

Strasbourg, 26 October 2015

CONVENTION ON THE CONSERVATION OF EUROPEAN WILDLIFE  
AND NATURAL HABITATS

**Standing Committee**

35<sup>th</sup> meeting  
Strasbourg, 1-4 December 2015

---

**BIENNIAL REPORT (2011-2012)**

**- “THE FORMER YUGOSLAV REPUBLIC OF  
MACEDONIA” -**

*Memorandum drawn up by  
the Directorate of Democratic Governance*

*The document is being circulated in the form and the languages in which it was received by the Secretariat*

**BIENNAL REPORT  
2011 -2012**

**“THE FORMER YUGOSLAV REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA”**

**1. EXCEPTIONS CONCERNING STRICTLY PROTECTED FLORA SPECIES (ART. 5 APPEN.I)**

Name of the species	No. of specimens involved (when practical)	No. of licences	Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.) <sup>1</sup>	Impact on population
/	/	/	/	/

Where appropriate, please add a text providing information on: /

Information on the conservation status of the derogated species
The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled
Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exception where granted
The controls involved
Justification for derogation for a species in an unfavourable conservation status
Alternative solutions considered and scientific data to compare them
Results of derogations (e.g. Cumulative effects and compensation measures where relevant)
Comments/notes

---

<sup>1</sup> i.: protection of flora /fauna

ii.: prevention of serious damage to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries, water and other forms of property

iii.: in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests (which?)

iv.: for research / education / repopulation / reintroduction / necessary breeding

v.: judicious exploitation of certain wild plants in small numbers and under certain conditions

**2. EXCEPTIONS CONCERNING STRICTLY PROTECTED FAUNA SPECIES  
(ART. 6 - APPENDIX II)**

Name of the species	No. of specimens involvd (when practical)	Authorised action (art. 6 a. to f.) <sup>2</sup>	No. of licences	Reasons for issuing of licences (art.9 i-v.) <sup>3</sup>	Impact on population
<i>Accipiter gentilis</i>	18	c	18	ii, iv	L
<i>Anser erythropus</i>	2	c	2	iv, v	L
<i>Gallinago media</i>	2	c	2	iv, v	L
<i>Canis lupus</i>	198	c	198	i, ii, iii	L
<i>Felis silvestris</i>	2	c	2	iv, v	L

Where appropriate, please add a text providing information on:

Information on the conservation status of the derogated species
The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled
Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exception where granted
The controls involved
Justification for derogation for a species in an unfavourable conservation status
Alternative solutions considered and scientific data to compare them

- <sup>2</sup> A: Deliberate killing  
 B: Deliberate damage to or destruction of breeding or resting sites  
 C: Deliberate capture and keeping  
 D: Deliberate disturbance of wild fauna  
 E: Deliberate destruction or taking of eggs  
 F: Possession and internal trade

- <sup>3</sup> i.: protection of flora /fauna  
 ii.: prevention of serious damage to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries, water and other forms of property  
 iii.: in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests (which?)  
 iv.: for research / education / repopulation / reintroduction / necessary breeding  
 v.: judicious exploitation of certain wild plants in small numbers and under certain conditions

Results of derogations (e.g. Cumulative effects and compensation measures where relevant)
Comments/notes

### 3. EXCEPTIONS CONCERNING FALCONRY

For each species used in falconry, state (use a separate sheet for each species): /

Name of species:	
No. of birds in captivity (after entry into force of the Convention)	
Origin of birds:	
% captured from the wild in the State	
% imported	
% reared in captivity	
Estimated population in the wild (in the State)	
No. of birds captured from the wild each year	
No. of birds imported (specify country of origin)	
Means authorised for capture	
Controls involved	

### 4. EXCEPTIONS CONCERNING PROTECTED FAUNA SPECIES (ART. 7 APPENDIX III)<sup>4</sup>

Name of the species	No. of individuals involved (when practical)	Exception made	Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.) <sup>5</sup>	Impact on the population
<i>Ardea cinerea</i>	4	MAFWE/SIF H	iv, v	L
<i>Phalacrocorax carbo</i>	4	MAFWE/SIF H	ii, iv, v	L

<sup>4</sup> Kindly note that exceptions to species listed in Appendix III concern only those captured or killed using indiscriminate means of capture or killing and in particular methods specified in Appendix IV.

<sup>5</sup> i.: protection of flora /fauna

ii.: prevention of serious damage to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries, water and other forms of property

iii.: in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests (which?)

iv.: for research / education / repopulation / reintroduction / necessary breeding

v.: judicious exploitation of certain wild plants in small numbers and under certain conditions

<i>Meles meles</i>	2	MAFWE/SIF H	iv, v	L
<i>Martes martes</i>	78	MAFWE/SIF H	i, ii	L
<i>Martes foina</i>	82	MAFWE/SIF H	i, ii	L
<i>Mustela nivalis</i>	2	MAFWE/SIF H	iv, v	L
<i>Putorius putorius</i>	2	MAFWE/SIF H	iv, v	L
<i>Vormela peregusna</i>	2	MAFWE/SIF H	iv, v	L

Where appropriate, please add a text providing information on:

Information on the conservation status of the derogated species
The authority empowered to declare that the conditions have been fulfilled
Conditions of risk and the circumstances and the time and place under which exception were granted
The controls involved
Justification for derogation for a species in an unfavourable conservation status
Alternative solutions considered and scientific data to compare them
Results of derogations (e.g. Cumulative effects and compensation measures where relevant)
Comments/notes

**5. EXCEPTIONS CONCERNING THE USE OF MEANS OF CAPTURE AND KILLING SPECIFIED IN APPENDIX IV**

Name of the species	No. of specimens (when practical)	No. of licences	Reasons (art. 8, a. to e.) <sup>6</sup>	Method used <sup>7</sup>	Impact on the population
<i>Accipiter gentilis</i>	18	18	A	10	L
<i>Anser erythropus</i>	2	2	D	10	L
<i>Gallinago media</i>	2	2	D	10	L
<i>Canis lupus</i>	198	198	B	14	L
<i>Felis silvestris</i>	2	2	D	1	L
<i>Ardea cinerea</i>	4	2	B	10	L
<i>Phalacrocorax carbo</i>	4	2	B	1	L
<i>Meles meles</i>	2	2	D	1	L
<i>Martes martes</i>	78	78	B	14	L
<i>Martes foina</i>	82	82	B	14	L
<i>Mustela nivalis</i>	2	2	D	1	L
<i>Putorius putorius</i>	2	2	D	1	L
<i>Vormela peregusna</i>	2	2	D	1	L

Aleksandar Nastov, M.Sc.  
 Head of Biodiversity Division  
 Department of Nature/ Environment Administration  
 Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning  
 Phone: +389 (2) 3251 471  
 Fax: +389 (2) 3220 165  
 e-mail: a.nastov@moepp.gov.mk  
 anastov@gmail.com

<sup>6</sup> A. Protection of flora and fauna  
 B. To prevent serious damage to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries, water and other forms of property  
 C. In the interests of public health and safety / air safety / overriding public interests  
 D. For research / education / repopulation / reintroduction / necessary breeding  
 E. Taking, keeping or other judicious exploitation of certain wild animals and plants in small numbers and under certain conditions (see art. 8)

<sup>7</sup> Choose from article 8 : 1 to 18 – See for reference pages 6-7 of this document