on 1 January of the reference year +1)

[60589445]

Comments

002. Total of annual public expenditure at state level and where appropriate, public expenditure at regional or federal entity level (in €)

	Amount
State or federal level	592441373400 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Regional / federal entity level (total for all regions / federal entities)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

003. Per capita GDP (in €) in current prices for the reference year

[27587]

Comments

004. Average gross annual salary (in €) for the reference year

[29389]

NA

Comments

005. Exchange rate of national currency (non-Euro zone) in € on 1 January of the reference year +1

[]

Allow decimals : 5

NAP

Comments

A1. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 1 to 5

Sources: ISTAT Italian National Institute of Statistics

1.1.2. Budgetary data concerning judicial system



006. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts, in € (without the budget of the public prosecution services and without the budget of legal aid). If you cannot separate the budget allocated to the courts from the budgets of public prosecution services and/or legal aid, please go to question 7. If you are able to answer this question 6, please answer NAP to the question 7.

Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
------------------------	---------------------------

TOTAL - Annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7)	2971094830 [] NA [] NAP	2866753985 [] NA [] NAP
1. Annual public budget allocated to (gross) salaries	2211784141 [] NA [] NAP	2177915561 [] NA [] NAP
2. Annual public budget allocated to computerisation (equipment, investments, maintenance)	95386242 [] NA [] NAP	64486072 [] NA [] NAP
3. Annual public budget allocated to justice expenses (expertise, interpretation, etc), without legal aid. NB: this does not concern the taxes and fees to be paid by the parties.	292973603 [] NA [] NAP	282760893 [] NA [] NAP
4. Annual public budget allocated to court buildings (maintenance, operating costs)	233207302 [] NA [] NAP	206493268 [] NA [] NAP
5. Annual public budget allocated to investments in new (court) buildings	0 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
6. Annual public budget allocated to training	256310 [] NA [] NAP	228430 [] NA [] NAP
7. Other (please specify)	137487232 [] NA [] NAP	134869761 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. If the annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget allocated to the functioning of all courts, please indicate the main differences: As far as the annual public budget allocated to training (point 6) both approved budget and implemented budget are considerably higher compared to 2014 and 2015. In 2016 extra funds were destined to the training of around one thousand employees who joined the justice system from other administrations. It is noteworthy that there was an extra budget destined to “computerization” in 2016. When it comes to “court buildings (maintenance, operating costs)” it is unlikely have a linear trend as maintenance costs are subject to high fluctuation. In respect of the sub-category "other", there is no particular explanation for the observed increase in both approved and implemented budgets.

007. (Modified question) If you cannot answer question 6 because you cannot isolate the budget allocated to courts from the budget allocated to public prosecution services and/or legal aid, please fill only the appropriate line in the table according to your system:

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
Total annual public budget allocated to all courts and the public prosecution services together	4371575821 [] NA [] NAP	4233899475 [] NA [] NAP
Total annual public budget allocated to all courts and legal aid together	3143945965 [] NA [] NAP	3039605120 [] NA [] NAP
Total annual public budget allocated to all courts, public prosecution services and legal aid together	4544426956 [] NA [] NAP	4406750610 [] NA [] NAP

Comments:

008. Are litigants in general required to pay a court tax or fee to start a proceeding at a court of general jurisdiction:

	Litigants required to pay a court tax or fee to start a proceeding at a court of general jurisdiction ?
for criminal cases	() Yes (X) No
for other than criminal cases	(X) Yes () No

Comments - If there are exceptions to the rule to pay a court tax or fee, could you please provide comments on those exceptions?

008-1. Please briefly present the methodology of calculation of court taxes or fees:

- Courts fees depend on the value of the dispute and they are provided by law. See this table for more information
http://www.professionegiustizia.it/tabella_contributo_unificato.php

008-2. The amount of court fees to commence an action for 3000€ debt recovery:

[98]
 NA
 NAP

Comments

009. Annual income of court taxes or fees received by the State (in €)

[513761705]
 NA
 NAP

Comments

012. Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid, in €.

	TOTAL	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
TOTAL - Annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid (12.1 + 12.2)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
12.1 for cases brought to court	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
12.2 for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court (legal consultation, ADR, etc.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

012-1. Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid, in €.

	TOTAL	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
TOTAL - Annual implemented public budget allocated to legal aid (12-1.1 + 12-1.2)	233477724 [] NA [] NAP	141769784 [] NA [] NAP	91707940 [] NA [] NAP
12-1.1 for cases brought to court	233477724 [] NA [] NAP	141769784 [] NA [] NAP	91707940 [] NA [] NAP
12-1.2 for non-litigious cases or cases not brought to court (legal consultation, ADR, etc.)	0 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - If the public budget actually implemented regarding legal aid is different from the annual approved public budget allocated to legal aid, please indicate the main differences: The increase experienced during the period 2014-2016 is very likely due to the higher number of cases for which legal aid was granted.

013. Total annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the public prosecution services, in €.

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
Total annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services, in €	1400480991 [] NA [] NAP	1367145490 [] NA [] NAP

Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided. Moreover, if the annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget allocated to the public prosecution services, please indicate the main differences:

014. Authorities formally responsible for the budgets allocated to the courts (multiple options possible):

	Preparation of the total court budget	Adoption/approval of the total court budget	Management and allocation of the budget among the courts	Evaluation of the use of the budget at a national level
Ministry of Justice	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP
Other ministry	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP
Parliament	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP
Supreme Court	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP
High Judicial Council	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP

Courts	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP
Inspection body	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP
Other	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP

Comments - If any other Ministry and/or inspection body and/or other, please specify: The category "Other Ministry" refers to the Ministry of Economy and Finance. The category "Other" refers to Court of Audit (Corte dei conti).

A2. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 6 to 14:

Sources: Ministry of Justice – Budget and Accounts Department (Direzione Generale del Bilancio)
Except for Q.12: Ministry of Justice – (Department of Statistics + Department of Criminal Affairs)

1.1.3. Budgetary data concerning the whole justice system

015-1. Annual (approved and implemented) public budget allocated to the whole justice system, in € (this global budget includes the court system as defined under question 6 and also the prison system, the judicial protection of juveniles, the operation of the Ministry of Justice, etc.).

	Approved budget (in €)	Implemented budget (in €)
Total annual public budget allocated to the whole justice system in €	8039945941 [] NA [] NAP	7895556203 [] NA [] NAP

Please indicate any useful comment to explain the figures provided above and specify if a large portion of the budget allocated to the whole justice system comes from an international organisation. Moreover, if the annual public budget allocated to the whole justice system actually implemented is different from the approved annual public budget allocated to the whole justice system, please indicate the main differences:

015-2. (Modified question) Please indicate the budgetary elements that are included in the whole justice system by specifying on the one hand the elements of the judicial system budget (please check the consistency with questions 6, 12 and 13). (Note: NAP means that the element does not exist in your system):

	Included
Court (see question 6)	(X) Yes () No [] NAP
Legal aid (see question 12)	(X) Yes () No [] NAP

Public prosecution services (see question 13)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
---	--

Comments:

015-3. (Modified question) On the other hand, please specify the other budgetary elements included in the whole justice system budget. (Note: NAP means that the element does not exist in your system):

	Included
Prison system	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Probation services	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Council of the judiciary	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Constitutional court	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Judicial management body	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
State advocacy	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Enforcement services	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Notariat	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Forensic services	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Judicial protection of juveniles	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Functioning of the Ministry of Justice	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Refugees and asylum seekers services	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Immigration Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Some police services (e.g. : transfer, investigation, prisoners' security)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify:

A3. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 15-1, 15-2 and 15-3:

Sources: Ministry of Justice – Budget and Accounts Department (Direzione Generale del Bilancio)

2. Access to justice and all courts

2.1. Legal Aid

2.1.1. Scope of legal aid

016. Does legal aid apply to:

	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
Representation in court	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Legal advice	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

017. Does legal aid include the coverage of or the exemption from court fees?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

018. Can legal aid be granted for the fees that are related to the enforcement of judicial decisions (e.g. fees of an enforcement agent)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

019. Can legal aid be granted for other costs (different from those mentioned in questions 16 to 18,

e.g. fees of technical advisors or experts, costs of other legal professionals (notaries), travel costs etc.)?

	Criminal cases	Other than criminal cases
Legal aid granted for other costs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If yes, please specify:

2.1.2. Quantitative information on legal aid



020. (Modified question) Please indicate the number of cases for which legal aid has been granted:

	Cases brought to court	Cases not brought to court / non-litigious cases
TOTAL	352274 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
In criminal cases	156454 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
In other than criminal cases	195820 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Comments - Please specify when appropriate:

021. In criminal cases, can individuals who do not have sufficient financial means be assisted by a free of charge (or financed by a public budget) lawyer?

	Assisted by a free of charge lawyer
Accused individuals	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Victims	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

022. If yes, are individuals free to choose their lawyer within the framework of the legal aid system?

Yes

No

Comments

023. (Modified question) Does your country have an income and assets evaluation for granting (full or partial) legal aid to the applicant? The answer NAP means that there is no income and/or assets evaluation system for granting legal aid.

	Annual income value (for one person), (in €)	Annual assets value (for one person), (in €)
Full legal aid for criminal cases	10766 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Full legal aid for other than criminal cases	10766 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Partial legal aid for criminal cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Partial legal aid for other than criminal cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If yes, please indicate if any other criteria are taken into account for the granting of legal aid and any comment that could explain the figures provided above:

024. In other than criminal cases, is it possible to refuse legal aid for lack of merit of the case (for example for frivolous action or no chance of success)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please explain the exact criteria for denying legal aid:

025. In other than criminal cases, is the decision to grant or refuse legal aid taken by (one option only):

the court

an authority external to the court

a mixed authority (court and external bodies)

Comments

026. Is there a private system of legal expense insurance enabling individuals (this does not concern companies or other legal persons) to finance court proceedings?

