



# REGULAR SELECTIVE INFORMATION FLOW

for the attention of the National Human Rights Structures

Issue#115

[1-31 December 2013]

## CONTENTS

(click on a title to reach it)

**Introduction (p2)**

**Index by country (p3)**

### **PART I - GENERAL INFORMATION (p4)**

#### **§1 - European Court of Human Rights (p5)**

A. Judgments (p5)

1. *Judgments deemed of particular importance to the NHRs* (p5)

2. Other judgments (p10)

B. Decisions on admissibility (p13)

C. Communicated cases (p14)

#### **§2 - European Committee of Social Rights (p17)**

A. Resolutions & Decisions (p17)

B. Other information (p18)

#### **§3 - Recommendations & Resolutions (p19)**

A. Recommendations (p19)

B. Resolutions (p19)

#### **§4 - Other information of general importance (p20)**

A. Information from the Committee of Ministers (p20)

B. Information from the Parliamentary Assembly (p20)

C. Information from the Commissioner of Human Rights (p21)

D. Information from the Council of Europe monitoring mechanisms (p21)

### **PART II - INFORMATION BY COUNTRY (p22)**

---

Information **selected** by the « Versailles St-Quentin Institutions Publiques » research centre (Versailles St-Quentin-en-Yvelines University, France), under the responsibility of the Directorate of Human Rights (DG I) of the Council of Europe  
For any queries, please contact: [eugen.cibotaru@coe.int](mailto:eugen.cibotaru@coe.int)

## Introduction

This Issue is part of the "Regular Selective Information Flow" (RSIF). Its purpose is to keep the National Human Rights Structures permanently updated of Council of Europe norms and activities by way of regular transfer of information, which the Directorate of Human Rights carefully selects and tries to present in a user-friendly manner. The information is sent to the Contact Persons in the NHRs who are kindly asked to dispatch it within their offices.

Each Issue covers one month and is sent by the Directorate of Human Rights (DG I) to the Contact Persons a fortnight after the end of each observation period. This means that all information contained in any given issue is between four to eight weeks old.

The selection of the information included in the Issues is made by the "Versailles-St-Quentin Institutions Publiques" research centre (VIP – University of Versailles-St-Quentin-en-Yvelines, France) under the responsibility of the Directorate of Human Rights. It is based on what is deemed relevant to the work of the NHRs (including Ombudsman Institutions, National Human Rights Commissions and Institutes, Anti-discrimination Bodies). A particular effort is made to render the selection as targeted and short as possible. Readers are expressly encouraged to give any feed-back that may allow for the improvement of the format and the contents of this tool.

**The preparation of the RSIF has been supported as from 2013 by the "Versailles St-Quentin Institutions Publiques" research centre of the University of Versailles St-Quentin-en-Yvelines. It is entrusted to Alix Motais de Narbonne, Barbara Sanchez-Cadinot, Mariella Sognigbé, Pavlos Aimilios Marinatos, Guillaume Verdier and Mahaliana Ravaloson, with the technical help of Quentin Michael and under the supervision of Thibaut Fleury Graff, Ph.D, Associate Professor at Versailles St-Quentin-en-Yvelines University.**

## Index by Country

Countries followed by a number in bold are concerned by information specific to them.  
Click on their name to reach this information

[ALBANIA](#), **23**  
[ANDORRA](#), **24**  
[AZERBAIJAN](#), **25**  
[BELARUS](#), **26**  
BELGIUM, 17  
[BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA](#), **27**  
[BULGARIA](#), 14, 21, **28**  
[CROATIA](#), 8, 14, **29**  
CZECH REPUBLIC, 21  
DENMARK, 21  
ESTONIA, 8  
FINLAND, 21  
[FRANCE](#), 1, 2, 8, **30**  
[GEORGIA](#), 21, **31**  
[GERMANY](#), 13, 21, **32**  
GREECE, 8, 9  
[HUNGARY](#), 9, 14, **36, 37**  
[ICELAND](#), **38**  
[IRELAND](#), 5, 17, 21, **39**  
[ITALY](#), 14, 21, **40**  
LITHUANIA, 9  
[MALTA](#), **41**  
MOLDOVA, 11  
[MONACO](#), **42**  
[MONTENEGRO](#), **43**  
[NETHERLANDS](#), 10, **44**  
NORWAY, 6  
[POLAND](#), 13, **45**  
[PORTUGAL](#), **46**  
[ROMANIA](#), 10, 13, 14, 15, 21, **47, 48**  
[RUSSIA](#), 10, 11, 21, **49**  
[SAN MARINO](#), **50**  
[SERBIA](#), 11, **51**  
SLOVAK REPUBLIC, 21  
[SLOVENIA](#), **52**  
SPAIN, 15  
[SWEDEN](#), 11, 14, **53**  
SWITZERLAND, 7, 15, 16  
["THE FORMER YUGOSLAV REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA"](#), **54**  
[TURKEY](#), 11, 12, 16, 21, **55**  
[UKRAINE](#), 12, 21, **65**  
[UNITED KINGDOM](#), **66**

## PartOne

# GENERAL INFORMATION

This part presents a selection of information of general importance for the National Human Rights Structures.

This information was issued during the period under observation (1-31 December 2013) by the European Court of Human Rights, the European Committee of Social Rights, the Committee of Ministers, the Parliamentary Assembly and other Council of Europe monitoring mechanisms.

## PartOne

# §1 - EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

---

## A. Judgments

### 1. Judgments deemed of particular interest to the NHRs

The judgments presented under this heading are the ones for which a separate press release is issued by the Registry of the Court as well as other judgments considered relevant for the work of the NHRs. They correspond also to the themes addressed in the Peer-to-Peer Workshops. The judgments are thematically grouped. The information, except for the comments drafted by the Directorate of Human Rights, is based on the [press releases of the Registry of the Court](#).

Some judgments are only available in French.

Please note that the Chamber judgments referred to hereunder become final in the circumstances set out in Article 44 § 2 of the Convention: “a) when the parties declare that they will not request that the case be referred to the Grand Chamber; or b) three months after the date of the judgment, if reference of the case to the Grand Chamber has not been requested; or c) when the panel of the Grand Chamber rejects the request to refer under Article 43”.

#### Note on the Importance Level:

According to the explanation available on the Court's website, the following importance levels are given by the Court:

**1 = High importance**, Judgments which the Court considers make a significant contribution to the development, clarification or modification of its case-law, either generally or in relation to a particular State.

**2 = Medium importance**, Judgments which do not make a significant contribution to the case-law but nevertheless do not merely apply existing case-law.

**3 = Low importance**, Judgments with little legal interest - those applying existing case-law, friendly settlements and striking out judgments (unless these have any particular point of interest).

Each judgment presented in section 1 and 2 is accompanied by the indication of the importance level.

- **Right to a fair trial (Art. 6)**

#### [DONOHOE V. IRELAND](#) (No. 19165/08) - Importance 2 - 12 December 2013 - No violation of Article 6 – Domestic authorities' justified decision not to disclose police's sources

The case concerned the fairness of the applicant's trial, whose conviction was based, among other things, on evidence given by an Irish Chief Superintendent, who testified his belief that the applicant was a member of the IRA. The Chief Superintendent could not identify the sources of his belief, claiming privilege stating that the disclosure would endanger lives and state security. Neither the prosecution nor the defence had access to that confidential material. The applicant complained that the non-disclosure had made his trial unfair because it restricted his defence rights.

#### No violation of Article 6

The Court had to examine three questions in order to assess the fairness of the non-disclosure of sources.

First, it wondered if it had been necessary to uphold the claim of privilege. The latter had been justified by the protection of human rights, namely, persons in danger of reprisals from the IRA and state security and the effective prosecution of serious crimes. The Court found that the justification had been compelling so that it observed the non-disclosure had been necessary.

Then, it examined whether the undisclosed evidence had been the sole or decisive basis for the applicant's conviction. This was not the case. Indeed, domestic authorities even confirmed it would not convict the applicant on the basis of the Chief Superintendent's evidence alone and required it to be corroborated by other evidence. Over fifty other prosecution witnesses had been heard by domestic authorities; and other important evidence had been provided by the prosecution. Therefore, the applicant had been linked with suspicious activities; incriminate objects were found in his vehicle; papers were found at the applicant's home; and he refused to answer questions of clear relevance to the charges against him before domestic authorities.

Finally, it observed the existence of sufficient safeguards during the trial in order to counterbalance the disadvantage caused to the applicant's defence due to the claim of privilege. The Court noted that domestic authorities had adopted a number of measures having regard to the rights of the defence. In fact, there had been judicial control over the question of non-disclosure; domestic authorities had tested the adequacy and reliability of the Chief Superintendent's belief and could legitimately form the opinion that the applicant was a member of the illegal organisation.

So, the Court concluded that the non-disclosure of police's sources had not made the trial unfair.

- **Right to respect for private and family life (Art. 8)**

**VILNES AND OTHERS V. NORWAY (No.52806/09) - Importance 1 - 05 December 2013 - Violation of Article 8 - Domestic authorities' failure to provide essential information on risks for lives and health of divers - No violation of Article 2 - No life-threatening experience suffered by the applicants**

The case concerned complaints by divers who became disabled after diving in the North Sea for oil companies from 1965 to 1990. They had not been informed about the risks to their health and lives so that they had been unable to give their informed consent.

No violation of Article 2

As regards the complaints related to test diving, the Court agreed with domestic authorities that the public funded supervision had not been organised in an irresponsible manner. It therefore observed that domestic authorities had sought to protect divers' safety responsibly. It also observed that the divers had been informed sufficiently about the test dives. Finally, it noted that the risks involved by the test dives were difficult to compare with the North Sea operation.

So, domestic authorities could not be responsible for any violation of Article 2 and 8 with regard to test diving.

Then, since none of the applicants had been exposed to life-threatening experiences owing to any failure on the part of the domestic authorities, the Court did not analyse the matter in the light of Article 2.

Violation of Article 8

The Court reiterated domestic authorities' obligation under Article 8 to provide access to essential information enabling individuals to assess risks to their health and lives. It found that decompression tables could allow divers to assess the risks to which they could have been exposed. However, diving companies did not have to produce their decompression tables in order to assess their safety before granting them authorisation to carry out individual diving operations so that they could keep decompression tables secret for competitive purposes.

The authorities had neither informed the applicants as to the possible impact of such differences nor told them their concerns as regards their health and safety. It nevertheless should have taken steps to ensure that the applicants had received essential information regarding rapid decompression tables, thus enabling them to assess the risks to their health and safety. They might have helped to eliminate sooner the use of excessively rapid decompression tables. By failing to do so, domestic authorities had not fulfilled their obligation to ensure the applicants' right to respect for their private life, in violation of Article 8.

