

Strasbourg, 8 December 2003

CEPEJ (2003) 36 Addendum I

EUROPEAN COMMISSION FOR THE EFFICIENCY OF JUSTICE (CEPEJ)

PILOT-SCHEME FOR EVALUATING JUDICIAL SYSTEMS¹

This Pilot-Scheme has been adopted by consensus by the CEPEJ at its 2nd meeting on 3 – 5 December 2003

¹ This Pilot-Scheme is a flexible tool and can be revised at a later stage, should some of the questions prove difficult or impossible to reply or should the structure not be clear enough.

PILOT-SCHEME FOR EVALUATING JUDICIAL SYSTEMS

| COUNTR | Y: Austria |
|--|---|
| Year of reference : 2002 (wherever possible, if not stated otherwise) Contact: Bundesministerium für Justiz Abteilung PR 6 Museumstrasse 7 1070 Wien | |
| QUESTIONS | DATA |
| I. Gener | ral information |
| 1. Number of inhabitants | 8,067.300 Year: 2002 Source: Austrian Statistics (http://www.statistik.at/) |
| 2. Total annual State/regional public budget | The total amount of estimated expenses is 872,521.026,75 Euro and of estimated incomes is 630,033.138,43 Euro for the year 2002 |
| 3. Average gross annual salary | Average gross annual salary of judges and prosecutors was 65.380 (=14x 4.670) Euros in 2002, the average pension 74.900 (=14x 5.350) Euros. Year: 2002 Source: PR6 |
| II. Access to Ju | stice and to all courts |
| A. Legal aid/cost of justice | |
| 4. Annual public budget spent on legal aid | 13,466.277 € (Austrian institute of "Rechtshilfe", paid to the bar's chamber for services at the courts) Year: 2002 Source: |
| 5. If possible,- Annual public budget spent on legal aid in criminal cases- Annual public budget spent on legal aid in other court cases | n. a. Year: 2002 Source: |
| 6. Total number of legal aid cases (in a | n. a. Year: 2002 Source: |
| year) 7. If possible, - total number of legal aid cases in criminal matters (in a year) - total number of legal aid cases in other court cases (in a year) | n. a. Year: 2002 Source: |
| 8. Does your country has an income and asset test for granting legal aid? | Yes Year: 2002 Source: |

| 9. If yes, what is the maximum income level for granting legal aid? | Depends on the relation between the personal income and financial situation of the person involved and the expected costs of the trial. Year: 2002 Source: |
|---|---|
| 10. Is it possible to refuse legal aid for lack of the merit of the case (eg. concerning the abusive character of legal actions)? If yes, the decision is taken by: an internal instance of the court an external instance | Yes, decision by judge; It is taken into account, if the trial is being pended playfully or hopelessly. |
| a mixed instance court/external other What factors are taken into account? | Year: 2002 Source: |
| 11. Is there a general rule according to which a person has to pay a court tax or fee to start a proceeding at a general jurisdiction court? YES NO If yes, does it concern: | In general there is a rule according to which a person has to pay a court tax or fee to start a proceeding at a general jurisdiction court in civil cases, but legal aid may also include this fee (see question 9 and 10). |
| - criminal cases □ YES / NO | |
| - other than criminal cases□ YES / NO | Year: 2002 Source: |
| 12. Does your country have a private system of legal expenses insurance for the individuals? | Yes |
| 13.Do judicial decisions have an impact on who carries judicial costs which are payed by the parties during the procedure? YES NO If yes, does it concern: | Yes |
| - in criminal cases □ | |
| - in other than criminal cases X 14. In your country, have studies been carried out on the costs of cases brought to courts concerning | |
| - Users □ - the State □ | |
| Please indicate, if so, the references of these studies published in the [reference's year of reference] | No |
| B. Users of the courts and victims | |

