



Strasbourg, 19 July 2010

Greco (2010) 15E

47th Plenary Meeting of GRECO
(Strasbourg, 7 – 11 June 2010)

SUMMARY REPORT

I. Opening of the Meeting

1. The 47th Plenary Meeting was chaired by Mr Drago KOS (President of GRECO, Slovenia). Mr Marin MRČELA (Vice-President of GRECO, Croatia) took the chair during the President's absence on Tuesday and part of Wednesday.
2. The President opened the meeting by welcoming all representatives, referring in particular to those who had been newly nominated.
3. The list of participants appears in Appendix I to this report.

II. Adoption of the agenda

4. The agenda was adopted as it appears in Appendix II to this report.

III. Information provided by the President, delegations and the Executive Secretary

5. The President informed the Plenary that he had recently participated as a speaker in an international conference on "Fighting corruption at local and regional level" organised by the Congress of Local and Regional Authorities of the Council of Europe, the Committee of the Regions of the European Union, the Municipality of Messina and the Sicilian Region (Messina, Italy, 7 May 2010) and the 17th National Government Ethics Conference (Chicago, 10-14 May 2010) and he would participate in a conference organised by the World Bank and the United Nations Office of Drugs and Crime entitled: "No Safe Havens: A Global Forum on Stolen Asset Recovery and Development (Paris, 8-9 June 2010). As regards recent developments in **Slovenia**, he announced the entry into force, on 5 June 2010 of the Integrity and Corruption Prevention Act, which significantly strengthened the role of the Commission for the Prevention of Corruption (including new responsibilities as regards lobbying).
6. The representative of **Austria** informed the Plenary of the establishment of the International Anti-Corruption Academy (IACA) in Laxenburg (Austria), an initiative that had been developed principally by the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) and Austria, strongly supported by the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF), together with a number of other stakeholders, including Interpol, OECD, the European Network of Anti-Corruption Authorities (EPAC), the International Association of Anti-Corruption Authorities (IAACA), the World Bank and Transparency International. The aim of the Academy was to use an interdisciplinary, inter-regional, inter-cultural and inter-sectorial approach to address the problem of corruption holistically and comprehensively, to provide professional training and research and set state of the art benchmarks, foster direct dialogue and improve effectiveness of institutions, organisations and individuals engaged in the prevention and fight against corruption. The Academy would also serve as a platform for dialogue and networking and act as an anti-corruption think tank. In the mid-term the opening of regional offices was planned. An International Steering Committee composed of representatives of UNODC, OLAF and Austria, an International Senior Advisory Board as well as an International Academic Advisory Board had been set up. At a high-level Founders Conference to be held on 2-3 September 2010 in Vienna, it was expected that an agreement would be finalised establishing the Academy as a fully-fledged international organisation by 2011. It was also by then that IACA should be in a position to roll-out the full spectrum of its academic and other programmes and activities. An information pack was made available to participants. They were also informed that invitations to the Conference would be sent out to all GRECO representatives in due course.

7. The representative of the **Russian Federation** informed the plenary about recent changes in legislation in response to GRECO's First and Second Round recommendations to the country. A new Anti-Corruption Strategy and Action Plan had been endorsed by the President and implementation was underway. A new system for the declaration and control of assets had been established and more than 300 000 officials had filed declarations this year. Following a seminar held last December in which GRECO experts had participated a handbook had been published (an English version was available). The new Strategy and Action Plan which had been specifically designed to meet the requirements of GRECO's recommendations was very comprehensive and involved all the major national stakeholders, including some sectors of the private sector.
8. The representative of the **United Kingdom** informed the plenary about new legislation which was to replace the fragmented old law. In 2003 a draft Bill had failed in pre-legislative scrutiny due to fundamental issues relating to what constituted bribery/corruption for criminal law purposes. The time it took to reach an agreement was testament to the fact that the legal concepts involved are very complex, especially if one wants to ensure that the law is comprehensive. In the 2003 draft legislation, the agent/principal model had been adopted, which was a concept that worked in certain circumstances but could be artificial in others. That construct had been abandoned for the new legislation which adopted what was sometimes known as the "improper conduct" model. The new bribery legislation that was enacted on 8 April 2010 contains two general offences covering all aspects of active and passive bribery in both the public and private sector. This was a good example of UK style legislation in which offences were as broad as possible. It also contains substantial definitional provisions. In addition, the legislation contained two offences which focused specifically on commercial bribery. First, a specific discrete offence related to bribery of foreign public officials in a business context. Here the intention had been to reduce the hurdles that prosecutors needed to get over in order to prove the active offence. It is sometimes very difficult in foreign jurisdictions to identify the duties of particular public officials. Therefore, the offence removed the need for a prosecutor to identify exactly what that official's duties are. Second, a new form of corporate liability had also been included, i.e. liability based on a failure to prevent bribery – a very wide ranging offence that related to any commercial organisation incorporated in the UK or, if not so incorporated, which carried out business in the UK. If such a company failed to prevent bribery on its behalf it would be liable to prosecution. This was a discrete offence of failure to prevent bribery and was distinct from legal liability for substantive offences. It would still be possible in English criminal law for a legal person to be convicted of substantive active and passive bribery offences, but through the application of general principles. The Act needed to be implemented by the new Government. Even though the legislation was comprehensive, the focus when formulating the legislation had been almost exclusively on commercial bribery in response to calls from NGOs and parliamentarians to cover the use of undue advantages by corporations, particularly in the developing world, to secure public contracts.
9. The representative of the **UNODC** provided up-to-date information on the preparatory work for the United Nations Convention against Corruption (UNCAC) review mechanism (codified in resolution 3/1 of the third session of the Conference of the States Parties to the UNCAC). The agenda of the first session of the Implementation Review Group (IRG) included the examination of draft guidelines for governmental experts and the secretariat on the conduct of country reviews and the draft blueprint for country review reports as well as the drawing of lots to determine which countries would be reviewed in the first year and who the reviewing States parties would be. The IRG would also follow-up on and

