



International Workshop on
Integrating the issues of cybercrime and electronic evidence into
judicial training curricula

Johannesburg, South Africa, 11-13 April 2016

In association with the South African Judiciary Education Institute (SAJEI)

Questionnaire

Background

The Workshop on Judicial training strategies on cybercrime and electronic evidence for GLACY and CyberCrime@EAP countries was held in Bucharest, Romania, on 2-3 June 2014 and resulted in a report, which focused on elements of domestic judicial training concepts for each of the participating countries. Following completion of Introductory Judicial Training courses in all GLACY countries and on-going roll-out of Advanced Judicial courses in 2015 and 2016, it is essential to follow up and discuss the long-term integration of judicial training on cybercrime into curricula of training institution, preferably as part of broader judicial training strategies.

The event is carried out under Activity 3.5 "Support the integration of basic and advanced courses into initial and in-service training curricula" of the GLACY project.

Objective

The workshop is to support the integration of the topics of cybercrime and electronic evidence into the curricula of judicial training institutions. It will furthermore encourage the preparation of broader judicial training strategies on these issues.

Discussions will be facilitated by a short questionnaire which will be circulated prior to the workshop. This questionnaire seeks to gather information that will support the workshop and allow discussion between the participants.

Please take time to provide as much information as you can in advance of the meeting. If you have any questions, please contact:

Manuel PEREIRA
Project Manager
Cybercrime Programme Office of the Council of Europe (C-PROC)
Bucharest, Romania
Tel: +40 21 201 78 32
Email: manuel.pereira@coe.int

www.coe.int/cybercrime

Funded
by the European Union
and the Council of Europe



EUROPEAN UNION

COUNCIL OF EUROPE



CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

Implemented
by the Council of Europe

Questionnaire

Details of Person Completing the Questionnaire	
Name	
Organisation	
Position in the Organisation	
Email	
Contact Phone Number	
Organisation Web Site	
Judicial Numbers	
<p>This section is to gather information about the number of judges, magistrates, prosecutors and judicial officers in each country. If there are any additional positions not covered, please use the blank area at the end of the section to include these details.</p>	
Number of Constitutional Court Judges	
Number of Supreme Court Judges	
Number of Appeal Court Judges	
Number of Presiding Judges	
Number of Investigative Judges	
Number of specialised cybercrime judges	
Number of Regional Magistrates	
Number of District Magistrates	
Number of specialised cybercrime Magistrates	
Number of Prosecutors	
Number of specialised cybercrime prosecutors	
Number of public attorneys/defenders	
Number of Judicial Officers	
Details of any other Judicial Roles and numbers not included above	

National Judicial Training Organisations	
This section seeks to gain information about the institutes responsible for the initial and in service training for the entities listed in the previous section.	
<p>Please provide details of the organisations responsible for the initial and in service training for the judiciary in your country. If more than one, please indicate which groups, each covers.</p>	
<p>Has a training needs analysis been conducted to establish the requirements of the judiciary in relation to cybercrime and electronic evidence training?</p>	<p>Yes / No</p> <p>If yes, please attach a copy of the document</p>
<p>Does the country have a national cybercrime and electronic evidence training strategy?</p>	<p>Yes / No</p> <p>If yes, please attach a copy of the strategy document</p>
Existing Cybercrime and Electronic Evidence Training	
This section is intended to gather information about the extent of cybercrime and electronic evidence training currently delivered in each country. Is cybercrime and electronic evidence training already included in the introductory training for the following groups:	
<p>Constitutional Court Judges</p> <p>Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)</p>	
<p>Supreme Court Judges</p> <p>Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)</p>	
<p>Appeal Court Judges</p> <p>Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)</p>	
<p>Presiding Judges</p> <p>Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)</p>	

Investigative Judges Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)	
Regional Magistrates Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)	
District Magistrates Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)	
Prosecutors Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)	
Public Attorneys/Defenders Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)	
Judicial Officers Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)	
Judicial Roles not included above Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)	
Cybercrime and Electronic Evidence Training in Future Schedules	
This section seeks information about cybercrime and electronic evidence training that is already planned and scheduled for future delivery. Please answer for each group listed and provide a copy of the training schedule for those that have such training planned	
Constitutional Court Judges Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)	
Supreme Court Judges Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)	
Appeal Court Judges Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)	
Presiding Judges Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)	

Investigative Judges Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)	
Regional Magistrates Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)	
District Magistrates Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)	
Prosecutors Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)	
Public Attorneys/Defenders Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)	
Judicial Officers Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)	
Judicial Roles not included above Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)	
How many of the Judiciary have already been trained?	
This section is designed to establish how many members of the judiciary have already been trained and at what level. We have used the terms introductory and advanced to reflect what in other terms may be known as basic and follow on training. Please provide as accurate information as possible	
Constitutional Court Judges	Numbers who have received: Introductory Training - Advanced Training -
Supreme Court Judges	Numbers who have received: Introductory Training - Advanced Training -
Appeal Court Judges	Numbers who have received: Introductory Training - Advanced Training -

Presiding Judges	Numbers who have received: Introductory Training - Advanced Training -
Investigative Judges	Numbers who have received: Introductory Training - Advanced Training -
Regional Magistrates	Numbers who have received: Introductory Training - Advanced Training -
District Magistrates	Numbers who have received: Introductory Training - Advanced Training -
Prosecutors	Numbers who have received: Introductory Training - Advanced Training -
Public Attorneys/Defenders	Numbers who have received: Introductory Training - Advanced Training -
Judicial Officers	Numbers who have received: Introductory Training - Advanced Training -
In Country Cybercrime and Electronic Evidence Trainers	
This section gathers information about the number of trainers, in country that deliver cybercrime and electronic evidence training. It also seeks to identify if the current trainers are full time or are called upon to deliver training on a course-by-course basis. Finally we would like to know if the trainers are also responsible for developing and updating training courses?	
Are there trainers in country that deliver introductory level of cybercrime and electronic evidence training:	Yes / No If Yes – How many?
In the case that there are trainers, how many of them are full time trainers and how many are occasional trainers	Full Time - Occasional -
Are the trainers responsible for developing and delivering the cybercrime and electronic evidence training that is delivered or will be delivered in future:	Yes / No

Available Resources	
<p>This section seeks information about the resources available in each country to develop and deliver cybercrime and electronic evidence training on an on-going basis at all levels required by the judiciary, and to allow it to become a component part of introductory and in service training in the future.</p>	
<p>Are there sufficient resources available, in country, in the following categories to support cybercrime and electronic evidence training in the future?</p>	
Training Rooms	Yes / No
Equipment for delivering the training	Yes / No
Knowledgeable personnel to develop training according to identified needs	Yes / No
Adequate numbers of trainers to deliver the training	Yes / No
What additional resources are needed to ensure a sustainable cybercrime and electronic evidence programme is in place?	
<p>Has the method of obtaining these additional resources been identified?</p> <p>Yes / No / NA (If yes, please provide details)</p>	
Additional Information	
<p>Please provide any additional information that may be useful to the meeting,</p>	
Empty space for additional information	