| 15. Are there official internet sites/portals (eg. Ministry of justice, etc) on which the general public may have free access to | www.ris.bka.gv.at www.bmj.gv.at |
|---|--|
| - legal texts (eg. codes, laws, regulations, etc) - Yes X - No □ - to the case-law of the higher court/s? - Yes X-No □ - to other documents (for examples legal forms)? YES X NO | |
| If yes, please give the internet address 16. Is there a public and free-of-charge for victims specific information system to inform and to help victims of crimes? - Yes - No □ 17. Is there a public, free of charge and personalised specific information system, managed by the police or the justice system, on the follow up given to complaints by victims of crime? - Yes □ - No - No □ | For further information look at www.opfernotruf.at www.notruffueropfer.at www.notru |
| 18. Does your country have a public compensation fund to compensate financially victims of crimes? - Yes - No □ | Such a public compensation fund exists, but not all damages to victims are refundable (e.g. compensation for pain and suffering). On this account the Austrian Ministry of Justice is planning to establish a public compensation fund to help all victims of a criminal act, who are in financial distress. |
| 19.Does your country have users' or legal professionals' (judges, lawyers, officials, etc.) inquiries to measure the public trust and the satisfaction of the services delivered by the judiciary? If yes, please specify (national level public inquiries or court level inquiries) | Not regularly. The Ministry of Justice does public inquiries on demand. |

20. Is there a national or local procedure for complaints regarding the bad functioning of the judiciary (eg. through an ombudsman)?

at the court's level/internal procedure YES/NO

at the court's level/external procedure YES/NO

at the national level/internal procedure YES/NO

at the national level/external procedure YES/NON

21. As a general rule, do the institutions which receive a complaint have an obligation to respond and/or to deal with the complaint within a certain time limit? Time limit for the answer YES/NO Time limit for the dealing of the complaint YES/NO

Referring to the administration of justice exists an institution "Volksanwaltschaft" (ombudsman), which has no power to evaluate the performance of judiciary itself.

Not within a specific time limit, but within proper time.

III. Functioning of courts and efficiency of justice

A. Functioning

| 22. Total number of courts | 165 (1.1.2005) ordinary courts |
|---|--|
| 23. Number of general jurisdiction first | 160 (1.1.2005) |
| instance courts | |
| | Year: 2005 Source: PR 6 |
| 24. Number of specialised first | In commercial cases a special |
| instance courts | District Court ("Bezirksgericht für |
| Specify the different areas of specialisation | Handelssachen") and a Commercial |
| | Court serving as a First instance |
| | court ("Handelsgericht") have been |
| | established only in Vienna. |
| | Special District Courts and special |
| | courts of first instance have been |
| | |
| | set up in Vienna (one First instance |
| | court for civil and one for criminal |
| | cases) and in Graz for civil cases; |
| | A special Labour and Social Court |
| | ("Arbeits- und Sozialgericht") acts in |
| | Vienna as a court of first instance in |
| | disputes arising from employment |
| | relations and certain branches of |
| | the social insurance system |
| | Year: 2005 Source: PR 6 |
| 25. Number of professional judges | |
| sitting in courts (present the information | 1.732 fte (01.04.2003; incl. |
| in full time equivalent and for permanent | substitution judges ("Ersatzkräfte"), |
| posts) | but without substituted judges |
| | ("Ersatzfälle")) |
| | (=: 53: =: 31: 51: 7) |
| | Year: 01.04.2003 Source: PR 6 |
| 26. Number of non-professional judges | n.a. |
| | <u> </u> |