Yes

No

Comments - If appropriate, please inform about the current development of such insurances in your country; is it a growing phenomenon?

027. Can judicial decisions direct how legal costs, paid by the parties during the procedure, will be shared:

	Judicial decisions direct how legal costs will be shared
in criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
in other than criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

B1. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 20 and 23 :

Sources: Q.20:

Ministry of Justice – Department of Statistics and Organizational Analysis (Direzione Generale di Statistica e Analisi Organizzativa)

Ministry of Justice – Department of Criminal Affairs (Direzione Generale Affari Penali)

Q.23:

Ministry of Justice

2.2.Users of the courts and victims**2.2.1.Rights of the users and victims**

028. Are there official internet sites/portals (e.g. Ministry of Justice, etc.) for which the general public may have free of charge access to the following:

	Yes, please indicate the internet adresse(es)	No
legal texts (e.g. codes, laws, regulations, etc.)	(X) http://www.normattiva.it/ <a href="https://www.giustizia.it/giustizi
a/it/mg_1_2.page">https://www.giustizia.it/giustizi a/it/mg_1_2.page http://www.senato.it/2867	()
case-law of the higher court/s	(X) <a href="http://www.italgiure.giustizia.it/
sncass/">http://www.italgiure.giustizia.it/ sncass/	()
other documents (e.g. downloadable forms, online registration)	(X) <a href="https://www.giustizia.it/giustizi
a/it/mg_3.page">https://www.giustizia.it/giustizi a/it/mg_3.page <a href="http://webstat.giustizia.it/default
.aspx">http://webstat.giustizia.it/default .aspx http://pst.giustizia.it	()

Comments - Please specify what documents and information the addresses for “other documents” include:

029. (Modified question) Is there an obligation to provide information to the parties concerning the foreseeable timeframes of proceedings?

(X) Yes, always

() No

() Yes, only in some specific situations

Comments - If yes, only in some specific situations, please specify:

030. Is there a public and free-of-charge specific information system to inform and to help victims of crime?

(X) Yes

() No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

031. Are there special favourable arrangements to be applied, during judicial proceedings, to the following categories of vulnerable persons:

	Information mechanism	Special arrangements in hearings	Other specific arrangements
Victims of sexual violence/rape	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
Victims of terrorism	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
Minors (witnesses or victims)	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No
Victims of domestic violence	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
Ethnic minorities	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
Disabled persons	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
Juvenile offenders	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No
Other (e.g. victims of human trafficking, forced marriage, sexual mutilation)	(X) Yes () No	(X) Yes () No	() Yes (X) No

Comments - If “other vulnerable person” and/or “other special arrangements”, please specify:

031-1. Is it possible for minors to be a party to a judicial proceeding:

() Yes

(X) No

Comments - If yes, please specify which procedures can be concerned (civil, criminal, administrative / normal or accelerated procedure) and at which conditions (can children benefit from legal aid, be represented by a lawyer, etc.):

032. Does your country allocate compensation for victims of crime?

(X) Yes, please specify for which kind of offences: Domestic violence, terrorism and human trafficking.

() No

Comments

032-1. (New question) Is a court decision necessary in the framework of the compensation procedure?

(X) Yes

() No

Comments

033. If yes, does this compensation come from:

a public fund

damages and interests to be paid by the person responsible

a private fund

Comments

034. Are there studies that evaluate the recovery rate of the damages awarded by courts to victims?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please illustrate with available data concerning the recovery rate, the title of the studies, the frequency of the studies and the coordinating body:

035. Do public prosecutors have a specific role with respect to the victims (protection and assistance)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

036. Do victims of crime have the right to dispute a public prosecutor's decision to discontinue a case? Please verify the consistency of your answer with that of question 105 regarding the possibility for a public prosecutor "to discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge". (The answer NAP means that the public prosecutor cannot decide to discontinue a case on his/her own. A decision by a judge is needed.)

Yes

No

NAP

Comments - If necessary, please specify:

2.2.2. Confidence of citizens in their justice system

037. (Modified question) Is there a system for compensating users in the following circumstances:

	Number of requests for compensation	Number of condemnations	Total amount (in €)
Total	14522 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	177528422 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Excessive length of proceedings	12784 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	14731 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	135446326 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Non-execution of court decisions	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Wrongful arrest	1727 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	31320159 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Wrongful conviction	11 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	10761937 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Where appropriate, please give details on the compensation procedure and the calculation method for the amount of the compensation (e.g. the amount per day for unjustified detentions or convictions):

038. (Modified question) Did your country implement surveys aimed at legal professionals and court users to measure their trust in justice and their satisfaction with the services delivered by the judicial system? If yes, how frequently and up to what level?

	National level	Court level
1. (Satisfaction) surveys aimed at judges	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
2. (Satisfaction) surveys aimed at court staff	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
3. (Satisfaction) surveys aimed at public prosecutors	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
4. (Satisfaction) surveys aimed at lawyers	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
5. (Satisfaction) surveys aimed at the parties	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
6. (Satisfaction) surveys aimed at other court users (e.g. jurors, witnesses, experts, interpreters, representatives of governmental agencies)	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
7. (Satisfaction) surveys aimed at victims	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc
8. Other not mentioned	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Other regular <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc

Comments - Please, indicate the references and links to the satisfaction surveys you mentioned above: No surveys were carried out in 2016.

040. Is there a national or local procedure for making complaints about the functioning of the judicial system? (for example the handling of a case by a judge or the duration of a proceeding)

Yes

No

Comments

041. (Modified question) If yes, please specify certain aspects of this procedure:

	Authority responsible for dealing with the complaint	Time limit for dealing with the complaint
Court concerned	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Higher court	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Ministry of Justice	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Council of the Judiciary	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Other external bodies (e.g. Ombudsman)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

041-1. (Modified question) Please specify further certain aspects of this procedure:

	Number of complaints	Compensations amount granted to users
Court concerned	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Higher court	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Ministry of Justice	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Council of the Judiciary	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Other external bodies (e.g. Ombudsman)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If possible, please give information concerning the efficiency of this complaint procedure and any useful comment:

3. Organisation of the court system

3.1. Courts

3.1.1. Number of courts

042. Number of courts considered as legal entities (administrative structures) and geographic locations

	Number of courts
42.1 First instance courts of general jurisdiction (legal entities)	515 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP



42.2 First instance specialised courts (legal entities)	245 [] NA [] NAP
42.3 All the courts (geographic locations) (this includes 1st instance courts of general jurisdiction, first instance specialised courts, all second instance courts and courts of appeal and all supreme courts)	836 [] NA [] NAP

Comments

043. Number (legal entities) of first instance specialised courts (or specific judicial order)

	Number of courts
Total (must be the same as the data given under question 42.2)	245 [] NA [] NAP
Commercial courts (excluded insolvency courts)	22 [] NA [] NAP
Insolvency courts	[] NA [X] NAP
Labour courts	[] NA [X] NAP
Family courts	[] NA [X] NAP
Rent and tenancies courts	[] NA [X] NAP
Enforcement of criminal sanctions courts	58 [] NA [] NAP
Fight against terrorism, organised crime and corruption	[] NA [X] NAP
Internet related disputes	[] NA [X] NAP
Administrative courts	29 [] NA [] NAP
Insurance and / or social welfare courts	[] NA [X] NAP
Military courts	4 [] NA [] NAP
Other specialised 1st instance courts	132 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - If "other specialised 1st instance courts", please specify: OTHER: 29 Minor (or Juvenile) Courts + 103 Local Tax

044. Is there a foreseen change in the structure of courts [for example a reduction of the number of courts (geographic locations) or a change in the powers of courts]?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify: Enhancing the specialization of judges / courts. In particular, the judiciary authorities are evaluating the introduction of specific courts for family and personal matters ("Berruti" reform). Increased competence of Business Courts. Establishment of specialized sections on matters such as immigration, international protection and free movement of citizens of the European Union.
Revision of the appeal system in order to reduce the appeal rate.

045. Number of first instance courts (geographic locations) competent for a case concerning:

	Number of courts
a debt collection for small claims	370 [] NA [] NAP
a dismissal	145 [] NA [] NAP
a robbery	145 [] NA [] NAP

Comments

045-1. (New question) Is your definition for small claims the same as the one in the Explanatory note?

Yes

No, please give your definition for small claims:

Comments

045-2. (New question) Please indicate the value in € of a small claim:

[5000]

Comments

C. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 42, 43 and 45:

Sources: Ministry of Justice

3.2. Court staff

3.2.1. Judges and non-judge staff



046. Number of professional judges sitting in courts (if possible on 31 December of the reference year). Please give the information in full-time equivalent and for permanent posts actually filled for all types of courts - general jurisdiction and specialised courts

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of professional judges (1 + 2 + 3)	6395 [] NA [] NAP	2918 [] NA [] NAP	3477 [] NA [] NAP
1. Number of first instance professional judges	4878 [] NA [] NAP	2108 [] NA [] NAP	2770 [] NA [] NAP
2. Number of second instance (court of appeal) professional judges	1155 [] NA [] NAP	558 [] NA [] NAP	597 [] NA [] NAP
3. Number of supreme court professional judges	362 [] NA [] NAP	252 [] NA [] NAP	110 [] NA [] NAP

Comment - Please provide any useful comment for interpreting the data above:

047. Number of court presidents (professional judges). Please give the information in full-time equivalent and for permanent posts actually filled for all types of courts - general jurisdiction and specialised courts

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of court presidents (1 + 2 + 3)	183 [] NA [] NAP	120 [] NA [] NAP	63 [] NA [] NAP
1. Number of first instance court presidents	162 [] NA [] NAP	107 [] NA [] NAP	55 [] NA [] NAP
2. Number of second instance (court of appeal) court presidents	20 [] NA [] NAP	12 [] NA [] NAP	8 [] NA [] NAP
3. Number of supreme court presidents	1 [] NA [] NAP	1 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP

Comments

048. Number of professional judges sitting in courts on an occasional basis and who are paid as such (if possible on 31 December of the reference year):

	Figure
Gross figure	[] NA [X] NAP
In full-time equivalent	[] NA [X] NAP

Comments - If necessary, please provide comments to explain the answer provided:

048-1. (New question) Do these professional judges sitting in courts on an occasional basis deal with a significant part of cases?