continue the work of the Open-ended Working Group on Technical Assistance which would make it possible to benchmark technical assistance needs. A document on how technical assistance might be addressed by the IRG would also be examined (all documentation was available on-line).

10. The **Executive Secretary** first presented the horizontal review of the first 22 Third Round Evaluations on Political Funding that had been carried out by Mr Yves Marie DOUBLET (GRECO consultant on Party Funding, France). It provided a clear, systematic overview of all major topics and issues examined in the framework of Theme II of the Third Evaluation Round. An English version would soon be available and the review would be published on GRECO's website. Commissioning a similar study on Theme I of the Third Evaluation Round (Incriminations) was being considered.
11. Copies were also available of two recommendations sent by the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe to the Committee of Ministers: Recommendation 1908 (2010) on Lobbying in a democratic society (European Code of conduct on lobbying) and Recommendation 1916 (2010) on The protection of "whistle-blowers". The Committee of Ministers had invited GRECO to comment on both recommendations and GRECO's response would be included on the agenda of its September Plenary Meeting. He drew attention to the call contained in the recommendation on lobbying for the elaboration of a European Code of good conduct on lobbying which had been a point raised in the Programme of Action against Corruption which formed the source of Council of Europe anti-corruption activities. The recommendation on whistle-blowers called for the drawing up of a set of guidelines for their protection. Both texts drew significant inspiration from GRECO's work.
12. Kazakhstan had renewed its request to the Committee of Ministers to be invited to become a party to the Criminal and Civil Law Conventions on Corruption (ETS 173 and 174) as well as several other Council of Europe conventions. Accession to GRECO through ratification of the anti-corruption conventions was no longer an option for the time being, due to objections on the part of some states during the relevant consultation procedure.
13. The Executive Secretary expressed his gratitude to the authorities of Monaco for a voluntary contribution to GRECO's 2010 budget. The sum could be put to good use possibly by bearing costs related to the preparation of GRECO's Fourth Evaluation Round.
14. He informed the plenary of a conference on Theory and Practice of Revelation and Suppression of Corruption Offences (Moscow, 17-18 December 2009). Some 200 public prosecutors (including prosecutors from Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Kazakhstan and Ukraine), investigators and control authority officials had participated. Mr Kaarle LEHMUS, Head of Finland's delegation and Mr Björn JANSON from the Secretariat had represented GRECO at the conference and presented the conclusions and recommendations addressed to the Russian Federation by GRECO. A strong willingness on the part of all concerned in pursuing cooperation had been demonstrated at the event.
15. Spain was the most recent country to have ratified the Criminal Law Convention on Corruption (ETS 173). Now only Austria, Germany, Italy and Liechtenstein (signatory) had not ratified.
16. Perturbations in air traffic had led to the postponement of the Third Round evaluation visit to Romania to June 2010 which would unfortunately impact

negatively on the distribution of reports for adoption between the agendas of the September and December Plenary Meetings.

17. Finally, representatives were also informed of the future introduction of individual passwords giving access to the restricted part of GRECO's website – further information would be forwarded to all representatives in due course.

IV. Joint First and Second Evaluation Rounds

18. The draft Joint First and Second Round Compliance Report on **Austria** had been prepared, on the basis of a Situation Report submitted by the authorities of the country, in consultation with Rapporteurs designated on behalf of Italy and the Russian Federation. The Rapporteurs communicated their observations on the draft report to the plenary and a detailed reading of it followed.
19. GRECO adopted the Joint First and Second Round Compliance Report on Austria (Greco RC I/II Rep (2010) 1E) and invited Austria to authorise its publication as soon as possible. The deadline fixed for the submission of additional information regarding the implementation of outstanding recommendations was 31 December 2011.
20. GRECO examined the draft Addenda to the Joint First and Second Round Compliance Reports on **Armenia, Serbia and Turkey**. The drafts were based on information provided by the authorities of the countries as required by the conclusions of GRECO's Joint First and Second Round Compliance Reports. Following detailed readings, GRECO adopted the Addenda to the Joint First and Second Round Compliance Reports on Armenia (Greco RC-I/II (2008) 3E Addendum), Serbia (Greco RC-I/II (2008) 1E Addendum) and Turkey (Greco RC-I/II (2008) 2E Addendum) and thus concluded the Second Round compliance procedures in respect of those countries.
21. GRECO noted with satisfaction that the authorities of Armenia and Turkey authorised the publication of the reports above and those of Serbia were invited to do so as soon as possible.
22. Finally, as regards Joint First and Second Round procedures, GRECO approved the composition of the Team that would be in charge of the evaluation of GRECO's most recent member State, Liechtenstein (Greco Eval II (2003) 1bil. of 30 April 2010).

