

Octopus Conference 2015 Cooperation against Cybercrime Strasbourg, 17-19 June 2015

Workshop 5: International Cooperation

T-CY assessment of international cooperation provisions

(Report with recommendations adopted in December 2014)

Cristina Schulman (Ministry of Justice, Romania) / Betty Shave (Department of Justice, USA)

www.coe.int/cybercrime

T-CY Assessments in 2013/14	
2 nd round of T-CY Assessments:	Procedure and status:
 Efficiency of international cooperation provisions: Article 31 on mutual assistance regarding accessing of stored computer data. And related Articles 23, 25, 26, 27, 28 and 35. 	 Questionnaire February 2013. Plenary discussions June 2013, Dec 2013, June 2014.
Aim: Better use of existing provisions Additional solutions	 Report adopted in December 2014.



T-CY assessment: findings

Overall conclusions

- MLA process inefficient, many investigations abandoned
- Opportunities of existing agreements not yet fully utilised
- Data/statistics on MLA not available

T-CY assessment: findings

Types of requests

Types of data requested:

- 1. Subscriber information (80+%?)
- 2. Traffic data
- 3. Content data

Underlying offences

- 1. Fraud and other financial crimes
- 2. Violent and serious crime (murder, assault, trafficking, child abuse etc.)
- 3. Offences against computer systems



T-CY assessment: findings

Procedures and requirements

- Often not known, requests incomplete
- No response in minor cases
- Language

Channels and means of cooperation

- Use of multiple channels and agreements
- Possibility of direct cooperation underused
- Diverse procedures for urgent cases
- Role of 24/7 points of contact often too limited
- Issue of direct cooperation with foreign service providers
- Joint investigation teams (not yet foreseen in Budapest Convention)



T-CY assessment: recommendations

Possible solutions?



Recommendations falling under the responsibility of domestic authorities

- Implement provisions of Budapest Convention
- Statistics or other measures to monitor efficiency of the MLA process
- More technology-literate staff for MLA
- More training
- Strengthen 24/7 contact points
- Streamline procedures and reduce the number of steps required for MLA at domestic levels
- Make use of all available channels for international cooperation
- Establish emergency procedures
- Confirm receipts of MLA requests
- Open domestic investigations upon a foreign request or spontaneous information
- Electronic transmission of requests (art. 25.3)
- Make sure requests are specific and complete
- Consult foreign authorities before sending MLA requests



Recommendations falling under the responsibility of CoE capacity building programmes

- Multi-language templates for Article-31 type MLA requests
- Online resource on MLA requirements by Parties to Budapest Convention



Recommendations that may require an Additional Protocol

- Allow for expedited disclosure of subscriber information
- Introduce international production order
- Direct cooperation between judicial authorities
- Directly obtain specified traffic and subscriber information from foreign service providers
- Joint investigations and JITs
- Requests in English language



T-CY assessment: recommendations

<u>Rec 5</u>: Parties and the Council of Europe should work toward strengthening the role of 24/7 points of contact in line with Article 35 Budapest Convention



Rec 5 Parties and the Council of Europe should work toward strengthening the role of 24/7 points of contact in line with Article 35 Budapest Convention, including through:

a. Ensuring, pursuant to article 35.3 Budapest Convention that trained and equipped personnel is available to facilitate the operative work and conduct or support mutual legal assistance (MLA) activities

b. Encouraging contact points to pro-actively promote their role among domestic and foreign counterpart authorities;

c. Conducting regular meetings and training of the 24/7 network among the Parties;

d. Encouraging competent authorities and 24/7 points of contact to consider procedures to follow up to and provide feedback to the requesting State on Article 31 requests;

e. Considering to establish, where feasible, contact points in prosecution offices to permit a more direct role in mutual legal assistance and a quicker response to requests;

f. Facilitating 24/7 points of contact to play a supportive role in "Article 31" requests.



T-CY assessment: recommendations

Recommendations that may need to be addressed through an Additional Protocol to the Budapest Convention on Cybercrime

<u>Rec 20 - 24</u>



<u>Rec 20:</u> Interested Parties may consider the possibility and scope of an international production order to be directly sent by the authorities of a Party to the law enforcement authorities of another Party.

<u>Rec 21:</u> Parties should consider enhancing direct cooperation between judicial authorities in mutual legal assistance requests.

<u>Rec 22:</u> Parties may consider addressing the practice of law enforcement and prosecution services obtaining information directly from foreign service providers, and related safeguards and conditions.

<u>Rec 23:</u> Parties should consider joint investigations and/or the establishment of joint investigation teams between Parties.

<u>Rec 24:</u> Parties should consider allowing for requests to be sent in English language. Parties should in particular allowing for preservation requests to be sent in English.