Yes, please give specifications on the types of cases and an estimate in percentage.

No

Comments

049. (Modified question) Number of non-professional judges who are not remunerated but who can possibly receive a simple defrayal of costs (if possible on 31 December of the reference year) (e.g. lay judges and “juges consulaires”, but not arbitrators and persons sitting in a jury):

	Figure
Gross figure	3522 [] NA [] NAP
In full time equivalent	[X] NA [] NAP

Comments

049-1. If such non-professional judges exist in first instance in your country, please specify for which types of cases:

	Yes	No	Echevinage
in criminal law cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
- severe criminal cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
- misdemeanour and/or minor criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
in family law cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
in civil cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
in labour law cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
in social law cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
in commercial law cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
in insolvency cases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments - If “other”, please specify:

050. Does your judicial system include trial by jury with the participation of citizens?

Yes

No

Comments

050-1. (New question) If yes, for which type of case(s)? (Please, for severe criminal cases and misdemeanour cases refer to the CEPEJ definitions)

Severe criminal cases

Misdemeanour cases

Other cases

Comments

051. Number of citizens who were involved in such juries for the year of reference:

[]

NA

NAP

Comments

052. Number of non-judge staff who are working in courts (on 31 December of the reference year) (this data should not include the staff working for public prosecutors; see question 60) (please give the information in full-time equivalent and for permanent posts actually filled)

	Total	Males	Females
Total non-judge staff working in courts (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5)	21182 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	7253 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	13929 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies) with judicial or quasi-judicial tasks having autonomous competence and whose decisions could be subject to appeal	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Non-judge staff whose task is to assist the judges such as registrars (case file preparation, assistance during the hearing, court recording, helping to draft the decisions)	13297 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3759 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	9538 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Staff in charge of different administrative tasks and of the management of the courts (human resources management, material and equipment management, including computer systems, financial and budgetary management, training management)	4071 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1081 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2990 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Technical staff	351 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	208 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	143 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

5. Other non-judge staff	3463 [] NA [] NAP	2205 [] NA [] NAP	1258 [] NA [] NAP
---------------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------

Comments - If “other non-judge staff”, please specify: According to the data provided for 2014, 2015 and 2016, we can notice a downward trend as concerns the number of technical staff (a decrease of 28% between 2014 and 2015 and a decrease of 26% between 2015 and 2016), especially the number of female staff (a decrease of 33% between 2014 and 2015 and of 32% between 2015 and 2016). An explanation of these variations is not available at this stage.

053. (Modified question) If there are Rechtspfleger (or similar bodies) in your judicial system, please specify in which fields do they have a role:

- legal aid
- family cases
- payment orders
- registry cases (land and/or business registry cases)
- enforcement of civil cases
- enforcement of criminal cases
- other cases not mentioned (please describe in comment)
- non-litigious cases

Comments - Please briefly describe their status and duties:

054. Have the courts outsourced certain services, which fall within their powers, to private providers?

- Yes
- No

Comments

054-1. (New question) If yes, please specify which services have been outsourced:

- IT services
- Training of staff
- Security
- Archives
- Cleaning
- Other types of services (please specify):

Comments Only a small percentage of IT services is outsourced. Other types of services outsourced: wire-tapping machinery rental.

C1. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 46, 47, 48, 49 and 52

Sources: Q.46 High Council of the Judiciary
Q.52 Ministry of Justice – HR Department

3.3. Public prosecution

3.3.1. Public prosecutors and staff



055. Number of public prosecutors (on 31 December of the reference year). Please give the information in full-time equivalent and for permanent posts actually filled for all types of courts - general jurisdiction and specialised courts.

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of prosecutors (1 + 2 + 3)	2138 [] NA [] NAP	1219 [] NA [] NAP	919 [] NA [] NAP
1. Number of prosecutors at first instance level	1803 [] NA [] NAP	981 [] NA [] NAP	822 [] NA [] NAP
2. Number of prosecutors at second instance (court of appeal) level	256 [] NA [] NAP	181 [] NA [] NAP	75 [] NA [] NAP
3. Number of prosecutors at supreme court level	79 [] NA [] NAP	57 [] NA [] NAP	22 [] NA [] NAP

Please indicate any useful comment for interpreting the data above: There is no specific explanation concerning the increase in the total number of prosecutors at Supreme Court level. However, numbers are small and therefore percentage changes vary more harshly.

056. Number of heads of prosecution offices (on 31 December of the reference year). Please give the information in full-time equivalent and for permanent posts actually filled, for all types of courts – ordinary and specialised jurisdictions.

	Total	Males	Females
Total number of heads of prosecution offices (1 + 2 + 3)	159 [] NA [] NAP	129 [] NA [] NAP	30 [] NA [] NAP
1. Number of heads of prosecution offices at first instance level	136 [] NA [] NAP	108 [] NA [] NAP	28 [] NA [] NAP
2. Number of heads of prosecution offices at second instance (court of appeal) level	22 [] NA [] NAP	20 [] NA [] NAP	2 [] NA [] NAP
3. Number of heads of prosecution offices at supreme court level	1 [] NA [] NAP	1 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP

Please provide any useful comment for interpreting the data above:

057. Do other persons have similar duties to public prosecutors?

(X) Yes, please specify their number (in full-time equivalent): 1800

() No

Comments - If yes, please specify their title and functions:

059. If yes, is their number included in the number of public prosecutors that you have indicated under question 55?

Yes

No

Comments

059-1. Do prosecution offices have specially trained prosecutors in domestic violence and sexual violence etc.?

Yes

No

Comments

060. Number of staff (non-public prosecutors) attached to the public prosecution service (on 31 December of the reference year) (without the number of non-judge staff, see question 52) (in full-time equivalent and for permanent posts actually filled).

	Total	Males	Females
Number of staff (non-public prosecutors) attached to the public prosecution service	8745 [] NA	3201 [] NA	5544 [] NA

Comments

C2. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 55, 56 and 60

Sources: High Judicial Council (Consiglio Superiore della Magistratura)

3.4. Management of the court budget

3.4.1. Court budget

061. Who is entrusted with responsibilities related to the budget within the court?

	Preparation of the budget	Arbitration and allocation of the budget	Day to day management of the budget	Evaluation and control of the use of the budget
Management Board	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Court President	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Court administrative director	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Head of the court clerk office	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If "other", please specify:

3.6.Performance and evaluation

3.6.1.National policies applied in courts and public prosecution services

066. Are quality standards determined for the judicial system (are there quality systems for the judiciary and/or judicial quality policies)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

067. Do you have specialised court staff that is entrusted with these quality standards?

Yes

No

Comments

068. Is there a national system to evaluate the overall (smooth) functioning of courts on the basis of an evaluation plan agreed beforehand?

Yes

No

Comments

068-1. (New question) If yes, please specify the frequency of this evaluation:

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

Comments - If "less frequent" or "more frequent", please specify:

069. Is there a system for monitoring and evaluating the performance of the public prosecution service?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please give further details:

3.6.2.Performance and evaluation of courts

070. Do you have, within the courts, a regular monitoring system of court activities concerning:

number of incoming cases

number of decisions delivered

number of postponed cases

length of proceedings (timeframes)

age of cases

other (please specify):

Comments

071. Do you monitor backlogs and cases that are not processed within a reasonable timeframe for:

civil law cases

criminal law cases

administrative law cases

Comments

072. Do you have an evaluation process to monitor waiting time during court procedures?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

073. Do you have a system to evaluate regularly the activity (in terms of performance and output) of each court?

Yes

No

Comments

073-0. (New question) If yes, please specify the frequency:

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

Comments - If "less frequent" or "more frequent", please specify: Quarterly

073-1. Is this evaluation of the court activity used for the later allocation of means to this court?

Yes

No

Comments

074. Are there performance targets defined at the level of the court?

Yes

No

Comments The main two targets are: reduction of the pending cases and reduction of costs.

075. (Modified question) Please specify the main targets applied to the courts:

to increase efficiency / to shorten the length of proceedings

to improve quality

to improve cost efficiency / productivity

Other (please specify):

Comments

076. Who is responsible for setting the targets for the courts?

Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)

Legislative power

Judicial power (for example High Judicial Council, Higher Court)

President of the court

Other (please specify):

Comments

077. Concerning court activities, have you defined performance and quality indicators (if no, please skip to question 79)

Yes

No

Comments

078. If yes, please select the main performance and quality indicators that have been defined:

incoming cases

length of proceedings (timeframes)

closed cases

pending cases and backlogs

productivity of judges and court staff

percentage of cases that are processed by a single sitting judge

enforcement of penal decisions

satisfaction of court staff

satisfaction of users (regarding the services delivered by the courts)

judicial quality and organisational quality of the courts

costs of the judicial procedures

number of appeals

other (please specify):

079. Who is responsible for evaluating the performance of the courts (multiple options possible) :

High Council of judiciary

Ministry of Justice

Inspection authority

Supreme Court

External audit body

Other (please specify):

Comments

3.6.3. Court activity and administration

080. Is there a centralised institution that is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the courts and judiciary?