| | T |
|---|---|
| sitting in courts (present the information | |
| in full time equivalent and for permanent | Year: 2002 Source: |
| posts) | rear, 2002 Source. |
| 27. Number of non-judge administrative staff who are working in | 5.401 fte |
| courts (present the information in full time equivalent and for permanent posts) | Year: 31.12.2002 Source: PR 6 |
| 28. Annual budget allocated to all | 561,715.000 € (including public |
| courts | prosecutors) Year: 2004 Source: III 1 |
| 29. Annual budget allocated to the Ministry of justice or the institution (for example Judicial Council) which is responsible for the functioning of the courts? | 51,201.000 € |
| | Year: 2004 Source: III 1 |
| 30. Did the budget for justice increase during the last 5 years? Can you give figures? | Yes. 1999 792,256.346,18 € 2000 794,016.118,84 € 2001 808,121.915,94 € 2002 809,646.000,00 € 2003 887,541.000,00 € |
| | Year: 1999 - 2003 Source: III 1 |
| 31. Which institution is formally responsible for setting up the budget devoted to courts? The ministry of Justice (or equivalent)? The Government? The Parliament? | The Parliament (chamber of deputies) |
| The Judicial Council? | Year: 2002 Source: III 1 |
| The Courts 32. Who manages the budget of the courts (President of the Court ? The person administratively responsible for the court ?) | The President of the Supreme Court and the Presidents of the Courts of Appeals as well as the Office of the Procurator General and the Prosecutor's Offices at the level of the Courts of Appeals, together with the Federal Ministry of Justice. In certain cases the cooperation with the Federal Ministry of Finance is necessary. Year: 2002 Source: III 1 |
| 33. Which institution is responsible for | The judge |
| arranging and scheduling the court hearings/sessions? | |
| in criminal cases X | |
| - in other than criminal cases \boldsymbol{X} | Year: 2002 Source: PR 6 |
| B. Effic | iency |
| 34. Total number of criminal cases received by the public prosecutor (in a | 600.451 |

| [] | I |
|--|--|
| year) | 477.004 |
| 35. Total number of criminal cases dropped by the public prosecutor (in a | 477.891 and out of this there are 370.570 offender are not identified |
| year) | |
| Please indicate also, out of this total, those | |
| dropped as the offender has not been identified | Year: 2002 Source: annual prosecution statistics (PR 3) |
| | |
| | 42.126 persons not cases |
| which are concluded by a sanction/measure imposed/negotiated by | |
| the public prosecutor (in a year) | Year: 2002 Source: annual prosecution statistics |
| | (PR 3) |
| 37. Total number of criminal cases | 65.698 |
| charged by the public prosecutor before | |
| the courts (in a year) | Year: 2002 Source: annual prosecution statistics |
| 20 Total number of incoming animinal | (PR 3) |
| 38. Total number of incoming criminal cases in the courts concerning robbery | n.a. |
| , | Year: Source: |
| cases (in a year) 39. Total number of judicial decisions, | |
| concerning robbery (in a year). | 543 persons not cases |
| Please indicate also if possible: | |
| Number or % of convicted persons | Year: 2002 Source: annual prosecution |
| Number or % of acquitted persons | statistics (PR 3) |
| 40. Percentage of decisions concerning | n.a. |
| robbery subject to an appeal to a higher | II.a. |
| court (in a year) | Year: Source: |
| 41.Total number of incoming criminal | n.a. |
| cases in the courts, concerning intentional | ii.a. |
| homicide (in a year) | Year: Source: |
| 42. Total number of judicial decisions, | 57 persons not cases |
| concerning intentional homicide (in a | |
| year) | |
| Please indicate also if possible : | Year: 2002 Source: annual prosecution |
| Number or % of convicted persons | statistics (PR 3) |
| Number or % of acquitted persons | |
| 43. Percentage of decisions concerning | n.a. |
| intentional homicide subject to an appeal | |
| to a higher court (in a year) | Year: Source: |
| 44. Total number of incoming civil and | The Austrian courts have no |
| administrative cases in the courts (in a | competence concerning administrative |
| year) | cases; the total number of civil cases |
| | was 853.752 incoming litigant cases in |
| | first instance incl. semi-automated pay- |
| | orders and 179.241 without semi- |
| | automated pay-orders. |
| | and the part of th |
| | Year: 2002 Source: annual court statistics |
| 45. Total number of judicial decisions | 863.080 outgoing litigant decisions |
| in civil and administrative matters (in a | in first instance (incl. semi- |
| year) | automated pay-orders and "other" |
| | litigant civil cases than judgments), |
| | 75.844 of them were judgments in |
| | litigant civil disputes. |
| | nagani oivii aispates. |
| | |
| | Year: 2002 Source: annual court statistics (PR 6) |

