

## Child interviews in legal settings Policy and practise in Finland

Julia Korkman, PhD Helsinki University Hospital & Åbo Akademi University

#### Framework

- Children under 15 years do not need to witness in court but give their evidence during the pre-trial investigation
  - Enables a timely child interview
  - The interview is electronically recorded and transcribed word for word
  - The defendant has the right to pose questions through the interviewer

## Policy and practise

- Police officers investigating suspected crimes against children in Finland must be especially trained in the matter
  - Pre-trial investigation act, 2014
- The police may also ask assistance from specialized units
  - Law re investigations of child abuse, 2008
  - Teams consist of experts in forensic and developmental psychology, social workers familiar with CPS and child psychiatrists

## Training of interviewers

 Since 2009, yearly 1-year long courses for police and health care personnel (in particular, forensic psychologists) conducting the child interviews:

- Theory (10 full days):
  - Attitudes and decision making
  - Information gathering and hypothesis testing
  - How to maximize the amount of reliable information provided by the child, phrasing the right questions
  - Taking the child's developmental level into consideration (suggestibility, memory, language development, developmental problems etc.)

## Training of interviewers

- Supervision and feedback (throughout the year):
  - Participants present their interviews in small supervision groups and receive feedback on their questioning style

 Continuous feedback has been found to be necessary for maintaining the quality of interviews

## Expert assistance from the university hospital units

- Can take many forms depending on the need:
- Interviews with young children or children with disabilities
- Interviews in particularly complicated cases
  - For instance, custody disputes
- Helping/supervising the police officer plan and conduct the interview
- Expert statements for the court
  - On particular issues relevant to the case, the child's statement or other issues

#### Prior to the interview

- Pre-screening:
  - Is there a cause for suspecting a crime?
  - Suspicions based on the child's behavior may be unfounded (e.g., normal sexual developmental behavior is misinterpreted)
  - Evidence that the child has been subject to highly suggestive discussions prior to the interview (e.g., custody disputes)

# Background information analysis & hypothesis testing

- Background information by the CPS, health care information, school/kindergarten etc. where necessary
  - May differentiate long-lasting abuse and neglect from unique instances in otherwise functioning families
- In acute cases, the priority is on hearing the child ASAP
  - in other cases the need to assess background information carefully predominates
- The assessments are driven by a hypothesis-testing approach: which are the alternative hypotheses to the allegation? (see e.g., Dale & Gould, 2014; Poole & Lamb, 1998; Herman, 2009)
  - Explicitly stated as a working approach in Finnish guidelines

#### Evidence-based interviews

- Interviewers follow the NICHD-protocol (see work by Michael Lamb and colleagues)
  - The most researched interview protocol
  - Flexible: requires expertise on the part of the interviewer to adjust to the individual case at hand
  - Interviews are well planned, bearing in mind the alternative hypotheses to the allegation
- The interviewers at the university hospital centers follow the current research developments in the field to ensure interviewing is evidence-based.
  - This also translates more broadly to the police officers working in collaboration with the units.





#### References

- Dale, M. & Gould, J.W. (2014). Commentary on "Analyzing Child Sexual Abuse Allegations": Will a New Untested Criterion-Based Content Analysis Model be Helpful?. *Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice*, 14; 169-182.
- Herman, S. (2009). Forensic child sexual abuse evaluations. Accuracy, ethics, and admissibility. In: Kuehnle, K. & Connell, M, (Eds.) The evaluation of child sexual abuse allegations. A comprehensive guide to assessment and testimony. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Lamb, M., Hershkowitz, I., Orbach Y. & Esplin, P. (2007). Tell Me What Happened: Structured Investigative Interviews of Child Victims and Witnesses. Wiley Series in Psychology of Crime, Policing and Law.
- Poole, D. & Lamb, M.E. (1998). *Investigative interviews of children. A guide for helping professionals*. American Psychological Association, Washigton DC.

### Thank you!

For more information, please contact <u>ikorkman (at) abo.fi</u>