Article 41 (Just satisfaction)

The court held that Norway was to pay EUR 8,000 to each applicant in respect of non-pecuniary damage, EUR 40,000 to one applicant victim of the incidents on the diving vessel Arctic Surveyor and on the Tender Comet, in respect of costs and expenses, and EUR 50,000 to the other applicants

jointly in respect of costs and expenses.

- **Freedom of expression (Art. 10)**

**PERINÇEK V. SWITZERLAND (IN FRENCH ONLY) (No. 27510/08) - Importance unspecified - 17 December 2013 - Violation of Article 10 - Unjustified criminal conviction of the applicant for having refused to qualify the atrocities committed in Armenia in 1915 as genocide.**

The case concerned the criminal conviction of the applicant, having publicly challenged the existence of the Armenian genocide. He qualified it as an “international lie” even though he did not deny the existence of massacres and deportations in Armenia.

Article 17

First, the Court had examined whether the applicant’s comment should be excluded from the protection of freedom of expression on the basis of prohibition of abuse of rights. So, the Court tried to examine if the aim of the speech was to incite hatred or violence. It observed that the applicant had never been prosecuted, neither convicted for inciting hatred; he had not expressed contempt for the victims of the events either.

So, the Court concluded that there was no abuse of his rights.

Violation of Article 10

The Court agreed with domestic authorities on the fact that the applicant could not have been unaware of the criminal sanction prescribed by domestic law for describing the Armenian genocide as an “international lie”.

Then the Court had to make a balance between the requirement of protecting the honour of the relatives of the Armenian victims and the applicant’s freedom of expression.

The Court had precised it was not called upon to qualify the events. However, it was not convinced that the applicant’s comments posed a serious risk to public order. It observed that the question was of a great interest to the general public and that the speech was of a political, legal and historical nature. Domestic authorities’ margin of appreciation was therefore limited on account of the public interests of his comments.

Anyway, even among domestic authorities, the views are different concerning the unanimity of the legal characterisation of the events. The Court had reiterated the definition given by the International Court of Justice and the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda as the “acts must have been perpetrated with intent to destroy not only certain members of a particular group but all or part of the group itself”. It was therefore not convinced that the general consensus to which the domestic authorities referred in convicting the applicant could relate to such very specific points of law.

The Court pointed out that the United Nations Human Rights Committee had expressed its conviction that the Covenant on civil and political rights did not permit general prohibition of expressions of an erroneous opinion or an incorrect interpretation of past events. So, it doubted that the applicant’s conviction had been dictating by a “pressing social need”. In fact, it had to ensure that the sanction did not constitute a censorship intending to refrain expressions about general interests.

The Court underlined that the free exercise of the right to openly discuss questions of a sensitive and controversial nature was one of the fundamental aspects of freedom of expression.

So, it concluded to a violation of Article 10 because the grounds given by domestic authorities in order to convict the applicant were insufficient.

Article 41 (Just satisfaction)

The Court held that the conclusion of a violation of Article 10 constituted in itself sufficient just satisfaction for any non-pecuniary damage sustained by the applicant.

## 2. Other judgments issues in the period under observation

You will find in the column “Key Words” of the table below a short description of the topics dealt with in the judgment<sup>1</sup>.

For more detailed information, please refer to the cases.

STATE	DATE	CASE TITLE	IMP.	CONCLUSION	KEY WORDS
CROATIA	19 December 2013	<a href="#">ORBAN</a> (No. 56111/12)	3	Violation of Art. 5 § 3	Excessive pre-trial detention on insufficient grounds and domestic courts' failure to consider any alternative preventive measures
ESTONIA	19 December 2013	<a href="#">ROSIN</a> (No. 26540/08)	2	Violation of Art. 6 §§ 1 and 3 (d)	Unfairness of proceedings on account of the applicant's inability to question the victim
		<a href="#">TUNIS</a> (No. 429/12)	3	Violation of Art. 3	Poor conditions of detention (overcrowding)
FRANCE	19 December 2013	N.K. ( <a href="#">IN FRENCH ONLY</a> ) (No. 7974/11)	2	Violation of Art. 3	Real risk of ill-treatment in case of the applicant's extradition to his country of origin
GREECE	19 December 2013	<a href="#">B.M.</a> ( <a href="#">IN FRENCH ONLY</a> ) (No. 53608/11)	3	Violation of Art. 3 taken alone and in conjunction with Art. 13	Poor conditions of detention (overcrowding, lack of hygiene) and lack of an effective remedy in that respect
		<a href="#">C.D. AND OTHERS</a> ( <a href="#">IN FRENCH ONLY</a> ) (Nos. 33441/10, 33468/10 AND 33476/10)	3	Violation of Art. 3	Poor conditions of detention (overcrowding, lack of hygiene)
				No violation of Art. 5 § 1	Justified length of applicants' detention pending extradition due to the administration proceedings required in order to proceed to their expulsion while no lack of diligence attributable to domestic authorities had been observed during the proceedings)
				Violation of Art. 5 § 4	Lack of an effective judicial review of the applicants' detention pending extradition

<sup>1</sup> The “Key Words” in the various tables of the RSIF are elaborated under the sole responsibility of the Directorate of Human Rights



GREECE (CONTINUED)	19 December 2013	GALANOPOULOS (IN FRENCH ONLY) (No. 11949/09)	3	No violation of Art. 6 § 1	Acknowledgment and reparation by domestic authorities of the violation of Art. 6 § 1 of the Convention, depriving the applicant of his victim status
				No violation of Art. 13	Applicant's complaint under Art. 6 § 1 could not be regarded as arguable since the domestic authorities had already remedied the applicant for all the damages he had suffered
		MIKA (IN FRENCH ONLY) (No. 10347/10)	3	Violation of Art. 10	Disproportionate interference regarding the applicant's right to freedom of expression given the severity of the penalty imposed to her
HUNGARY	3 December 2013	UNGVARY AND IRODALOM KFT (No. 64520/10)	2	Violation of Art. 10	Domestic authorities' failure to strike a fair balance between the personality right of a public figure and the first applicant's right to freedom of expression concerning an issue of great public interest while there had been no reason for the second applicant to question the accuracy of an article written by a historian specialized in the affairs of the relevant state security)
	10 December 2013	HUNVALD (No. 68435/10)	3	Violation of Art. 5 § 3	Unjustified pre-trial detention without the consideration of any alternative preventive measure by the domestic court
LITHUANIA	10 December 2013	NEKVEDAVICIUS (No. 1471/05)	3	Violation of Art. 6 § 1	Domestic authorities' failure to take the necessary measures in order to comply with the binding final judgment (11 years had passed since the adoption and the non-enforcement of the domestic court's decision)
				Violation of Art. 1 of Prot. No. 1	Domestic authorities' failure to enforce the judgment in favour of the applicant had prevented him from having his property rights restored

NETHERLANDS	10 December 2013	<u>MURRAY</u> (No. 10511/10)	3	No violation of Art. 3	Applicant's sentence to life continued to serve a reasonable purpose (the expert reports concluded that the applicant's psychiatric condition, his personality, behaviour and the risk of recidivism could not offer the applicant any prospects of being released)
				No violation of Art. 3	The lack of a regime benefitting the mental condition of the applicant and one specifically tailored to those serving life sentences could not be held as sufficient by the Court in order to constitute a violation of Art. 3
ROMANIA	3 December 2013	<u>BULEA</u> (No. 27804/10)	2	Violation of Art. 3	Poor conditions of detention (overcrowding, lack of natural light and ventilation)
		<u>VARARU</u> (IN FRENCH ONLY) (No. 35842/05)	3	Violation of Art. 6 §§ 1 and 3 (d)	Unfairness of proceedings on account of domestic courts' failure to properly assess the reliability of the evidence given that the applicant had not been able to question the witnesses whose testimony had played a decisive role in the applicant's conviction
	10 December 2013	<u>BOTEA</u> (No. 40872/04)	3	Violation of Art. 6 § 1	Domestic courts' failure to provide any answer to the applicant's repeated complaints concerning the unlawfulness of the recordings, for which the applicant had not been aware of their content, which had not been played during the hearing in the presence of the applicant and which had served as the sole decisive evidence against the applicant's conviction
		<u>OPREA</u> (IN FRENCH ONLY) (No. 26765/05)	3	Violation of Art. 5 § 1	Unlawful detention of the applicant despite the fact that the enforcement of the sentence had become time-barred and thus the writ of execution had been revoked
RUSSIA	19 December 2013	<u>DOBRIYEVA AND OTHERS</u> (No. 18407/10)	3	No violation of Art; 2 (substantive)	Absence of evidence to prove that state agents had been involved in the disappearance of the applicants' relatives
				Violation of Art. 2 (procedural)	Ineffective investigation into the disappearance of the applicants' relatives
		<u>MARINA ALEKSEYEVA</u> (No. 22490/05)	3	No violation of Art. 2	Domestic authorities' impossibility to predict the death of the applicant's son while an effective investigation had been concluded in that regard

RUSSIA (CONTINUED)	19 December 2013	<a href="#">PASTUKHOV AND YELAGIN</a> (No. 55299/07)	3	Violation of Art. 5 § 3	Domestic authorities' failure to justify the extension of the applicants' pre-trial detention while they did not consider any other alternative prevention measures
		<a href="#">SEGEDA</a> (No. 41545/06)			
SERBIA	10 December 2013	<a href="#">KRSTIC</a> (No. 45394/06)	2	Violation of Art. 1 of Prot. No. 1	The lengthy enforcement proceedings of final administrative decisions in favour of the applicant had deprived him of his right to peaceful enjoyment of his possessions
SWEDEN	19 December 2013	<a href="#">B.K.A.</a> (No. 11161/11) <a href="#">T.A.</a> (No. 48866/10) <a href="#">T.K.H.</a> (No. 1231/11)	3	No violation of Art. 3	No real risk suggesting that the applicant would be submitted to ill-treatment in case of his extradition to the country of his origin in a different region (concerning the first applicant)
				No violation of Art. 2 or 3	Absence of sufficient evidence to confirm that the applicants would be exposed to a real risk of ill-treatment in the event of extradition to the country of their origin (concerning the second and third applicant)
THE REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA	10 December 2013	<a href="#">CEACHIR</a> (IN FRENCH ONLY) (No. 50115/06)	2	Violation of Art. 3 (procedural)	Domestic authorities' failure to take all measures and act with diligence in order to complete the proceedings before the prosecution becomes time-barred
TURKEY	3 December 2013	<a href="#">GHORBANOV AND OTHERS</a> (No. 28127/09)	3	Violation of Art. 3	Unlawful deportation of the applicants, most of whom were children who had a stable life in the relevant state, under circumstances that had caused them suffering severe enough to be categorized as inhuman treatment
				Violation of Art. 5 § 1	Unlawful detention
				Violation of Art. 5 § 2	Domestic authorities' failure to inform the applicants of the reasons for their detention
	10 December 2013	<a href="#">GAMZE ULUDAG</a> (IN FRENCH ONLY) (No; 21292/07)	3	Violation of Art. 5 § 4	Lack of a prompt judicial review concerning the lawfulness of the applicant's detention
		<a href="#">HAKAN TOKTAS</a> (IN FRENCH ONLY) (No. 45336/08)	3	Violation of Art. 6 § 1	Excessive length of proceedings (more than 7 years)

