



7-8 July, 2016, Batumi, Georgia

IMC in Moldova: Learning from Pilot Experiences

Ghenadie Ivascenco
UNDP - Moldova

Programmatic Cooperation Framework for Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Republic of Moldova, Ukraine and Belarus









Moldova Fragmentation:

• ¼ of LGs < 1,500 inhabitants</p>

86% of LGs < 5,000 inhabitants</p>

+11% of LGs in the last 20 years



Development Background:

- 30% no access to WATER systems
- 90% no access to sewerage services
- 90% no access to waste collection services
- 60% no access to street lighting
- 80% of landfills are not corresponding to minimum environmental norms

Management of Basic local Services:

- Small scale economic fragmentation
- Management costs > 50%
- De-capitalization (equipment amortization ignored)
- De-professionalization



UNDP Moldova Pilot Experience:

- 10 Pilot Projects
- 10 Clusters = 40 targeted LPAs
- >100,000 inhabitants
- Local Communal Services

Legal Upgrade & Financial Stimulation



IMC principles

- Simplicity
- Easily Manageable
- Cost Effective
- Effortlessly Replicability



Uncomplicated & Simple:

• IMC as a tool, not an objective

 Simplified procedure for IMC Association setting-up

Not necessary external consultancy



Easily Manageable:

 Upgraded the most simple legal form for IMC operators – municipal enterprise

Cheapest management costs

Most speedy decision making process



Cost Effective:

- Amalgamation of service providers
- 'Ultra All IN ONE' approach



Effortlessly Replicability:

- 10 viable & functional study cases
- Practical Guide
- Template Documentation
- On field learning means



wrapping up:

- IMC promotion is not just about MONEY & LEGISLATION, but rather LOCAL PEOPLE
- IMC is not an alternative of TERRITORIAL REFORM, but rather a 'reform catalyst'
- ON-GOING REGIONAL & DOMESTIC CRISIS is to foster the IMC
- IMC is more privileged in Eastern Europe as it is in Western



Success to all of us!