



Introduction-1

- Documents
 - Draft Amendments
 - Criminal Code
 - Criminal Procedural Law
 - Law on Administrative Offences
 - Law on Operative- Activities
 - Law on Electronic Communications
 - Abstract Georgian Law
- Analysis of Report July 2009
- Today Main Issues
- Order: draft

Implementation of Substantive Law

- Categories
 - Cia-offences
 - Computer-related

Content-related

IPR

- Method
 - Stay as close to the text as possible. If necessary, enact parallel provisions
 - Assimilation clauses. Review all forgery and fraud provisions. If possible enact joint technologyindependent provisions. Criminalise also prepratory acts.
 - On line conduct should not be differently criminalised than online conduct. Review and look for common elements.
 - IPR-specific

Report July 2009

- Recommendations
 - Central Provision on Liability Legal Persons

Solutions Draft 2010

- See art. 107¹
- Cyber crimes of 284-286, and 189 not included
- Aiding and abetting to cybercrimes?
- Group in 284, ss 2 etc does not amount to a legal person

Report July 2009

Unclear relation between articles 189, para 1, 2,
3...

Solutions Draft 2010

- Article 189, para 3:
 - new notions/definitions?
 - Reproduction (para 3) vs misappropriation in para 1 and 2: copyright object vs specification

Report July 2009

- Definitions
- Mere access or additional elements

- Aggravating Circumstances
- Serious Harm

- Explanatory text. Note difference CCP!
- Cumulative conditions! Either mere hacking or inclusive elements like security measures
- Enabling access
- Not applied
- Proof? Specification
- Large amount/substantial damage
- Meaning without right in Georgian law (unauthorised?)
- Ss 2 lit. b: abusing power??

Substantive Law: article 285, ss 1.

Report July 2009

Scope and Elements

Elements of article 159?

- Now Text of the Convention:
 - Alien elements like without right?
 - Explanatory note (non-public)
- No combination
- Relation with article 159.

Substantive Law: article 285 ss 2

Report July 2009

Scope and elements

- Now text CCC
- Different nature conduct ss 1 and ss 2 (but different than art. 286)

Report July 2009

 Specification type and importance of computer systems

- Text of the CCC
- Damage? Special Interest systems?

Report July 2009

To be drafted

- 'this Chapter': seems broader than cybercrimes
- Such device: meaning?
- Dual use exception
- Protected information: meaning?
- Possession may be included in para 1 and 2

Substantive Law: article 286²

Report July 2009

 Review existing forgery provisions in the light of article 7 CCC

- ??? Only 286² Other?
- Text CCC: relation with other provisions?
- Standard notions or wordings of Georgian Law
- Place in art. 286: completely different legal interests

Report July 2009

 Review existing fraud provisions in the light of article 8 CCC

- ??? Only 286³ Other?
- Text CCC: relation with other provisions?
- Standard notions or wordings of Georgian Law
- Place in art. 286: completely different legal interests

Report July 2009

Coming Draft expected to be in accordance with art. 9 CCC

 Relation between article 255 and 255¹

Draft 2010

No comment

???

Virtual child porn not criminalised or through "appearing"?

Second note ;article 107 attaches criminal liability: function?
Should it not be part of the law?.

Report July 2009

Wide gap between 1st Add.
 Protocol CCC and Georgean
 Draft

Draft 2010

Present Status???

Procedural Law

- Scope: *any crime*, see article 14
- Stored data vs data flows
- Apply similar conditions and safeguards as in present domestic law, see article 15

Substantive Law: article 5 (jurisdiction)

Report July 2009

- No comment, except
 - Dedere aut iudicare
 - Consultation mechanism

Draft 2010

???