V. Second Evaluation Round

23. GRECO examined the draft Addenda to the Second Round Compliance Reports on **Cyprus, the Czech Republic and Hungary**. The drafts were based on information provided by the authorities of the countries as required by the conclusions of GRECO's Second Round Compliance Reports. Following detailed readings, GRECO adopted the Addenda to the Second Round Compliance Reports on Cyprus (Greco RC-II (2008) 1E Addendum), the Czech Republic (Greco RC-II (2008) 3E Addendum) and Hungary (Greco RC-II (2008) 4E Addendum) and thus concluded the Second Round compliance procedure in respect of those countries.
24. GRECO noted with satisfaction that the authorities of the Czech Republic authorised the publication of the report above and those of Cyprus¹ and Hungary were invited to do so as soon as possible.

¹ The Addendum to the Second Round Compliance Report on Cyprus was subsequently made public on 29 June 2010.

VI. Third Evaluation Round

25. An in-depth reading of the draft Third Round Evaluation Reports scheduled for adoption was carried out by the plenary with the participation of the Evaluation Teams which had previously carried on-site visits to the countries concerned.
26. Following a second reading of drafts that had been revised in the light of the discussions held during the first reading, GRECO adopted the Third Round Evaluation Reports on **Greece** (Greco Eval III Rep (2009) 9E – Themes I and II) and **Hungary** (Greco Eval III Rep (2009) 8E – Themes I and II).
27. Having carried out a first and second reading of Theme I as well as a first reading of Theme II (paragraphs 1 – 97) of the draft Third Round Evaluation Report on **Bulgaria**, GRECO decided to complete the formal adoption process of the report as a whole (Themes I and II) at its next Plenary Meeting (GRECO 48, 27 September – 1 October 2010).
28. The authorities of Greece² and Hungary were invited to authorise publication of their respective reports as soon as possible and the deadline fixed for submission of Situation Reports on implementation of the recommendations addressed to them was 31 December 2011 in both cases.
29. The plenary also examined the draft Third Round Compliance Reports on **Luxembourg** and the **Netherlands** which had been prepared, on the basis of Situation Reports submitted by the authorities of the countries concerned, in consultation with Rapporteurs designated on behalf of Switzerland and Moldova for Luxembourg and Lithuania and Spain for the Netherlands. The Rapporteurs communicated their observations on the draft compliance reports and a detailed reading of the reports followed.
30. GRECO adopted the Third Round Compliance Report on Luxembourg (Greco RC-III (2010) 4E) and concluded in the report that the current very low level of compliance was “globally unsatisfactory” in the meaning of Rule 31, paragraph 8.3 of the Rules of Procedure and therefore decided to apply Rule 32 and - pursuant to paragraph 2(i) of that rule - asked Luxembourg to submit a report on progress in implementing outstanding recommendations, as soon as possible and by 31 December 2010 at the latest. The progress report would be examined by GRECO at its first plenary meeting in 2011.
31. GRECO adopted the Third Round Compliance Report on the Netherlands (Greco RC-III (2010) 5E) and fixed the deadline for the submission by the authorities of the country of additional information regarding the further implementation of recommendations at 31 December 2011.
32. The authorisation from Luxembourg to publish the above report was noted with satisfaction and the Netherlands were asked to do likewise as soon as possible.
33. The composition of the Team in charge of the Third Round Evaluation of Georgia - as contained in document Greco Eval III (2007) 1bil of 30 April 2010 - was approved.

² The Third Round Evaluation Report on Greece was subsequently made public on 7 July 2010.

VII. Fourth Evaluation Round

34. The President introduced the results of the Bureau's discussions on GRECO's Fourth Evaluation Round which had followed on from those held by the plenary during its March meeting (Greco (2010) 10E Revised). As regards the thematic scope of the new round, the Bureau proposed two options: Option I – "Corruption prevention in parliamentary assemblies and the judiciary (judges and other actors of the judicial process), for which the Bureau had a clear preference, and Option II – "Corruption prevention in parliamentary assemblies, the judiciary *stricto sensu* (judges only) and the private sector". The Bureau felt that the two options proposed would provide a suitable framework for GRECO evaluation teams to prepare quality reports covering crucial areas of state authority, they would almost certainly not trigger any major alterations to the Group's working methods and would have the clear advantage of concentrating on one essential field of anti-corruption policy, i.e. preventive measures and their effectiveness in practice. The Bureau also submitted to the plenary draft terms of reference for a working party to assist GRECO in preparing the Fourth Evaluation Round (WP-Eval IV) as well as proposals for its composition.
35. There was broad support within the plenary for Option I and following careful consideration of what should be understood as constituting "judges and other actors of the judicial process", GRECO decided that the theme for the Fourth Evaluation Round would be: "Corruption prevention in parliamentary assemblies, the judiciary and among other actors of the pre-judicial and judicial process". As the aforementioned "other actors" would differ from country to country, WP-Eval IV would, in its proposals, provide GRECO with advice on how to provide for evaluation of the broadest, most appropriate categories of actors. Some support for looking into the private sector (policies incepted by governments to promote integrity and prevent corruption in private business), albeit within a later evaluation round, could also be noted.
36. GRECO adopted Terms of Reference for working party WP-Eval IV (including details as regards its composition) as set out in document Greco (2010) 14E. It was entrusted notably with identifying the precise topics to be addressed under each sub-theme of the Fourth Evaluation Round, drawing up a focused evaluation questionnaire as well as advising GRECO on a number of practical aspects. The working party's final report to GRECO and draft questionnaire for consideration and adoption by GRECO would be submitted to the Bureau no later than 1 March 2011.

