COUNCIL OF EUROPE

Strasbourg, 27 October 2015

CONVENTION ON THE CONSERVATION OF EUROPEAN WILDLIFE AND NATURAL HABITATS

Standing Committee

35th meeting Strasbourg, 1-4 December 2015

BIENNIAL REPORT (2009-2010)

- ICELAND -

Memorandum drawn up by the Directorate of Democratic Governance The document is being circulated in the form and the languages in which it was received by the Secretariat

> This document will not be distributed at the meeting. Please bring this copy. Ce document ne sera plus distribué en réunion. Prière de vous munir de cet exemplaire.

BIENNAL REPORT 2009 -2010

ICELAND

1. EXCEPTIONS CONCERNING STRICTLY PROTECTED FLORA SPECIES (ART. 5 - APPENDIX I)

Name of the species	No. of specimens involved (when practical)	No. of licences	Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to $v.)^{1}$	Impact on population
NONE				

Where appropriate, please add a text providing information on:

Information on the
conservation status of the
derogated species
The authority empowered to
declare that the conditions
have been fulfilled
Conditions of risk and the
circumstances and the time
and place under which
exception where granted
The controls involved
Justification for derogation
for a species in an
unfavourable conservation
status
Alternative solutions
considered and scientific
data to compare them
Results of derogations (e.g.
Cumulative effects and
compensation measures
where relevant)
Comments/notes

¹ i.: protection of flora /fauna

ii.: prevention of serious damage to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries, water and other forms of property iii.: in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests (which?)

iv.: for research / education / repopulation / reintroduction / necessary breeding

v.: judicious exploitation of certain wild plants in small numbers and under certain conditions

2. EXCEPTIONS CONCERNING STRICTLY PROTECTED FAUNA SPECIES (ART. 6 - APPENDIX II)

Name of the species	No. of specimens involved (when practical)	Authorised action (art. 6, a. to f.) ²	No. of licences	Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.) ³	Impact on population

Where appropriate, please add a text providing information on:

Information on the	
conservation status of the	
derogated species	
The authority empowered	
to declare that the	
conditions have been	
fulfilled	
Conditions of risk and the	
circumstances and the time	
and place under which	
exception where granted	
The controls involved	
Justification for derogation	
for a species in an	
unfavourable conservation	
status	
Alternative solutions	
considered and scientific	
data to compare them	
Results of derogations	
(e.g. Cumulative effects	
and compensation	
measures where relevant)	
Comments/notes	

² A: Deliberate killing

B: Deliberate damage to or destruction of breeding or resting sites

C: Deliberate capture and keeping

D: Deliberate disturbance of wild fauna

E: Deliberate destruction or taking of eggs

F: Possession and internal trade

³ i.: protection of flora /fauna

ii.: prevention of serious damage to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries, water and other forms of property

iii.: in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests (which?)

iv.: for research / education / repopulation / reintroduction / necessary breeding

v.: judicious exploitation of certain wild plants in small numbers and under certain conditions

3. EXCEPTIONS CONCERNING FALCONRY

For each species used in falconry, state (use a separate sheet for each species):

4. EXCEPTIONS CONCERNING PROTECTED FAUNA SPECIES (ART. 7 APPENDIX III)⁴

Name of the species	No. of individuals involved (when practical)	Exception made	Reasons for issuing of licences (art. 9, i. to v.) ⁵	Impact on the population
Fratercula arctica	100	8 th June 2009	Research purposes	None
Fratercula arctica	300	25 th June 2009	Research purposes	None
Turdus iliacus	Not practical	8 th June 2011	Public health and safety (in food production)	None
Anser albifrons	7	14 th September 2011	Research purposes	None
Branta bernicla	7	14 th September 2011	Research purposes	None

⁴ Kindly note that exceptions to species listed in Appendix III concern only those captured or killed using indiscriminate means of capture or killing and in particular methods specified in Appendix IV.

⁵ i.: protection of flora /fauna

ii.: prevention of serious damage to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries, water and other forms of property

iii.: in the interests of public health and safety, air safety or other overriding public interests (which?)

iv.: for research / education / repopulation / reintroduction / necessary breeding

v.: judicious exploitation of certain wild plants in small numbers and under certain conditions

Where appropriate, please add a text providing information on:

Information on the
conservation status of the
derogated species
The authority empowered to
declare that the conditions
have been fulfilled
Conditions of risk and the
circumstances and the time
and place under which
exception where granted
The controls involved
Justification for derogation
for a species in an
unfavourable conservation
status
Alternative solutions
considered and scientific
data to compare them
Results of derogations (e.g.
Cumulative effects and
compensation measures
where relevant)
Comments/notes

5. EXCEPTIONS CONCERNING THE USE OF MEANS OF CAPTURE AND **KILLING SPECIFIED IN APPENDIX IV**

Name of the species	No. of specimens (when practical)	No. of licences	Reasons (art. 8, a. to e.) ⁶	Method used ⁷	Impact on the population

⁶ A. Protection of flora and fauna

B. To prevent serious damage to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries, water and other forms of property

C. In the interests of public health and safety / air safety / overriding public interests

D. For research / education / repopulation / reintroduction / necessary breeding

E. Taking, keeping or other judicious exploitation of certain wild animals and plants in small numbers and under certain conditions (see art. 8) 7 Choose from article 8 : 1 to 18 – See for reference pages 6-7 of this document