TURKEY (CONTINUED)	10 December 2013	LEYLE ALP AND OTHERS ( <a href="#">IN FRENCH ONLY</a> ) (No. 29675/02)	3	No violation of Art. 3 (substantive)	Applicants' injuries caused during the police operation can be considered as the result of a legitimate use of force
				Violation of Art. 3 (procedural)	Lack of prompt and effective investigations and proceedings against the officers in question (more than 12 years)
				No violation of Art. 2 (substantive)	Justified and proportionate use of police force in order to suppress the riot
				Violation of Art. 2 (procedural)	Lack of prompt and effective investigations and proceedings against the officers in question (more than 12 years)
	TEKCI AND OTHERS ( <a href="#">IN FRENCH ONLY</a> ) (No. 13660/05)	3	Violation of Art. 2 (substantive)	Domestic authorities' failure to justify the use of lethal force by state agents and to present the circumstances of the disappearance and death of the applicants' relative	
			Violation of Art. 2 (procedural)	Lack of an effective investigation in that respect	
UKRAINE	19 December 2013	YURIY VOLKOV (No. 45872/06)	2	Violation of Art. 6 § 3 (c) in conjunction with Art. 6 § 1	Applicant's deprivation of legal assistance during his initial questioning by the police which resulted to a breach of his right to freedom against self-incrimination, a breach which had not been remedied later in the course of his trial thus rendering unlawful the proceedings
				Violation of Art. 8	Unlawful interference, under domestic law, with the applicant's right to respect for his private life (an inspector who did not have the necessary medical expertise collected a blood sample from the applicant)

## B. The decision on admissibility

Those decisions are published with a slight delay of two to three weeks on the Court's website. Therefore the decisions listed below cover the period from 1 to 30 November 2013. Those decisions are selected to provide the NHRs with potentially useful information on the reasons of the inadmissibility of certain applications addressed to the Court and/or on the friendly settlements reached.

STATE	DATE	CASE TITLE	ALLEGED VIOLATION	DECISION
GERMANY	12 November 2013	<a href="#">SCHOLVIEN AND OTHERS</a> (No. 13166/08)	Art. 1 of Protocol No. 1, Art. 9 and Art. 1 of Protocol No. 1 in conjunction with Art. 14 (obligation to tolerate the exercise of the hunt and erection of hunting appliances on the applicants property), Art. 11 (the applicants' obligatory adherence to a hunting association)	Partly struck out of the list (concerning claim under Art. 1 of Protocol No. 1), partly incompatible <i>ratione materiae</i> with the provisions of the Convention (concerning the remaining claims)
POLAND	19 November 2013	<a href="#">STOBIK</a> (No. 23352/09)	Art. 6 § 1 (lack of independence of the domestic court assessors), Art. 10 (applicant's conviction for defamation and insult), Articles 6 and 13 (breach of the rights of defence, unlawful conviction, outcome of the domestic court decision)	Partly struck out of the list (concerning claim under Art. 6 § 1), partly inadmissible as manifestly ill-founded (concerning the remaining complaints)
ROMANIA	19 November 2013	<a href="#">MOLDOVAN</a> (No. 7986/06)	Art. 6 (excessive length of proceedings, failure of domestic court to consider the evidence submitted in the applicant defence, outcome of the domestic court decision), Art. 8 (breach of the applicant right to the protection of his reputation caused by the television broadcast of his arrest and a newspaper article published on the subject)	Partly struck out of the list (concerning the length of the proceedings), partly inadmissible as manifestly ill-founded (concerning the remaining complaints)

SWEDEN	12 November 2013	<a href="#">H.Y.</a> (No. 32314/12)	Art. 3 (the applicant transfer from Sweden to Italy, due to the poor conditions for asylum seekers in Italy and his poor health), Art. 13 (no legal assistance before the domestic authorities)	Partly struck out of the list (concerning claim under Art. 3), partly inadmissible as manifestly ill-founded (concerning claim under Art. 13)
--------	------------------------	--	---	---

### C. The communicated cases

The European Court of Human Rights publishes on a weekly basis a list of the communicated cases on its website. These are cases concerning individual applications which are pending before the Court. They are communicated by the Court to the respondent state's government with a statement of facts, the applicant's complaints and the questions put by the Court to the government concerned. The decision to communicate a case lies with one of the Court's Chamber which is in charge of the case. A **selection** of those cases is proposed below. Those decisions are published with a delay on the Court's website. Therefore the decisions listed below cover only the period from 11 to 20 September 2013.

NB: The statements of facts and complaints have been prepared by the Registry (solely in one of the official languages) on the basis of the applicant's submissions. The Court cannot be held responsible for the veracity of the information contained therein.

STATE	DATE OF DECISION TO COMMUNICATE	CASE TITLE	KEY WORDS OF QUESTIONS SUBMITTED TO THE PARTIES
BULGARIA	16 September 2013	<a href="#">CHENGELYAN AND OTHERS</a> (No. 47405/07)	Unlawful deprivation of the applicants' property and re-examination of the Supreme Administrative Court's final judgment by the domestic authorities without respect of the <i>res judicata</i> effect.
CROATIA	18 September 2013	<a href="#">TJARDOVIĆ</a> (No. 38906/13)	Eviction of the applicant from her father in law's flat since she had never acquired a specially protected tenancy on that flat according to the courts.
HUNGARY	11 September 2013	<a href="#">MATÚZ</a> (No. 73571/10)	Dismissal of the applicant, a state television journalist, for having breached the confidentiality clause of his labour contract by publishing a book about the censorship he perceived in his work.
ITALY	13 September 2013	<a href="#">PARRILLO</a> (No.43028/05)	Exclusion of the applicant from the Cross of Honour ceremony dedicated to the memory of her partner since she is not legally married to him.
MALTA	17 September 2013	<a href="#">ABDI AHMED AND OTHERS</a> (No. 43985/13)	Real risk of serious harm if the applicants are returned to Libya, as well as threat of indirect <i>refoulement</i> to Somalia.
ROMANIA	11 September 2013	<a href="#">BRÂNDUSE</a> (No. 39951/08)	Poor conditions of detention and ban of the applicant on voting at the parliamentary elections.

ROMANIA (CONTINUED)	12 September 2013	<a href="#">FRĂȚILĂ</a> (No. 28514/07)	Revocation by a judicial judgement of the applicant's rights conferred by the registration of a trademark.
		MOLDOVAN <a href="#">IN FRENCH ONLY</a> (No. 14685/08)	Suspension of the applicant's passport and absence of reparation for this restriction.
	17 September 2013	AMARANDEI AND OTHERS <a href="#">IN FRENCH ONLY</a> (No. 1443/10)	The applicants, members or sympathisers of a philosophical and religious organisation, accuse the « Christ » operation led by the domestic authorities of discrimination on their beliefs and multiple abuses and violence on them.
		<a href="#">DEACONU</a> (No. 66299/12)	The applicants suffer discrimination on grounds of age regarding the award of compensation for non-pecuniary damage in connection with their sister's death because the domestic authorities considered them as too young to be affected by this loss.
		NEAGOE <a href="#">IN FRENCH ONLY</a> (No. 23319/08)	Alleged violation of the applicant's presumption of innocence by the declarations of the President of Romania and the spokesperson of the Court of Appeal about his trial.
		PODELEAN <a href="#">IN FRENCH ONLY</a> (No. 19295/12)	Noise nuisance by a company next the applicant's home and alleged no respect by the authorities of the directive n° 2002/49/CE relating to the assessment and management of environmental noise.
	<a href="#">ȚĂVIRLĂU</a> <a href="#">IN FRENCH ONLY</a> (No.43753/10)	No effective investigation about the metal implant in the unbroken leg of the applicant's husband.	
SPAIN	17 September 2013	CÁNDIDO GONZÁLEZ MARTÍN AND PLASENCIA SANTOS <a href="#">IN FRENCH ONLY</a> (No. 6177/10)	Applicants' prevented to know the nature and cause of the accusations against them on account of the secret of the investigations.
SWITZERLAND	12 September 2013	<a href="#">M. A.</a> (No. 52589/13)	The applicant fears to be detained and subjected to inhuman and degrading treatment if he is expelled to Iran because of his former political activities in the country and his illegal departure.

SWITZERLAND	18 September 2013	OSMANOGLU AND KOCABAS <a href="#">IN FRENCH ONLY</a> (No. 29086/12)	Conviction of the applicants on account of their refusal, grounded on religious beliefs, to send their daughters to coeducational swimming lessons.
TURKEY	12-19 September 2013	<a href="#">BAYAR AND GÜRBÜZ</a> (No. 8870/09) ÇELEBI AND OTHERS <a href="#">IN FRENCH ONLY</a> (No. 22729/08) AND 1 OTHER REQUEST <a href="#">KAHRAMAN</a> (No. 65808/10) <a href="#">SAVUR</a> (No. 56866/10) <a href="#">TAS</a> (No. 51511/08) <a href="#">TAŞKIRAN</a> (No. 27421/11) YIGIN <a href="#">IN FRENCH ONLY</a> (No. 36643/09)	Multiple cases of demonstrations stopped by domestic authorities and articles censored for propaganda about illegal organisation.
	17 September 2013	<a href="#">CEVRIOĞLU</a> (No. 69546/12)	Alleged lack of adequate redress provided to the applicant by the domestic authorities for the loss of his son who died after falling in a hole full of water within the borders of a construction site.
	18-19 September 2013	BAYRAM <a href="#">IN FRENCH ONLY</a> (No. 2434/11) <a href="#">EKINCI</a> (No. 15930/11)	Multiple cases about the impartiality of the military courts and the inattention to the physical and psychological weaknesses of the conscripts.
	19 September 2013	YAKAR AND OTHERS <a href="#">IN FRENCH ONLY</a> (No. 38338/12)	Domestic authorities' rejection of the applicants' request to exhume, identify and return their relatives' corpses in order to bury them according to their religious rites.



