

## SECRETARIAT GENERAL

SECRETARIAT OF THE COMMITTEE OF MINISTERS  
SECRETARIAT DU COMITE DES MINISTRES



Contact: John Darcy  
Tel: 03 88 41 31 56

Date: 05/04/2018

**DH-DD(2018)356**

Documents distributed at the request of a Representative shall be under the sole responsibility of the said Representative, without prejudice to the legal or political position of the Committee of Ministers.

Meeting: 1318<sup>th</sup> meeting (June 2018) (DH)

Item reference: Action report (22/03/2018)

Communication from Croatia concerning the cases of BECIROVIC, JURICA, HOMAN D.O.O. and DOMANCIC v. Croatia (Applications No. 45379/10, 18786/11, 61691/13, 30376/13)

\* \* \* \* \*

Les documents distribués à la demande d'un/e Représentant/e le sont sous la seule responsabilité dudit/de ladite Représentant/e, sans préjuger de la position juridique ou politique du Comité des Ministres.

Réunion : 1318<sup>e</sup> réunion (juin 2018) (DH)

Référence du point : Bilan d'action

Communication de la Croatie concernant les affaires BECIROVIC, JURICA, HOMAN D.O.O. et DOMANCIC c. Croatie (requêtes n° 45379/10, 18786/11, 61691/13, 30376/13) (*anglais uniquement*)

---



DGI

22 MARS 2018

SERVICE DE L'EXECUTION  
DES ARRETS DE LA CEDH

**GOVERNMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA**

OFFICE OF THE REPRESENTATIVE OF  
THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA BEFORE  
THE EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

Class: 004-02/16-05/16  
Reg. No.: 50447-02/4-18-01  
Zagreb, 22 March 2018

---

**ACTION REPORT**

**Bećirović v. Croatia**

45379/10, judgment of 18 December 2012, final on 18 December 2012

**Domančić v. Croatia**

18786/11, judgment of 2 May 2013, final on 2 May 2013

**Homan d.o.o. v. Croatia**

61691/13, judgment of 15 November 2016, final on 15 November 2016

**Jurica v. Croatia**

30376/13, judgment of 2 May 2017, final on 2 August 2017

---

Office of the Representative of the Republic of Croatia before the European Court of  
Human Rights

## I. CASE DESCRIPTION

1. These clone cases of the *Raguž group* (43709/02) concern violations of the applicants' right to a trial within reasonable time on account of excessive length of civil proceedings (violations of Article 6§1).
2. The case of *Domančić* also concerns the lack of an effective remedy in this regard (a violation of Article 13).

## II. INDIVIDUAL MEASURES

3. The authorities took measures to bring the violation to an end and redress the applicants.
4. At the outset, the Government highlights that the impugned proceedings have been brought to an end. In particular, the domestic proceedings were terminated in *Bećirović* on 18 June 2014, in *Domančić* on 13 October 2011, in *Homan d.o.o.* on 17 September 2010 and in *Jurica* on 31 August 2011.
5. The Government furthermore indicates that apart from the applicants in *Bećirović* and *Domančić* the applicants claimed non-pecuniary damage. The Court awarded them just satisfaction under this head. The applicant in *Jurica* also claimed pecuniary damage. The Court did not discern any causal link between the violation found and the pecuniary damage alleged and therefore rejected the claim.

## III. GENERAL MEASURES

6. The Government recalls that general measures in response to the shortcomings found by the Court in the present judgments are examined within the framework of the *Raguž group v. Croatia* (43709/02). The Government therefore considers that the closure of these cases in no way prejudices the Committee's evaluation of the general measures in relation to the excessive length of the impugned proceedings.

## IV. JUST SATISFACTION

7. The authorities ensured that the just satisfaction awarded by the Court was disbursed to the applicants within the deadline imparted by the Court. In particular, payments were made to the applicant in *Homan d.o.o.* on 26 January 2017 and in *Jurica* on 21 September 2017.

## V. CONCLUSION

8. The Government considers that the implementation of individual measures brought the violations to an end and redressed the applicants.
9. The general measures continue to be examined within the framework of the *Raguž group v. Croatia* (43709/02).
10. The Government therefore considers that it has exercised its functions under Article 46, paragraph 2, of the Convention in these cases as regards the individual measures.



Štefica Stažnik  
Representative