| 46. Percentage of decisions concerning civil and administrative matters subject to an appeal to a higher court (in a year) 47. Total number of incoming divorce cases in the courts (in a year) | 29,63% of the civil litigant decisions of the District Courts (Bezirksgerichte) and 53,40% of the civil litigant decisions of the Courts of 1st Instance (Landesgerichte) were subjects to an appeal to a higher court. Year: 2002 Source: annual court statistics (PR 3) 25.199 |
|---|--|
| 48. Total number of judicial decisions in divorce cases (in a year) | Year: 2002 Source: annual court statistics (PR 3) n.a. |
| 49. Percentage of decisions concerning divorce cases subject to an appeal to a higher court (in a year) 50. Total number of incoming dismissal cases in the courts (in a year) | Year: Source: n.a. Year: Source: n.a. Year: Source: |
| 51.Total number of judicial decisions of dismissal cases (in a year) | n.a. Year: Source: |
| 52. Percentage of decisions concerning dismissal cases subject to an appeal to a higher court (in a year) | n.a. Year: Source: |
| IV. Use of Information T | echnology in the court |
| 53. Annual IT Budget allocated to the courts (if possible in Euros) | 31,124.000 € (including public prosecutors) Year: 2004 Source: |
| 54. In general, do the courts in your country have computer facilities | |
| - for judges - Yes x - No □ - for non-judges court staff - Yes x -No □ | Year: 2002 Source: |
| 55. Is there a centralised institution which is responsible for collecting statistical data regarding the functioning of the judiciary. YES x NO If yes, please specify the name and the adress of this institution | Federal Ministry of Justice Museumstrasse 7 1070 Wien Austria |
| 56. What kind of facilities can be used by the clients of the courts to communicate with the courts (multiple choices are possible): • Telephone • Mail • Fax • E-mail • Internet | TelephoneMailFax(E-mail) |
| 57. Is there an electronic form to carry out certain procedural steps? | Yes (i.e. default actions covering financial disputes involving a value |

| | not exceeding € 30.000) Year: 2002 Source: PR 6 |
|---|--|
| V. | Fair trial |
| 58. Percentage of adversary judgements in criminal cases in first instance | n.a. Year: 2002 Source: |
| 59. Is there a right to an interpreter for all those within your jurisdiction if the persons cannot understand or speak the language used in court? | Yes |
| 60. Is there an effective remedy to a superior jurisdiction for all cases?61. Are reasons given for all prison | Yes (at least to a second instance) Yes |
| sentences? | 165 |
| 62. Average length, in days, of robbery cases from the formal beginning of the prosecution until the first instance judgment | n.a. In general criminal cases at the Courts of 1 st Instance ("Landesgericht") were decided within 2,9 months on average. |
| | Year: 2002 Source : Leistungsbericht der österreichischen Bundesverwaltung |
| 63. Average length, in days, of robbery cases from the formal beginning of the prosecution until the appellate judgment | n.a. In general all appeals to the Courts of Appeal in criminal cases were decided within 0,96 months on average (but also including appeals against simple court orders). |
| | Year: 2002 Source: annual court statistics |
| 64. Average length, in days, of divorce cases from the deposit of the complaint until the first instance judgment | n.a. In general 64% of all litigant civil cases were decided within 9 months, 72% within one year, 90% within two years (278 days on average). |
| | Year: 2003 Source: Sonderauswertung Verfahrensdauer |
| 65. Average length, in days, of divorce cases from the deposit of the complaint until the appellate judgment | n.a. In general all appeals to the Courts of 1 st Instance as a 2 nd instance court (Landesgericht als Berufungsgericht) in civil matters were decided within 2,16 months on average (but also including appeals against simple court orders). |
| | Year: 2002 Source: annual court statistics |
| 66. Average length, in days, of dismissal cases from the deposit of the complaint until the first instance judgment | n.a. In general 70,62% of all labour disputes are decided within 9 months, 81,38% within 1 year, 96,22% within two years (246 days on average). |
| | Year : 2003 Source : Sonderauswertung Verfahrensdauer |
| 67. Average length, in days, of | n.a. |