## PartOne

# §2 - EUROPEAN COMMITTEE OF SOCIAL RIGHTS

### A. Resolutions and Decisions

#### 1. Resolutions

[No work deemed relevant for the NHRs for the period under observation]

#### 2. Decisions

STATE	COMPLAINANT	RECLAMATION NUMBER	SUBJECT MATTER	DECISION
IRELAND	Association for the Protection of all Children (APPROACH) Ltd	<a href="#">93/2013</a>	The Association requested the Committee to indicate to Ireland immediate measures, namely its commitment to remove the "reasonable chastisement" defence from legislation and to ensure explicit and effective prohibition of corporal punishment and other cruel or degrading punishment of children	Rejection of the request for immediate measures
BELGIUM	Association for the Protection of all Children (APPROACH) Ltd	<a href="#">98/2013</a>	The Association requested the Committee to indicate to Belgium immediate measures, namely its commitment to remove the "reasonable chastisement" defence from legislation and to ensure effective prohibition of corporal punishment and other cruel or degrading punishment of children	Rejection of the request for immediate measures

## **B. Other information**

### **■ Conference on social human rights in Grozny, Chechnya (10.12.2013)**

A conference entitled "Social human rights in a post-conflict environment" was held in Grozny, Chechnya, from 11 to 13 December 2013. The purpose of this conference was to highlight the importance of social human rights in building post-conflict cohesive societies (No more information available).

## PartOne

### §3 - RECOMMENDATIONS & RESOLUTIONS

---

*[No work deemed relevant for the NHRs for the period under observation]*

## PartOne

# §4 - OTHER INFORMATION OF GENERAL IMPORTANCE

---

### A. Information from the Committee of Ministers

*[No work deemed relevant for the NHRs for the period under observation]*

### B. Information from the Parliamentary Assembly (PACE)

#### ■ Disabled women and girls face a greater risk of violence (02.12.2013)

The Rapporteur of the PACE on equality and integration of persons with disabilities said full equality of rights and integrations for persons with disabilities must become a reality, and added that women and girls need special attention, since they are exposed to higher risks of violence. Thus, she called on the member states notably to accept the Article 15 of the (Revised) European Social Charter on the right of persons with disabilities to independence, social integration and participation in the life of the community ([Read more](#)).

#### ■ A call for a White Paper on Democracy, politics and the Internet (05.12.2013)

Anne Brasseur, presenting her report on Internet and politics: the impact of new information and communication technology on democracy, to the PACE Committee on Culture, has referred to recent revelations about the operations of secret services “which go beyond any legal framework by ordering systematic intrusions into private life” as a threat to the space for freedom on the Internet. She called on the member states to adopt common rules to protect the Internet as an area of freedom, while ensuring that the supervisory mechanisms themselves do not threaten fundamental freedoms ([Read more](#) - [Draft resolution and recommendation](#)).

#### ■ The Equality Committee denounced racial profiling (05.12.2013)

The PACE Committee on Equality and Non-Discrimination deplored an increase in racism and racist violence, accompanied by a resurgence of racism in the police services of several member states. The committee underlined its concern about racial profiling, and considered that the use by the police, without objective justification, of grounds such as race, colour, religion and national or ethnic origin in checking, surveillance or investigatory activities only increases stereotypes and prejudices ([Read more](#) - [Draft resolution](#)).

#### ■ Tribute to human rights defenders (09.12.2013)

On the occasion of Human Rights Day (10 December 2013), the PACE President paid tribute to human rights defenders as, sometimes at the risk of their lives, they strive to uphold human rights in Europe and beyond. He invited to submit nominations for the second Václav Havel Prize, in order to provide these individuals or organisations with the recognition they deserve ([Read more](#) - [Website of the Václav Havel Human Rights Prize](#) - [Message by the President \(video\)](#) - [PACE President paid tribute to Nelson Mandela](#)).

#### ■ Call for update of the European Convention on Transfrontier Television (12.12.2013)

Adopting a report, the PACE Legal Affairs Committee unanimously agreed to recommend that the Committee of Ministers resumes work on the revision of the European Convention on Transfrontier Television and negotiations with the EU on this subject in order to create a truly pan-European framework for media freedom issues. The Committee added that if need be, it could also consider drafting a new convention focusing on freedom of expression aspects of media regulation and in the meantime, it should draft guidelines on media freedom adapted to the changing media landscape ([Read more](#) - [Draft resolution and recommendation](#)).

■ **Call on States to respect Strasbourg Court's interim requests on extradition or deportation (12.12.2013)**

PACE's Legal Affairs Committee has called on signatories to the ECHR to respect any "interim measures" ordered by the Strasbourg Court, and to provide it with all information and evidence it requests. The committee strongly condemned instances of outright violations by several States Parties – Italy, Russia, the Slovak Republic, Turkey and Ukraine – of temporary Court orders aimed at protecting applicants from extradition or deportation to countries where they would be at risk of torture. It also encouraged the Court to cautiously explore the possibility of ordering damages in case of violations of interim measures ([Read more](#) - [Draft resolution](#)).

■ **Seminar: the role of national parliaments in the implementation of judgments of the ECHR (18.12.2013)**

This seminar, aimed at members of national parliaments, was held in London on 14 October 2013, mainly to give parliamentarians a better knowledge of the European Convention on Human Rights mechanisms, and of the effective implementation of the judgments of the ECHR. A further objective was to consider the effectiveness of existing structures and mechanisms for the verification of Convention standards in national parliaments ([Read more](#) - [Enhanced knowledge of the European Convention on Human Rights](#)).

■ **A European strategy needed to combat increasing racism and intolerance (20.12.2013)**

The PACE underlined the need to combat racism and intolerance through a strategic approach, which should place emphasis on prevention, awareness-raising and human rights education, and should rely on the internet and social media as valuable tools to reach out to a wider public ([Read more](#) - [Draft texts adopted](#)).

## C. Information for the Commissioner for Human Rights

■ **Austerity measures across Europe have undermined human rights (04.12.2013)**

The Commissioner released a research paper about the impact of the economic crisis on the protection of human rights. He underlined that austerity measures have undermined human rights, notably social and economic rights of the most vulnerable, the effective access to justice, and the right to equal treatment ([Read more](#)).

■ **Syrian refugees: a neglected human rights crisis in Europe (20.12.2013)**

The Commissioner, in a human rights comment, disclosed one of the world's biggest refugee crises of recent times, neglected by European states ([Read more](#) - [Human Right Comment](#) - [Europe is failing Syrian refugees](#) - [Video on the visit to Turkey, Bulgaria and Germany to Syrian refugee camps and centres](#)).

## D. Information from the monitoring mechanisms

■ **CPT: the monitoring committee announced visits in ten states in 2014 (03.12.2013)**

The Committee intends to examine the treatment of persons deprived of their liberty in the following ten countries: Albania, Austria, Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, Georgia, Ireland, Romania and "the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" ([Read more](#)).

■ **GRECO: 62nd Plenary Meeting in Strasbourg (from 02.12.2013 to 06.12.2013)**

([Read more](#)).

■ **Greco Conference on "Gender Dimensions of Corruption" in Prague (13.12.2013)**

"(...) GRECO organised a conference on "Gender dimensions of corruption" under the auspices of the Senate of the Parliament and the Ministry of Justice of the Czech Republic which took place in Prague, on 13 December 2013, in the Wallenstein Palace. The conference brought together 70 participants from the GRECO member states, as well as representatives of international organisations and civil society" ([Read more](#)).

## PartTwo

# INFORMATION BY COUNTRY

This part presents a selection of information, which is deemed to be mainly relevant for only one country.

Please, refer to the index above (p.3) to find the country you are interested in. Only countries concerned by at least one piece of information issued during the period under observation are listed below.

# Albania

---

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

### ■ Political environment offers opportunities to honour PACE commitments but it's the results that count (05.12.2013)

The PACE co-rapporteurs for the monitoring of Albania, speaking at the end of a five-day visit to the country, were pleased that the authorities are giving priority to important matters such as combating corruption, ensuring an independent judiciary free from political interference and guaranteeing stable democratic institutions. They stressed the importance of fully implementing, in good faith, the many strategies and laws that have been adopted and that are being discussed in parliament, and added that it is the concrete results that will weigh most heavily in their assessment ([Read more](#) - [Announcement of the visit](#) - [Statement by the co-rapporteurs](#)).

# Andorra

---

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

### ■ GRETA: First evaluation visit to Andorra (06.12.2013)

A delegation of the Council of Europe's Group of Experts on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings (GRETA) carried out an evaluation visit to Andorra from 27 to 29 November 2013. The visit was organised in the context of the first round of evaluation of the implementation of the Council of Europe Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings ([Read more](#)).



# Azerbaijan

---

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRSS during the period under observation]*

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRSS during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

### ■ Commissioner for Human Rights: Restrictions on freedom of expression and assembly still serious human rights concerns in Azerbaijan (11.12.2013)

In a video message to the Azerbaijan's Human Rights Forum, organized by the Institute for Reporters' Freedom and Safety (IRFS), the Commissioner expressed his serious concern at the apparent intensification of the practice of unjustified or selective criminal prosecution of journalists and others who express critical opinions, including bloggers and online activists. He also deplored the lack of progress regarding the decriminalisation of defamation ([Read more](#) - [Watch the video](#)).

### ■ PACE: Rapporteurs concerned about pre-trial detention of Anar Mammadli (20.12.2013)

The PACE rapporteurs for monitoring of Azerbaijan have expressed their deep concern at the authorities' decision to place Anar Mammadli, a well-known human rights defender, in pre-trial detention on charges which include, inter alia, tax evasion and illegal business activity. They called on the authorities to ensure that the legal proceedings be conducted transparently and in full compliance with Azerbaijan's obligations under Articles 5 and 6 of the ECHR ([Read more](#) - [Report - The honouring of obligations and commitments of Azerbaijan](#)).

# Belarus

---

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

### ■ PACE: Rapporteurs condemned new death sentence (20.12.2013)

The rapporteurs on the situation in Belarus strongly condemned the death sentence passed on 26 November 2013 and underlined that the death penalty remains a key obstacle to Belarus' eventual accession to the Council of Europe ([Read more](#)).

# Bosnia and Herzegovina

---

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

CASE	DATE	RESOLUTION	CONCLUSION
<a href="#">SEJDIC AND FINCI</a> (No. 27996/06)	22 January 2013	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)259</a>	Call upon domestic authorities to ensure that the constitutional and legislative framework is brought in line with the Convention requirements so that the elections in October 2014 are held without any discrimination against those citizens who are not affiliated with any of the “constituent peoples”

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

■ **MONEYVAL: Eighth Compliance Report (10.12.2013)**

([Read the report](#)).

# Bulgaria

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

CASE	DATE	RESOLUTION	CONCLUSION
<a href="#">KEHAYA AND OTHERS</a> (No. 47797/99)	14 September 2007	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)238</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">ATANASOVA</a> (No. 72001/01)	2 January 2009	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)239</a>	
<a href="#">DINCHEV</a> (No. 23057/03)	22 April 2009	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)239</a>	
<a href="#">TONCHEV</a> (No. 18527/02)	19 February 2010	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)239</a>	
<a href="#">S.L. AND OTHERS</a> (No. 8981/10)	14 May 2013	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)260</a>	
<a href="#">A.S.</a> (No. 78390/11)	25 June 2013	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)260</a>	
<a href="#">S.M.</a> (No. 78421/11)	25 June 2013	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)260</a>	
<a href="#">R.M.</a> (No. 78481/11)	2 April 2013	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)260</a>	

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

# Croatia

---

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

■ GRECO: Publication of the Second compliance report (06.12.2013)

([Read more](#)).