Yes (please indicate the name and the address of this institution): Direzione Generale di Statistica e Analisi Organizzativa - Ministero della Giustizia - Via Arenula 70 - Roma

No

Comments

080-1. Does this institution publish statistics on the functioning of each court:

Yes, on internet

No, only internally (in an intranet website)

No

Comments

081. Are individual courts required to prepare an activity report (that includes, for example, data on the number of cases processed or pending cases, the number of judges and administrative staff, targets and assessment of the activity)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please describe the content of the report and its audience (i.e. to whom the report is intended):

081-1. If yes, please specify in which form this report is released:

Internet

Intranet (internal) website

Paper distribution

Comments

081-2. (New question) If yes, please, indicate the periodicity at which the report is released:

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

Comments

082. (Modified question) Is there a process or structure of dialogue between the public prosecutor service and courts as regards the way cases are presented before courts (for example the organisation, number and planning of hearings, on-call service for urgent cases, selection of simplified procedures of prosecution...)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

082-1. (Modified question) Is there a process or structure of dialogue between lawyers and courts as regards the way cases are presented before courts in other than criminal matter (e.g. organisation, number and planning of hearings, on-call service for urgent cases)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

3.6.4. Performance and evaluation of judges

083. Are there quantitative performance targets (for instance a number of cases to be addressed in a month) defined for each judge?

Yes

No

Comments Quantitative performance targets are set in terms of length and timeframe of proceedings. Judges who fail to meet certain requirements may face disciplinary proceedings.

083-1. Who is responsible for setting the targets for each judge?

Executive power (for example the Ministry of Justice)

Legislative power

Judicial power (for example the High Judicial Council, Supreme Court)

President of the court

Other (please specify):

Comments

New node

4. Fair trial

4.1. Principles

4.1.1. Principles of fair trial

084. Percentage of first instance criminal in absentia judgments (cases in which the suspect is not attending the hearing in person nor represented by a lawyer)?

[]

NA

NAP

Comments

085. Is there a procedure to effectively challenge a judge if a party considers that the judge is not impartial?

Yes, number of successful challenges in a year NA

No

Comments - Please could you briefly specify:

086. Is there in your country a monitoring system for the violations related to Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights?

	Monitoring system
For civil procedures (non-enforcement)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
For civil procedures (timeframe)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
For criminal procedures (timeframe)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please, specify what are the terms and conditions of this monitoring system (information related to violations at the State/courts level; implementation of internal systems to remedy the established violation; implementation of internal systems to prevent other violations (that are similar) and if possible to measure an evolution of the established violations:

D1. Please indicate the sources for answering questions in this chapter.

Sources: Ministry of Justice

4.2. Timeframe of proceedings

4.2.1. General information

087. Are there specific procedures for urgent matters as regards:

civil cases

criminal cases

administrative cases

There is no specific procedure

Comments - If yes, please specify:

088. Are there simplified procedures for:

civil cases (small disputes)

criminal cases (misdemeanour cases)

administrative cases

There is no simplified procedure

Comments - If yes, please specify:

088-1. (Modified question) For these simplified procedures, may judges deliver an oral judgement with a written order and dispense with a full reasoned judgement?

civil cases

criminal cases

administrative cases

Comments - If yes, please specify:

089. Do courts and lawyers have the possibility to conclude agreements on arrangements for processing cases (presentation of files, decisions on timeframes for lawyers to submit their conclusions and on dates of hearings)?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

4.2.2. Case flow management – first instance



091. (Modified question) First instance courts: number of other than criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court (Please insert NA for category 2)
Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	4215937 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3657690 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3822644 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	4050983 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)	2687388 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1554837 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1760695 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2481530 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	1287283 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2048288 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1978213 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	1357358 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)	1287283 [] NA [] NAP	2048288 [] NA [] NAP	1978213 [] NA [] NAP	1357358 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.2.3. Other registry cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.3. Other non-litigious cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
3. Administrative law cases	241266 [] NA [] NAP	54565 [] NA [] NAP	83736 [] NA [] NAP	212095 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
4. Other cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP

Comments

092. If courts deal with “civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases”, please indicate the case categories included:

. Uncontested payment orders, uncontested divorces, technical appraisals, judicial interdiction and incapacitation, hereditament, etc.

093. Please indicate the case categories included in the category "other cases":

094. (Modified question) First instance courts: number of criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the first instance court
Total of criminal law cases (1+2)	1423431 [] NA [] NAP	1445115 [] NA [] NAP	1551851 [] NA [] NAP	1316695 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP
1. Severe criminal cases	1272924 [] NA [] NAP	1217842 [] NA [] NAP	1309022 [] NA [] NAP	1181744 [] NA [] NAP	367575 [] NA [] NAP
2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases	150507 [] NA [] NAP	227273 [] NA [] NAP	242829 [] NA [] NAP	134951 [] NA [] NAP	[X] NA [] NAP

Comments - If you cannot make a distinction between misdemeanour criminal cases and severe criminal cases (according to the CEPEJ definitions), please indicate the categories of cases reported in the category "serious offences" and cases reported in the category "minor offences":

4.2.3. Case flow management – second instance



097. (Modified question) Second instance courts (appeal): Number of “other than criminal law” cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the second instance court (Please insert NA for category 2)
Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	399051 [] NA [] NAP	135081 [] NA [] NAP	148821 [] NA [] NAP	385311 [] NA [] NAP	185908 [] NA [] NAP
1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)	393213 [] NA [] NAP	125912 [] NA [] NAP	139482 [] NA [] NAP	379643 [] NA [] NAP	185645 [] NA [] NAP
2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	5838 [] NA [] NAP	9169 [] NA [] NAP	9339 [] NA [] NAP	5668 [] NA [] NAP	263 [] NA [] NAP

2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)	5838 [] NA [] NAP	9169 [] NA [] NAP	9339 [] NA [] NAP	5668 [] NA [] NAP	263 [] NA [] NAP
2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.2.3. Other registry cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.3. Other non-litigious cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
3. Administrative law cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
4. Other cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP

Comments As regards the variations concerning the category "general civil (and commercial) non litigious cases", it should be noted that the Ministry of Justice has recently implemented a data warehouse system that can collect a huge number of data and events pertaining to millions of civil cases. The new DWGC (Data Warehouse for Civil Justice) is now fully operational and it represents a major improvement in terms of statistics and quality. Since 2015, data pertaining to Q.97 is extracted from the above Datawarehouse and it is to be considered more accurate than the figures provided in the past.

It should be noted that in 2014 for many cases it was not possible to distinguish between litigious and non-litigious cases because they were coming together in a bundle. With the data warehouse it is possible to tell whether any given procedure has either litigious or non-litigious nature. Besides, when comparing pending cases on 31 Dec 2014 with pending cases on 1 Jan 2016, the variations are less important.

098. (Modified question) Second instance courts (appeal): number of criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the second instance court
Total of criminal law cases (1+2)	261410 [] NA [] NAP	124693 [] NA [] NAP	113519 [] NA [] NAP	272584 [] NA [] NAP	119626 [] NA [] NAP

1. Severe criminal cases	255205 [] NA [] NAP	119665 [] NA [] NAP	108249 [] NA [] NAP	266621 [] NA [] NAP	118388 [] NA [] NAP
2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases	6205 [] NA [] NAP	5028 [] NA [] NAP	5270 [] NA [] NAP	5963 [] NA [] NAP	1238 [] NA [] NAP

Comments With regard to second instance criminal cases, in 2014-2015 a new case management system was introduced. This has negatively affected the statistics for those two years. Statistics for 2016 are definitely more robust and consistent. Besides, when comparing pending cases on 31 Dec 2014 with pending cases on 1 Jan 2016, the variations are less important.

4.2.4. Case flow management – Supreme Court

099. (Modified question) Highest instance courts (Supreme Court): number of “other than criminal law” cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the Supreme court (Please insert NA for category 2))
Total of other than criminal law cases (1+2+3+4)	130953 [] NA [] NAP	39793 [] NA [] NAP	37250 [] NA [] NAP	133496 [] NA [] NAP	[] NA [] NAP
1. Civil (and commercial) litigious cases (including litigious enforcement cases and if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3)	104094 [] NA [] NAP	29270 [] NA [] NAP	26938 [] NA [] NAP	106426 [] NA [] NAP	52947 [] NA [] NAP
2. Non litigious cases (2.1+2.2+2.3)	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.1. General civil (and commercial) non-litigious cases, e.g. uncontested payment orders, request for a change of name, non-litigious enforcement cases etc. (if possible without administrative law cases, see category 3; without registry cases and other cases, see categories 2.2 and 2.3)	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.2. Registry cases (2.2.1+2.2.2+2.2.3)	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP
2.2.1. Non litigious land registry cases	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP	[] NA [X] NAP

2.2.2 Non-litigious business registry cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
2.2.3. Other registry cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
2.3. Other non-litigious cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Administrative law cases	26392 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	10100 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	9858 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	26634 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Other cases	467 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	423 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	454 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	436 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	61 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments "Other cases" represent residual cases such as cases regarding the competence/jurisdiction of the court, corrections of material errors, etc. In respect of this category, the numbers are small and the observed variations should be put into perspective.

099-1. At the level of the Highest court (Supreme Court), is there a procedure of manifest inadmissibility?

(X) Yes, please indicate the number of cases closed by this procedure:3480

() No

Comments

100. (Modified question) Highest instance courts (Supreme Court): number of criminal law cases.

	Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec. ref. year	Pending cases older than 2 years from the date the case came to the Supreme court
Total of criminal law cases (1+2)	35980 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	52384 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	58015 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	30349 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	68 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Severe criminal cases	35223 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	51560 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	57139 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	29644 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	65 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Misdemeanour and / or minor criminal cases	757 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	824 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	876 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	705 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	3 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments In respect of minor criminal cases, the numbers are small and the observed variations should be put into perspective.

