







### FATFIGAFI The FATF and risks associated with new technologies

#### FATF Recommendation 8:

Financial institutions (FIs) should pay special attention to ML threats from new technologies being used in ML schemes. They should take measures to prevent these technologies being used in ML schemes. They should take measures to prevent these address risks associated with non-face to face relationships or transactions.

#### Typologies:

•Considered in all five of the FATF's annual typologies reports: 1996-1997 through to 2000-2001

•October 2006 FATF report "Report on New Payment Methods".

•June 2008 FATF report "Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing Vulnerabilities of Commercial Websites and Internet Payment Systems".

Financial Action Task Force + Groupe d'action financière aration Against Cybercrime + 10-11 March 2009 + Strasbourg, France

## New payment methods (2006) Project looked at pre-paid cards, Internet payment systems, mobile payments and digital precious metals The report identifies trends in the adoption of new payment technologies and assesses ML and TF vulnerabilities. Key findings:

FATEGAE

10

12

•There is a legitimate market demand for each of these payment methods, yet ML and TF vulnerabilities do exist.

•Offshore providers of these payment methods present additional ML/TF risk compared with those operating within a jurisdiction.

·Continued vigilance is needed to further assess the impact of evolving technologies on cross-border and domestic regulatory frameworks.

Financial Action Task Force + Groupe d'action financière Cooperation Against Cybercrime + 10-11 March 2009 + Strasbourg, France

### ATFOGAFI Commercial websites and Internet payment systems (2008)

#### Highlighted vulnerabilities of these systems =

- Non- face to face registration Anonymity of users
- Speed of transactions
- Limited human intervention
- High number of transactions
- International nature of the operations
- Difficulties faced by financial institutions to monitor and detect suspicious financial transactions.
- Limited jurisdictional competences

Mediated customer-to-customer websites are the most vulnerable to abuse because of their popularity, public accessibility and high volume of cross-border trade transactions. Financial Action Task Force + Groupe d'action financière Cooperation Against Cybercrime + 10-11 March 2009 + Strasbourg, France

9

11

# ATFOAFI Commercial websites and Internet payment systems (continued) Dealing with these risks: Best practices: Some commercial website and Internet payment service providers are aware of the risks and screen and monitor their customers' transactions, using a risk-based approach. Maybe these best practices should be shared within the sector? Information sharing: Enhanced exchange of information between commercial website and Internet payment service providers would help mitigate ML/TF risks. Awareness: More could be done to ensure the private sector and relevant regulatory and enforcement authorities are aware of the relevant ML/TF typologies and risks.

- Jurisdictional competence and consistency: It is difficult to determine which jurisdiction is responsible for regulation of which online financial activities. All countries should establish similar regulations, to ensure providers do not choose the country with the weakest regulations

Financial Action Task Force + Groupe d'action financière eration Against Cybercrime + 10-11 March 2009 + Strasbourg, France Coope

### FATF+GAFI Future work on this issue by the FATF

In February 2009, the FATF concluded that its current measure in this area (Recommendation 8) is sufficient.

However, more should be done to explore the jurisdictional competence issues.

The FATF will further examine how well jurisdictions are able to address these online ML/TF risks. The focus will be on barriers to cross-border co-operation in investigations of online money laundering and terrorist financing.

Financial Action Task Force + Groupe d'action financière Cooperation Against Cybercrime + 10-11 March 2009 + Strasbourg, France



Financial Action Task Force + Groupe d'action financière Cooperation Against Cybercrime + 10-11 March 2009 + Strasbourg, France