

Strasbourg, 16 December 2011

CCJE(2011)7

# CONSULTATIVE COUNCIL OF EUROPEAN JUDGES (CCJE)

Questionnaire with a view of the preparation of Opinion No. 15 on specialisation of judges and courts

**Replies from Slovenia** 

# 1. Specialisation of courts

Types of courts/judges	(A) TICK THE BOX IF YOUR COUNTRY HAVE SPECIALISED COURTS/JUDGES IN:	(B)  IF YES TO (A),  TICK THE BOX  IF THEY ARE  SPECIALISED  JUDGES/CHAM  BERS WITHIN  A GENERALIST  COURT	(C) IF YES TO (A), TICK THE BOX IF THEY ARE A SEPARATE INSTITUTION WITHIN THE GENERAL ORGANISATION IOF THE JUDICIARY	(D) IF YES TO (A), TICK THE BOX IF THEY ARE A SEPARATE JUDICIARY FORMING A SYSTEM OF THEIR OWN <sup>1</sup>	(E) IF YES TO (A), TICK THE BOX IF THEY HAVE A COMPOSITI ON INCLUDING LAY MEMBERS OR ONLY OF LAY MEMBERS <sup>2</sup>	(F) IF YES TO (A), TICK THE BOX IF THEY HAVE A TERRITORIAL COMPETENCE DIFFERENT FROM GENERALIST COURTS (PLEASE SPECIFY IF APPROPRIATE )	(G) IF YES TO (A), TICK THE BOX IF THEY APPLY RULES (PROCEDURE, EVIDENCE, ETC.) THAT ARE DIFFERENT FROM THOSE APPLICABLE IN GENERALIST COURTS (PLEASE SPECIFY IF APPROPRIATE)
Family courts	X	X					,
Juvenile courts	Х	х			X		
Administrative courts/council of state	X		Х				х
Immigration/Asylum Courts of Account	X						
Military Courts	X			X			X
Tax Courts	x						
Labour/social courts	X		Х		Х		
Courts for agricultural contracts  Consumers' claims							
courts							
Small claims courts							
Courts for wills and inheritances	X	X					
Patent/copyrights/tr ademark courts	X	X					
Commercial courts	X	Х					
Bankruptcy courts	Х	Х					
Courts for land disputes							
"Cours d'arbitrage"							
Serious crimes courts/courts of assize	X	х			х		
Courts for the supervision of criminal investigations (e.g. authorising arrest, wire-tappings, etc.)	X	x					

-

For exemple, appeals on decisions of the specialised court of first instance is filed with a specialised court of appeals, council of state, etc.

For exemple, composition including lay members: jurors, psychologists, engineers; please specify qualifications and system of recruitment. For exemple composition of only lay members: representatives of labour organisations, aldermen-échevins, justices of the peace, magistrates etc.

Courts for the	X	Х			
supervision of					
criminal					
enforcement and					
custody in					
penitentiaries					
Other, please					
specify:					

To what extent specialisation of courts is relevant in your system?

# 2. Specialisation of judges

a) Does the recruitment procedure take into account the specialised studies accomplished after the university diploma in law? Does it take into account the specialised professional experience? Please specify.

There is no law that would prescribe the relevance of specialised studies in the recruitment procedure, but it is the policy of the judicial council to consider this element in the recruitment procedure.

b) Are judges promoted to a higher court, or assigned to a post of chief judge, on the basis of a procedure giving relevance to specialisation? Please specify.

It is the policy of the judicial council to consider this element in the promotion procedure, e.g. management skills when assigning a judge to a post of a chief judge.

c) May a judge from a generalist court move to a specialised court, or from one specialisation to another:

As to separate court systems:	Within the same court system:			
by just filing an application examined on	⊠by showing professional experience?			
the basis of seniority and other criteria not				
including previous specialisation?				
by resigning from original post and	□by attending specialisation/reconversion			
participate to a new recruitment?	courses?			
other?	□by passing a specialisation exam?			