4.2.5. Case flow management – specific cases

101. (Modified question) Number of litigious divorce cases, employment dismissal cases, insolvency, robbery cases, intentional homicide cases, cases relating to asylum seekers and cases relating to the right of entry and stay for aliens received and processed by first instance courts.

Pending cases on 1 Jan. ref. year	Incoming cases	Resolved cases	Pending cases on 31 Dec ref. year
-----------------------------------	----------------	----------------	-----------------------------------

Litigious divorce cases	40593 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	39304 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	33283 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	46614 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Employment dismissal cases	26665 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	25411 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	29012 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	23064 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Insolvency	14653 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	36968 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	38884 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	12737 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Robbery case	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Intentional homicide	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Cases relating to asylum seekers (refugee status under the 1951 Geneva Convention)	13702 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	53462 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	14351 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	52813 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Cases relating to the right of entry and stay for aliens	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments With the introduction of the data warehouse system we can now identify specific types of proceedings (e.g. employment dismissal cases) more precisely.

The figures provided for both litigious divorce and insolvency cases (year 2016) are correct but there is no particular reason explaining the observed variations. With regard to the insolvency cases, the peculiarity of the Italian system consists in distinguishing between “insolvency applications” and “insolvency cases”. The former category concerns the litigious part of the proceeding where creditors and debtors have different goals (dispute). The latter category concerns the part of the proceeding where the judge has already established the insolvency / bankruptcy of the debtor and the case is all about the management of the assets and proceeds of the debtor. The figures at questions 101 and 102 refer to “insolvency applications” (the litigious part of this kind of proceedings) rather than “insolvency cases”.

101-1. (New question) Could you briefly describe the system in your country dealing with judicial remedies relating to asylum seekers (refugee status under the 1951 Geneva Convention) and the right of entry and stay for aliens:

. The Italian asylum system foresees a single regular procedure, the same for the determination of both refugee status and subsidiary protection status. The asylum claim can be lodged either at the border police office or within the territory at the provincial Police station (Questura).

The police authorities send the registration form and the documents concerning the asylum application to the Territorial Commissions or Sub-commissions for International Protection (Commissioni territoriali per il riconoscimento della protezione internazionale) (CTRPI) located throughout the national territory, the only authorities competent for the substantive asylum interview. Asylum seekers can appeal against a negative decision issued by the Territorial Commissions within 30 days before the competent Civil Tribunal.

102. Average length of proceedings, in days (from the date the application for judicial review is lodged). The average length of proceedings has to be calculated from the date the application for judicial review is lodged to the date the judgment is made, without taking into account the

enforcement procedure.

	% of decisions subject to appeal	Average length in 1st instance (in days)	Average length in 2nd instance (in days)	Average length in 3rd instance (in days)	Average total length of the total procedure (in days)	% of cases pending for more than 3 years for all instances
Litigious divorce case	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	637 <input type="checkbox"/>] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	440 <input type="checkbox"/>] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	693 <input type="checkbox"/>] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP
Employment dismissal case	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	427 <input type="checkbox"/>] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	522 <input type="checkbox"/>] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	747 <input type="checkbox"/>] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP
Insolvency	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	138 <input type="checkbox"/>] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	1415 <input type="checkbox"/>] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP
Robbery case	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	263 <input type="checkbox"/>] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP
Intentional homicide	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	224 <input type="checkbox"/>] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> X] NA <input type="checkbox"/>] NAP

Comments Concerning insolvency and divorce cases, in 2014 their lengths were calculated (i.e estimated) through a formula (similar to the disposition time formula), while 2016 figures come from the data warehouse (DWH) and are the exact values. In this regard, 2014 data on length of proceedings concerning insolvency cases at first instance will be up-dated in compliance with the DWH data. With regard to the insolvency cases, the peculiarity of the Italian system consists in distinguishing between “insolvency applications” and “insolvency cases”. The former category concerns the litigious part of the proceeding where creditors and debtors have different goals (dispute). The latter category concerns the part of the proceeding where the judge has already established the insolvency / bankruptcy of the debtor and the case is all about the management of the assets and proceeds of the debtor. The figures at questions 101 and 102 refer to “insolvency applications” (the litigious part of this kind of proceedings) rather than “insolvency cases”.

103. Where appropriate, please indicate the specific procedure as regards divorce cases (litigious and non-litigious):

. Separation by mutual consent and uncontested divorce are also possible without judicial procedure. In particular through an ADR procedure called "negoziato assistita" (literally assisted negotiation) or alternatively directly to the public register office without the intervention of the lawyer.

104. How is the length of proceedings calculated for the five case categories of question 102? Please give a description of the calculation method.

. Average length (in days) is the actual average length of the proceedings. Data comes from the civil data warehouse.

4.2.6. Case flow management – public prosecution



105. Role and powers of the public prosecutor in the criminal procedure (multiple options possible):

- to conduct or supervise police investigation
- to conduct investigations
- when necessary, to request investigation measures from the judge
- to charge
- to present the case in court
- to propose a sentence to the judge
- to appeal
- to supervise the enforcement procedure
- to discontinue a case without needing a decision by a judge (ensure consistency with question 36!)
- to end the case by imposing or negotiating a penalty or measure without requiring a judicial decision
- other significant powers (please specify):

Comments

106. (Modified question) Does the public prosecutor also have a role in:

- civil cases
- administrative cases
- insolvency cases

Comments - If yes, please specify:

107. Cases processed by the public prosecutor - Total number of first instance criminal cases:

	Received during the reference year	Discontinued during the reference year (see Q108 below)	Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor	Cases brought to court
Total number of first instance cases processed by the public prosecutor	3132625	2207392	12306	546059
	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

107-1. (Modified question) If the guilty plea procedures exist, how many cases were brought to court by the prosecutor through this procedure?

	Number of guilty plea procedures
Total	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Before the court case	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

During the court case	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
-----------------------	--

Comments

108. Total cases which were discontinued by the public prosecutor:

	Number of cases
Total cases which were discontinued by the public prosecutor (1+2+3)	2207392 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Discontinued by the public prosecutor because the offender could not be identified	1283721 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Discontinued by the public prosecutor due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation	901413 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity	22258 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments There is no specific explanation in respect of the increase between 2014 and 2016 in the number of cases discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity.

109. Do the figures include traffic offence cases?

Yes

No

Comments

D2. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 91, 94, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 107, 107-1 and 108.

Sources: Ministry of Justice - Department of Department of Statistics and Organizational Analysis Except Q.99: Supreme Court of Cassation and the Council of State

5. Career of judges and public prosecutors

5.1. Recruitment and promotion

5.1.1. Recruitment and promotion of judges

110. (Modified question) How are judges recruited?

mainly through a competitive exam (open competition)

mainly through a recruitment procedure for experienced legal professionals (for example experienced lawyers)

a combination of both (competitive exam and working experience)

other (please specify):

Comments

110-1. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedure for recruiting judges?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

111. Authority(ies) responsible for recruitment. Are judges initially/at the beginning of their career recruited and nominated by:

an authority made up of judges only

an authority made up of non-judges only

an authority made up of judges and non-judges

Comments - Please indicate the name of the authority(ies) involved in the whole procedure of recruitment and nomination of judges. If there are several authorities, please describe their respective roles:

112. Is the same authority (Q111) competent for the promotion of judges?

Yes

No

Comments

112-1. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedure for promoting judges?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

113. What is the procedure for judges to be promoted? (multiple answers possible)

Competitive test / Exam

Other procedure (interview or other)

No special procedure

Comments - Please specify how the promotion of judges is organised (especially if there is no competition or examination):

113-1. Please indicate the criteria used for the promotion of a judge? (multiple answers possible)

Years of experience

Professional skills (and/or qualitative performance)

Performance (quantitative)

Assessment results

Subjective criteria (e.g. integrity, reputation)

Other

No criteria

Comments - Please specify any useful comment regarding the criteria (especially if you have checked the box "performance" or "other"):

114. (Modified question) Is there a system of qualitative individual assessment of the judges' work?

Yes

No

Comments

114. If yes, please specify the frequency of this assessment:

Annual

Less frequent

More frequent

5.1.2. Status, recruitment and promotion of prosecutors

115. What is the status of prosecution services?

statutory independent

under the authority of the Minister of justice or another central authority

other (please specify):

Comments - When appropriate, please specify the objective guarantees of this independence (transfer, appointment...).

115-1. Does the law or another regulation prevent specific instructions to prosecute or not, addressed to a prosecutor in a court.

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

116. How are public prosecutors recruited?

mainly through a competitive exam (open competition)

mainly through a recruitment procedure for experienced legal professionals (for example experienced lawyers)

a combination of both (competitive exam and working experience)

other (please specify):

Comments

117. Authority(ies) responsible for recruitment. Are public prosecutors initially/at the beginning of their career recruited by:

an authority composed of public prosecutors only

an authority composed of non-public prosecutors only

an authority composed of public prosecutors and non-public prosecutors

Comments - Please indicate the name of the authority(ies) involved in the whole procedure of recruitment and nomination of public

prosecutors. If there are several authorities, please describe their respective roles:

117-1. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedure for recruiting prosecutors?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

118. Is the same authority (Q.117) formally responsible for the promotion of public prosecutors?

Yes

No, please specify which authority is competent for promoting public prosecutors High Judicial Council (CSM)

Comments

119. What is the procedure for prosecutors to be promoted? (multiple answers possible)

Competitive test / exam

Other procedure (interview or other)

No special procedure

Comments - Please, specify the procedure (especially if it is a procedure different from a competitive test or an exam):

119-1. Are there specific provisions for facilitating gender equality within the framework of the procedure for promoting prosecutors?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

119-2. Please indicate the criteria used for the promotion of a prosecutor:

Years of experience

Professional skills (and/or qualitative performance)

Performance (quantitative)

Assessment results

Subjective criteria (e.g. integrity, reputation)

Other

No criteria

Comments - Please, specify any useful comment regarding the criteria (especially if you have checked the box "performance" or "other"):

120. Is there a system of qualitative individual assessment of the public prosecutors' work?

Yes

No

Comments

5.1.3. Mandate and retirement of judges and prosecutors



121. Are judges appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?

Yes, please indicate the compulsory retirement age:70

No

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

121-1. Can a judge be transferred (to another court) without his/her consent:

For disciplinary reasons

For organisational reasons

For other reasons (please specify modalities and safeguards):

No

Comments

122. Is there a probation period for judges (e.g. before being appointed "for life")? If yes, how long is this period?

Yes, duration of the probation period (in years):

No

NAP

Comments

123. Are public prosecutors appointed to office for an undetermined period (i.e. "for life" = until the official age of retirement)?