## France

---

### A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

CASE	DATE	RESOLUTION	CONCLUSION
<a href="#">Moulin</a> (No. 37104/06)	23 February 2011	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)240</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">Pascaud</a> (No. 19535/08)	8 February 2013	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)241</a>	
<a href="#">Mo.M.</a> (No. 18372/10)	18 July 2013	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)261</a>	

### B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRSs during the period under observation]*

### C. Other information

■ GRECO: Publication of the Second compliance report, confidential (during 62nd Plenary Meeting)

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

CASE	DATE	RESOLUTION	CONCLUSION
<a href="#">Melikishvili</a> (No. 35424/09)	4 June 2013	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)242</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">Z.</a> (No. 44706/10)	4 June 2013		
<a href="#">Shubladze</a> (No. 63875/10)	4 June 2013		
<a href="#">Zedelashvili</a> (No. 34782/09)	4 June 2013		
<a href="#">Maisuradze</a> (No. 39830/11)	4 June 2013		
<a href="#">Guldedava</a> (No. 61370/09)	18 June 2013		
<a href="#">Abashidze</a> (No. 51437/10)	18 June 2013		
<a href="#">Okroshidze</a> (No. 60596/09)	11 December 2012	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)243</a>	

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRSs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

### ■ Commissioner for Human Rights: Effective measures needed to eradicate ill-treatment and torture in Georgia (04.12.2013)

The Commissioner called on the Georgian authorities to address long-standing concerns about ill-treatment of prisoners and other detained persons by public officials and take effective steps to repair the system of accountability. He expressed his intention to focus on these issues during his next visit to Georgia. ([Read more](#) - [Letter to the State's Prime Minister](#) - [Letter in Georgian](#) - [State's reply](#)).

### ■ PACE: Georgia to focus on closing its review of the past, said Thomas Hammarberg (13.12.2013)

The EU Special Adviser on Constitutional and Legal Reform and Human Rights in Georgia stressed that the authorities should pay much more attention to closing its review of the past in a spirit of strict adherence to principles of justice, fairness and proportionality, meaning no impunity for serious crimes and also no undue politicisation or selective justice ([Read more](#)).

# Germany

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

CASE	DATE	RESOLUTION	CONCLUSION
<a href="#">Rumpf</a> (No. 46344/06)	2 December 2010	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)244</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">Abduvalieva</a> (No. 54215/08)	26 February 2010		
<a href="#">Adam</a> (No. 54215/08)	4 March 2009		
<a href="#">Afflerbach</a> (No. 39444/08)	24 June 2010		
<a href="#">Bähnke</a> (No. 10732/05)	9 January 2009		
<a href="#">Ballhausen</a> (No. 1479/08)	23 July 2009		
<a href="#">Bayer</a> (No. 8453/04)	16 October 2009		
<a href="#">Bellut</a> (No. 21965/09)	21 July 2011		
<a href="#">Bozlar</a> (No. 7634/05)	5 June 2009		
<a href="#">D.E.</a> (No. 1126/05)	6 November 2009		
<a href="#">Deiwick</a> (No. 17878/04)	11 September 2009		
<a href="#">Deiwick</a> (No. 7369/04)	26 June 2009		
<a href="#">Döring</a> (No. 40014/05)	8 October 2010		
<a href="#">Dudek</a> (No. 39778/07+)	16 December 2010		
<a href="#">Ewald</a> (No. 2693/07)	21 October 2010		
<a href="#">Glösen</a> (No. 1679/03)	10 April 2008		
<a href="#">Grässer</a> (No. 66491/01)	26 March 2007		
<a href="#">Grumann</a> (No. 43155/08)	21 October 2010		
<a href="#">Herbolzheimer</a> (No. 57249/00)	31 October 2003		
<a href="#">Herbst</a> (No. 20027/02)	11 April 2007		



<a href="#">Hoffer and Annen</a> (No. 397/07+)	20 June 2011	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)244</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">Hub</a> (No. 1182/05)	9 July 2009		
<a href="#">Jahnke</a> (No. 39641/08)	3 March 2011		
<a href="#">Jesse</a> (No. 10053/08)	22 December 2009		
<a href="#">Kempe</a> (No. 11811/10)	30 June 2011		
<a href="#">Kindereit</a> (No. 37820/06)	8 January 2010		
<a href="#">Kirsten</a> (No. 19124/02)	9 July 2007		
<a href="#">Kressin</a> (No. 21061/06)	22 December 2009		
<a href="#">Kucheida</a> (No. 17384/06)	24 June 2010		
<a href="#">Kuhlen-Rasandjani</a> (No. 21980/06)	20 April 2011		
<a href="#">Kuppinger</a> (No. 41599/09)	21 April 2011		
<a href="#">Kurzveil</a> (No. 53550/09)	20 October 2011		
<a href="#">Laudon</a> (No. 14635/03)	24 September 2007		
<a href="#">Leela Förderkreis E.V. and Others</a> (No. 58911/00)	6 February 2009		
<a href="#">Mianowicz</a> (No. 41629/07)	13 October 2011		
<a href="#">Mianowicz</a> (No. 37111/04)	29 September 2011		
<a href="#">Mianowicz</a> (No. 37264/06)	13 October 2011		
<a href="#">Mianowicz</a> (No. 3810/06)	13 October 2011		
<a href="#">Mianowicz</a> (No. 3863/06)	13 October 2011		
<a href="#">Mianowicz</a> (No. 32637/08)	13 October 2011		
<a href="#">Mianowicz No. 2</a> (No. 71972/01)	1 March 2010		

<a href="#">Müller</a> (No. 36395/07)	25 May 2010	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)244</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">Nanning</a> (No. 39741/02)	12 October 2007		
<a href="#">Niedzwiecki No. 2</a> (No. 12852/08)	1 April 2010		
<a href="#">Niesen</a> (No. 32513/08)	21 October 2010		
<a href="#">Nold</a> (No. 27250/02)	11 December 2006		
<a href="#">Ommer No. 1</a> (No. 10597/03)	13 February 2009		
<a href="#">Ommer No. 2</a> (No. 26073/03)	13 February 2009		
<a href="#">Otto</a> (No. 28348/09)	22 September 2011		
<a href="#">Perschke</a> (No. 25756/09)	24 June 2010		
<a href="#">Peterman</a> (No. 901/05)	25 March 2010		
<a href="#">Popovic</a> (No. 34236/06)	13 April 2011		
<a href="#">Reinhard</a> (No. 485/09)	31 May 2010		
<a href="#">Ritter-Coulais</a> (No. 32338/07)	30 March 2010		
<a href="#">Schädlich</a> (No. 21423/07)	24 June 2010		
<a href="#">Schliederer</a> (No. 2651/07)	21 October 2010		
<a href="#">Sinkovec</a> (No. 46682/07)	30 March 2010		
<a href="#">Skugor</a> (No. 76680/01)	24 September 2007		
<a href="#">Sopp</a> (No. 47757/06)	8 January 2010		
<a href="#">Spath</a> (No. 854/07)	8 March 2012		
<a href="#">Stork</a> (No. 38033/02)	13 October 2006		
<a href="#">Sürmeli</a> (No. 75529/01)	8 June 2006		
<a href="#">Träxler</a> (No. 32936/09)	21 October 2010		

<a href="#">Uhl</a> (No. 64387/01)	10 May 2005	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)244</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">Volkmer</a> (No. 54188/07)	30 March 2010		
<a href="#">Von Koester No. 1</a> (No. 40009/04)	22 November 2010		
<a href="#">Von Koester</a> (No. 17019/08)	22 September 2011		
<a href="#">Wagner</a> (No. 38187/08)	18 November 2010		
<a href="#">Wetjen</a> (No. 30175/07)	25 March 2010		
<a href="#">Wienholtz</a> (No. 974/07)	21 March 2011		
<a href="#">Wildgruber</a> (No. 42402/05+)	21 April 2010		

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

■ GRECO: Publication of the Second *interim* compliance report (16.12.2013)

([Read more](#)).

# Hungary

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

CASE	DATE	RESOLUTION	CONCLUSION
<a href="#">Pölöskei</a> (No. 23955/10)	25 June 2013	<a href="#">M/ResDH(2013)245</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">Cf Modell Kft</a> (No. 25134/10)	25 June 2013		
<a href="#">Végh</a> (No. 27624/10)	25 June 2013		
<a href="#">Hrotko and others</a> (No. 33987/10)	25 June 2013		
<a href="#">Deak</a> (No. 38549/10)	25 June 2013		
<a href="#">Licz</a> (No. 49471/10)	25 June 2013		
<a href="#">Olah</a> (No. 49490/10)	25 June 2013		
<a href="#">V.F.E</a> (No. 21819/11)	4 June 2013		
<a href="#">K.I.</a> (No. 22256/11)	4 June 2013		
<a href="#">Ko.I.</a> (No. 22280/11)	4 June 2013		
<a href="#">Polgar</a> (No. 23174/11)	4 June 2013		
<a href="#">Soproni</a> (No. 33820/11)	4 June 2013		
<a href="#">M.P.</a> (No. 42357/11)	4 June 2013		
<a href="#">Bera</a> (No. 74144/12)	4 June 2013		
<a href="#">Terjék</a> (No. 76627/12)	25 June 2013		
<a href="#">Petho and Others</a> (No. 408/13)	25 June 2013	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)264</a>	
<a href="#">Bartok</a> (No. 28309/07)	9 July 2013		
<a href="#">Bartos</a> (No. 63868/10)	25 June 2013		
<a href="#">Széles</a> (No. 66222/10)	21 May 2013		

<a href="#">Futo</a> (No. 66440/10)	25 June 2013	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)264</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">Part Kft</a> (No. 15152/13)	9 July 2013		

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

### ■ The PACE President welcomed Hungary's commitment to pursuing co-operation (04.12.2013)

The PACE President paid tribute to the co-operation between Hungary and the Council of Europe. During his visit to the country, the President gave a speech at the European Youth Centre to a group of young human rights activists, from many different European and Arab countries. He said that the European Youth Centre is an outstanding vehicle for passing on to young people the values of the Council of Europe ([Read more](#)).

# Iceland

---

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

### ■ CPT: The committee published report on Iceland (05.12.2013)

At the request of the Icelandic authorities, the Council of Europe's Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CPT) has published today the report on its visit to Iceland, carried out in September 2012, together with the response of the Icelandic authorities. Both the report and the response have already been made public by the Icelandic authorities, on 19 November 2013.

Most of the persons with recent experience of police custody who were interviewed by the CPT's delegation stated that they had been treated in a correct manner. The conclusion reached by the Committee after its previous visits – namely that persons detained by the police in Iceland run little risk of being ill-treated – remains valid. As for conditions of detention in the police establishments visited, they were generally adequate ([Read more](#)).