VIII. Budget 2011

37. The Executive Secretary presented Budgetary Proposals for GRECO for 2011 that had again been developed in accordance with the principle of zero real growth, in line with the limits currently adhered to within the Council of Europe and in line with instructions from the Secretary General. The document submitted constituted the Secretary General's proposals. A work programme of four, possibly five plenary meetings and eleven or twelve evaluation visits had been foreseen. Apart from a few alignments resulting from an analysis of real costs, the structure followed that of the 2010 budget. At a later stage in the budget preparation process for the whole Organisation, some slight statutory adjustments as well as adjustments in respect of inflation (rate to be applied: 0,2%) would need to be made.
38. GRECO approved the Budgetary Proposals for 2011 (Greco (2010) 12E Revised) and, in accordance with standing practice, instructed the Executive Secretary to

transmit them to the Secretary General for consideration by the Budget Committee, prior to their transmission to the Statutory Committee for adoption.

IX. GRECO and the European Union

39. GRECO, with the participation of Mr Christos GIAKOUMOPOULOS, Director of Monitoring, DG-HL, met with representatives of the Secretariats of the European Commission and the Council of the European Union (cf. List of Participants, Appendix I) who provided information on the latest developments concerning EU anti-corruption initiatives, in particular the Commission's action plan for implementing the Stockholm Programme. The Commission felt that its proposed action in the field of anti-corruption policy needed to take account of high public expectations as regards fighting corruption. The action plan included the submission (in 2011) of a proposal for an evaluation mechanism of anti corruption policies of EU Member States. A related impact assessment – of which a wide range of consultations was an integral element - was being conducted to provide an analysis of different policy options that one could take in order to step up the fight against corruption.
40. Perspectives for enhanced cooperation between the EU and GRECO (including the question of EU accession to GRECO) were also referred to by the representatives of the EU. It was felt that the EU could benefit from GRECO's findings and experience and that accession to GRECO could provide added value, but would not alone answer the expectations of the Stockholm Programme as understood by the Commission. The Council of Europe and GRECO were invited to provide input on possible forms of enhanced cooperation (including possible options for EU accession to GRECO).
41. In the light of the above exchange of views, GRECO held a further debate on the subject of cooperation with the European Union later in the week. GRECO took note of the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions "Delivering an area of freedom, security and justice for Europe's citizens – Action Plan Implementing the Stockholm Programme (COM(2010) 171 final) and of the Conclusions concerning the Action Plan adopted at the 3018th Council meeting – Justice and Home Affairs – of 3-4 June 2010. The Council Conclusions concerning the Action Plan indicated some reservations as regards a departure by the Commission in its Action Plan from the framework for EU action in the anti-corruption field laid down in the Stockholm Programme and in this context, GRECO noted in particular the Council's statement that "the Stockholm Programme is the only guiding frame of reference for the political and operational agenda of the European Union in the Area of Justice, Security and Freedom".
42. GRECO felt it was important to reiterate its willingness to contribute to the development of a comprehensive anti-corruption policy of the European Union, in line with the invitation addressed by the European Council to the Commission in the Stockholm Programme and, moreover, stressed that accession of the European Union to GRECO would represent a unique opportunity both for the European Union and GRECO to reinforce the coherence and hence, the efficiency of action to fight corruption in Europe.
43. Moreover, GRECO stressed that it would not be in favour of the establishment of a new monitoring mechanism. A further proliferation of mechanisms would risk incompatible standards being set, and, what was more, could ultimately weaken anti-corruption efforts. GRECO considered however that an EU evaluation process to measure anti-corruption efforts in Member States, in particular, as indicated in the Stockholm Programme, in areas of the *acquis*, would serve anti-corruption

policies provided it clearly avoided duplication with GRECO's work, relied on its monitoring process and findings and produced added value by facilitating the effective implementation of its recommendations. In this connection some delegations expressed the view that the collection of data under EU auspices (e.g. extent and trends of corruption; cases dealt with by the (criminal) justice systems, etc.) might provide useful guidance to both domestic and international policy-makers and represent a valuable complement to the existing monitoring mechanisms.

44. GRECO asked the Secretariat to pursue these matters further with the relevant services of the European Union and to report back to GRECO at appropriate intervals, *inter alia*, on possible accession options identifying and anticipating the concerns that would need to be addressed in the framework of the accession process. The considerations raised by GRECO during its discussions at the current meeting were to be used to guide the Secretariat in that process.

X. Miscellaneous

45. The plenary was informed of an exchange of letters (Greco Inf (2010) 2 Eng only) between the Council of Europe Development Bank (CEB) and the Secretary General of the Council of Europe concerning a request by the CEB to appoint a representative in GRECO pursuant to Article 7, paragraphs 2 and 4 of GRECO's Statute. GRECO expressed a favourable opinion regarding the request.
46. GRECO welcomed the study that had been carried out by Mr Yves Marie DOUBLET (GRECO consultant on Party Funding, France) "Political financing: GRECO's first 22 evaluations" (cf. paragraph 11 above).