Yes, please indicate the compulsory retirement age:70

No

Comments - If yes, are there exceptions (e.g. dismissal as a disciplinary sanction)? Please specify:

124. Is there a probation period for public prosecutors? If yes, how long is this period?

Yes, duration of the probation period (in years):

No

Comments

125. If the mandate for judges is not for an undetermined period (see question 121), what is the length of the mandate (in years)? Is it renewable?

Yes, what is the length of the mandate (in years)?

No

Comments

126. If the mandate for public prosecutors is not for an undetermined period (see question 123), what is the length of the mandate (in years)? Is it renewable?

Yes, what is the length of the mandate (in years)?

(X) No, what is the length of the mandate (in years)?

Comments

5.2. Training

5.2.1. Training of judges

127. Types of different trainings offered to judges

	Compulsory	Optional	No training proposed
Initial training (e.g. attend a judicial school, traineeship in the court)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
General in-service training	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

128. Frequency of the in-service training of judges:

	Frequency of the judges training
General in-service training	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training for specialised judicial functions (e.g. judge for economic or administrative issues)	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training for management functions of the court (e.g. court president)	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training for the use of computer facilities in courts	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed

Comments - Please indicate any information on the periodicity of the continuous training of judges:

5.2.2. Training of prosecutors

129. Types of different trainings offered to public prosecutors

	Compulsory	Optional	No training proposed
Initial training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
General in-service training	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for specialised functions (e.g. public prosecutors specialised on organised crime)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for management functions in the courts (e.g. Head of prosecution office, manager)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
In-service training for the use of computer facilities in office	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

130. Frequency of the in-service training of public prosecutors :

	Frequency of the in-service training
General in-service training	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training for specialised functions (e.g. public prosecutor specialised on organised crime)	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training for management functions in office (e.g. Head of prosecution office, manager)	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed
In-service training for the use of computer facilities in office	<input type="checkbox"/> Regularly (for example every year) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasional (as needed) <input type="checkbox"/> No training proposed

Comments - Please indicate any information on the periodicity of the in-service training of prosecutors:

131. Do you have public training institutions for judges and / or prosecutors?

	Initial training only	Continuous training only	Initial and continuous training
One institution for judges	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
One institution for prosecutors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

One single institution for both judges and prosecutors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Comments

131-0. (Modified question) If yes, what is the budget of such institution(s)?

	Budget of the institution for the reference year, in €
One institution for judges	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
One institution for prosecutors	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
One single institution for both judges and prosecutors	10537499 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments

131-1. If judges and/or prosecutors have no compulsory initial training in such institutions, please indicate briefly how these judges and/or prosecutors are trained?

5.3. Practice of the profession

5.3.1. Salaries and benefits of judges and prosecutors

132. Salaries of judges and public prosecutors on 31 December of the reference year:

	Gross annual salary, in €	Net annual salary, in €	Gross annual salary, in local currency	Net annual salary, in local currency
First instance professional judge at the beginning of his/her career	56263 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	34758 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Judge of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Court (please indicate the average salary of a judge at this level, and not the salary of the Court President)	186637 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	99203 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
Public prosecutor at the beginning of his/her career	56263 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	34758 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP

Public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or the Highest Appellate Instance (please indicate the average salary of a public prosecutor at this level, and not the salary of the Attorney General).	186637 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	99203 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
--	---	--	--	--

Comments

133. Do judges and public prosecutors have additional benefits?

	Judges	Public prosecutors
Reduced taxation	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Special pension	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Housing	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Other financial benefit	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

134. If “other financial benefit”, please specify:

NAP

135. Can judges combine their work with any of the following other functions/activities?

	With remuneration	Without remuneration
Teaching	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Research and publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Arbitrator	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consultant	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Cultural function	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Political function	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Other function	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
-----------------------	--	--

Comments - If rules exist in your country (e.g. authorisation needed to perform these activities), please specify. If “other function”, please specify.

137. Can public prosecutors combine their work with any of the following other functions/activities?

	With remuneration	Without remuneration
Teaching	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Research and publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Arbitrator	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consultant	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Cultural function	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Political function	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Other function	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments - If rules exist in your country (e.g. authorisation needed to perform these activities), please specify. If “other function”, please specify.

139. Productivity bonuses: do judges receive bonuses based on the fulfilment of quantitative objectives in relation to the delivery of judgments (e.g. number of judgments delivered over a given period of time) or cases examination?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify the conditions and possibly the amounts:

5.4. Disciplinary procedures

5.4.1. Authorities responsible for disciplinary procedures and sanctions

140. Who is authorised to initiate disciplinary proceedings against judges (multiple options possible)?

Court users

Relevant Court or hierarchical superior

High Court / Supreme Court

High Judicial Council

Disciplinary court or body

- Ombudsman
- Parliament
- Executive power (please specify): Ministry of Justice
- Other (please specify):
- This is not possible

Comments

141. Who is authorised to initiate disciplinary proceedings against public prosecutors: (multiple options possible):

- Citizens
- Head of the organisational unit or hierarchical superior public prosecutor
- Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor
- Public prosecutorial Council (and Judicial Council)
- Disciplinary court or body
- Ombudsman
- Professional body
- Executive power (please specify): Ministry of Justice
- Other (please specify):
- This is not possible

Comments

142. Which authority has disciplinary power over judges? (multiple options possible)

- Court
- Higher Court / Supreme Court
- Judicial Council
- Disciplinary court or body
- Ombudsman
- Parliament
- Executive power (please specify):
- Other (please specify):

Comments

143. Which authority has disciplinary power over public prosecutors? (multiple options possible):

- Supreme Court
- Head of the organisational unit or hierarchical superior public prosecutor
- Prosecutor General /State public prosecutor
- Public prosecutorial Council (and Judicial Council)
- Disciplinary court or body
- Ombudsman

Professional body

Executive power (please specify):

Other (please specify): High Judicial Council (CSM Consiglio Superiore della Magistratura).

Comments

5.4.2. Number of disciplinary procedures and sanctions

144. Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated during the reference year against judges and public prosecutors. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)

	Judges	Prosecutors
Total number (1+2+3+4)	128 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	62 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Breach of professional ethics	17 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	13 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Professional inadequacy	95 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	41 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Criminal offence	14 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	6 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Other	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	2 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify: "Other" refers to disciplinary proceedings which involve more than one category (e.g. "Breach of professional ethics" and "Professional inadequacy").

145. Number of sanctions pronounced during the reference year against judges and public prosecutors:

	Judges	Prosecutors
Total number (total 1 to 9)	41 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	15 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Reprimand	31 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	12 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Suspension	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Withdrawal from cases	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Fine	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP	0 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

5. Temporary reduction of salary	0 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
6. Position downgrade	3 [] NA [] NAP	1 [] NA [] NAP
7. Transfer to another geographical (court) location	2 [] NA [] NAP	2 [] NA [] NAP
8. Resignation	5 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP
9. Other	0 [] NA [] NAP	0 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - If “other”, please specify. If a significant difference exists between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions, please indicate the reasons.

E3. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 144 and 145:

Sources: High Judicial Council (CSM Consiglio Superiore della Magistratura).

6.Lawyers

6.1.Profession of lawyer

6.1.1.Status of the profession of lawyers

146. Total number of lawyers practising in your country:

[229292]

[] NA

[] NAP

Comments

147. Does this figure include “legal advisors” who cannot represent their clients in court (for example, some solicitors or in-house counsellors)?

Yes ()

No (X)

Comments

148. Number of legal advisors who cannot represent their clients in court:

[]

[] NA

[X] NAP

149. (Modified question) Do lawyers have a monopoly on legal representation in (multiple options are possible):

	First instance	Second instance	Highest instance court (Supreme Court)
Civil cases	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP
Dismissal cases	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP
Criminal cases - Defendant	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP
Criminal cases - Victim	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP
Administrative cases	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP	(X) Yes () No [] NAP
There is no monopoly	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP

Comments - Please, indicate any useful clarifications regarding the content of lawyers' monopoly:

149-0. (New question) If there is no monopoly, please specify the organisations or persons that may represent a client before a court:

	First instance	Second instance	Highest instance court (Supreme Court)
Civil society organisation	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP
Family member	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP
Self-representation	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP
Trade union	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP
Other	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP	() Yes (X) No [] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. In addition, please specify for the categories mentioned, the types of cases concerned by this/these representation(s):

149-1. In addition to the functions of legal representation and legal advice, can a lawyer exercise other activities?

- Notarial activity
- Arbitration / mediation
- Proxy / representation
- Property manager
- Real estate agent
- Other law activities (please specify):

Comments

149-2. What are the statuses for exercising the legal profession in court?