Procedural Law: article 44 (definitions)

Report July 2009

Law or Explanatory text

- Substantive law: expl.
 Text
- Procedural Law: art. 1
- 63: internet traffic data too restrictive

Procedural Law: art. 110 electronic evidence

Report July 2009

- Admissibility
- Conditions

- See art. 110
- See art. 126¹
 - Why again definition computer data in ss 1?
 - Data may be used as (not is) evidence
 - There are no original computer data
- Need of ss 3?
- Phrasing art. 130 ss 2¹

Criminal Procedural Law: Article 315

Report July 2009

 Article 315 has preference over article 389¹ for regulation of search of computer systems

- Nested and therefore complex provision.
 - Purpose of search may be seizure.
 - Purpose of seizure is finding of the truth, e.g. by safeguarding evidence (objects, traces) of the crime, circumstantial facts confiscation etc.
 - Search of a computer system
 vs similarly seizing of
 computer data

Report July 2009

 Separate warrant for search of a computer system undesirable

 Seizure of computer data: please clarify!

- Would article 323, para 1 allow search of a computer system?
- Para 4: Interact=communicate?
- Finalisation= during?
- Clarification of 2nd sentence of para 4
 - Seizure of computer data?
 - Accessible system?

Report July 2009

No comment given

- 'Propose to deliver' is not the same as 'ordering' in art. 19 CCC
- 'Responsible for' is more restrictive than 'having knowledge'
- Criticism: if the person is allowed to provide a copy, will he provide all the information, knowingly or not?

Report July 2009

No comment

- Second sentence:
 - Investigator is authorised?
 - Not the reason of seizure is to be recorded but the reason of extension of the search
 - Expl. Text: explain what means legally accessible

Report July 2009

Recommendations on para 4.

- Relation with para 6?
- Inviting is not ordering
- Functioning of computer data?
- Position of the defendant?
- Restrictions further OK.

Procedural Law: other

Report July 2009

No comment

- No amendment of article 326 (on computer data)?
- Article 360, para 7: maintaining integrity?

Procedural Law: article 389^{1,2,3,4}

Report July 2009

- Tuning in of CPC and Operational-Search
- Relation to type of crime
- Reglementation of collection traffic data and interception of content
- Reglementation of cooperation of service provider
- Procedures on preservation and use

- Done
- Done, but now: "criminal act through using a computer system" = too restrictive. Computer may not be an element of the criminal conduct (see 389^{1,2,3,4}). Seriouness?
- Substantiated ground: discussion (see 389^{1,2,3,4})
- Time required?

Report July 2009

Fine-tuning

- Para 1: request?
- Para 1: important? Relevant!
- Para 1: specificity
- Para 1: suggestion: required format
- Para 2 jo para 3: any information=rather broad. Why not subscriber information?
- Para 4 reference article 290/2 concerns in particular urgent cases!

Procedural Law: article 389²

Report July 2009

Fine-tuning

- Para 1: internet traffic data is too restrictive
 - service provider includes TO
 - order to whom to do what?
 - requirement Georgian territory redundant
 - passed?
 - specificity
 - duration?: maximum term
 - what means 'co-operation and support'?

Procedural Law: article 3892, cont'd

Report July 2009

- Para 2: technical capabilities: discussion!
- Para 3: article 292/2: previous permission of a court with a public hearing?
- Duty of Confidentiality

Report July 2009

- Para 1: strike 'using a computer system'
- See observations on para 1,2,3 under article 389²

Report July 2009

Implement

- Para 1: 'protection' read 'preservation'?
- Para 3: does person matter?
- Para 6: intent of the provision is immeditae action, possibly with judicial testing ex post
- Para 3: 90 days in order, not as legal obligation for person concerned
- Para 4: no 90 days?

Report July 2009



- No 389⁵ ?
- Para 1: what is the status of a court order?
- Para 3: relation with general rules on refusal or nonobeydience?
- Para 2: meaning? Discussion.
- Para 3: Adequate sanctions?

Concluding Observations

- International Co-operation: how and what?
- Collection of Traffic Data and Interception of Telephone Communications
 - Integrated approach
 - Level of technical co-operation
 - Pre-paid
- More Structural Approach
 - Notions
 - Grouping provisions
- Time scedule?