

Stockholm, 1 June 2017

Convention on the Manipulation of Sports Competitions

Group of Copenhagen – Network of National Platforms

Data base – country fact sheet

SWEDEN

1. Administrative issues / State of progress

State of Progress

- ✤ First set up in 2014.
- Right now working to affect the government inquiry of the Swedish gambling market, discussing the platforms role towards other national platforms and also the possible need to create an operational level of the platform.

Legal Status

A coordinating council set up on initiative by the Swedish Sports Confederation

Responsible Secretariat

The Swedish Sports Confederation

Contact persons

✤ Johan Claesson +46705951853, email: johan.claesson@rf.se

Organizational form and composition of NP (bodies/entities)

- Swedish Sports Confederation
- Ministry of Finance
- Ministry of Health and Social Affairs
- Swedish Football Association
- State Prosecutor's Office
- National Lottery Svenska Spel
- The Police
- Lotteriinspektionen (= betting regulator),

2. Structure / Operational Aspects

Priorities / functions of the NP

- Exchanging information;

- Developing a general picture of the phenomena;
- Developing closer cooperation;
- Attacking common match fixing issues between the stakeholders

Objectives

To discuss and develop the anti-match-fixing work on a strategic level

Operational procedures

Meetings 4-6 times a year. The meetings are summoned by the Swedish Sports confederation but it takes place at different stakeholders at different times.

Implementation

Funding of the NP (estimated yearly budget, sources)

There is no funding of the NP.

Other points

3. **Development Process**

Origin of the initiative

The Swedish Sports Confederation

Leading actors involved in the development process

✤ A cooperation between all of the stakeholders

Work carried out to mobilise actors? How many meetings necessary / agendas ?

The work with creating the group in Sweden has been very smooth since all the work that takes place in the NP is on the strategic level.

Process in defining priorities and objectives (assessments, analysis, documents produced / published)

Previous situation (domestic law in application, difficulties in proceeding)

The regulations regarding the data protection is making it difficult to share all of the information between the different stakeholders.

Challenges in defining tasks and responsibilities / Reasons for inclusion or exclusion of main actors (and inclusion/exclusion of representatives)