- Self-employed lawyer
- Staff lawyer
- In-house lawyer

Comments

150. Is the lawyer profession organised through:

- a national bar association
- a regional bar association
- a local bar association

Comments

151. Is there a specific initial training and/or exam to enter the profession of lawyer?

- Yes
- No

Comments - If not, please indicate if there are other specific requirements as regards diplomas or university degrees:

152. Is there a mandatory general system for lawyers requiring in-service professional training?

- Yes
- No

Comments

153. Is the specialisation in some legal fields linked to specific training, levels of qualification, specific diploma or specific authorisations?

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

F1. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 146 and 148:

Sources: National Bar Association (Consiglio nazionale forense)

6.1.2. Practicing the profession

154. Can court users establish easily what the lawyers' fees will be (i.e. a prior information on the foreseeable amount of fees)?

Yes

No

Comments

155. Are lawyers' fees freely negotiated?

Yes

No

Comments

156. Do laws or bar association standards provide any rules on lawyers' fees (including those freely negotiated)?

Yes laws provide rules

Yes standards of the bar association provide rules

No neither laws nor bar association standards provide rules

Comments

6.1.3. Quality standards and disciplinary procedures

157. Have quality standards been determined for lawyers?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, what are the quality criteria used?

158. If yes, who is responsible for formulating these quality standards:

the bar association

the Parliament

other (please specify):

Comments

159. Is it possible to file a complaint about:

the performance of lawyers

the amount of fees

Comments - Please specify:

160. Which authority is responsible for disciplinary procedures?

the judge

the Ministry of Justice

a professional authority

other (please specify):

Comments

161. Disciplinary proceedings initiated against lawyers. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)

	Number of disciplinary proceedings
Total number of disciplinary proceedings initiated (1 + 2 + 3 + 4)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Breach of professional ethics	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Professional inadequacy	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Criminal offence	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Other	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify:

162. Sanctions pronounced against lawyers.

	Number of sanctions
Total number of sanctions (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Reprimand	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Suspension	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Withdrawal from cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Fine	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
5. Other	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. If a significant difference between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions exists, please indicate the reasons.

7. Alternative dispute resolutions

7.1. Mediation

7.1.1. Details on mediation procedures and other ADR

163. Does the judicial system provide for judicial mediation procedures? If this is not the case you will go directly to question 168.

Yes

No

Comments

163-1. In some fields, does the judicial system provide for mandatory mediation procedures?

Before going to court

Ordered by a judge in the course of a judicial proceeding

Comments - If there are mandatory mediation procedures, please specify which fields are concerned: Real rights, condominium disputes, division of assets, inheritance, leasing, family covenants and agreements, business rents, medical malpractice damages, libel, insurance, bank and financial contracts.

164. Please specify, by type of cases, the organisation of judicial mediation:

	Court annexed mediation	Private mediator	Public authority (other than the court)	Judge	Public prosecutor
Civil and commercial cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Family law cases (ex. divorce)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Administrative cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Employment dismissals	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Criminal cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

165. Is there a possibility to receive legal aid for judicial mediation procedures?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

166. Number of accredited or registered mediators who practice judicial mediation:

[23612]

NA

NAP

Comments The number of accredited mediators is destined to grow. Probably at a lower growth rate.

167. Number of judicial mediation procedures.

	Number of judicial mediation procedures
Total number of mediation cases (total 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. Civil and commercial cases	183977 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. Family cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. Administrative cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Employment dismissal cases	<input type="checkbox"/> NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAP
5. Criminal cases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - Please indicate the source: The Department of Statistics and Organizational Analysis (within the Ministry of Justice) periodically publish reports on mediation procedures on its website:

https://webstat.giustizia.it/_layouts/15/start.aspx#/Analisi%20e%20ricerche/forms/mediazione.aspx

The latest reports are available in English as well.

168. Does the legal system provide for the following alternative dispute resolutions (ADR):

- mediation other than judicial mediation
- arbitration
- conciliation
- other ADR (please specify): conciliazione bancaria

Comments

G1. Please indicate the source for answering question 166:

Source: Ministry of Justice – Civil Affairs Department
<https://mediazione.giustizia.it/>

8. Enforcement of court decisions

8.1. Execution of decisions in civil matters

8.1.1. Functioning

169. Do you have enforcement agents in your judicial system?

Yes

No

Comments

170. Number of enforcement agents

[2907]

NA

NAP

Comments

171. Are enforcement agents (multiple options are possible):

judges

bailiffs practising as private professionals under the authority (control) of public authorities

bailiffs working in a public institution

other

Comments - Please specify their status and powers:

171-1. Do enforcement agents have the monopoly in exercising their profession?

Yes

No

Comments - Please indicate any useful clarifications regarding the content of the enforcement agents' monopoly or on the opposite regarding the competition they have to deal with:

171-2. Can the enforcement agent carry out the following civil enforcement proceedings:

	Option
Seizure of movable tangible properties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes with monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> Yes without monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Seizure of immovable properties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes with monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> Yes without monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Seizure from a third party of the debtor claims regarding a sum of money	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes with monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> Yes without monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
Seizure of remunerations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes with monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> Yes without monopoly <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Seizure of motorised vehicles	(X) Yes with monopole () Yes without monopole () No [] NAP
Eviction measures	(X) Yes with monopole () Yes without monopole () No [] NAP
Enforced sale by public tender of seized properties	(X) Yes with monopole () Yes without monopole () No [] NAP
Other	(X) Yes with monopole () Yes without monopole () No [] NAP

Comments

171-3. Apart of the enforcement of court decisions, what are the other activities that can be carried out by enforcement agents?

- Service of judicial and extrajudicial documents
- Debt recovery
- Voluntary sale of moveable or immoveable property at public auction
- Seizure of goods
- Recording and reporting of evidence
- Court hearings service
- Provision of legal advice
- Bankruptcy procedures
- Performing tasks assigned by judges
- Representing parties in courts
- Drawing up private deeds and documents
- Building manager
- Other

Comments

172. Is there a specific initial training or exam to become an enforcement agent?

- Yes
- No

Comments

172-1. Is there a system of mandatory general continuous training for enforcement agents?

- Yes
- No

Comments

173. Is the profession of enforcement agents organised by (the answer NAP means that the profession is not organised):

a national body

a regional body

a local body

NAP

Comments

174. Are enforcement fees easily established and transparent for the court users?

Yes

No

Comments

175. Are enforcement fees freely negotiated?

Yes

No

Comments

176. Do laws provide any rules on enforcement fees (including those freely negotiated)?

Yes

No

Comments

H0. Please indicate the sources for answering question 170

Source: Ministry of Justice

8.1.2. Efficiency of enforcement services



177. Is there a body entrusted with supervising and monitoring the enforcement agents' activity?

Yes

No

Comments

178. Which authority is responsible for supervising and monitoring enforcement agents?

a professional body

the judge

the Ministry of Justice

the public prosecutor

other (please specify):

Comments

179. Have quality standards been determined for enforcement agents?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, what are the quality criteria used?

180. If yes, who is responsible for establishing these quality standards?

a professional body

the judge

the Ministry of Justice

other (please specify):

Comments

181. Is there a specific mechanism for executing court decisions rendered against public authorities, including supervising such execution?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

182. Is there a system for monitoring how the enforcement procedure is conducted by the enforcement agent?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

183. What are the main complaints made by users concerning the enforcement procedure? Please indicate a maximum of 3.

no execution at all

non execution of court decisions against public authorities

lack of information

excessive length

unlawful practices

insufficient supervision

excessive cost

other (please specify):

Comments

184. Has your country prepared or established concrete measures to change the situation concerning the enforcement of court decisions – in particular as regards decisions against public authorities?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

185. Is there a system measuring the length of enforcement procedures:

	Existence of the system
for civil cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
for administrative cases	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

186. As regards a decision on debt collection, please estimate the average timeframe to notify the decision to the parties who live in the city where the court sits (one option only):

between 1 and 5 days

between 6 and 10 days

between 11 and 30 days

more (please specify):data is not available

Comments Not available

187. Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated against enforcement agents. (If a disciplinary proceeding is undertaken because of several reasons, please count the proceedings only once and for the main reason.)

	Number of disciplinary proceedings initiated
Total number of initiated disciplinary proceedings (1+2+3+4)	19 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
1. For breach of professional ethics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
2. For professional inadequacy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
3. For criminal offence	6 <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP
4. Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/> NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify:

188. Number of sanctions pronounced against enforcement agents:

	Number of sanctions pronounced
Total number of sanctions (1+2+3+4+5)	16 [] NA [] NAP
1. Reprimand	5 [] NA [] NAP
2. Suspension	7 [] NA [] NAP
3. Withdrawal from cases	0 [] NA [] NAP
4. Fine	2 [] NA [] NAP
5. Other	2 [] NA [] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify. If a significant difference between the number of disciplinary proceedings and the number of sanctions exists, please indicate the reasons:

H1. Please indicate the sources for answering questions 186, 187 and 188:

Source: Ministry of Justice

8.2. Execution of decisions in criminal matters

8.2.1. Functioning of execution in criminal matters

189. Which authority is in charge of the enforcement of judgments in criminal matters? (multiple options possible)

- Judge
- Public prosecutor
- Prison and Probation Services
- Other authority (please specify):

Comments - Please specify his/her functions and duties (e.g. initiative or monitoring functions).

190. Are the effective recovery rates of fines decided by a criminal court evaluated by studies?

- Yes
- No

Comments No study of such nature was carried out in 2016.

191. If yes, what is the recovery rate?

- 80-100%
- 50-79%
- less than 50%

Comments - Please indicate the source for answering this question:

9. Notaries

9.1. Profession of notary

9.1.1. Number and status of notaries

192. Number and type of notaries in your country. If you do not have notaries skip to question 197.

	Number of notaries
TOTAL	4819 [] NA [] NAP
Private professionals (without control from public authorities)	[] NA [X] NAP
Private professionals under the authority (control) of public authorities	4819 [] NA [] NAP
Public agents	[] NA [X] NAP
Other	[] NA [X] NAP

Comments - If "other", please specify the status:

192-1. What are the access conditions to the profession of notary:

- diploma
- payment of a fee (e.g. purchasing office)
- co-opting of peers
- other

Comments

192-2. (Modified question) What is the duration of appointment of a notary?

