Risk assessment principle and Risk management

Regional Seminar on Dangerous Offenders

Yerevan, 19-20 January 2016

Vaclav Jiricka Czech Republic

RISKS

DEFINITION: RISK



LIKELIHOOD

- potential of losing something of value, such as physical health, social status, emotional well being...

MAGNITUDE

 a consequence of action taken in spite of uncertainty

RISK

DEFINITION: RISK



Risk	Effect size	
Heart attack	0.03	
Breast cancer	0.11	
Heart disease	0.15	
Recidivism	0.29	

RISK ASSESSMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT: DEFINITIONS

- requires calculations of two components of risk: the magnitude of the potential loss and the probability that the loss will occur
- the determination of quantitative or qualitative value of risk related to a concrete situation and a recognized threat

PUBLIC OPINION

Bread and water!

They are

NOT in a

hotel!

Have them build roads!

They shall learn how to behave!

EVEN VERY DANGEROUS OFFENDERS WILL BE RELEASED ONE DAY



PUBLIC OPINION



The same treatment to all prisoners? **M**entally ill / unstable / impaired? "Low" risk criminals / young offenders? **C**areer / persistant criminals? **H**igh risk criminals > behavior in the prison? **H**igh risk criminals > according to their offence? **H**igh risk criminals > personality disordered? **R**esponsive and motivated prisoners?









PRISONERS'RISKS AND PUBLIC SECURITY

PUBLIC SECURITY

INTERNAL RISK

isolation following the rules

EXTERNAL RISKS

expecting of law-abiding behaviour after release

prison security adaptation and regime

Aim: safe sentence safe prison reduction of recidivism treatment

Aim: reintegration

INTERNAL RISKS

SECURITY RISKS

EXAMPLES OF INTERNAL RISKS

Personality

- Personality disorder
- Affective disorder
- Substance abuse*
- History of suicide attempts*
- Violence (offender / victim role)
- Cognitive skills
- Escape

Social contacts

- organized criminality
- appropriate authorities
- religious authorities

Other behavioural signs

- Readings
- Writings
- Handsigns etc.

* check within the first 24 hours after admission

EXTERNAL RISKS

CRIMINOGENIC RISKS

RECOMMENDATION ON DANGEROUS OFFENDERS

Recommendation CM/Rec(2014)3 of the Committee of Ministers to member States concerning dangerous offenders

(Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 19 February 2014 at the 1192nd meeting of the Ministers' Deputies)

Part I Risk management

§ 1 e): is the process of selecting and applying a range of intervention measures in custodial and community settings and in the post-release period or in the context of preventive supervision, with the <u>aim of reducing the risk</u> of very serious sexual or very serious violent crime against persons.



RECOMMENDATION ON DANGEROUS OFFENDERS

Part IThe length of the sentence or the offender's general§ 5:recidivism cannot constitute the only criteria for defining
an offender as dangerous in this sense.

§ 6: The risk management of dangerous offenders should, where appropriate, have the long-term aim of their safe **reintegration into the community** in a manner consistent with public protection from the risk posed by the offender. This should involve an individual plan that contains a **staged process of rehabilitation** through appropriate intervention.

4 GENERATIONS OF RISK ASSESSMENT TOOLS

- clinical examination
- non-structured assessment
- static factors

•

• ||

• []]

• IV

- no further interventions
- static AND dynamic factors
- sentence plan, goal oriented interventions
- protective factors, responsivity
- sentence plan, goal oriented interventions
- scenarios

PREDICTIVE VALIDITY

	recie	divism
Instrument generation	general	violent
1 (clinical)	.10	.13
2 (statistical)	.29	. <u>31</u>
3 (+static & dynamic)	.36	.25
4 (+scenarios)	.41	.29
		 Bonta, 2008

INFORMATION SOURCES

The sources of information about prisoners are very similar to those used in the past. However, SARPO has helped to streamline information flows and partially automate them. Improved analysis means information can now be precisely categorised.

Prisoners are assessed by teams of prison employees. Each kind of information is assigned to a particular processor. Thanks to its fixed structure, automatic help feature and consistency checking for data entries, SARPO guides users smoothly through the assessment process. Each member of the team is instantly aware of the assessment phase of any given prisober. This means that information cannot be overlooked, omitted, or entered twice. In this way information is gathered piece by piece to complete the jigsaw puzzle.

The output of SARPO is a comprehensive report including a score showing the degree of static and dynamic risks. SARPO also gives a detailed account of all the risks and important related factors. Users may take advantage of SARPO's automatically generated verbal assessment. so-called sarpotext. In this way evaluators do not have to spend much time on wording the assessment.