XI. Adoption of decisions

47. The decisions of the 47th Plenary Meeting were adopted, as they appear in document Greco (2010) 13E.

XII. Forthcoming meetings

48. GRECO noted that the Bureau would hold its 54th meeting in Strasbourg on 14 September 2010 and that the 48th Plenary Meeting would be held in Strasbourg on 27 September to 1 October 2010.

APPENDIX I

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

ALBANIA / ALBANIE

Ms Helena PAPA

Inspector, Department of Internal Administrative Control and Anti-Corruption, Council of Ministers,

ANDORRA / ANDORRE

M. Sergi ALIS SOULIE (Chef de délégation)

Unité de Prévention et Lutte contre la Corruption, Présidence du gouvernement

M. Gérard ALIS EROLES

Avocat, Présidence du gouvernement

ARMENIA / ARMENIE

Mr Artur OSIKYAN (Head of delegation)

Deputy Head of Police

Mr Karen GEVORGYAN

Deputy Dean of International Relations, Faculty of Law, Yerevan State University

Mr Gevorg KOSTANYAN

Assistant, President of the Republic of Armenia

AUSTRIA / AUTRICHE

Mr Christian MANQUET (Head of delegation)

Head of Unit, Directorate for Penal Legislation, Federal Ministry of Justice

Mr Martin KREUTNER

Federal Ministry of the Interior

AZERBAIJAN / AZERBAIDJAN

Mr Inam KARIMOV (Head of delegation)

Chief Adviser, Law Enforcement Coordination Department, Administration of the President of the Republic, Secretary of the Commission for Combating Corruption

BELGIUM / BELGIQUE

M. Frederik DECRUYENAERE (Chef de délégation)

Attaché au Service du droit pénal spécial, Service Public Fédéral Justice (SPF Justice)

M. Paul MULS

Premier conseiller de direction, Secrétaire de la commission de contrôle des dépenses électorales
Chambre des représentants

BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA / BOSNIE-HERZEGOVINE

Mr Sead TEMIM

Prosecutor, Federal Prosecutor's Office of the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina

BULGARIA / BULGARIE

Mr Georgi RUPCHEV (Head of delegation)

State Expert, Directorate of International Cooperation and European Affairs, Ministry of Justice

Ms Irena BORISOVA

Head of Department of International Cooperation and Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters
Directorate of International Cooperation and European Affairs, Ministry of Justice

Ms Pavlina NIKOLOVA

Prosecutor, Supreme Prosecution Office of Cassation

Ms Iskra BELOVSKA

Chief Auditor in Department VII, National Audit Office

Ms Snezhina DIMITROVA
Chief expert, International Relations and European Integration Directorate, National Audit Office

Mr Georgi NESHEV
Chief expert, International Relations and European Integration Directorate, National Audit Office

CROATIA / CROATIE

Mr Marin MRČELA (Head of delegation)
Justice of the Supreme Court

CYPRUS / CHYPRE

Mr Philippos KOMODROMOS (Head of delegation)
Counsel of the Republic, Law Office of the Republic of Cyprus

CZECH REPUBLIC / REPUBLIQUE TCHEQUE

Ms Helena LIŠUCHOVÁ (Head of delegation)
Legal Expert, Department for International Programmes and Cooperation, Ministry of Justice

Ms Marta LÉBLOVÁ
Public Administration Section, Ministry of the Interior

DENMARK / DANEMARK

Mr Lars LICHTENSTEIN
Head of Section, Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions

ESTONIA / ESTONIE

Ms Mari-Liis SÕÖT (Head of delegation)
Head of Criminal Statistics and Analysis Division, Criminal Policy Department, Ministry of Justice,

FINLAND / FINLANDE

Mr Kaarle J. LEHMUS (Head of delegation)
Inspector General of the Police, National Police Board

Ms Helinä LEHTINEN
Ministerial Advisor, Ministry of Justice, Crime Policy Department

FRANCE

M Jean ALEGRE (Chef de délégation)
Chargé de mission auprès du directeur des affaires juridiques, Ministère des Affaires étrangères et européennes

M Richard MARTINEZ
Conseiller, Service Central de Prévention de la Corruption, Service Interministériel placé auprès du Ministère de la Justice

M. Stéphane GAUVIN
Adjoint au Chef du Service Juridique de la Responsable du pôle des partis politiques Commission Nationale des Comptes de Campagne et des Financements Politiques (CNCCFP)

GEORGIA / GEORGIE

Mr Otar KAKHIDZE
Head of Analytical Department, Ministry of Justice

GERMANY / ALLEMAGNE

Ms Nora KAISER
Deputy Head of Division, Economic Crime, Computer Crime, Corruption-related Crime and Environmental Crime, Federal Ministry of Justice

Ms Cornelia GÄDIGK
Senior public prosecutor, Head of Division 57 "Corruption Crimes", Prosecution office Hamburg

Mr Karl-Heinz NASSMACHER
Professor Emeritus at the Carl von Ossietzky University

GREECE / GRECE

Mr Demosthenis STINGAS

Investigative Judge of the First Instance for crime of corruption in the public sector

Ms Alexandra STAVROPOULOU

Legal Advisor to the Secretary General, Office of the Secretary General of the Greek Parliament

Mr Dimitris PAGANOS

Special Service of the Audit Committee for the Parties' & Parliamentarians'Accounts, Hellenic Parliament