# Ireland

---

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

### ■ The PACE President welcomed Ireland's 'firm commitment' to the Organisation's project (13.12.2013)

The PACE President welcomed the agreement with the authorities on the need to ensure synergies between the EU and the Council of Europe in the field of human rights and the rule of law. Discussion also focused on the future of the ECHR and the reform of the Council of Europe's monitoring procedures. The President stressed that "Ireland's experience of implementing the judgments of the Court is particularly useful", and emphasised the need to strengthen ties with national parliaments in order to spread the Council of Europe's *acquis* and facilitate implementation of its standards ([Read more - PACE President makes official visit to Ireland](#)).

### ■ GRECO: Publication of the Second compliance report (18.12.2013)

([Read more](#)).

# Italy

---

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

### ■ Commissioner for Human Rights: The municipality of Rome should step up its support to Roma and refugees (11.12.2013)

While releasing a letter addressed to the Mayor of Rome, the Commissioner underlined two concerns which were already pointed out in his previous report: the segregation of Roma and Sinti, and the living conditions and integration of beneficiaries of international or humanitarian protection. Thus, he asserted the urgent need for action in the field of integration of refugees and other beneficiaries of protection ([Read more](#) - [Read the letter](#) - [Read the letter in Italian](#) - [State's reply \[Italian only\]](#) - [Commissioner's report](#)).

### ■ GRETA: First evaluation visit to Italy (from 02.12.2013 to 06.12.2013)

A delegation of the Council of Europe's Group of Experts on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings (GRETA) carried out an evaluation visit to Italy from 2 to 6 December 2013. The visit was organised in the context of the first round of evaluation of the implementation of the Council of Europe Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings ([Read more](#)).



## Malta

---

### A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

### B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

### C. Other information

■ GRECO: Publication of the Second compliance report, confidential (during 62nd Plenary Meeting)

# Monaco

---

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

### ■ CPT: The committee published report on Monaco (12.12.2013)

The Council of Europe's Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CPT) has published today the report on its second periodic visit to the Principality of Monaco, carried out in December 2012, together with the response of the Monegasque authorities ([Read more](#)).

# Montenegro

---

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

■ MONEYVAL: Publication of the fourth progress report (12.12.2013)

[\(Read more\)](#).

# Netherlands

---

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

### ■ FCNM: Government comments - 2nd cycle (20.12.2013)

The comments of the Government of the Netherlands on the second opinion of the advisory committee on the implementation of the FCNM by the Netherlands were published on 20 December 2013 ([Read more](#)).

# Poland

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

CASE	DATE	RESOLUTION	CONCLUSION
<a href="#">Snopek</a> (No. 19354/09)	21 May 2013	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)246</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">Jakalski</a> (No. 39863/09)	2 April 2013		
<a href="#">Pachnicz</a> (No. 36618/10)	19 March 2013		
<a href="#">Wilkojc</a> (No. 43481/10)	21 May 2013		
<a href="#">Motylski</a> (No. 71157/10)	2 April 2013		
<a href="#">Scirko</a> (No. 42365/11)	2 April 2013		
<a href="#">Bat</a> (No. 44883/11)	2 April 2013		
<a href="#">Kalkowski</a> (No. 5883/12)	9 April 2013		
<a href="#">Rosenzweig and Bonded Warehouses LTD.</a> (No. 51728/99)	22 October 2012	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)247</a>	
<a href="#">Bar-bau Sp. Z O. O.</a> (No. 11656/08)	10 April 2012	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)248</a>	

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRSS during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRSS during the period under observation]*

# Portugal

---

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

CASE	DATE	RESOLUTION	CONCLUSION
<a href="#">Pontes</a> (No. 19554/09)	24 September 2012	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)249</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">Falcao Dos Santos</a> (No. 50002/08)	19 November 2012	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)262</a>	
<a href="#">Silva Freitas</a> (No. 66819/10)	26 March 2013	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)265</a>	
<a href="#">Meireles Da Cruz Mota</a> (No. 57024/11)	26 March 2013		
<a href="#">Verissimo Serrazina</a> (No. 68181/11)	26 March 2013		
<a href="#">Cunha Moura</a> (No. 33100/12)	26 March 2013		

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

# Romania

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

CASE	DATE	RESOLUTION	CONCLUSION
<a href="#">Tilea and Tudor</a> (No. 6359/03+)	26 March 2013	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)250</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">Marcus</a> (No. 8712/06)	14 May 2013		
<a href="#">Bors</a> (No. 38984/06)	14 May 2013		
<a href="#">Coltoi</a> (No. 518/07)	18 June 2013		
<a href="#">Mazilu and Arhire and Others</a> (No. 28128/07+)	12 March 2013		
<a href="#">Evaldi</a> (No 27739/08)	9 April 2013		
<a href="#">Bobes and others</a> (No. 28951/08)	18 June 2013		
<a href="#">Ignat</a> (No. 49496/09)	14 May 2013		
<a href="#">Raileanu</a> (No. 60801/09)	9 April 2013		
<a href="#">Magaletto</a> (No. 5251/11)	26 March 2013		
<a href="#">Capatina</a> (No. 56525/11)	26 March 2013		
<a href="#">Amuraritei</a> (No. 4351/02)	23 December 2008	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)263</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">Ichim</a> (No. 9164/02)	10 June 2009		
<a href="#">Donos</a> (No. 30647/07)	18 June 2013	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)266</a>	
<a href="#">Racoltea</a> (No. 42384/11)	19 February 2013		
<a href="#">Harabagiu</a> (No. 38770/12)	4 June 2013		

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRSS during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

■ **Committee of Ministers: Resolution on the implementation of the Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities by Romania (18.12.2013)**

([Read more](#)).

■ **PACE: Rapporteur denounced move to legalize corruption in Romania (19.12.2013)**

The PACE rapporteur on “Keeping criminal and political responsibility separate” denounced the bill adopted by the Romanian parliament on 10 December 2013, effectively decriminalizing corruption by public officials, as a grave threat to the rule of law and a violation to basic Council of Europe standards ([Read more](#) - [Rapporteur's report](#)).



# Russian Federation

---

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRSs during the period under observation]*

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRSs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

### ■ CPT: The committee published report on the Russian Federation (17.12.2013)

At the request of the Russian authorities, the Council of Europe's Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CPT) has published today the report on its periodic visit to the Russian Federation, carried out in May and June 2012, together with the response of the Russian authorities. During the visit, the CPT's delegation visited places of deprivation of liberty in Moscow, Leningrad and Vladimir Regions, as well as in the Republics of Bashkortostan, Tatarstan and Udmurtia ([Read more](#)).

### ■ PACE: Co-rapporteurs expected immediate implementation of amnesty bill (20.12.2013)

The co-rapporteurs for monitoring of the Russian Federation have expressed their satisfaction at the announcement of the release of Mikhail Khodorkovsky following a pardon from Russian President Vladimir Putin. They also welcomed the amnesty bill adopted by the Russian Duma, which foresees the termination of several cases and the release of two members of the punk band Pussy Riot. At the same time they deeply regretted that the charges against eight more defendants in the so-called "Bolotnaya case" have not been dropped. The co-rapporteurs stressed that they repeatedly insisted on the need to release all people whose detention may raise justified suspicions about politically motivated justice and might have been perceived as violations of human rights within the Russian legal system ([Read more](#)).

### ■ The PACE President reacted to the terrorist attacks in Volgograd (30.12.2013)

The PACE President expressed his deep shock following the terrorist attacks in Russia which have killed 31 people and wounded dozens of others. He stated that these acts represent a crime against all the values and ideals that the Council of Europe defends ([Read more](#) - [President condemns the terrorist attack in railway station in Volgograd](#)).

# San Marino

---

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

CASE	DATE	RESOLUTION	CONCLUSION
<a href="#">Paoloni</a> (No. 41045/10)	27 March 2012	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)251</a>	Examination closed

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

### ■ GRETA: First evaluation visit to San Marino (from 04.12.2013 to 06.12.2013)

A delegation of the Council of Europe's Group of Experts on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings (GRETA) carried out an evaluation visit to San Marino from 4 to 6 December 2013. The visit was organised in the context of the first round of evaluation of the implementation of the Council of Europe Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings ([Read more](#)).

# Serbia

---

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

### ■ Commissioner for Human Rights: Serbia should end degrading reception conditions for asylum seekers (12.12.2013)

Releasing a letter addressed to the authorities, the Commissioner stated his intention to urge international donors, such as the European Union, to provide Serbia with assistance in asylum matters, as a result of the serious situation that he witnessed during his visit. However, he added that the authorities should take the lead and demonstrate resolve in ensuring proper reception and effective protection of the human rights of all asylum seekers ([Read more](#) - [Letter to the Prime Minister of Serbia](#)).

### ■ PACE: Serbia encouraged to pursue its efforts to protect the rights of traditional national minorities (17.12.2013)

The PACE rapporteur on “The situation and rights of traditional national minorities in Europe”, ending a fact-finding visit to Serbia, encouraged the authorities to pursue its efforts for the protection of the rights of traditional national minorities and to ensure the implementation and harmonisation of the existing legislative framework ([Read more](#) - [Programme of the visit](#)).

# Slovenia

---

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

### ■ GRECO: Resignation of the Slovenian anti-corruption Commissioners (10.12.2013)

During its latest plenary meeting (Strasbourg, 2-6 December 2013), GRECO noted with concern the recent resignation of the Chief Commissioner for the Prevention of Corruption of Slovenia and of his two deputies.

# Sweden

---

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

■ GRECO: Publication of the Third *interim* compliance report (06.12.2013)

([Read more](#)).

## **“The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia”**

---

### **A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights**

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

### **B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications**

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

### **C. Other information**

#### **■ Rapporteur in Skopje welcomed authorities' open approach (04.12.2013)**

The PACE rapporteur on post-monitoring dialogue with “the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia”, ending a two-day visit in Skopje, has welcomed the constructive and open attitude of the authorities in discussing the PACE's latest proposals for strengthening the rule of law, democracy and human rights in the country ([Read more - Post-monitoring visit to "the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"](#)).