| dismissal cases from the deposit of the complaint until the appellate judgment 68. Do you, on a regular basis, measure the size of backlog cases in the courts? 69. Do you have a way of analysing the queueing time during judicial procedures? If yes, please specify. | In general all appeals to the Courts of Appeal in labour matters were decided within 2,4 months on average (but also including appeals against simple court orders). Year: 2002 Source: annual court statistics Yes No |
|--|---|
| VI. Judges | |
| 70. Gross annual salary of a first instance professional judge at the beginning of his/her career 71. Gross annual salary of a judge of the Supreme Court or of the highest appellate court 72. Can judges combine their work with other professions (for example as a University professor, arbitrator, consultants)? YES x NO If yes, please specify | Year: 2002 Source: Judge Office Law 110.698 Year: 2002 Source: Judge Office Law Judges might perform extra-judicial activities. The Judge Office Law knows two types: "Nebenbeschäftigung" (§ 63 Judge Office Law ("RDG")) and "Nebentätigkeit" (§ 63a Judge Office Law ("RDG")). "Nebenbeschäftigung" is an extra activity outside the judicial function, which might not be affected by the extra activity anyway. If this could not be guaranteed, it is forbidden to execute a "Nebenbeschäftigung" (some are explicitly forbidden by law). Beginning, manner and extension has to be reported to the President of the Court of Appeal. "Nebentätigkeit" is an extra activity outside the judicial function, for which the judicial function is a condition. Its examination has to be told or allowed by the President of the Court of Appeal. Any activities interfering with the official duties must be forbidden by the President of the Court of Appeal. |
| 73. Are judges recruited and nominated by an independent institution? If yes, who are represented in this institution: | See the attached file "Austrian Judiciary - recruitment and training of judges.doc" for details! |

| the members of the judiciary? | |
|---|---|
| YES x / NO | |
| members outside of the judiciary | |
| YES / NO x | |
| A mixture of the two categories referred above? | |
| YES / NO | |
| Is the process of selection and nomination | |
| carried out according to pre-established | |
| procedures? | |
| YES x /NO | |
| 74. Is there a system of initial and | In relation to the appointed judges |
| continuous training for judges? | and prosecutors each judge/public |
| NO / YES, but not compulsory x / YES, | prosecutor participates to about 3 to |
| compulsory | 4 training days a year on an |
| What is the average percentage of judges | average. |
| who have followed a continuous training | avolugo. |
| session each year? | |
| 75. Is there a system of supervision | Inner Revision; Supervision and |
| and control on the courts - other than | control is referring to the quantity of |
| through appeal ? YES x NO | work done by the judge (electronic |
| If yes, please specify | statistics). |
| if yes, please specify | |
| 76. Is there in your country a system of | |
| temporary judges? | |
| YES /NO x | |
| If yes, are these temporary judges paid on | |
| the basis of their activity? | |
| YES / NO | Year: 2002 Source: |
| If yes, please specify | |
| 77. Annual number of disciplinary | 33 on average |
| proceedings against judges | Year: 1990-2002 Source: PR 6 |
| 78. Annual number of sanctions | In 231 cases (53,6%) the |
| against judges | proceeding ended in a way of |
| | Sentence. Year: 1990-2002 Source: PR 6 |
| | Year: 1990-2002 Source: PR 6 |
| VII. Public prosecutors | |
| we Amusel hardest for the 11' | n a (and avention 22) |
| 79. Annual budget for the public | n. a. (see question 28) |
| prosecution | Year: 2002 Source: |
| 80. Number of professional public | 219 (without Ministry) |
| prosecutors (in full time equivalent) | = (Without Willingtry) |
| | Year: 01.04.2003 Source: PR 6 |
| 81. Gross annual salary of a public | 42.792,40 € |
| prosecutor at the beginning of his/her | Year: 01.01.2003 Source: § 42 GehG |
| 82. Gross annual salary of a public | 113.022 € |
| 82. Gross annual salary of a public prosecutor of the Supreme Court or of the | 113.022 € |
| highest appellate court | Year: 01.01.2003 Source: § 42 GehG |
| 83. Can public prosecutors combine | The definition for extra activities differs |
| their work with other professions? | from those for the judges (see question |
| YES x / NO | 72): The Office Law (BDG 1979) knows |
| If yes, please specify | two types: "Nebentätigkeit", regulated |
| | |