HUNGARY / HONGRIE

Ms Viktória SOÓS

Legal Advisor, Department of Criminal Law Legislation, Ministry of Justice

Ms Eszter BODNÁR

Legal advisor

Ms Linda PETŐ

Legal advisor

ICELAND / ISLANDE

Apologised / Excusé

IRELAND / Irlande

Apologised / Excusé

ITALY / ITALIE

Mr Silvio BONFIGLI

Magistrate, Anticorruption and Transparency Service

Ms Anna PAGOTTO

Ministère de la Justice, Direction Générale de la justice pénale

LATVIA / LETTONIE

Mr Alvis VILKS (Head of delegation)

Deputy Director, Corruption Prevention and Combating Bureau

Ms Sandra ŠIMKUS

Head of division of corruption analysis and countermeasures methodology, Corruption Prevention and Combating Bureau

LIECHTENSTEIN

Apologised / Excusé

LITHUANIA / LITUANIE

Ms Aušra BERNOTIENE (Head of delegation)

Director, Department of International Law, Ministry of Justice

Ms Elena KONCEVICIUTE

International Relations Officer, International Cooperation Division, Special Investigation Service

LUXEMBOURG

M. Jean BOUR (Chef de délégation)

Procureur d'Etat, Parquet du Tribunal d'Arrondissement de Diekirch

M. Jean-Paul FRISING

Procureur d'Etat adjoint, Parquet du Tribunal d'Arrondissement de Luxembourg

Mme Claudine KONSBRUCK

Conseiller de direction, 1ère classe, Ministère de la Justice

MALTA / MALTE

Mr Silvio CAMILLERI (Head of delegation)
Attorney General, Attorney General's Office, The Palace

MOLDOVA

Mme Cornelia VICLEANSCHI (Chef de délégation)
Procureur, Chef de la Section Générale, Bureau du Procureur Général

MONACO

M. Frédéric COTTALORDA
Chef de Section, Service d'Information et de Contrôle sur les Circuits Financiers (SICCFIN),
Département des Finances et de l'Economie

MONTENEGRO

Apologised / Excusé

NETHERLANDS / PAYS-BAS

Mr Bastiaan WINKEL-BOER (Head of delegation)
Policy Advisor, Ministry of Justice

Mr Harry DE WIT
Policy advisor, Directorate Public Sector Employment, Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom
Relations

NORWAY / NORVEGE

Mr Christian Fredrik HORST
Deputy Director General, Ministry of Government Administration and Reform

Mr Jens-Oscar NERGÅRD
Senior Adviser, Ministry of Government Administration and Reform

Mr Trygve HEYERDAHL
Senior Adviser, Ministry of Justice

POLAND / POLOGNE

Apologised / Excusé

PORTUGAL

Ms Ines MARINHO
Legal advisor, Directorate General for Justice Policy, Ministry of Justice

Mr Paulo PINTO DE ALBUQUERQUE
Professor of law, College of Law of Illinois / Faculty of Law of the University of Lisbon

ROMANIA / ROUMANIE

Ms Anca CHELARU (Head of delegation)
Deputy Director, Department for Relations with the Public Ministry, Prevention of Crime and
Corruption, Ministry of Justice and Citizens Freedoms

Ms Laura STEFAN
Anticorruption Coordinator, Romanian Academic Society

RUSSIAN FEDERATION / FEDERATION DE RUSSIE

Mr Aleksandr BUKSMAN (Head of delegation)
First Deputy Prosecutor General, Prosecutor General's Office

Mr Oleg PLOKHOI
Deputy Head, Human resources and government awards Department, Administration of the
President

Mr Aslan YUSUFOV
Deputy Head of Directorate, Head of Section of supervision over implementation of anti-corruption
legislation, Prosecutor General's Office

Mr Andrei ILYIN
Deputy Head of Section, Office of the Representative of the Russian Federation at the European Court of Human Rights, Ministry of Justice

Mr Konstantin KOSORUKOV
Deputy to the Permanent Representative of the Russian Federation to the Council of Europe

REPUBLIC OF SERBIA / REPUBLIQUE DE SERBIE

Ms Zorana MARKOVIC (Head of delegation)
Director of the Agency for the Fight against Corruption

Mr Slobodan BOSKOVIC
Assistant Minister, Ministry of Justice

Ms Milica DJUNIC
Legal Consultant, Ministry of Justice

Ms Ana MAKIC
Legal consultant, Ministry of Justice

Ms Vesna JEVTIC
Advisor, Group for system of financing political parties, Sector for the preparation of regulations,
Ministry of Finance

SLOVAK REPUBLIC / REPUBLIQUE SLOVAQUE

Mr Vladimír KOTULIČ (Head of delegation)
Deputy Director, International Police Cooperation Bureau

Ms Alexandra KAPIŠOVSKÁ
Main State Counsellor, Ministry of Justice

SLOVENIA / SLOVENIE

Mr Drago KOS
President of GRECO / Président du GRECO
Chairman, Commission for the Prevention of Corruption

Ms Vita HABJAN
Assistant Head, Sector for Prevention, Commission for the prevention of corruption

SPAIN / ESPAGNE

Mr Rafael VAILLO RAMOS
Technical Counsellor, D.G. for International Cooperation , Ministry of Justice