- d) Does the system ensure that all judges may access specialisation (e.g. by giving them the appropriate information)? Yes.
- e) What are for judges the criteria for access to specialisation? Seniority, professional experience.
- f) Do financial provisions exist helping judges to transfer to other towns where specialisation may be acquired? There are no special provisions helping judges to acquire specialisation, but there exist general provisions helping judges (financial benefits, special real estate fund) who are assigned to a post in another town.
- g) Are there access/reconversion courses to specialised functions:
- Yes, within the judicial training institute?
- Yes, organised by an institution different from the judicial training institute?
- No? 🔲

- h) Are there training courses reserved only to specialised judges? Yes.
- i) Are exchanges of judicial experiences between different specialisations and/or generalist groups of judges organised?

Yes.

- j) Do specialised positions exist in court for organisational purposes only (e.g., judge serving as spokesperson for the court; judge for the development of IT in court; judge cooperating with ADR services, etc.)? Yes
- k) If yes, is there a specific training for this type of posts? Please specify.

There is special training for some posts (e.g. judges co-operating with ADR services), but not all of them.

I) Do specialised judges have a higher compensation than generalist judges? Please distinguish, if relevant, according to whether the specialist judges belong to the same court system or a separate court system (e.g., in some countries, depending on the distinction between ordinary judges and administrative judges).

There is no such distinction except for judges hearing serious crime cases who enjoy a special status with financial benefits.

- m) Are there special allowances, or benefits in kind, for specialised judges?
- See I). There are also financial benefits for investigation judges and some other specialised judges when they are on duty outside of regular working hours.
- n) Are specialised judges entitled to a preferential access to higher courts? If yes, is such access limited to the specialisation field of the judge concerned?

Such access is in practice limited to the specialisation field of the judge concerned.

#### 3. Specialisation of courts vis-à-vis specialisation of other actors of justice

a) Is the bar and/or professional associations of lawyers organised on a specialised basis in your country? Please specify.

Yes. Lawyers with a master's degree from a certain legal field (e.g. intellectual property, labour law, media law) are entitled to a special title ("a lawyer specialist") and an extra fee from the parties.

b) In order to practice before specialised courts, have lawyers to be specialised (e.g. registered in special bar listings)?

No.

- c) Is public prosecution organised on a specialised basis in your country? Please specify. According to the Public Prosecution Act there are prosecutors specialised in investigating serious crime.
- d) In order to practice before specialised courts, have prosecutors to be specialised (e.g. belong to specialised department of the prosecution service)?

No.

e) Are specialised judges assisted by specialised staff (clerks, technical staff, etc.) ? If yes, is this staff recruited on an *ad hoc* basis?

No.

## 4. Specialisation and governance

Is your Council for the judiciary or other another equivalent independent body entrusted with the protection of the independence of judges competent for all specialised judges, as for all generalist judges? If not, please specify the specialised judges that are not under the governance of the Council.

The Council for Judiciary is entrusted with this task except for the recruitment of auditors of the Court of Accounts (Court of Audit) which has a special position outside of the judiciary.

## 5. Specialisation, professional associations of judges, judicial ethics

- a) Are there in your country professional associations of specialised judges? No.
- b) Do specialised judges have separate or common "principles of judicial ethics" with respect to generalist judges? If separate principles apply, please specify (i.e. separate principles needed by exposure of specialised judges to problems affecting juveniles, family problems, labour disputes, etc.).

No.

#### 6. Conclusion

- a) Please give your opinion on the advantages and disadvantages of special courts. Special courts exist to serve special or marginalized topics that general courts find difficult to adjudicate easily or fairly. Some courts, such as bankruptcy courts, may require specialised knowledge or rules to handle cases fairly. Their work is transparent and the jurisprudence more predictable. On the other hand, special courts run the risk of encouraging special interest groups to take an undue interest in influencing court decisions. Special forums become dependent on a particular judge, creating problems of succession when judicial assignments rotate. They may also impose very high costs on generalist courts by tying up resources, generating tensions within the bench.
- b) Please give your opinion on the advantages and disadvantages of specialisation of judges Specialised judges have a greater understanding of issues and are better able to offer fair rulings based on the facts. On the other hand they may become overly deferential to certain interest groups or experts. The perspective promoted by maintaining distance from a subject matter is eroded by specialisation.

<sup>3</sup> See principles of judicial ethics as defined in CCJE's Opinion No. 3 (i.e. rules having no disciplinary impact).