# Turkey

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

CASE	DATE	RESOLUTION	CONCLUSION
<a href="#">H.M.</a> (No. 34494/97)	8 November 2006	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)253</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">ÖZBEK</a> (No. 25327/04)	27 August 2010	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)254</a>	
<a href="#">SECİK</a> (No. 9888/05)	29 January 2013	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)255</a>	
<a href="#">GENÇEL</a> (No. 53431/99)	24 March 2004	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)256</a>	
<a href="#">ACAR LESKER</a> (No. 39678/98)	22 September 2004		
<a href="#">AKAR AND BECET</a> (No. 55954/00)	20 December 2005		
<a href="#">AKBABA</a> (No. 52656/99)	17 April 2006		
<a href="#">AKÇAKALE</a> (No. 59759/00)	25 August 2004		
<a href="#">AKGÜL</a> (No. 65897/01)	16 April 2007		
<a href="#">AKINTI AND OTHERS</a> (No. 59645/00)	15 May 2007		
<a href="#">AKKAS CAGLAR</a> (No. 52665/99)	24 March 2004		
<a href="#">AKSAC</a> (No. 41956/98)	15 October 2004		
<a href="#">AL AND OTHERS</a> (No. 59234/00)	24 March 2004		
<a href="#">ALTUN ABDULLAH</a> (No. 66354/01)	19 January 2007		
<a href="#">ASLAN BEDRI AND RESİT</a> (No. 63183/00)	22 December 2005		
<a href="#">ASLAN AND SANCI</a> (No. 58055/00)	5 March 2007		
<a href="#">ASLAN MEHMET SALİH</a> (No. 59237/00)	15 October 2005		
<a href="#">ASLAN MEHMET SERİF</a> (No. 62018/00)	3 August 2007		
<a href="#">ASLAN TAMER AND OTHERS</a> (No. 1595/03)	2 September 2009		

<a href="#">AYDIN RASIM</a> (No. 62597/00)	30 April 2008	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)256</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">AYDIN SEHMUZ</a> (No. 40297/98)	22 March 2005		
<a href="#">AYDIN VOLKAN</a> (No. 54501/00)	10 February 2005		
<a href="#">AYDOGAN AND OTHERS</a> (No. 41967/02)	2 March 2009		
<a href="#">AYTAN</a> (No. 54275/00)	20 December 2005		
<a href="#">BALÇIK</a> (No. 63878/00)	26 July 2005		
<a href="#">BARAN</a> (No. 46777/99)	9 July 2007		
<a href="#">BASBOGA</a> (No. 64277/01)	13 September 2006		
<a href="#">BASTIMAR AND OTHERS</a> (No. 27709/02+)	3 July 2007		
<a href="#">BECERIKLI AND ALTEKIN</a> (No. 57562/00)	14 June 2004		
<a href="#">BENLI</a> (No. 65715/01)	20 May 2007		
<a href="#">BORAK</a> (No. 60132/00)	5 March 2007		
<a href="#">BOZKURT BILAL AND OTHERS</a> (No. 46388/99)	24 March 2004		
<a href="#">BUDAK AND OTHERS</a> (No. 57345/00)	10 April 2006		
<a href="#">BULUT ADEM AND OTHERS</a> (No. 50282)	2 March 2006		
<a href="#">BULUT</a> (No. 49892/99)	22 February 2006		
<a href="#">CALOGLU VAHIT AND HILAN</a> (No. 55812/00)	29 October 2004		
<a href="#">CAN MAHMUT</a> (No. 38389/97)	27 February 2003		
<a href="#">CANEVI AND OTHERS</a> (No. 40395/98)	10 February 2005		
<a href="#">CANPOLAT</a> (No. 63354/00)	15 May 2007		
<a href="#">CANSEVEN</a> (No. 70317/01)	15 May 2007		
<a href="#">CAPLIK HATIP</a> (No. 57019/00)	15 October 2005		



<a href="#"><u>CAVUS AND BULUT</u></a> (No. 41580/98+)	24 March 2004	<a href="#"><u>CM/ResDH(2013)256</u></a>	Examination closed
<a href="#"><u>CAVUSOGLU AND OTHERS</u></a> (No. 47757/99)	4 March 2004		
<a href="#"><u>CELIK AND OTHERS</u></a> (No. 56835/00)	20 July 2006		
<a href="#"><u>CELIK MEHMET</u></a> (No. 61650/00)	15 October 2005		
<a href="#"><u>CELIK ULAS</u></a> (No. 47115/99)	24 October 2007		
<a href="#"><u>CELIKER</u></a> (No. 75573/01)	2 January 2008		
<a href="#"><u>CETINKAYA AND OTHERS</u></a> (No. 57944/00)	14 June 2004		
<a href="#"><u>CIFTÇI EVRİM</u></a> (No. 59640/00)	1 March 2006		
<a href="#"><u>CINAR</u></a> (No. 48155/99)	14 June 2004		
<a href="#"><u>COLAK No. 1</u></a> (No. 52898/99)	15 October 2004		
<a href="#"><u>COLAK No. 2</u></a> (No. 53530/99)	15 October 2004		
<a href="#"><u>COMAK</u></a> (No. 225/02)	10 January 2007		
<a href="#"><u>DALGIÇ</u></a> (No. 51416/99)	24 March 2004		
<a href="#"><u>DEMİR EBRU</u></a> (No. 60262/00)	22 February 2006		
<a href="#"><u>DEMİR FIKRİ</u></a> (No. 55373/00)	11 July 2006		
<a href="#"><u>DINÇ RIZA</u></a> (No. 42437/98)	2 February 2005		
<a href="#"><u>DOGAN AND KESER</u></a> (No. 50193/99)	24 September 2004		
<a href="#"><u>DOGAN HALİL</u></a> (No. 49503/99)	14 June 2004		
<a href="#"><u>DOGRU</u></a> (No. 62017/00)	10 February 2006		
<a href="#"><u>DOLASAN</u></a> (No. 29592/96)	18 April 2005		
<a href="#"><u>DÖNER</u></a> (No. 34498/97)	26 January 2005		
<a href="#"><u>DURAN OSMAN</u></a> (No. 47654/99)	4 March 2004		

<a href="#">DURAN TAHIR</a> (No. 40997/98)	14 June 2004	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)256</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">DURMAZ AND OTHERS</a> (No. 46506/99+)	14 January 2005		
<a href="#">DURMAZ HIDIR</a> (No. 55913/00)	5 March 2007		
<a href="#">DUR SUN AND OTHERS</a> (No. 44267/98)	4 March 2004		
<a href="#">EPÖZDEMİR</a> (No. 43926/98)	28 January 2005		
<a href="#">ERCIKDI AND OTHERS</a> (No. 52782/99)	11 July 2006		
<a href="#">ERDOĞAN MESUT</a> (No. 53895/00)	24 March 2004		
<a href="#">EREN</a> (No. 46106/99)	24 March 2004		
<a href="#">ERGÜL AND ENGIN</a> (No. 52744/99)	24 March 2004		
<a href="#">EROĞLU</a> (No. 59769/00)	21 December 2006		
<a href="#">EROLAN AND OTHERS</a> (No. 56021/00)	14 June 2004		
<a href="#">ESİDIR AND OTHERS</a> (No. 54814/00)	11 January 2006		
<a href="#">EVCİMEN</a> (No. 21865/02)	2 June 2008		
<a href="#">GAR BUL</a> (No. 64447/01)	19 October 2007		
<a href="#">GERCEK</a> (No. 67634/01)	7 July 2008		
<a href="#">GİÇ</a> (No. 8126/02)	21 October 2009		
<a href="#">GÖCEKLİ</a> (No. 71813/01)	21 March 2007		
<a href="#">GÖKDERE AND GÜL</a> (No. 49655/99)	9 March 2005		
<a href="#">GÜLER AND CALISKAN</a> (No. 52746/99)	21 March 2007		
<a href="#">GÜLLÜ İBRAHİM</a> (No. 60853/00)	14 September 2007		
<a href="#">GÜLLÜ</a> (No. 1889/04)	15 February 2006		
<a href="#">GÜNDOĞAN No. 2</a> (No. 67483/01)	16 April 2007		

<a href="#">GÜNDOĞDU</a> (No. 49240/99)	3 August 2007	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)256</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">GÜNDÜZ MÜSLÜM No. 2</a> (No. 59997/00)	12 October 2005		
<a href="#">GÜNEL</a> (No. 47296/99)	27 February 2003		
<a href="#">GÜNES İSMAIL</a> (No. 53968/00)	13 February 2004		
<a href="#">GÜNES</a> (No. 46272/99)	10 November 2004		
<a href="#">GÜRSOY AND OTHERS</a> (No. 1827/02+)	31 January 2007		
<a href="#">GÜVEN AHMET AND OTHERS</a> (No. 40528/98)	14 June 2004		
<a href="#">GÜVEN BIRTAN AND OTHERS</a> (No. 37625/03)	31 October 2007		
<a href="#">GÜZEL No. 1</a> (No. 54479/00)	4 July 2006		
<a href="#">HATUN AND OTHERS</a> (No. 57343/00)	20 January 2006		
<a href="#">İÇÖZ</a> (No. 54919/00)	14 June 2004		
<a href="#">İLDAN</a> (No. 75603/01)	26 September 2007		
<a href="#">İREY</a> (No. 58057/00)	27 October 2004		
<a href="#">JALALIAGHDAM</a> (No. 47340/99)	14 June 2004		
<a href="#">KALYONCUGIL AND OTHERS</a> (No. 57939/00)	14 June 2004		
<a href="#">KARABAS</a> (No. 52691/99)	21 October 2005		
<a href="#">KARABULUT</a> (No. 56015/00)	7 July 2008		
<a href="#">KARADUMANLI</a> (No. 64293/01)	30 December 2008		
<a href="#">KARAKURT</a> (No. 45718/99)	15 February 2006		
<a href="#">KARAOĞLAN</a> (No. 60161/00)	31 January 2007		
<a href="#">KARATEPE MUSTAFA</a> (No. 65942/01)	29 February 2008		
<a href="#">KARATEPE</a> (No. 41551/98)	31 Octobre 2007		

<a href="#">KARTAL AND KIZIDAG</a> (No. 59641/00)	8 July 2008	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)256</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">KAVAK</a> (No. 69790/01)	9 February 2007		
<a href="#">KAYA AND OTHERS</a> (No. 54335/00)	24 September 2004		
<a href="#">KAYA HIDIR</a> (No. 2624/02)	9 April 2007		
<a href="#">KAYA IRFAN</a> (No. 44054/98)	14 June 2004		
<a href="#">KAYMAZ AND OTHERS</a> (No. 57758/00)	28 January 2005		
<a href="#">KEÇEÇI</a> (No. 52701/99+)	15 October 2005		
<a href="#">KEKLIK</a> (No. 60574/00)	6 October 2006		
<a href="#">KENAR</a> (No. 67215/01)	13 March 2008		
<a href="#">KEPENEKLIOGLU AND CANPOLAT</a> (No. 35363/02)	6 December 2005		
<a href="#">KEZER AND OTHERS</a> (No. 58058/00)	24 April 2006		
<a href="#">KILIÇ MURAT</a> (No. 40498/98)	30 December 2004		
<a href="#">KILIÇ MÜKREMIN</a> (No. 48083/99)	15 June 2005		
<a href="#">KIPER</a> (No. 44785/98)	23 August 2006		
<a href="#">KIRANCI</a> (No. 76400/01)	26 September 2007		
<a href="#">KIRCAN MUSTAFA</a> (No. 48062/99)	14 June 2004		
<a href="#">KIRMAN</a> (No. 48263/99)	27 February 2003		
<a href="#">KOÇ FEHMI</a> (No. 71354/01)	27 June 2007		
<a href="#">KOÇAK KEMAL</a> (No. 40991/98)	19 October 2007		
<a href="#">KONUK</a> (No. 49523/99)	22 September 2006		
<a href="#">KORKMAZ</a> (No. 50903/99)	14 June 2004		
<a href="#">KUTAL AND UGRAS</a> (No. 61648/00)	13 September 2006		