| in § 37 BDG 1979 (Office Law) and "Nebenbeschäftigung", defined in § 56 BDG 1979 (Office Law). "Nebentätigkeit" is an extra activity for the authority's purpose (might be also within a function of a juristic person of private law in public ownership), separated from the regular duties of the prosecutor. "Nebenbeschäftigung" of public prosecutors is an extra activity outside the official function and any "Nebentätigkeit", which might not be affected by the extra activity anyway. Any profit orientated "Nebenbeschäftigung" (especially those within juristic persons of private law) have to be reported to the office authority immediately. Any activities interfering with the official duties must be forbidden by the office authority. **Vear: 2004 Source:** **See question 73* * | | |
|--|---|---|
| 84. Are public prosecutors recruited and nominated by an independent institution? If yes, who are represented in this institution: the prosecutors? YES / NO other stakeholders outside? YES / NO a mixture of the two categories referred to above? YES /NO Is the process of selection and nomination done according to pre-established procedures? YES / NO 85. Is there a system of initial and continuous training for public prosecutors? NO / YES, but not compulsory x/ YES, but compulsory What is the average percentage of prosecutors who have followed a | | "Nebenbeschäftigung", defined in § 56 BDG 1979 (Office Law). "Nebentätigkeit" is an extra activity for the authority's purpose (might be also within a function of a juristic person of private law in public ownership), separated from the regular duties of the prosecutor. "Nebenbeschäftigung" of public prosecutors is an extra activity outside the official function and any "Nebentätigkeit", which might not be affected by the extra activity anyway. Any profit orientated "Nebenbeschäftigung" (especially those within juristic persons of private law) have to be reported to the office authority immediately. Any activities interfering with the official duties must be forbidden by |
| 84. Are public prosecutors recruited and nominated by an independent institution? If yes, who are represented in this institution: the prosecutors? YES / NO other stakeholders outside? YES / NO a mixture of the two categories referred to above? YES / NO Is the process of selection and nomination done according to pre-established procedures? YES / NO 85. Is there a system of initial and continuous training for public prosecutors? NO / YES, but not compulsory x/ YES, but compulsory What is the average percentage of prosecutors who have followed a | | tne office authority. |
| 84. Are public prosecutors recruited and nominated by an independent institution? If yes, who are represented in this institution: the prosecutors? YES / NO other stakeholders outside? YES / NO a mixture of the two categories referred to above? YES / NO Is the process of selection and nomination done according to pre-established procedures? YES / NO 85. Is there a system of initial and continuous training for public prosecutors? NO / YES, but not compulsory x/ YES, but compulsory What is the average percentage of prosecutors who have followed a | | Year: 2004 Source: |
| continuous training for public prosecutors? NO / YES, but not compulsory x/ YES, but compulsory What is the average percentage of prosecutors who have followed a | and nominated by an independent institution? If yes, who are represented in this institution: the prosecutors? YES / NO other stakeholders outside? YES / NO a mixture of the two categories referred to above? YES /NO Is the process of selection and nomination done according to pre-established procedures? YES / NO | See question 73 |
| prosecutors? NO / YES, but not compulsory x/ YES, but compulsory What is the average percentage of prosecutors who have followed a | | See question 73 |
| NO / YES, but not compulsory x/ YES, but compulsory What is the average percentage of prosecutors who have followed a | | |
| YES, but compulsory What is the average percentage of prosecutors who have followed a | 1 - | |
| What is the average percentage of prosecutors who have followed a | YES, but compulsory | |
| | What is the average percentage of | |
| continuous training session each year ? | | |
| | continuous training session each year? | |