DYNAMIC FACTOR ASSESSMENT (sarpotext)



PROGRAMME

the subject time is not the party

STATIC AND DYNAMIC RISK ASSESSMENT

interest of Alexandria	
Dimentana I	0
	=
tre waiting income have be	-
all all the second	
And and the second second	-
and and and only and one and and and	-
	<u>u</u> :

ASSESSMENT BY CATEGORY - MOTIVATION

Color:

10 13 12

ASSESSMENT

BASIC PRINCIPLES



STATIC

DYNAMIC

CRIMINOGENIC NEEDS

RESPONSIVITY



Vaclav Jiricka, Zuzana Podana, Michal Petras, and Jindrich Hurka, "Prediction of Offending: SARPO-The Czech Tool for Assessment of Offenders' Criminogenic Risk and Needs," *Journal of Criminology*, vol. 2014, Article ID 592341, 8 pages, 2014. doi:10.1155/2014/592341

STATIC FACTORS

- Criminal history
- Risk of harm (violent, sex, drugs)
- Current crime

RISK OF HARM

- Crime characteristics and circumstances
- Relationship to the victim
- Triggers

DYNAMIC FACTORS

Housing Employment Finances Family and Social Contacts Education Addictions Personality and Behaviour

ADAPTATION

- Behaviour towards personnel
- Behaviour towards other inmates
- Suicidal behaviour / self-harm
- Hunger strikes, protesting
- Other significant information

SELF-ASSESSMENT

- Self-care
- Interpersonal relationships
- Attitudes towards criminal activities
- Motivation for solving problems

REHABILITATION



RISK MANAGEMENT

ADDRESSING RISK-RELATED NEEDS

Part I § 9: Special risk-related needs of dangerous offenders should be addressed **throughout the period of the intervention** and sufficient resources should be allocated in order to deal **effectively with the particular situation** and specific needs.

Part II Plans should be realistic and have achievable
§ 37: objectives and should be structured in such a way as to allow the offender to understand clearly the purposes of the interventions and the expectations of him or her.

SECURITY MEASURES AND TREATMENT

Part V Security measures should be set to the **minimum necessary**, and the level of security should be revised § 41: regularly.

§ 45:

Part V The purpose of the treatment of dangerous offenders should be such as to sustain their health and self-respect and, so far as the length of sentence permits, to develop their sense of responsibility and encourage those attitudes and skills that will help them to lead lawabiding and self-supporting lives

STAFF EDUCATION

Part VI § 49:

All staff, including relevant authorities, agencies, professionals and associations involved in the assessment and treatment of dangerous offenders should be selected on the basis of defined skills and competences and professionally supervised.

They should have sufficient resources and training in assessing and dealing with the specific needs, risk factors and conditions of this group.

WHAT WORKS?

Golden 60ies "Everything Works"

1975 - 1990 "Nothing Works"

Renaissance: 1990-2000 "WHAT Works?"

Knowledge transformation: > 2000 "Making It Work"

Bonta, 2010

PRINCIPLES OF EFFECTIVE REHABILITATION

- Risk Principle
 - as number of risk/needs factors increase, the effort to modify them must increase, too
- Need Principle
 - not all needs are causally related to criminal conduct
 - only some needs are criminogenic
- Responsivity Principle
 - personal factors affect responsiveness to interventions



Bonta, 1990, 2010

RISK AND TREATMENT (% RECIDIVISM)

		Treatment	
Study	Risk	Low	Intensive
Bonta et al. (2000)	Low	15	32
	High	51	32
O'Donnell et al (1971)	Low	16	22
	High	78	56
Baird et al (1979)	Low	3	10
	High	37	18
Andrews & Kiessling (1980)	Low	12	17
	High	58	31
	Bonta, 2010		


McGuire, 2008

















Risk assessment is a part of dynamic security.

There are **internal** (security) and **external** (criminogenic) risks to be assessed.

Basic internal risks should be assessed within 24 hours after admission (risk of suicide, automutilation, drug abuse...)

There is no effective rehabilitation without proper **risk assessment**.

Treatment which is based on the **RNR-approach** seems to be more effective.

Developing of social skills, introspection etc. must be related to the **criminogenic risks**.

CONCLUSIONS (3)

An individual sentence plan should be a **staged process of rehabilitation** through appropriate intervention.

Staff shall be trained in theory and particular instruments (i.e. RA).

CONTACT

PhDr. Vaclav Jiricka, Ph.D. vjiricka@grvs.justice.cz