SWEDEN / SUEDE

Mr Mattias LARSSON (Head of delegation)
Deputy Director, Division for Criminal Law, Ministry of Justice

Mr Lars-Åke STRÖM
Judge of Appeal, Administrative Court of Appeal in Stockholm

SWITZERLAND / SUISSE

M Ernst GNAEGI (Chef de délégation)
Chef de l'unité du droit pénal international, Office fédéral de la Justice

"THE FORMER YUGOSLAV REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA" / "L'EX-RÉPUBLIQUE YOUGOSLAVE DE MACÉDOINE"

Ms Slagjana TASEVA (Head of delegation)
Professor in Criminal Law, Dean of the Faculty of Law, "First Private University, European University - the Republic of Macedonia"

TURKEY / TURQUIE

M. Ergin ERGÜL (Chef de délégation)
Directeur Général Adjoint, Direction Générale du Droit International et des Relations Extérieures
Ministère de la Justice

Mr Ahmet ULUTAS
Examining Judge, Ministry of Justice, Adalet Bakanliđi

Mr Mete DEMIRCI
Inspector, Prime Minister's Office

Mr Ömer Faruk GENCKAYA
Professor, Marmara University SBMYO

UKRAINE

Mr Ruslan RIABOSHAPKA (Head of delegation)
Head of the Department of Legal Issues, Law Enforcement Activity and Fight against Crime
Ministry of Justice

UNITED KINGDOM / ROYAUME-UNI

Mr Roderick MACAULEY (Head of delegation)
Head of EU and International Criminal Law, Corruption and Fraud, Criminal Law Policy Unit
Ministry of Justice

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA / ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Mr Richard M. ROGERS (Head of delegation)
Senior Counsel to the Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, Department of Justice

**PRESIDENT OF THE STATUTORY COMMITTEE OF GRECO / PRÉSIDENT DU COMITÉ
STATUTAIRE DU GRECO**

Apologised / Excusé

**PARLIAMENTARY ASSEMBLY OF THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE / ASSEMBLEE
PARLEMENTAIRE DU CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE**

Apologised / Excusée

REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CDCJ / REPRÉSENTANT DU CDCJ

Apologised / Excusé

REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CDPC / REPRÉSENTANT DU CDPC

Apologised / Excusé

OBSERVER UNITED NATIONS – UNODC / OBSERVATEUR NATIONS UNIES – ONUDC

Ms Annika WYTHES, Associate Expert – Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice, Corruption and
Economic Crime Branch, Division for Treaty Affairs, United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

OBSERVER OECD / OBSERVATEUR OCDE

Apologised / Excusé

GRECO EVALUATION TEAMS / EQUIPES D'EVALUATION DU GRECO

**Third Round Evaluation report on Bulgaria /
Rapport d'Evaluation du Troisième Cycle sur la Bulgarie**

Theme I – Incriminations

Ms Cornelia GÄDIGK
Senior public prosecutor, Head of Division 57 "Corruption Crimes", Prosecution office Hamburg

Ms Alexandra KAPIŠOVSKÁ
Main State Counsellor, Ministry of Justice

Theme II – Party Funding / Financement des partis politiques

M. Stéphane GAUVIN

Adjoint au Chef du Service Juridique de la Responsable du pôle des partis politiques Commission Nationale des Comptes de Campagne et des Financements Politiques (CNCCFP)

Mr Paulo PINTO DE ALBUQUERQUE

Professor of law, College of Law of Illinois / Faculty of Law of the University of Lisbon

**Third Round Evaluation report on Hungary /
*Rapport d'Évaluation du Troisième Cycle sur la Hongrie***

Theme I – Incriminations

M Ernst GNAEGI

Chef de l'unité du droit pénal international, Office fédéral de la Justice

Ms Laura STEFAN

Anticorruption Coordinator, Romanian Academic Society

Theme II - Party Funding / Financement des partis politiques

Mr Ömer Faruk GENCKAYA

Professor, Marmara University SBMYO

Mr Jens-Oscar NERGÅRD

Senior Adviser, Ministry of Government Administration and Reform

**Third Round Evaluation report on Greece /
*Rapport d'Évaluation du Troisième Cycle sur la Grèce***

Theme I – Incriminations

Mr Silvio BONFIGLI

Magistrate, Anticorruption and Transparency Service

Ms Sandra ŠIMKUS

Head of division of corruption analysis and countermeasures methodology, Corruption Prevention and Combating Bureau

Theme II - Party Funding / Financement des partis politiques

Ms Vesna JEVTIC

Advisor, Group for system of financing political parties, Sector for the preparation of regulations, Ministry of Finance

Mr Karl-Heinz NASSMACHER

Professor Emeritus at the Carl von Ossietzky University

Mr Lars-Åke STRÖM

Judge of Appeal, Administrative Court of Appeal in Stockholm

RAPPORTEURS

**Joint First and Second Round Compliance Report /
*Rapport de Conformité du Premier et Deuxième Cycles Conjoint***

Austria / Autriche

Mr Silvio BONFIGLI (Italy / Italie)

Mr Aslan YUSUFOV (Russian Federation / Fédération de Russie)

**Third Round Compliance Reports /
Rapports de Conformité du Troisième Cycle**

Luxembourg

Mr Ernst GNAEGI (Switzerland / Suisse)
Ms Cornelia VICLEANSCHI (Moldova)