| 86. Is there a system of supervision and control on the public prosecutors YES x / NO If yes, please specify | Yes, within the hierarchic system of "Dienst- und Fachaufsicht" (supervision and supervisory power) by the next higher level of service. |
|--|--|
| | Year: 2002 Source: PR 6 |
| 87. Annual number of disciplinary proceedings against public prosecutors | Year: 2003 Source: PR 7 |
| 88. Annual number of sanctions | 0 |
| against public prosecutors | Year: 2003 Source: PR 7 |
| VIII. Lawyers | |
| 89. Number of lawyers practising in | App. 5.900 |
| your country | Year: 2002 Source: PR 6 |
| 90. Is there a national bar association? | Yes |
| | Year: 2004 Source: |
| 91. Have quality standards been | Legislator (Rechtsanwaltsgesetz) |
| formulated for lawyers ? YES x / NO | Year: 2002 Source: |
| If yes, who is responsible for formulating | |
| these quality standards? | |
| bar association | |
| legislator other | |
| Please specify | |
| 92. Are there disciplinary proceedings | |
| for lawyers? | Year: 2002 Source: |
| YES x /NO | |
| 93. Annual number of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers | n.a. |
| | Year: 2002 Source: |
| 94. Annual number of sanctions against lawyers | n.a. |
| agamst lawyers | V 0 |
| 95. Is there possibility to complain | Year: 2002 Source: At the chamber of attorneys at law. |
| about lawyers' performance? | |
| YES x / NO | W 0 |
| If yes, please specify | Year: 2004 Source: |
| 96. What is the amount paid to a lawyer by the State in respect of legal aid | Differs from case to case. If he succeeds, the other party pays him, if |
| for a first instance divorce case? | not the State pays a certain amount |
| | into the lawyer's fund of pension. |
| | Vanna anga Sarrara |
| IX. Mediators and media | Year: 2002 Source: tion proceedings |
| | |
| 97. Number of persons registered as a | , , |
| mediator | competent: 0 (applications are still |
| | pending) |
| | Year: 2002 Source: |
| 98. How much public budget is | There is no public budget devoted |
| devoted to mediation ? | especially to mediation. |

| | I |
|--|--|
| | Year: 2004 Source: III 4 |
| 99. Number of incoming mediation | Criminal Diversion: 63408 |
| cases (in a year) | Year: 2003 Source: |
| 100. Number of cases solved through | Criminal Diversion: 53860 |
| mediation (in a year) | Year: 2003 Source: |
| - in criminal cases X | |
| - in other than criminal cases \square | |
| 101. In which areas of law is mediation | criminal cases: s. Question 99 and 100 |
| most practised and successful in your country? | other cases: n.a. |
| - in criminal cases □ | Year: 2004 Source: |
| - in other than criminal cases □ | |
| X. Enforcement agents and execution of court decisions | |
| 102. | 393,31 fte. |
| Number and types of enforcement agents | Year: 01.01.2002 Source: PR 6 |
| - in criminal cases □ | |
| - in other than criminal cases □ | 2843 (penal staff) |
| 103. Is there an institution to supervise | Year: 2004 Source: III 2 "FEX-Planungs- und Leitungseinheit" at |
| or control the activities of enforcement | the Court of Appeal in civil cases. |
| agents? | Year: 2004 Source: |
| YES x / NO If yes, please specify | 4 Courts of Appeal as authorities in |
| if yes, prease specify | matters of personnel |
| 104. Annual number of disciplinary | Year: 2004 Source: III 2 |
| proceedings against enforcement agents | 2 (civil matters) |
| | 13 (penal staff)/2003 |
| | Year: 2003 Source: PR 7 |
| 105. Annual number of sanctioned | 0 (civil matters) |
| enforcements agents | 10 (penal staff)/2003 |
| | Variation of the Control of the Cont |
| 106. Is there a possibility to file a | Year: 2003 Source: PR 7 Complaints against an enforcement |
| complaint against an enforcement agent? | agent can be filed because of |
| YES x /NO | disregarding of enforcement or |
| If yes, please specify | incorrect enforcement If a court is in |
| | delay, parties have the possibility to apply at the higher court for fixing of an |
| | appropriate time limit. No figures |
| | available (all referring to civil matters). |
| | Complaints are possible, the courts of |
| | appeal and the ministry as authorities |
| | in matters of personnel will investigate |
| | the complaints and take proper actions (referring to penal staff). |
| | Year: 2002 Source: |
| | |

| 107. Does the court play a role in the execution of court decisions? YES x / NO If yes, please specify | The court has to decide about the execution (needs a valid title) and its form (civil matters). |
|--|---|
| | Year: 2002 Source: |
| 108. Do the courts have the competence | |
| to decide against public authorities? | |
| YES x / NO | |
| Are the courts involved in execution | |
| decisions against public authorities? | Year: 2002 Source: PR 6 |
| YES x / NO | |