- Limited duration, please indicate it in years:
- Unlimited duration

Comments

194. Do notaries have duties (multiple options possible):

- within the framework of civil procedure
- in the field of legal advice
- to certify the authenticity of legal deeds and certificates
- in the field of mediation
- other (please specify):

Comments

194-1. Do notaries have the monopoly when exercising their profession:

- in civil procedure
- in the field of legal advice
- to authenticate deeds/certificates
- in the field of mediation
- other

Comments - Please indicate any useful clarifications regarding the content of the notaries' monopoly or on the opposite regarding the competition they have to deal with:

194-2. As well as these activities, what are the other ones that can be carried out by notaries?

- Real estate transaction
- Settlement of estates
- Legality control of gambling activities
- Authentication of documents
- Translations
- Signatures
- Other

Comments

195. Is there an authority entrusted with supervising and monitoring the notaries' work?

- Yes
- No

Comments

196. If yes, which authority is responsible for supervising and monitoring notaries?

- a professional body
- the judge
- the Ministry of Justice
- the public prosecutor
- the Ministry of Interior
- other (please specify):

Comments

196-1. Is there a system of general continuous training mandatory for all notaries?

Yes

No

Comments

I1. Please indicate the sources for answering question 192:

Sources: National Council of Notaries (Consiglio Nazionale del Notariato)

10. Court interpreters

10.1. Details on profession of court interpreter

10.1.1. Status of court interpreters

197. Is the title of court interpreters protected?

Yes

No

Comments

198. Is the function of court interpreters regulated by legal norms?

Yes

No

Comments

199. Number of accredited or registered court interpreters:

[]

NA

NAP

Comments

200. Are there binding provisions regarding the quality of court interpretation within judicial proceedings?

Yes

No

Comments - If yes, please specify:

201. Are the courts responsible for selecting court interpreters?

Yes, for recruitment and/or appointment for a specific term of office

Yes, for recruitment and/or appointment on an ad hoc basis, according to the specific needs of given proceedings

No, please specify which authority selects court interpreters

Comments

J1. Please indicate the sources for answering question 199

Sources: Ministry of Justice

11. Judicial experts

11.1. Profession of judicial expert

11.1.1. Status of judicial experts

202. In your system, what type of experts can be requested to participate in judicial procedures (multiple choice possible):

"expert witnesses", who are requested by the parties to bring their expertise to support their argumentation,

"technical experts" who put their scientific and technical knowledge on issues of fact at the court's disposal,

"legal experts" who might be consulted by the judge on specific legal issues or requested to support the judge in preparing the judicial work (but do not take part in the decision).

Other (please specify):

Comments

202-1. Are there lists or databases of technical experts registered?

Yes

No

Comments - Please, indicate any useful comment regarding these lists of experts if they do exist (e.g. : who decide of the registration on the list ? Is the registration limited in time ? does the expert take the oath ? how is his/her skill evaluated ? by whom ?)

203. Is the title of judicial experts protected?

Yes

No

Comments - If appropriate, please explain the meaning of this protection:

203-1. Does the expert have an obligation of training?

	Obligation of training
Initial training	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Continuous training	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Comments

203-2. If yes, does this training concern:

- the proceeding
- the profession of expert
- other

Comments

204. Is the function of judicial experts regulated by legal norms?

- Yes
- No

Comments

204-1. On the occasion of a mission entrusted to him/her, does the expert have to report any potential conflicts of interest?

- Yes
- No

Comments

205. Number of accredited or registered judicial / technical experts:

- []
- NA
- NAP

Comments

205-1. Who sets the expert remuneration?

- Remuneration is set by Law.

206. Are there binding provisions regarding the exercise of the function of judicial expert within judicial proceedings?

- Yes
- No

Comments - If yes, please specify, in particular the given time to provide a technical report to the judge:

207. Are the courts responsible for selecting judicial experts?

- Yes, for recruitment and/or appointment for a specific term of office
- Yes, for recruitment and/or appointment on an ad hoc basis, according to the specific needs of given proceedings
- No, please specify which authority selects judicial experts

207-1. Does the judge control the progress of investigations?

Yes

No

K1. Please indicate the sources for answering question 205

Sources: Ministry of Justice

12.Reforms in judiciary

12.1.Foreseen reforms

12.1.1.Reforms

208. Can you provide information on the current debate in your country regarding the functioning of justice? Are there foreseen reforms? Please inform whether these reforms are under preparation or have only been envisaged at this stage. Have innovative projects been implemented? If possible, please observe the following categories:

1. (Comprehensive) reform plans Current reform plans are aimed at: •Reforming the criminal sector (reform of the criminal procedure, efficiency, unloading of appeal and cassation workload, procedural improvement, etc.)
•Enhancing the specialization of judges / courts. In particular, the judiciary authorities are evaluating the introduction of specific courts for family and personal matters ("Berruti" reform)
•Increased competence of Business Courts.
•Revision of the appeal system in order to reduce the appeal rate. Further steps have been taken in order to streamlining of the proceedings in order to simplify their overall structure. The ultimate aim is to make things easier for judges, prosecutors and lawyers and easier to comprehend for the parties. Judges have the opportunity to switch from ordinary to summary proceedings and can thus avail themselves of a simpler instrument for non complex cases.

2. Budget For the first time the Ministry of Justice has been accredited as Intermediate Entity in the NOP Governance for 2014 — 2020 planning. Amongst other projects to be funded: the Digital Civil Trial for the Justice of the Peace, the "Help desk" for issuing certificates to citizens and the Office for trial. A draft law foresees the inflow into separate special funds of the FUG Fondo Unico Giustizia (literally Single Justice Fund) of the sums collected under the competition procedures and enforcement on of real estate as well as some civil proceedings, in order to optimize their returns and to ensure a greater revenue for the revenue. Another draft law is intended to establish the budget of the Ministry of Justice for the year 2018 a fund fed by the administrative surplus of the Superior Council of the Judiciary, equal to 20 million euros. The rule establishes a fund at the Ministry of Justice for the implementation of the provisions of Law 103/2017 on the reform of the criminal process and the penitentiary system. The budget for this project is 10 million euros for the year 2018, 20 million euros for 2019 and 30 million euros for the year 2020.

3. Courts and public prosecution services (e.g. powers and organisation, structural changes - e.g. reduction of the number of courts -, management and working methods, information technologies, backlogs and efficiency, court fees, renovations and construction of new buildings) Over 1100 new employees are closely going to be enrolled.

It was introduced the so-called “Ufficio per il processo” (literally ‘office for the trial’) whose ultimate goal is the improvement of the court organization. These new organizational structure is composed of about 4000 trainees who cooperate with both judges and administrative staff.

There is the authorization to enlist administrative staff for a further 1,400 units.

3.1. Access to justice and legal aid

4. High Judicial Council

5. Legal professionals (judges, public prosecutors, lawyers, notaries, enforcement agents, etc.): organisation, education and training, etc. The reform of the honorary magistracy was implemented with Legislative Decrees No. 92/2016 and No.116/2017.

Recruitment of 350 ordinary magistrates (through a national state examination). It is expected that the new magistrates will start working by the first semester of 2018.

In 2013 a reform (Act No. 98/2013) introduced the Auxiliary Judiciary in second-instance courts in order to address and reduce the backlog in civil-law cases. Following said reform a bill has been recently submitted to Parliament setting out the possibility to provide the Italian Supreme Court with 50 auxiliary judges in the view of dealing with the long-pending tax cases. The candidates shall have to be selected among qualified retired judges who meet the ad hoc legal requirements.

6. Reforms regarding civil, criminal and administrative laws, international conventions and cooperation activities A series of actions are on the table:

- the establishment of specialized sections on matters such as immigration, international protection and free movement of citizens of the European Union.
- simplification of the judicial procedure for the recognition of international protection
- urgent measures for the reduction of the court of cessation's backlog
- the launch of the first part of the reform of the securities over movable properties
- the register of forced expropriation procedures for real estate, insolvency proceedings and crisis management
- the acceleration of enforcement procedures
- regulation of civil unions between people of the same sex and the regulation of unmarried couples (i.e. de facto partners). As far as competition law, the Law No.124/2017 introduced new measures in the following areas:
- code of private insurance
- notifications of judicial documents related to road traffic offenses
- protection of competition and transparency in financial matters;
- measures for competition in the profession;

The Directive 2014/104/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on “certain rules governing actions for damages under national law for infringements of the competition law provisions of the Member States and of the European Union” was implemented by the Legislative Decree No.3/2017.

The Legislative Decree No.112/2017 revises the law on social entrepreneurship.

7. Enforcement of court decisions Measures for the disbursement of loans to enterprises and the efficiency of credit recovery activities, also through the further simplification and efficiency of forced expropriation. Measures are taken to ensure timely approval of the project to distribute incomings from the selling of assets. The amendment also aims to make the judicial payment orders more incisive by limiting the cases in which it is blocked (along with credit recovery) because of the alleged existence of procedural defects.

8. Mediation and other ADR After an experimental period, the mandatory mediation has been finally approved.

9. Fight against crime Several actions and measures were taken in order to:

- strengthening the discipline on road traffic offences
- fight against racism and xenophobia
- security measures: violence during sport events and terrorism
- combat crime against public administration (e.g. corruption etc)

9.1. Prison system An extra recruitment procedure provides for a maximum of 861 prison officers (i.e. prison police agents) to be completed within the period 2018/2022.

9.2 Child friendly justice

9.3.Violence against partners

10. New information and communication technologies

11. Other