<a href="#">MUT</a> (No. 42434/98)	30 April 2003	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)256</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">N.K.</a> (No. 43818/98)	16 April 2007		
<a href="#">OKUYUCU AND BILMEN</a> (No. 65887/01)	16 April 2007		
<a href="#">ÖNCÜ AND OTHERS</a> (No. 63357/00)	1 March 2006		
<a href="#">ÖNER AND OTHERS</a> (No. 64684/01)	25 January 2006		
<a href="#">ÖZ AND YÜREKLİ</a> (No. 44662/98)	19 October 2007		
<a href="#">ÖZBEY MÜSLÜM</a> (No. 50087/99)	21 March 2007		
<a href="#">ÖZCAN MEHMET AND OTHERS</a> (No. 56006/00)	11 January 2006		
<a href="#">ÖZCAN SERDAR</a> (No. 55427/00)	8 July 2004		
<a href="#">ÖZDEMİR HİDİR</a> (No. 46952/99)	14 June 2004		
<a href="#">ÖZDEN</a> (No. 42141/98)	24 August 2005		
<a href="#">ÖZDOĞAN</a> (No. 49707/99)	18 April 2005		
<a href="#">ÖZER K. AND OTHERS</a> (No. 48059/99)	22 July 2004		
<a href="#">ÖZERTKOĞLU İSMAIL</a> (No. 48438/99)	14 June 2004		
<a href="#">ÖZSOY</a> (No. 58397/00)	2 May 2006		
<a href="#">ÖZTÜRK AYSE</a> (No. 59244/00)	4 February 2005		
<a href="#">ÖZTÜRK</a> (No. 52695/99)	20 December 2005		
<a href="#">ÖZÜLKÜ</a> (No. 51289/99)	27 February 2003		
<a href="#">ÖZÜPEK AND OTHERS</a> (No. 60177/00)	15 June 2005		
<a href="#">ÖZYOL</a> (No. 48617/99)	24 March 2004		
<a href="#">PEKER MEHMET</a> (No. 49276/99)	31 March 2008		

<a href="#">PEKER</a> (No. 53014/99)	24 March 2004	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)256</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">POLAT HASAN</a> (No. 32489/03)	22 December 2009		
<a href="#">POLAT METIN AND OTHERS</a> (No. 48065/99)	14 June 2004		
<a href="#">REYHAN</a> (No. 38422/97)	21 October 2005		
<a href="#">SAHINDOGAN</a> (No. 54545/00)	28 February 2005		
<a href="#">SAHMO</a> (No. 57919/00)	20 December 2005		
<a href="#">SARIOGLU</a> (No. 48054/99)	24 March 2004		
<a href="#">SECKIN AND OTHERS</a> (No. 56016/00)	3 August 2007		
<a href="#">SEKIN DURAN</a> (No. 41968/98)	2 May 2006		
<a href="#">SEZER ZEKERIYA</a> (No. 63306/00)	29 February 2008		
<a href="#">SIMSEK</a> (No. 50118/99)	24 March 2004		
<a href="#">SIRIN</a> (No. 47328/99)	15 June 2005		
<a href="#">SÜVARIÖGÜLLARI AND OTHERS</a> (No. 50119/99)	24 March 2004		
<a href="#">SUYUR</a> (No. 13797/02)	23 August 2006		
<a href="#">TAKAK</a> (No. 30452/96)	7 July 2004		
<a href="#">TANRIKOLU AND OTHERS</a> (No. 45907/99)	12 April 2006		
<a href="#">TANRIKULU AND DENİZ</a> (No. 60011/00)	18 July 2006		
<a href="#">TAS DEDE</a> (No. 62877/00)	10 February 2006		
<a href="#">TAS YESİM</a> (No. 48134/99)	4 March 2004		
<a href="#">TASKIN HÜSEYİN</a> (No. 49517/99)	4 March 2004		
<a href="#">TAYDAS AND ÖZER</a> (No. 48805/99)	4 February 2005		

<a href="#">TEKIN AND TASTAN</a> (No. 69515/01)	11 April 2005	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)256</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">TEKIN HALIS</a> (No. 64570/01)	19 October 2007		
<a href="#">TEKIN MAHSUN</a> (No. 52899/99)	20 March 2006		
<a href="#">TEMIRKAN</a> (No. 41990/98)	20 December 2005		
<a href="#">TEZCAN UZUNHASANOGLU</a> (No. 35070/97)	20 July 2004		
<a href="#">TOKAY AND ULUS</a> (No. 48060/99)	23 June 2006		
<a href="#">TOPRAK</a> (No. 57561/00)	8 April 2004		
<a href="#">TÖRE NAZIF</a> (No. 48095/99)	14 July 2005		
<a href="#">TUNCEL AND OTHERS</a> (No. 42738/98)	24 March 2004		
<a href="#">TUTMAZ AND OTHERS</a> (No. 51053/99)	24 March 2004		
<a href="#">UÇAR AND OTHERS</a> (No. 55951/00)	27 February 2003		
<a href="#">ÜKÜNCÜ AND GÜNES</a> (No. 42775/98)	14 June 2004		
<a href="#">ÜNAL SÜLEYMAN</a> (No. 48616/99)	10 February 2005		
<a href="#">UZUN</a> (No. 48544/99)	20 July 2006		
<a href="#">YANIKOGLU</a> (No. 46284/99)	14 January 2005		
<a href="#">YAVUZ KENAN</a> (No. 52661/99)	13 February 2004		
<a href="#">YAVUZASLAN</a> (No. 53586/99)	22 July 2004		
<a href="#">YAYAN İBRAHİM</a> (No. 57965/00)	20 July 2006		
<a href="#">YESİL</a> (No. 50249/99)	1 October 2004		
<a href="#">YESİLTAS HÜSEYİN AND KAYA ZEKİ</a> (No. 52162/99)	15 October 2005		
<a href="#">YILDIRIM SÜLEYMAN</a> (No. 40518/98)	29 October 2004		

<a href="#">YILDIZ AND OTHERS</a> (No. 52164/99)	21 October 2005	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)256</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">YILDIZ AND SEVINC</a> (No. 26892/02)	27 January 2010		
<a href="#">YILDIZ BEKIR</a> (No. 49156/99)	15 February 2006		
<a href="#">YILDIZ HÜSEYİN</a> (No. 58400/00)	25 January 2006		
<a href="#">YILMAZ AND BARIM</a> (No. 47847/99)	22 September 2006		
<a href="#">YILMAZ AND DURC</a> (No. 57172/00)	22 March 2006		
<a href="#">YILMAZ FEYYAZ</a> (No. 62319/00)	15 October 2005		
<a href="#">YILMAZ HAYRETTİN BARBAROS</a> (No. 50743/99)	24 March 2004		
<a href="#">YILMAZ LEVENT CAN</a> (No. 53497/99)	21 October 2005		
<a href="#">YILMAZ MAHMUT AND OTHERS</a> (No. 47278/99)	8 November 2006		
<a href="#">YILMAZ MEHMET BÜLENT AND YILMAZ SAHİN</a> (No. 42552/98)	7 January 2005		
<a href="#">YILMAZ METİN</a> (No. 45733/99)	22 March 2005		
<a href="#">YILMAZ MURAT</a> (No. 48992/99)	24 September 2004		
<a href="#">YILMAZ YILDIZ</a> (No. 66689/01)	11 January 2006		
<a href="#">YÜKSEKTEPE</a> (No. 62227/00)	26 March 2007		
<a href="#">YURTSEVER</a> (No. 47628/99)	2 May 2006		
<a href="#">ZENGIN BÜLENT</a> (No. 60848/00)	29 February 2008		

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*



# Ukraine

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

CASE	DATE	RESOLUTION	CONCLUSION
<a href="#">TYULYAKOVA</a> (No. 6136/09)	11 December 2012	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)257</a>	Examination closed
<a href="#">DYMMA</a> (No. 37654/10)	5 February 2013	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)267</a>	

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRSS during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

### ■ Commissioner for Human Rights: Commissioner concerned about the situation in Ukraine (02.12.2013)

The Commissioner expressed his concern about the recent events, and called on the authorities to ensure that the policing of demonstrations is done in accordance with its human rights obligations ([Read more](#)).

### ■ PACE: Monitoring co-rapporteurs called for calm and restraint (03.12.2013)

The co-rapporteurs for the monitoring of Ukraine for the PACE urged the authorities to allow the Ukrainian people to express their opinions and to protest unimpededly. On the other hand, they called on protesters to fully respect the rules of democratic protest ([Read more](#) - [Ukraine: concern about violent escalation of the crisis](#)).

### ■ The authorities need to seek a consensus, co-rapporteurs said (20.12.2013)

The co-rapporteurs for the monitoring of Ukraine welcomed the agreement reached between the opposition and the ruling majority in the Verkhovna Rada on a general amnesty for those involved in the Euromaidan protests. However, they stressed that a genuinely independent inquiry into the police violence on 30 November is still needed. They stated that this agreement underscores both the need and potential for a continuing dialogue between authorities and the political forces united in the Euromaidan, about the further European integration of the country, and called on the authorities to seriously commit themselves to such a dialogue ([Read more](#) - [Monitoring co-rapporteurs to visit Ukraine](#)).

### ■ CPT: The committee held high-level talks in Ukraine (20.12.2013)

Representatives of the European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CPT) held high-level talks with the Ukrainian authorities on 13 and 19 December 2013 in Kyiv.

The talks were organised in the aftermath of police interventions on 30 November 2013 at Independence Square and on 1 December 2013 on Bankova Street in Kyiv. According to various reports, supported by public video footage, excessive force had been used by police officers when dispersing demonstrators and taking a number of them into custody ([Read more](#)).

### ■ FCNM: Committee's resolution- 3rd cycle (18.12.2013)

([Read more](#)).

### ■ GRECO: Publication of a Compliance report, confidential (during 62nd Plenary Meeting)

# United Kingdom

---

## A. Execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights

CASE	DATE	RESOLUTION	CONCLUSION
<a href="#">K.A. AND OTHERS</a> (No. 63008/11)	22 January 2013	<a href="#">CM/ResDH(2013)258</a>	Examination closed

## B. Resolutions, signatures and ratifications

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*

## C. Other information

*[No work deemed relevant for NHRs during the period under observation]*