Netherlands / Pays-Bas

Ms Aušra BERNOTIENE (Lithuania / Lituanie)
Mr Rafael VAILLO RAMOS (Spain / Espagne)

**EXCHANGE OF VIEWS WITH THE EUROPEAN UNION
ECHANGE DE VUES AVEC L'UNION EUROPEENNE**

Mr Jakub BORATYŃSKI
Head of Unit 'Fight against Organised Crime' in DG Justice, Freedom and Security, European Commission

Mr Hans G NILSSON
Head of Division, General Secretariat of the Council of the European Union

COUNCIL OF EUROPE SECRETARIAT / SECRETARIAT DU CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

Mr Wolfgang RAU, Executive Secretary of GRECO / *Secrétaire Exécutif du GRECO*

Mr Christos GIAKOUMOPOULOS, Director of Monitoring, Directorate General of Legal Affairs and Human Rights / *Directeur des Monitorings, Direction générale des droits d l'Homme et des affaires juridiques*

Assistant / Assistante

Ms Elspeth REILLY, Personal Assistant to the Executive Secretary / *Assistante Particulière du Secrétaire Exécutif*

Administrative Officers / Administrateurs

Mr Björn JANSON
M. Christophe SPECKBACHER
Ms Laura SANZ-LEVIA
Ms Tania VAN DIJK
Ms Sophie MEUDAL-LEENDERS
Mr Michael JANSSEN

Central Office / Bureau Central

Ms Penelope PREBENSEN, Administrative Assistant / *Assistante Administrative*
Mme Laure PINCEMAILLE, Assistant / *Assistante*
Mme Marie-Rose PREVOST, Assistant / *Assistante*

Webmaster

Ms Simona GHITA, Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs / *Direction générale des droits de l'Homme et des affaires juridiques*
Mme Marie-Rose PREVOST, GRECO

Interpreters / Interpretes

Mme Sally BAILEY
Mme Isabelle MARCHINI
Mme Julia TANNER
Mme Cynera JAFFREY

APPENDIX II

AGENDA

1. Opening of the meeting / *Ouverture de la réunion* **09h30**
2. Adoption of the agenda / *Adoption de l'ordre du jour*
3. Information from the President, Delegations and the Executive Secretary (including on Bureau 53) / *Informations du Président, des Délégations et du Secrétaire Exécutif (y compris sur le Bureau 53)*
4. **First reading** of draft Third Round Evaluation Reports on: / **Première lecture** des projets de Rapports d'Evaluation du Troisième Cycle sur :
 - **Bulgaria / Bulgarie** (Monday / lundi)
 - **Hungary / Hongrie** (Tuesday / mardi)
 - **Greece / Grèce** (Wednesday / mercredi)
5. Examination and adoption of the Joint First and Second Round Compliance Report on **Austria** / *Examen et adoption du Rapport de Conformité des Premier et Deuxième Cycles Conjoints sur l'Autriche*
6. Examination and adoption of the Addenda to the Joint First and Second Round Compliance Reports on **Armenia, Serbia and Turkey** / *Examen et adoption des Addenda aux Rapports de Conformité des Premier et Deuxième Cycles Conjoints sur l'Arménie, la Serbie et la Turquie*
7. Examination and adoption of the Addenda to the Second Round Compliance Reports on **Cyprus, the Czech Republic and Hungary** / *Examen et adoption des Addenda aux Rapports de Conformité du Deuxième Cycle sur Chypre, la République Tchèque et la Hongrie*
8. Examination and adoption of the Third Round Compliance Reports on **Luxembourg** and the **Netherlands** / *Examen et adoption des Rapports de Conformité du Troisième Cycle sur le Luxembourg et les Pays-Bas*
9. Fourth Evaluation Round / *Quatrième Cycle d'Evaluation* (cf. Bureau 53 proposals/cf. propositions du Bureau 53):
 - approval of themes / *approbation des thèmes*
 - adoption of terms of reference for a working party to assist GRECO in the preparation of the evaluation round (including its composition) / *adoption du mandat pour un groupe de travail chargé d'assister le GRECO dans la préparation du cycle d'évaluation (y compris sa composition)*
10. Budgetary Proposals of the Secretary General for 2011 / *Propositions budgétaires du Secrétaire Général pour 2011*
11. Joint First and Second Evaluation Rounds - composition of the Team in charge of the evaluation of Liechtenstein / *Premier et Deuxième Cycles d'Evaluation conjoints - composition de l'équipe chargée de l'évaluation du Liechtenstein*
12. Third Evaluation Round – composition of the Team in charge of the evaluation of Georgia / *Troisième Cycle d'Evaluation – composition de l'équipe chargée de l'évaluation de la Géorgie*

13. GRECO and the European Union – Stockholm Programme and Action Plan of the European Commission: exchange of views / *GRECO et Union européenne – Programme de Stockholm et Plan d'action de la Commission européenne : échange de vues*
14. **Second reading** and adoption of the draft Third Round Evaluation Reports on Bulgaria, Hungary and Greece (**Friday**) / **Deuxième lecture** et adoption des projets de Rapports d'Evaluation du Troisième Cycle sur la Bulgarie, la Hongrie et la Grèce (**vendredi**)
15. Miscellaneous / *Divers*
16. Adoption of decisions / *Adoption des décisions*
17. Dates of next meetings / *Dates des prochaines réunions*